

STANDING WAVES TO CHERN-SIMONS-SCHRÖDINGER SYSTEMS WITH CRITICAL EXPONENTIAL GROWTH

YOUYAN WAN, JINGGANG TAN

ABSTRACT. In this article we study the existence of standing waves to nonlinear Chern-Simons-Schrödinger systems with critical exponential growth.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

We study the existence of ground state to the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system (CSS system) involving a nonlinearity $f(u)$ in the case of critical exponential growth

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + u + A_0 u + \sum_{j=1}^2 A_j^2 u &= f(u), \\ \partial_1 A_0 &= A_2 |u|^2, \quad \partial_2 A_0 = -A_1 |u|^2, \\ \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} u^2, \quad \partial_1 A_1 + \partial_2 A_2 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $A_\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2$, is vector potential of the gauge fields, $\partial_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $\partial_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$, $\partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$. This system arises in the study of the standing wave of Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system that describes the dynamics of large number of particles in a electromagnetic field. Chern-Simons terms in CSS system are necessary ingredients in various anyon models describing many fermion systems such as electron pairing in the high-temperature superconductor, fractional quantum Hall effect and Aharonov-Bohm scattering, see [28, 29] and references therein.

Since the gauge field A_μ is coupled to complex field $\phi \in \mathbb{C}$, the Euler-Lagrange equations of the energy which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} iD_0 \phi + (D_1 D_1 + D_2 D_2) \phi &= f(\phi), \\ \partial_0 A_1 - \partial_1 A_0 &= -\text{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_2 \phi), \\ \partial_0 A_2 - \partial_2 A_0 &= \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_1 \phi), \\ \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} |\phi|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Here $D_\mu \phi = (\partial_\mu + iA_\mu) \phi$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2$. The CSS system (1.2) is invariant under the following gauge transformation $\phi \rightarrow \phi e^{i\chi}$, $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu - \partial_\mu \chi$ where $\chi : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary C^∞ function. We assume that the gauge field satisfies the Coulomb

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J50, 35J10.

Key words and phrases. Chern-Simons gauge field; Schrödinger equation; Moser-Trudinger inequality.

©2021. This work is licensed under a CC BY 4.0 license.

Submitted July 9, 2021. Published September 15, 2021.

gauge condition $\partial_0 A_0 + \partial_1 A_1 + \partial_2 A_2 = 0$. Then the standing wave $\psi(x, t) = e^{i\omega t} u$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + \omega u + A_0 u + A_1^2 u + A_2^2 u &= f(u), \\ \partial_1 A_0 &= A_2 u^2, \quad \partial_2 A_0 = -A_1 u^2, \\ \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}|u|^2, \quad \partial_1 A_1 + \partial_2 A_2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

We say that $f(s)$ has subcritical growth at $+\infty$ if for all $\alpha > 0$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(s)}{e^{\alpha s^2}} = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

and $f(s)$ has critical growth at $+\infty$ if there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(s)}{e^{\alpha s^2}} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha > \alpha_0, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } \alpha < \alpha_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

We assume $f(u)$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (A1) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $f(0) = 0$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} F(s)/s^2 = 0$;
 (A2) There exist $\theta > 6$ and $s_1 > 0$ such that for all $|s| \geq s_1$

$$0 < \theta F(s) := \theta \int_0^s f(t) dt \leq s f(s);$$

- (A3) There exists $\beta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} s f(s) e^{-\alpha_0 s^2} \geq \beta_0.$$

We remark that the condition (A2) can be replaced by

$$0 < F(s) \leq M_0 f(s), \quad \text{if } |s| \geq R_0,$$

for some constants $R_0, M_0 > 0$.

The standing waves of (1.2) have been investigated by Byeon, Huh and Seok [2]. They were seeking the radial solutions when $f(u) = \lambda|u|^{p-1}u$, $\lambda > 0$ and $p > 2$ by variational methods, see also [11, 12]. A series of existence and nonexistence results of solitary waves has been established in [4, 5, 17, 24, 25, 26, 30]. We studied the existence, non-existence, and multiplicity of standing waves to the nonlinear CSS systems with an external potential $V(x)$ without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition in [27]. Sign-changing solutions and Nodal standing waves to a gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation have been established by [7, 18, 19, 20]. Sign-changing multi-bump solutions were found in [3].

Moreover, we have shown the existence of nontrivial solutions to Chern-Simons-Schrödinger systems (1.1) by using the concentration compactness principle with $V(x)$ is a constant and the argument of global compactness with $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $0 < V_0 < V(x) < V_\infty$ under the condition $p > 4$ in [28]. We also have obtained the concentration behavior of the solutions to system (1.1) with $p > 6$ in [29]. The main characteristic of system (1.1) is that the non-local term A_μ , $\mu = 0, 1, 2$ depends on u and there is a lack of compactness in \mathbb{R}^2 . By using the variational method we can obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *If $f(s)$ is critical growth and (A1)–(A3) hold, then Problem (1.1) has a solution.*

We mention that Zhang and Wan also proved that if $f(s)$ is subcritical growth then Problem (1.1) has a solution in [33]. On the other hand, radial solutions for the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equation with exponential growth can be found in [16]. To demonstrate the desired result, we employ the approach which was developed by do Ó, Medeiros and Severo [8]. Here we mention that Pan, Li, Tang [23] studied CSS system with critical growth; see also [6, 21]. Sign-changing solutions have been found for the nonlinear Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equations in [31] Normalized solutions of Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system are studied by [10, 22, 32].

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the framework and prove some technical lemmas. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1.

2. MATHEMATICAL FRAMEWORK

In this section, we outline the variational framework for a future study. We consider the functions which belong to the usual Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Define the functional

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 + A_1^2 |u|^2 + A_2^2 |u|^2 \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u) dx, \quad (2.1)$$

where $F(u) = \int_0^u f(s) ds$. We have the derivative of J in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'(u), \eta \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\nabla u \nabla \eta + u \eta - f(u) \eta + (A_1^2(u) + A_2^2(u)) u \eta + A_0 u \eta \right) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_1 u^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_2(x, y) u(y) \eta(y) dy dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_2 u^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} -K_1(x, y) u(y) \eta(y) dy dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for all $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Especially, from (2.4), we have

$$\langle J'(u), u \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 + 3(A_1^2(u) + A_2^2(u)) |u|^2 - f(u) u \right) dx. \quad (2.3)$$

Substituting $\partial_1 A_0 = A_2 u^2$, $\partial_2 A_0 = -A_1 u^2$ in the Coulomb gauge condition $\partial_1 A_1 + \partial_2 A_2 = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_2 \partial_1 A_0 - \partial_1 \partial_2 A_0 \\ &= \partial_2 (A_2 u^2) + \partial_1 (A_1 u^2) \\ &= 2u (A_1 \partial_1 u + A_2 \partial_2 u) + u^2 (\partial_1 A_1 + \partial_2 A_2). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 A_j \partial_j u = 0.$$

This also implies the imaginary part of the CSS system vanishes.

Again we can derive from $\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1 = -\frac{1}{2}u^2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_0 |u|^2 dx &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_0 (\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1) dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_2 \partial_1 A_0 - A_1 \partial_2 A_0) dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2 + A_2^2) |u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Combining the equation $\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1 = -u^2/2$ and the Coulomb gauge condition $\partial_1 A_1 + \partial_2 A_2 = 0$ provides that the components A_j can be determined from u by solving elliptic system

$$\Delta A_1 = \partial_2 \left(\frac{|u|^2}{2} \right), \quad \Delta A_2 = -\partial_1 \left(\frac{|u|^2}{2} \right).$$

That are equivalent to

$$\mathcal{F}(A_1) = \frac{-\xi_2}{|\xi|^2} \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{|u|^2}{2} \right), \quad \mathcal{F}(A_2) = \frac{\xi_1}{|\xi|^2} \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{|u|^2}{2} \right)$$

where \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform of an integrable function.

Then we have the following representation of (A_1, A_2) ,

$$A_1 = A_1(u) = K_2 * \left(\frac{|u|^2}{2} \right) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x_2 - y_2}{|x - y|^2} \frac{|u|^2(y)}{2} dy, \quad (2.5)$$

$$A_2 = A_2(u) = -K_1 * \left(\frac{|u|^2}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x_1 - y_1}{|x - y|^2} \frac{|u|^2(y)}{2} dy, \quad (2.6)$$

where $K_j = \frac{-x_j}{2\pi|x|^2}$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $*$ denotes the convolution. Moreover, the system $\partial_1 A_0 = A_2 u^2, \partial_2 A_0 = -A_1 u^2$ implies that

$$\Delta A_0 = \partial_1 (A_2 |u|^2) - \partial_2 (A_1 |u|^2),$$

which yields the following representation

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= A_0(u) = K_1 * (A_1 |u|^2) - K_2 * (A_2 |u|^2) \\ &= K_1 * \left(|u|^2 K_2 * \frac{|u|^2}{2} \right) + K_2 * \left(|u|^2 K_1 * \frac{|u|^2}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

We know that J is well defined in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $J \in C^1(H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))$, and the weak solution of (1.1) is the critical point of the functional J from the following properties, which we refer to [28, 29].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $1 < s < 2$ and $\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2}$.*

(i) *There is a constant C depending only on s and q such that*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |Tu(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u(x)|^s dx \right)^{1/s},$$

where the integral operator T is defined as

$$Tu(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{u(y)}{|x - y|} dy.$$

(ii) If $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then we for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_j^2(u)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq C\|u\|_{L^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2, \\ \|A_0(u)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq C\|u\|_{L^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) For $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$ and $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$\|A_j(u)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \| |A_j(u)|^2 \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|u\|_{L^{2q'}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$

We will need the following properties of the convergence for A_j , see [29].

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that u_n converges to u a.e. in \mathbb{R}^2 and u_n converges weakly to u in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Let $A_{\mu,n} := A_\mu(u_n(x))$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2$. Then*

- (i) $A_{\mu,n}$ converges to $A_\mu(u(x))$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^2 .
- (ii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_{j,n}^2 u_n u \, dx$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_{j,n}^2 |u|^2 \, dx$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_{j,n}^2 |u_n|^2 \, dx$ converge to $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_j^2 |u|^2 \, dx$, for $j = 1, 2$; $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_{0,n} u_n u \, dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_{0,n} |u_n|^2 \, dx$ converge to $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_0 |u|^2 \, dx$.
- (iii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |A_j(u_n - u)|^2 |u_n - u|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |A_j(u_n)|^2 |u_n|^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |A_j(u)|^2 |u|^2 \, dx + o_n(1)$, for $j = 1, 2$.

To prove the mountain pass construction, we need the following results from [8].

Proposition 2.3. (i) *If $\alpha > 0$ and $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) \, dx < \infty.$$

Moreover, if $\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq 1$, $\|u\|_2 \leq M < \infty$ and $\alpha < 4\pi$ then there exists a constant $C = C(M, \alpha)$, which depends only on M and α , such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) \, dx < C(M, \alpha).$$

(ii) *Let $\{w_n\}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfy $\|w_n\| = 1$. Suppose that w_n weakly converges to w_0 in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\|w_0\| < 1$. Then for all $0 < \beta < \frac{4\pi}{1-\|w_0\|^2}$,*

$$\sup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\beta |w_n|^2} - 1) \, dx < \infty.$$

(iii) *Let $\beta > 0$ and $r > 1$. Then for each $\alpha > r$ there exists a positive constant $C = C(\alpha)$ such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$(e^{\beta s^2} - 1)^r \leq C(e^{\alpha \beta s^2} - 1).$$

In particular, if $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ then $(e^{\beta u^2} - 1)^r$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

(iv) *If $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\beta > 0$, $q > 0$ and $\|v\| \leq M$ with $\beta M^2 < 4\pi$, then there exists $C = C(\beta, M, q) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\beta v^2} - 1) |v|^q \, dx \leq C \|v\|^q. \tag{2.8}$$

Next, we prove that the energy functional J has the mountain pass structure.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume (A1), (A2), and (1.5) hold. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $J(u) > 0$ if $\|u\| = \rho$.*

Proof. From (A1), (A2), and (1.5), there exists $\epsilon < \lambda/2$, where λ is the best constant of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, such that

$$|F(s)| \leq \epsilon |s|^2 + C_1 |s|^q (e^{\alpha s^2} - 1), \tag{2.9}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q > 2$. By (iv) of Proposition 2.3 and the Sobolev embeddings, we obtain

$$J(u) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda}\right)\|u\|^2 - C_1\|u\|^q. \quad (2.10)$$

Consequently, by using $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}\lambda$ and $q > 2$, we can choose $\rho > 0$ such that for $\|u\| = \rho$

$$J(u) \geq \|u\| \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda}\right)\|u\| - c\|u\|^{q-1} \right] > 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that f satisfies (A2). Then there exists $e \in E$ with $\|e\| > \rho$ such that $I(e) < \inf_{\|u\|=\rho} I(u)$.*

Proof. Let $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $u \equiv s_1$ in B_1 , $u \equiv 0$ in B_2^c and $u \geq 0$. Denoting $k = \text{supp}(u)$. From (A2), for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$F(s) \geq C_1|s|^\theta - C_2. \quad (2.11)$$

Then, for $t > 1$ we have

$$I(tu) \leq \frac{t^2}{2}\|u\|^2 + ct^6\|u\|^6 - ct^\theta \int_{\{x: t|u(x)| \geq s_1\}} u^\theta dx + C_1|k|.$$

Since $\theta > 6$, we obtain $I(tu) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Setting $e = tu$ with t large enough, the proof is complete. \square

We need the following result to prove the (PS) condition.

Lemma 2.6. *Assume (A2) and (1.5). Let (u_n) in E such that $J(u_n) \rightarrow c$ and $J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. Then, $\|u_n\| \leq c_0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(u_n)u_n dx \leq c_0$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_n) dx \leq c_0$.*

Proof. First, we prove that $\|u_n\| \leq c_0$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_{1,n}^2|u_n|^2 + A_{2,n}^2|u_n|^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_n) dx = c + o_n(1)$$

and for any $\varphi \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\nabla u_n \nabla \varphi + u_n \varphi) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_{1,n}^2 + A_{2,n}^2 + A_{0,n}) u_n \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(u_n) \varphi dx \\ & = o_n(\|\varphi\|). \end{aligned}$$

From (A2) and $\theta > 6$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta c + \varepsilon_n \|u_n\| & \geq \left(\frac{\theta}{2} - 1\right)\|u_n\|^2 + \left(\frac{\theta}{2} - 3\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_{1,n}^2|u_n|^2 + A_{2,n}^2|u_n|^2) dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\theta F(u_n) - f(u_n)u_n) dx \\ & \geq \left(\frac{\theta}{2} - 1\right)\|u_n\|^2 - \int_{\{x: |u_n(x)| < s_1\}} (\theta F(u_n) - f(u_n)u_n) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using that $|f(s)s - F(s)| \leq c_1|s|$ for all $|s| \leq s_1$, we obtain

$$\theta c + \varepsilon_n \|u_n\| \geq \left(\frac{\theta}{2} - 1\right)\|u_n\|^2 - c_1\|u_n\|,$$

which implies $\|u_n\| \leq c_0$. Next, we show $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(u_n)u_n \, dx \leq c_0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_n) \, dx \leq c_0$. In fact, since $\|u_n\| \leq c_0$, $J(u_n) \rightarrow c$, and $J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_n) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(A_{1,n}^2 |u_n|^2 + A_{2,n}^2 |u_n|^2 \right) dx - c + o_n(1), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(u_n)u_n \, dx &= \|u_n\|^2 + 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_{1,n}^2 + A_{2,n}^2)u_n^2 \, dx - \varepsilon_n \|u_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Proposition 2.1 and Sobolev embedding theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_n) &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 + C\|u_n\|^4 - c + o_n(1), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(u_n)u_n \, dx &= \|u_n\|^2 + C\|u_n\|^4 - \varepsilon_n \|u_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

From $\|u_n\| \leq c_0$, we obtain $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(u_n)u_n \, dx \leq c_0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_n) \, dx \leq c_0$. □

3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

First we need prove the Palais-Smale condition. Using Moser’s function sequences, we can obtain the minimax level of the mountain pass solution. Let

$$\tilde{\psi}_n(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{cases} (\log n)^{1/2} & \text{if } |x| \leq \frac{r_0}{n}, \\ \frac{\log(r_0/|x|)}{(\log n)^{1/2}} & \text{if } r_0/|n| \leq |x| \leq r_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > r_0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $\tilde{\psi}_n \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\text{supp } \tilde{\psi}_n \subset \bar{B}_{r_0}$, for a fixed r_0 . By using the fact

$$\int_{\{a_0 < |x| < 1\}} \nabla \log |x| \, dx = 2\pi \int_{a_0}^1 |\nabla \log r|^2 r \, dr = 2\pi \int_{a_0}^1 \frac{1}{r} \, dr = -2\pi \ln a_0,$$

we can prove that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{\psi}_n|^2 \, dx = 1$. Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{\psi}_n|^2 \, dx = O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, we can conclude that $\|\tilde{\psi}_n\| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Considering $\psi_n = \tilde{\psi}_n / \|\tilde{\psi}_n\|$, we can rewrite

$$\psi_n^2(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \log n + d_n, \quad \text{for all } |x| \leq \frac{r_0}{n},$$

where $d_n = (2\pi)^{-1} (\|\tilde{\psi}_n\|^{-1} - 1) \log n$. Consequently

$$\frac{d_n}{\log n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

On the other hand, we know that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_n|^2 \, dx = 0.$$

By the Hölder inequality, for $2\theta + q_1(1 - \theta) = 4$ we have

$$\|\psi_n\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4 \leq \|\psi_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{2\theta} \|\psi_n\|_{L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{(1-\theta)q_1}.$$

Then we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_n|^{q_1} dx &= 0 \quad \text{for } q_1 \geq 2, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_j(\psi_n)^2 \psi_n^2 dx &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that (A2)–(A4), hold. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \left[\frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^6}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(\psi_n) |\psi_n|^2 + A_2^2(\psi_n) |\psi_n|^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(t\psi_n) dx \right] < \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_0}.$$

Proof. Let us choose $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$\beta_0 > \frac{2}{r_0^2 \alpha_0}, \quad (3.2)$$

where β_0 has been fixed in (A3). Suppose by contradiction that for all n

$$\frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^6}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(\psi_n) + A_2^2(\psi_n)) |\psi_n|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(t\psi_n) dx \geq \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_0}. \quad (3.3)$$

From (A2), there exist positive constants C_1, C_1 such that $F(s) \geq C_1 e^{\frac{|s|}{M_0}} - C_2$. Consequently, if $t > 0$ is sufficiently large and $m > 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(t\psi_n) dx &\geq -C_1 + \int_{\{t\psi_n \geq s_1\}} e^{t\psi_n/M_0} dx \\ &\geq -C_1 + C_3 \int_{\{t\psi_n \geq s_1\}} (\psi_n)^m dx \\ &\geq -C_1 + C_3 t^m \int_{\{\psi_n \geq s_1\}} (\psi_n)^m dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for each n there exists unique maximum point t_n such that

$$\frac{t_n^2}{2} + \frac{t_n^6}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(\psi_n) + A_2^2(\psi_n)) |\psi_n|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(t_n \psi_n) dx = \max_{t > 0} J(t\psi_n)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} J(t\psi_n) \Big|_{t=t_n} = 0.$$

From which it follows that

$$t_n^2 + 3t_n^6 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(\psi_n) + A_2^2(\psi_n)) |\psi_n|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} t_n \psi_n f(t_n \psi_n) dx = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

By (A3) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\psi_n f(\psi_n) \geq (\beta_0 - \varepsilon) \exp(\alpha_0 \psi_n^2) \quad (3.5)$$

for all $\psi_n \geq R_\varepsilon$ and $|x| \leq r_0$. From (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_n^2 + 3t_n^6 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(\psi_n) + A_2^2(\psi_n)) |\psi_n|^2 dx \\ \geq (\beta_0 - \varepsilon) \pi \left(\frac{r_0}{n}\right)^2 \exp\left(\frac{\alpha_0}{2\pi} t_n^2 \log n + 2\alpha_0 t_n^2 d_n\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

That is,

$$1 + 3t_n^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(\psi_n) + A_2^2(\psi_n)) |\psi_n|^2 dx$$

$$\geq (\beta_0 - \epsilon)\pi r_0^2 \exp\left(\frac{\alpha_0}{2\pi}t_n^2 \log n + 2\alpha_0 t_n^2 d_n - 2 \log t_n - 2 \log n\right).$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(\psi_n) + A_2^2(\psi_n))|\psi_n|^2 dx \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $\{t_n\}$ is bounded.

We claim that

$$t_n^2 \rightarrow \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_0}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.7}$$

In fact, by (3.3), (3.4), and (A2), we have

$$\frac{t_n^2}{2} + \frac{t_n^6}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(\psi_n) + A_2^2(\psi_n))|\psi_n|^2 dx \geq \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_0} + \int_{\{t_n\psi_n \leq s_1\}} F(t_n\psi_n) dx$$

Since $\{t_n\}$ is bounded, by (2.9) and $\|\tilde{\psi}_n\|^2 \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\{t_n\psi_n \leq s_1\}} F(t_n\psi_n) dx \right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_n^2 dx = C \frac{1}{\|\tilde{\psi}_n\|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{\psi}_n^2 dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(\psi_n) + A_2^2(\psi_n))|\psi_n|^2 dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently,

$$t_n^2 \geq \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_0} + o_n(1), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Suppose by contradiction that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n^2 > \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_0}$. From (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & t_n^2 + 3t_n^6 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(\psi_n) + A_2^2(\psi_n))|\psi_n|^2 dx \\ & \geq (\beta_0 - \epsilon)\pi r_0^2 \exp\left(\left(\frac{\alpha_0}{4\pi}t_n^2 - 1\right)2 \log n + 2\alpha_0 t_n^2 d_n\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since (3.1), the last inequality contradicts the boundedness of $\{t_n\}$ and the claim holds.

Let us denote

$$\Omega_{1,n} := \{x \in B_{r_0} : t_n\psi_n \geq R_\epsilon\}, \quad \text{and } \Omega_{2,n} := B_{r_0} \setminus \Omega_{1,n}.$$

By (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & t_n^2 + 3t_n^6 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(\psi_n) + A_2^2(\psi_n))|\psi_n|^2 dx \\ & \geq (\beta_0 - \epsilon) \int_{B_{r_0}} e^{\alpha_0 t_n^2 \psi_n^2} + \int_{\Omega_{2,n}} t_n\psi_n f(t_n\psi_n) - (\beta_0 - \epsilon) \int_{\Omega_{2,n}} e^{\alpha_0 t_n^2 \psi_n^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Since $\psi_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the characteristic functions $\chi_{\Omega_{2,n}} \rightarrow 1$ for almost every x such that $|x| \leq r$. By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{2,n}} t_n\psi_n f(t_n\psi_n) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega_{2,n}} e^{\alpha_0 t_n^2 \psi_n^2} dx \rightarrow \pi r_0^2 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By $t_n^2 \geq \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_0}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|x| \leq r_0\}} e^{\alpha_0 t_n^2 \psi_n^2} dx & \geq \int_{\{|x| \leq r_0\}} e^{4\pi \psi_n^2} dx \\ & = \int_{\{|x| \leq \frac{r_0}{n}\}} e^{4\pi \psi_n^2} dx + \int_{\{\frac{r_0}{n} \leq |x| \leq r_0\}} e^{4\pi \psi_n^2} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

A direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{|x| \leq \frac{r_0}{n}\}} e^{4\pi\psi_n^2} dx &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{|x| \leq \frac{r_0}{n}\}} e^{2\log n + 4\pi d_n} dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi \frac{r_0^2}{n^2} n^{2+4\pi(\log n)^{-1}d_n} = \pi r_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Set $t = \log(\frac{r_0}{|x|})/(\xi_n \log n)$, where $\xi_n = \|\tilde{\psi}_n\| > 1$. We have

$$\int_{\{\frac{r_0}{n} \leq |x| \leq r_0\}} e^{4\pi\psi_n^2} dx = 2\pi r_0^2 \xi_n \log n \int_0^{\xi_n^{-1}} e^{2\log n(t^2 - \xi_n t)} dt.$$

Since

$$t^2 - \xi_n t \geq \begin{cases} -\xi_n t & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{\xi_n^{-1}}{2}, \\ (2\xi_n^{-1} - \xi_n)(t - \xi_n^{-1}) + (\xi_n^{-2} - 1) & \text{if } \frac{\xi_n^{-1}}{2} \leq t \leq \xi_n^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{r_0/n \leq |x| \leq r_0\}} e^{4\pi\psi_n^2} dx \geq 2\pi r_0^2.$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.8) and using (3.7), we obtain

$$\frac{4\pi}{\alpha_0} \geq (\beta_0 - \varepsilon)2\pi r_0^2,$$

which gives $\beta_0 \leq \frac{2}{\alpha_0 r_0^2}$. This contradicts (3.2). The proof is complete. \square

Assuming that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^2 < \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_0},$$

then there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ which converges to u_0 in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proposition 3.2. $J(u_0) = c$.

Proof. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, there exists a subsequence denoted again by $\{u_n\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad q \geq 1, \\ u_n(x) &\rightarrow u_0(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any $R > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} (F(u_n) - F(u_0)) dx = 0.$$

It is known that for $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the Schwartz symmetrization of u satisfies

$$|u^*| \leq \frac{\|u^*\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{\sqrt{\pi}|x|}.$$

Since

$$\int_{B_R^c} F(u_n) \leq C_1 \int_{B_R^c} |u_n|^2 + C_2 \int_{B_R^c} (|u_n|e^{\alpha|u_n|^2} - 1) dx,$$

where $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, and

$$\int_{B_R^c} (|u_n|e^{\alpha|u_n|^2} - 1) dx \leq \int_{B_R^c} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{|u_n^*|^{2l+1}}{l!} \leq \frac{C}{R},$$

for each $\delta > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\max\left\{ \int_{B_R^c} F(u_n) dx, \int_{B_R^c} F(u_0) dx, \int_{B_R} (F(u_n) - F(u_0)) dx \right\} \leq \frac{\delta}{3},$$

from which it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (F(u_n) - F(u_0)) dx < \delta.$$

Hence by using $J(u_n) \rightarrow c$ we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(u_n) + A_2^2(u_n))|u_n|^2 dx = c + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_0) dx + o_n(1).$$

We observe that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\| \geq \|u_0\| > 0$, so that we define

$$w_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|} \quad \text{and} \quad w_0 = \frac{u_0}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|}.$$

Then $\|w_n\| = 1$ and $w_n \rightharpoonup w_0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Suppose that $\|w_0\| < 1$. By Proposition 3.1, we see that $\alpha_0 < \frac{2\pi}{c - J(u_0)}$. Let us choose $\beta > 1$ sufficiently close to 1 and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta\alpha_0\|u_n\|^2 &\leq \frac{2\pi\|u_n\|^2}{c - J(u_0)} - \delta \\ &\leq 4\pi \frac{c + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_0) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(u_0) + A_2^2(u_0))|u_0|^2 dx + o_n(1)}{c - J(u_0)} - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by using the formula for $J(u_0)$ and $J(u_0) < c$ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - \|w_0\|^2)(c + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_0) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(u_0) + A_2^2(u_0))|u_0|^2 dx + o_n(1)) \\ &\leq c + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_0) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(u_0) + A_2^2(u_0))|u_0|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \|w_0\|^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_0) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(u_0) + A_2^2(u_0))|u_0|^2 dx + o_n(1) \right) \\ &= c + (-J(u_0) + \frac{1}{2}\|u_0\|^2) \\ &\quad - \|w_0\|^2 \left(c + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_0) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(u_0) + A_2^2(u_0))|u_0|^2 dx + o_n(1) \right) \\ &\leq c - J(u_0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\beta\alpha_0\|u_n\|^2 \leq \frac{4\pi}{1 - \|w_0\|^2} - \delta.$$

Thus, $(\beta + \epsilon)\alpha_0\|u_n\|^2 \leq \frac{4\pi}{1 - \|w_0\|^2}$, which implies by (ii) of Proposition 2.3 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(e^{(\beta + \epsilon)\alpha_0\|u_n\|^2 w_0^2} - 1 \right) dx \leq C.$$

We observe that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(u_n)(u_n - u_0) dx \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(u_n - u_0)| e^{\alpha_0 \|u_n\|^2 w_n^2} dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n - u_0|^{\frac{q}{q-1}} dx.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla u_0 \nabla (u_n - u_0) + u_0 (u_n - u_0) dx = 0.$$

Hence, $\{u_n\}$ converges to u_0 in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\{u_n\}$ satisfying $J(u_n) \rightarrow c_0$ and $J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2.6, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, up to a subsequence, we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $q \geq 2$ and $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^2 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, if $f(s)$ satisfies (1.5), we have for each $\alpha > \alpha_0$ there exist $b_1, b_2 > 0$ such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $\alpha > 0$,

$$|f(s)| \leq b_1 |s| + b_2 (e^{\alpha s^2} - 1). \quad (3.10)$$

If the vanishing case occurs, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n|^2 dx = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Consequently, by (3.10), (3.11), Hölder' inequality, and Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(u_n)u_n| dx \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (b_1 |u_n|^2 + b_2 |u_n| (e^{\alpha |u_n|^2} - 1)) dx \\ & \leq b_1 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n|^2 dx \\ & \quad + b_2 \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\alpha |u_n|^2} - 1)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

By Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (A_1^2(u_n) + A_2^2(u_n)) u_n^2 dx = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

From (2.3), (3.12), (3.13), and that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_n\|^2 \\ & = \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle - 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} ((A_1^2(u_n) + A_2^2(u_n)) u_n^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(u_n) u_n dx \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (2.9), (3.12), (3.14), and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_n) dx \right| \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n|^2 dx + C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n|^q (e^{\alpha u_n^2} - 1) dx) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

This implies that $0 < J(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which means that vanishing is impossible.

Hence only the nonvanishing case happens. Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^2(x) \langle A'_0(u), \eta \rangle dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^2(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x_1 - y_1}{2\pi|x - y|^2} u(y)\eta(y) A_2(u(y)) dy \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x_2 - y_2}{2\pi|x - y|^2} u(y)\eta(y) A_1(u(y)) dy \right) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_2(u(y)) u(y)\eta(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x_1 - y_1}{2\pi|x - y|^2} u(x)^2 dx dy \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_1(u(y)) u(y)\eta(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x_2 - y_2}{2\pi|x - y|^2} u(x)^2 dx dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |A_2(u(y))|^2 u(y)\eta(y) + |A_1(u(y))|^2 u(y)\eta(y) dy,
\end{aligned}$$

For each $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'(u_n), \eta \rangle \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\nabla u_n \nabla \eta + u_n \eta + (A_1^2(u_n) + A_2^2(u_n)) u_n \eta + A_0(u_n) u_n \eta - f(u_n) \eta \right) dx \\
&= \langle J'(u_0), \eta \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence u_0 is a weak solution of Problem (1.1). \square

Acknowledgments. Both authors were supported by Jiangnan University. Y. Wan was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of Hubei Provincial Education Department (B2016299). J. Tan was supported by the Chilean Government grant FONDECYT grant 1160519 and by MINECO grants MTM2014-52402-C3-1-P and MTM2017-84214-C2-1-P (Spain Government Grants), and by Programa Basal CMM, Univ. de Chile.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. A. Abramyan, V. I. Berezhiani, A. P. Protogenov; Chern-Simons contribution to the structure of the zero mode of the gauged nonlinear (2 + 1)-dimensional Schrödinger equation, *Phys. Review E*, **56** (5) (1997), 6026–6032.
- [2] J. Byeon, H. Huh, J. Seok; Standing waves of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with the gauge field, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **263** (2012), 1575–1608.
- [3] Z. Chen, X. Tang, J. Zhang; Sign-changing multi-bump solutions for the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^2 , *Adv. Nonli. Anal.*, **9** (2020), 1066–1091.
- [4] S. Chen, B. Zhang, X. Tang; Existence and concentration of semiclassical ground state solutions for the generalized Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, *Nonl. Anal.*, **185** (2019), 68–96.
- [5] P. L. Cunha, P. d’Avenia, A. Pomponio, G. Siciliano; A multiplicity result for Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equation with a general nonlinearity, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, **22** (2015), 1831–1850.
- [6] J. Deng, W. Long, J. Yang; Multi-Peak solutions to Chern-Simons-Schrödinger systems with non-radial potential, preprint.
- [7] Y. B. Deng, S. J. Peng, W. Shuai; Nodal standing waves for a gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^2 , *J. Diff. Equa.*, **264** (2018), 4006–4035.
- [8] J. M. do Ó, E. Medeiros, U. Severo; A nonhomogeneous elliptic problem involving critical growth in dimension two, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **345** (2008), 286–304.
- [9] V. Dunne; *Self-dual Chern-Simons Theories*, Springer, 1995.
- [10] T. Guo, Z. Zhang; Normalized solutions to the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **280** (2021), 108894.
- [11] H. Huh; Standing waves of the Schrödinger equation coupled with the Chern-Simons gauge field, *J. Math. Phys.*, **53** (2012), 063702.

- [12] H. Huh; Nonexistence results of semilinear elliptic equations coupled the the Chern-Simons gauge field, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, (2013), 1–5.
- [13] H. Huh; Blow-up solutions of the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equations, *Nonlinearity*, **22** (2009), 967–974.
- [14] R. Jackiw, S.-Y. Pi; Classical and quantal nonrelativistic Chern-Simons theory, *Phys. Rev. D*, **42** (1990), 3500–3513.
- [15] R. Jackiw, S.-Y. Pi; Self-dual Chern-Simons solitons, *Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl.*, **107** (1992), 1–40.
- [16] C. Ji, F. Fang; Standing waves for the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equation with critical exponential growth, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **450** (2017), 578–591.
- [17] Y. Jiang, A. Pomponio, D. Ruiz; Standing waves for a gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a vortex point, *Commun. Contemp.*, **18** (2016), 1550074 .
- [18] J. Kang, C. Tang; Ground state radial sign-changing solutions for a gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation involving critical growth, *Comm. Math. Appl. Anal.*, **19** (11), (2020), 5239–5252.
- [19] G. Li, X. Luo, W. Shuai; Sign-changing solutions to a gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **455** (2017), 1559–1578.
- [20] G. Li, X. Luo, W. Shuai; Nodal standing waves for a gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^2 , *J. Diff. Equa.*, **264** (2018), 4006–4035.
- [21] L. Li, J. Yang, J. Yang; Solutions to Chern-Simons-Schrödinger systems with external potential, *Disc. Cont. Dyna. Syst.*, 2021.
- [22] X. Luo; Multiple normalized solutions for a planar gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, (2018) 69: 58.
- [23] H. Pan, G. Li, C. Tang; A positive ground state solution of asymptotically periodic Chern-Simons-Schrödinger systems with critical growth, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **495** (2021), 124708.
- [24] A. Pomponio, D. Ruiz; A Variational Analysis of a Gauged Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **17** (2015), 1463–1486.
- [25] A. Pomponio, D. Ruiz; Boundary concentration of a gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation on large balls, *Calc. Vari. PDEs*, **53** (2015), 289–316.
- [26] X. Tang, J. Zhang, W. Zhang; Existence and concentration of solutions for the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system with general nonlinearity, *Results Math.*, **71**(3), (2017), 643–655.
- [27] Y. Wan, J. Tan; Standing waves for the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger systems without (AR) condition, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **415** (2014), 422–434.
- [28] Y. Wan, J. Tan; Concentration of semi-classical solutions to the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger systems, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, **24** (2017) No. 28.
- [29] Y. Wan, J. Tan; The existence of nontrivial solutions to Chern-Simons-Schrödinger systems, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A*, **37**(5) (2017), 2765–2786.
- [30] A. Xia; Existence, nonexistence and multiplicity results of a Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system, *Acta Appl. Math.*, 166 (2020), 147–159.
- [31] W. Xie, C. Chen; Sign-changing solutions for the nonlinear Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equations, *Appl. Anal.*, **99** (2020), 880–898.
- [32] J. Yuan; Multiple normalized solutions of Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system, *Nonl. Diff. Equ. Appl.*, **22** (2015), 1801–1816.
- [33] C. Zhang, Y. Wan; The existence of solutions to Chern-Simons-Schrödinger systems with exponential nonlinearities, *Journal of Math.*, Vol. 38, **5** (2018), 804-812.

YOUYAN WAN

THE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JIANGNAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, HUBEI 430056, CHINA

Email address: wanyouyan@jhun.edu.cn

JINGGANG TAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JIANGXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NANCHANG 330022, CHINA.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD TÉCNICA FEDERICO SANTA MARÍA, AVDA. ESPAÑA 1680, VALPARAÍSO, CHILE

Email address: jinggang.tan@usm.cl