

STABILIZATION OF SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS WITH TIME-DEPENDENT VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS AND MEMORY

SHENG-JIE LI, SHUGEN CHAI

ABSTRACT. In this article, we study the stabilization of semilinear wave equations with time-dependent variable coefficients and memory in the nonlinear boundary feedback. We obtain the energy decay rate of the solution by an equivalent energy approach in the framework of Riemannian geometry.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be an open bounded domain with a smooth boundary Γ of class C^2 . We assume $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1$ with $\Gamma_0 \neq \emptyset$, where Γ_0 and Γ_1 are closed and disjoint. We consider semilinear wave equations with time-dependent variable coefficients and memory on the boundary:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt}(x, t) + \mu(t)Au(x, t) + h(\nabla u) + f(u) &= 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, t) &= 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Gamma_0 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \mu(t)\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_A}(x, t) + \int_0^t g(t-s)u_s(x, s) ds + l(u_t) &= 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$Au = -\operatorname{div} A(x)\nabla u = -\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.2)$$

$A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is a symmetric and positive matrix with the functions $a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad 0 \neq \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.3)$$

for some positive constant λ . $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ be the unit normal vector of Γ pointing toward the exterior of Ω , $\nu_A = A\nu$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_A} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \nu_i$. $\mu : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a continuous non-increasing function. $f, l : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous nonlinear functions satisfying some hypotheses (see (H3)–(H5) below). $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a C^2 -function.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 35B35, 35L05, 35L20.

Key words and phrases. Semilinear wave equation; time-dependent variable coefficient; memory; Riemannian geometry method.

©2023. This work is licensed under a CC BY 4.0 license.

Submitted December 15, 2022. Published April 13, 2023.

The stabilization of wave equations has been widely investigated; see [2, 12, 13, 19, 30] and their references. For the constant coefficient case ($a_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $\mu(t) = 1$) and $g(t) = 0$, a classical semilinear wave equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} - \Delta u + h(\nabla u) + f(u) &= 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ u &= 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma_0 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + l(u_t) &= 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

was considered in [1, 7]. The existence of strong (and weak) solution and uniform stabilization of the system (1.4) were established.

Variable-coefficients wave equations are mathematical models arisen in solid mechanics, electromagnetics, fluid flow in porous media, etc. In the case of variable coefficients, the main tool is the Riemannian geometry method which was introduced by Yao [28] to obtain boundary exact controllability for the wave equation in the form

$$u_{tt} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T).$$

This method was applied to achieve the controllability and stabilization of PDEs with variable coefficients in [6, 9, 20, 21]. In 2009, Guo and Shao [8] considered the semilinear wave equation with variable coefficients

$$u_{tt} - \Delta_g u + h(\nabla u) + f(u) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty). \quad (1.5)$$

This was done under the nonlinear boundary feedback

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mu} + l(u_t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty),$$

where Δ_g is the Beltrami-Laplace operator of Riemannian metric g . Here, μ is the normal vector field on Γ in terms of Riemannian metric g . The existence of both strong and weak solutions to (1.5) was proven by Faedo-Galerkin method and denseness argument. The exponential stability of this equation was obtained by introducing an equivalent energy functional and using the energy multiplier method on Riemannian manifold.

Variable coefficients depend not only on space but also on time. In 2019, Liu [15] dealt with the boundary exact controllability for the wave equation with variable coefficients in time and space

$$u_{tt} - \mu(t) \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T),$$

which was subject to Dirichlet or Neumann boundary controls. In 2021, Ha [10] explored the time-dependent variable coefficients wave equation with damping and supercritical source terms

$$u_{tt} + \mu(t) \mathcal{A}u + g(u_t) = |u|^\rho u, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty),$$

where ρ is a constant. He proved the existence of solutions and energy decay rate.

When waves propagate in viscous and elastic materials, some properties of the materials might change. Meanwhile, the state at each moment would be affected by the previous state in the propagation, that is called the memory effect. Many

papers have studied the viscoelastic wave equations, see [3, 4, 17, 18, 22]. In 2004, Chai and Guo [5] established the boundary stabilization of wave equations with variable coefficients and memory

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt}(x, t) - \operatorname{div} A(x)\nabla u(x, t) &= 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, t) &= 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma_0 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, t) + \int_0^t g(t-s, x)u_s(x, s) ds + a(x)l(u_t) &= 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & & x \in \Omega \end{aligned}$$

by Riemannian geometry method and sharp trace regularity. In 2009, Park and Ha [24] considered energy decay for non-dissipative distributed systems with source terms

$$u_{tt}(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) + h(\nabla u) = |u|^\rho u, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty).$$

And it had the nonlinear boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, t) + \int_0^t g(t-s, x)u_s(x, s) ds + a(x)l(u_t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty).$$

In 2010, Wu et al. [25] showed the exponential decay of energy for the system

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt}(x, t) - \operatorname{div} A(x)\nabla u(x, t) + f(u) &= 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, t) &= 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma_0 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, t) = - \int_0^t g(t-s, x)u_s(x, s) ds - l(u_t), & & (x, t) \in \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & & x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

In 2018, the stabilization of a wave equation with variable coefficients and internal memory in an open bounded domain

$$u_{tt} + \mathcal{A}u + a(x)\left(\mu_1 u_t(x, t) + \mu_2 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)u_t(x, t-s) ds\right) = 0,$$

for $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty)$ was considered by Ning and Yang in [23]. Later, some scholars studied the energy decay rate of wave systems with variable coefficients combining the memory boundary condition and acoustic boundary condition, see Jeong et al. [11], Liu [16] and Wu et al. [26].

Motivated by the above work, we explore semilinear wave equations with time-dependent variable coefficients and memory on the boundary. Compared with previous articles on this subject, the highlights of this article are the time-dependent variable coefficients in the principal part and nonlinear terms with the memory boundary condition. Such a mathematical model can more accurately reflect the actual situations of wave propagation in materials.

In this article, we study the stabilization of system (1.1) by equivalent energy approach and Riemannian geometry method. The Riemannian method is a powerful tool to deal with variable coefficients PDEs. Several multiplier identities, which have been built for constant coefficient wave equations (see Lions [14]), are generalized to the variable coefficients case by geometric multiplier identities subject to a different geometric condition. Besides that, it is interesting that some factors cause the energy to be non-dissipative in the system (1.1), however, the energy decays exponentially.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some notations needed for our work and state the main result. In Section 3, we show the energy decay rate.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we introduce some notation and assumptions that will be used in the following content. All definitions and notations related with Riemannian geometry are standard and classical in the [27].

A couple (\mathbb{R}^n, g) represents a Riemannian manifold with metric g . $G(x) = (g_{ij}(x)) = A^{-1}(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $A(x)$ is defined in (1.2). For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote the inner product and norm with Riemannian metric g over the tangent space $\mathbb{R}_x^n = \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$g(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle_g = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ij}(x) \alpha_i \beta_j, \quad |X|_g = \langle X, X \rangle_g^{1/2},$$

$$\forall X = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \in \mathbb{R}_x^n.$$

We define the usual dot product and norm in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n by

$$X \cdot Y = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i, \quad |X| = \langle X, X \rangle^{1/2}, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathbb{R}_x^n.$$

And the divergence of X in Euclidean metric is

$$\operatorname{div} X = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \alpha_i(x)}{\partial x_i}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We denote the Levi-Civita connection in Riemannian metric g by D . Let H be a vector field on (\mathbb{R}^n, g) , then the covariant differential DH of H determines a bilinear form on $\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$, defined by

$$DH(X, Y) = g(D_Y H, X) = \langle D_Y H, X \rangle_g, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathbb{R}_x^n,$$

where $D_Y H$ is covariant derivative of the vector field H with respect to Y . If $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we denote gradients of f by ∇ and ∇_g in Euclidean metric and in Riemannian metric g , respectively. It follows from [28, Lemma 2.1] that

$$\nabla_g f = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

$$|\nabla_g f|_g^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\nabla_g f = A(x) \nabla f,$$

and via the Riesz representation theorem, we have

$$X(f) = \langle \nabla_g f, X \rangle_g,$$

where X is any vector field on Riemannian manifold (\mathbb{R}^n, g) . For more details, we refer to [28, 29].

To obtain the stabilization of problem (1.1), we assume the following hypotheses:

(H1) There exists a vector field H on Riemannian manifold (\mathbb{R}^n, g) such that

$$DH(X, X) \geq \sigma|X|_g^2, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, X \in \mathbb{R}_x^n, \tag{2.1}$$

for some constant $\sigma > 0$. The divergence of H satisfies

$$\operatorname{div} H > \frac{r}{r-1}\sigma, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, \tag{2.2}$$

where r is given in (2.7). Furthermore, we suppose that the vector field H satisfies

$$H \cdot \nu \leq 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$H \cdot \nu \geq \delta > 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, \tag{2.4}$$

where δ is a constant.

(H2) The function $\mu \in C^1(0, +\infty)$ is non-increasing and satisfies

$$\mu(t) \geq \mu_0 > 0, \quad \forall t > 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where μ_0 is a constant.

(H3) $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 -function deriving from a potential:

$$F(s) := \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau \geq 0, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.6}$$

and satisfies

$$|f(s)| \leq b_1|s|^\rho + b_2, \quad |f'(s)| \leq b_3|s|^{\rho-1} + b_4,$$

where b_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are positive constants and the parameter ρ satisfies

$$1 \leq \rho \leq \begin{cases} 2, & n \leq 3, \\ \frac{n}{n-2}, & n \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Also F and f have the following relationship:

$$2rF(s) \leq sf(s), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{for some constant } r > 1. \tag{2.7}$$

Example. A function satisfying (H3) is given in [8] as

$$f(s) = \gamma|s|^{\rho-1}s, \quad \text{for some constants } \gamma > 0, 1 \leq \rho \leq \begin{cases} 2, & n \leq 3, \\ \frac{n}{n-2}, & n \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

(H4) $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 -function and there exist two constants $\beta > 0$ and $L > 0$ such that

$$|h(\xi)| \leq \beta\sqrt{\lambda}|\xi|, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.8}$$

$$|\nabla h(\xi)| \leq L, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.9}$$

Here,

$$\beta < \min \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}\sigma\mu_0}{4M + 2R(C_\Omega + 1)}, \frac{\varepsilon C_2}{C_1} \right\}, \tag{2.10}$$

where ε is from (3.18). The constants involved in (2.10) can be found in the text, and we do not repeat them here.

(H5) $l : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-decreasing C^1 -function and there exist two positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$c_1|s|^2 \leq l(s)s \leq c_2|s|^2, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.11}$$

(H6) $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a non-increasing C^2 -function satisfying $g(0) > 0$, and there exist constants $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 > 0$ such that

$$g'(t) \leq -\zeta_1 g(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.12)$$

$$g''(t) \geq -\zeta_2 g'(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.13)$$

We denote

$$g \circ u(t) := \int_0^t g(t-s) |u(x,t) - u(x,s)|^2 ds. \quad (2.14)$$

We define the energy corresponding to the solution of problem (1.1) by

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) := & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} g' \circ u(t) d\Gamma \\ & + \frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

and denote

$$E_0(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx. \quad (2.16)$$

Set

$$H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega), u|_{\Gamma_0} = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad V = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega).$$

Proposition 2.1 (Well-posedness). *Let us assume (H1)-(H6), and let the initial values $(u_0, u_1) \in V \times V$ satisfy the compatibility condition*

$$\mu(0) \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} + l(u_1) = 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1.$$

Then problem (1.1) admits a unique solution u such that

$$u \in L^\infty(0, \infty; V), \quad u_t \in L^\infty(0, \infty; H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)), \quad u_{tt} \in L^\infty(0, \infty; L^\infty(\Omega)).$$

Moreover, if $(u_0, u_1) \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, then problem (1.1) possesses at least a weak solution in the class

$$u \in C([0, \infty); H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)).$$

The above proposition can be proved using the Faedo-Galerkin method and a denseness argument (see [8] for details). We omit it here.

Theorem 2.2. *Let u be a solution to problem (1.1). Suppose that (H1)-(H6) hold. Then, the energy $E(t)$ associated with (1.1) decays exponentially. That is to say, there exist two positive constants γ and ω independent of initial values such that*

$$E(t) \leq \gamma E(0) e^{-\omega t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.17)$$

3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

Lemma 3.1 ([28, 29]). *Let $u, v \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ and H be a vector field on (\mathbb{R}^n, g) . Then, the following formulae hold:*

(i) *divergence theorem:*

$$\operatorname{div}(uH) = u \operatorname{div} H + H(u), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} H dx = \int_{\Gamma} H \cdot \nu d\Gamma. \quad (3.2)$$

(ii) *Green's formula:*

$$\int_{\Omega} v \mathcal{A}u \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_g u, \nabla_g v \rangle_g \, dx - \int_{\Gamma} v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} \, d\Gamma. \quad (3.3)$$

(iii)

$$\langle \nabla_g u, \nabla_g (H(u)) \rangle_g = DH(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla_g u|_g^2 H) - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 \operatorname{div} H. \quad (3.4)$$

To simplify computations, we integrate by parts using the boundary condition on Γ_1 of problem (1.1). This means

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t g(t-s) u_s(x, s) \, ds &= g(t-s) u(x, s) \Big|_0^t + \int_0^t g'(t-s) u(x, s) \, ds \\ &= g(0) u(x, t) - g(t) u(x, 0) + \int_0^t g'(t-s) (u(x, s) - u(x, t)) \, ds \\ &\quad + u(x, t) \int_0^t g'(t-s) \, ds \\ &= \int_0^t g'(t-s) (u(x, s) - u(x, t)) \, ds + g(t) (u(x, t) - u_0(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, problem (1.1) is transformed into the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt}(x, t) + \mu(t) \mathcal{A}u(x, t) + h(\nabla u) + f(u) &= 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, t) &= 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Gamma_0 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \mu(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, t) + \int_0^t g'(t-s) (u(x, s) - u(x, t)) \, ds \\ &\quad + g(t) (u(x, t) - u_0(x)) + l(u_t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Proposition 3.2. *Under hypotheses (H1)–(H6), the energy (2.15) associated with system (1.1) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E(t) &\leq \beta C_1 E(t) - c_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 \, d\Gamma - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} g'' \circ u(t) \, d\Gamma \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} g'(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 \, d\Gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where β and c_1 are defined in (2.8) and (2.11), $C_1 > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. Differentiating the energy $E(t)$ in system (3.5) induces

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E(t) &= \int_{\Omega} u_t u_{tt} \, dx + \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_g u, \nabla_g u_t \rangle_g \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \mu'(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} f(u) u_t \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} g'' \circ u(t) \, d\Gamma + g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t(t) (u(t) - u_0) \, d\Gamma \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} g'(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 \, d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t g'(t-s) u_t(t) (u(t) - u(s)) \, ds \, d\Gamma \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u_t u_{tt} \, dx + \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} u_t \mathcal{A}u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} f(u) u_t \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \mu'(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 \, dx \\ &\quad + \mu(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} u_t \, d\Gamma - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} g'' \circ u(t) \, d\Gamma + g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t(t) (u(t) - u_0) \, d\Gamma \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2}g'(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t g'(t-s)u_t(t)(u(t) - u(s)) ds d\Gamma \\
& = - \int_{\Omega} h(\nabla u)u_t dx - \int_{\Gamma_1} l(u_t)u_t d\Gamma - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} g'' \circ u(t) d\Gamma \\
& + \frac{1}{2}g'(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma + \frac{1}{2}\mu'(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of (1.3), (2.5) and (2.8), we have

$$- \int_{\Omega} h(\nabla u)u_t dx \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx + \frac{\beta}{2\mu_0} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx.$$

The monotonicity of $\mu(t)$ and (2.11) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}E(t) & \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx + \frac{\beta}{2\mu_0} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx - c_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} g'' \circ u(t) d\Gamma \\
& + \frac{1}{2}g'(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma \\
& \leq \beta C_1 E(t) - c_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} g'' \circ u(t) d\Gamma + \frac{1}{2}g'(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 = \max\{1, \frac{1}{\mu_0}\} > 0$. \square

Suppose that H is a vector field on $\bar{\Omega}$, we construct a functional

$$P(t) := \int_{\Omega} u_t \left(H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u \right) dx, \quad (3.7)$$

where the vector field H and the constant σ satisfy (2.1) and (2.2).

Remark 3.3. Here, $H(u) = H \cdot \nabla u$, where u is a continuous and differentiable function. We can see [28, 29] for more details regarding the existence and examples of vector field H . If $a_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ in (1.2), we choose $H = x - x_0$ for fixed $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The inequality (2.1) can take the equal sign and $\sigma = 1$.

Proposition 3.4. *Under hypotheses (H1)–(H6). If β in (2.8) conforms to (2.10), the functional $P(t)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}P(t) & \leq -C_2 E_0(t) - 2C_3 \int_{\Gamma_1} g' \circ u(t) d\Gamma + C_3 g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma \\
& + \left(\frac{M}{2} + C_4 \right) \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma,
\end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $E_0(t)$ is defined in (2.16), C_2, C_3, C_4, M are the positive constants independent of initial values.

Proof. Direct calculations yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt}P(t) \\
& = \int_{\Omega} u_t \left(H(u_t) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u_t \right) dx + \int_{\Omega} u_{tt} \left(H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u \right) dx \\
& = \int_{\Omega} u_t \left(H(u_t) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u_t \right) dx - \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}u \left(H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u \right) dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{\Omega} h(\nabla u) \left(H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u \right) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(u) \left(H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u \right) dx \\
& := I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 & := \int_{\Omega} u_t \left(H(u_t) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u_t \right) dx, \\
I_2 & := -\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}u \left(H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u \right) dx, \\
I_3 & := - \int_{\Omega} h(\nabla u) \left(H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u \right) dx, \\
I_4 & := - \int_{\Omega} f(u) \left(H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u \right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate I_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), respectively. Set $M = \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H|$. Using the formulae (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 & = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H(u_t^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u_t^2 dx \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(u_t^2 H) - \frac{1}{2} u_t^2 \operatorname{div} H \right) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u_t^2 dx \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} u_t^2 H \cdot \nu d\Gamma - \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx \\
& \leq \frac{M}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma - \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Next, we estimate I_2 . Since $u|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$, it follows that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} H(u) = |\nabla_g u|_g^2 H \cdot \nu.$$

This together with (2.1) and (3.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 & = \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} A(x) \nabla u \left(H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u \right) dx \\
& = \mu(t) \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} H(u) d\Gamma - \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_g u, \nabla_g H(u) \rangle_g dx \\
& \quad + \mu(t) \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} u d\Gamma - \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx \\
& = \mu(t) \int_{\Gamma_0} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 H \cdot \nu d\Gamma + \mu(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} u \right) d\Gamma \\
& \quad - \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} DH(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) dx - \frac{1}{2} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} (|\nabla_g u|_g^2 H) dx \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 \operatorname{div} H dx - \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx \\
& \leq \mu(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} u - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 H \cdot \nu \right) d\Gamma \\
& \quad - \frac{\sigma}{2} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Let $R = \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} (\operatorname{div} H - \sigma)/2$. Using the Cauchy inequality with $\eta = \frac{\lambda\sigma}{4\tilde{C}_\Omega R} > 0$ and the trace theorem

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^2 d\Gamma \leq \tilde{C}_\Omega \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_\Omega}{\lambda} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx, \quad (3.11)$$

for some constant $\tilde{C}_\Omega > 0$ depending on Ω under condition (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} u - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 H \cdot \nu \right) d\Gamma \\ & \leq \mu(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{\delta}{2} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 + \frac{M^2}{2\delta\lambda} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} \right|^2 + \frac{R}{4\eta} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} \right|^2 + R\eta u^2 - \frac{\delta}{2} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 \right) d\Gamma \\ & \leq \left(\frac{M^2}{2\delta\lambda} + \frac{\tilde{C}_\Omega R^2}{\lambda\sigma} \right) \mu(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} \right|^2 d\Gamma + \frac{\sigma}{4} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is from (2.4). On the other hand, in light of the Cauchy inequality, Hölder inequality, trace theorem (3.11), and (2.11), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} \right|^2 d\Gamma \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\mu_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} \left| \mu(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} \right|^2 d\Gamma \\ & = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} \left| - \int_0^t g'(t-s)(u(s) - u(t)) ds - g(t)(u(t) - u_0) - l(u_t) \right|^2 d\Gamma \\ & \leq \frac{3}{\mu_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t -g'(t-s) ds \int_0^t -g'(t-s) |u(s) - u(t)|^2 ds d\Gamma \\ & \quad + \frac{3}{\mu_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} g^2(t) |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma + \frac{3}{\mu_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} l^2(u_t) d\Gamma \\ & \leq -\frac{6g(0)}{\mu_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} g' \circ u(t) d\Gamma + \frac{3g(0)}{\mu_0} g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma + \frac{3c_2^2}{\mu_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} u - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 H \cdot \nu \right) d\Gamma \\ & \leq -2C_3 \int_{\Gamma_1} g' \circ u(t) d\Gamma + C_3 g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma + C_4 \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma \quad (3.12) \\ & \quad + \frac{\sigma}{4} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 = \frac{3M^2 g(0)}{2\delta\lambda\mu_0} + \frac{3\tilde{C}_\Omega R^2 g(0)}{\lambda\sigma\mu_0} > 0$, $C_4 = \frac{3M^2 c_2^2}{2\delta\lambda\mu_0} + \frac{3\tilde{C}_\Omega R^2 c_2^2}{\lambda\sigma\mu_0} > 0$. Substituting (3.12) into (3.10), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 & \leq -\frac{\sigma}{4} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx - 2C_3 \int_{\Gamma_1} g' \circ u(t) d\Gamma + C_3 g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma \\ & \quad + C_4 \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

We estimate I_3 by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Poincaré inequality under conditions (H4) and (1.3),

$$\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \leq C_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq \frac{C_{\Omega}}{\lambda} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx, \tag{3.14}$$

where $C_{\Omega} > 0$ is the Poincaré constant depending on Ω . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq \beta\sqrt{\lambda}M \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \beta\sqrt{\lambda}R \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u||u| dx \\ &\leq \frac{\beta M}{\sqrt{\lambda}\mu_0} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx + \frac{\beta R}{2\sqrt{\lambda}\mu_0} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx + \frac{\beta\sqrt{\lambda}R}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{\beta(2M + R(C_{\Omega} + 1))}{2\sqrt{\lambda}\mu_0} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Now we consider I_4 . Because $u|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$, we deduce that $F(u) = 0$ on Γ_0 . By (H1), (H3), and formulae in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= - \int_{\Omega} H(F(u)) dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} f(u)u dx \\ &\leq - \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(F(u)H) dx + \int_{\Omega} F(u) \operatorname{div} H dx - 2r \int_{\Omega} \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} F(u) dx \\ &= - \int_{\Gamma_1} F(u)H \cdot \nu d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} [(r - 1) \operatorname{div} H - r\sigma] F(u) dx \\ &\leq -C_5 \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where $C_5 = \inf_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} [(r - 1) \operatorname{div} H - r\sigma] > 0$.

Using (3.9), (3.13), (3.15), and (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} P(t) &\leq -\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx - \left(\frac{\sigma}{4} - \frac{\beta(2M + R(C_{\Omega} + 1))}{2\sqrt{\lambda}\mu_0} \right) \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx \\ &\quad - C_5 \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx - 2C_3 \int_{\Gamma_1} g' \circ u(t) d\Gamma + C_3 g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{M}{2} + C_4 \right) \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma \\ &\leq -C_2 E_0(t) - 2C_3 \int_{\Gamma_1} g' \circ u(t) d\Gamma + C_3 g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{M}{2} + C_4 \right) \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_2 = \min \left\{ \frac{\sigma}{2} - \frac{\beta(2M + R(C_{\Omega} + 1))}{\sqrt{\lambda}\mu_0}, C_5 \right\} > 0$. □

Let us introduce a new energy functional,

$$E_{\varepsilon}(t) := E(t) + \varepsilon P(t). \tag{3.17}$$

Here, ε is a suitable small positive constant satisfying

$$\varepsilon < \min \left\{ \frac{2c_1}{M + 2C_4}, \frac{\zeta_2}{C_2 + 4C_3}, \frac{\zeta_1}{C_2 + 2C_3} \right\}. \tag{3.18}$$

Through calculations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{-1}|E_\varepsilon(t) - E(t)| &= |P(t)| = \left| \int_\Omega u_t \left(H(u) + \frac{\operatorname{div} H - \sigma}{2} u \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega u_t^2 dx + \frac{M^2}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega u_t^2 dx + \frac{R^2}{2} \int_\Omega u^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_\Omega u_t^2 dx + \frac{M^2 + R^2 C_\Omega}{2\lambda\mu_0} \mu(t) \int_\Omega |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dx \\ &\leq cE(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $c = \max \left\{ 2, \frac{M^2 + R^2 C_\Omega}{\lambda\mu_0} \right\} > 0$. We show that $E_\varepsilon(t)$ and $E(t)$ are equivalent. Next, we prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. It follows from estimates (3.6), (3.8) and applying (2.12), (2.13), that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E_\varepsilon(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} E(t) + \varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} P(t) \\ &\leq \beta C_1 E(t) - c_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} g'' \circ u(t) d\Gamma \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} g'(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma - \varepsilon C_2 E_0(t) - 2\varepsilon C_3 \int_{\Gamma_1} g' \circ u(t) d\Gamma \\ &\quad + \varepsilon C_3 g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma + \varepsilon \left(\frac{M}{2} + C_4 \right) \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma \\ &\leq \beta C_1 E(t) - c_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma + \frac{\zeta_2}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} g' \circ u(t) d\Gamma \\ &\quad - \frac{\zeta_1}{2} g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma - \varepsilon C_2 E(t) - \frac{\varepsilon C_2}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} g' \circ u(t) d\Gamma \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon C_2}{2} g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma - 2\varepsilon C_3 \int_{\Gamma_1} g' \circ u(t) d\Gamma \\ &\quad + \varepsilon C_3 g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma + \varepsilon \left(\frac{M}{2} + C_4 \right) \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma \\ &\leq -(\varepsilon C_2 - \beta C_1) E(t) - \left[c_1 - \varepsilon \left(\frac{M}{2} + C_4 \right) \right] \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t^2 d\Gamma \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{\zeta_2}{2} - \varepsilon \left(\frac{C_2}{2} + 2C_3 \right) \right] \int_{\Gamma_1} g' \circ u(t) d\Gamma \\ &\quad - \left[\frac{\zeta_1}{2} - \varepsilon \left(\frac{C_2}{2} + C_3 \right) \right] g(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(t) - u_0|^2 d\Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where the positive constants ζ_1 , ζ_2 are given in (2.12) and (2.13). From (2.10) and (3.18), we know that $\varepsilon C_2 - \beta C_1$, $c_1 - \varepsilon \left(\frac{M}{2} + C_4 \right)$, $\frac{\zeta_2}{2} - \varepsilon \left(\frac{C_2}{2} + 2C_3 \right)$, and $\frac{\zeta_1}{2} - \varepsilon \left(\frac{C_2}{2} + C_3 \right) > 0$. Then, recalling that g is a positive and non-increasing function, and noting the equivalence of $E_\varepsilon(t)$ and $E(t)$, we can find a positive constant ω such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) \leq -\omega E(t). \quad (3.19)$$

Hence, we obtain the desired inequality (2.17) and complete the proof. \square

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12271316).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aassila, M. M. Cavalcanti; On nonlinear hyperbolic problems with nonlinear boundary feedback, *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society-Simon Stevin*, **7** (2000), no. 4, 521-540.
- [2] G. Chen; A note on the boundary stabilization of the wave equation, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, **19** (1981), no. 1, 106-113.
- [3] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. Cavalcanti, J. A. Soriano; Exponential decay for the solution of semilinear viscoelastic wave equation with localized damping, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **2002** (2002) no. 44, 1-14.
- [4] X. M. Cao, P.-F. Yao; General decay rate estimates for viscoelastic wave equation with variable coefficients, *Journal of System Science and Complexity*, **27** (2014), no. 5, 836-852.
- [5] S. G. Chai, Y. X. Guo; Boundary stabilization of wave equations with variable coefficients and memory, *Differential and Integral Equations*, **17** (2004), no. 5-6, 669-680.
- [6] S. G. Chai, K. S. Liu; Boundary stabilization of the transmission of wave equations with variable coefficients, *Chinese Annals of Mathematics, series A*, **5** (2005), no. 5, 605-612.
- [7] A. Guesmia; A new approach of stabilization of nondissipative distributed systems, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, **42** (2003), no. 1, 24-52.
- [8] B.Z. Guo, Z.C. Shao; On exponential stability of a semilinear wave equation with variable coefficients under the nonlinear boundary feedback, *Nonlinear Analysis-Theory, Methods and Applications*, **71** (2009), no. 12, 5961-5978.
- [9] Y. X. Guo, P.-F. Yao; Stabilization of Euler-Bernoulli plate equation with variable coefficients by nonlinear boundary feedback, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **317** (2006), no. 1, 50-70.
- [10] T. G. Ha; Global solutions and blow-up for the wave equation with variable Coefficients: I. Interior supercritical source, *Applied Mathematics and Optimization*, **84** (2021), 767-803.
- [11] J.-M. Jeong, J. Y. Park, Y. H. Kang; Energy decay rates for the semilinear wave equation with memory boundary condition and acoustic boundary conditions, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **76** (2018), no. 31 661-671.
- [12] V. Komornik, E. Zuazua; A direct method for the boundary stabilization of wave equation, *Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées*, **69** (1990), no. 1, 33-54.
- [13] J. Lagnese; Note on boundary stabilization of wave equations, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, **26** (1988), 1250-1256.
- [14] J. L. Lions; Contrôlabilité exacte des systèmes distribués, *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences-Series I-Mathematics*, **13** (1986), 471-475.
- [15] Y.-X. Liu; Exact controllability of the wave equation with time-dependent and variable coefficients, *Nonlinear Analysis-Real World Applications*, **45** (2019), 226-238.
- [16] Y.-X. Liu; Polynomial decay rate of a variable coefficient wave equation with memory type acoustic boundary conditions, *Journal of Geometric Analysis*, **32** (2022), 254.
- [17] S.-J. Li, S. G. Chai; Stabilization of the viscoelastic wave equation with variable coefficients and a delay term in boundary feedback, *submitted*.
- [18] L.Q. Lu, S.J. Li, and S.G. Chai; On a viscoelastic equation with nonlinear boundary damping and source terms: Global existence and decay of the solution, *Nonlinear Analysis-Real World Applications*, **12** (2011), no. 1, 295-303.
- [19] K. S. Liu, Z. Y. Liu, B.P. Rao; Exponential stability of an abstract nondissipative linear system, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, **40** (2001), no. 1, 149-165.
- [20] H. Li, Z.-H. Ning, F. Y. Yang; Stabilization of the critical semilinear wave equation with Dirichlet-Neumann boundary condition on bounded domain, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **506** (2022), no. 1, 125610.
- [21] I. Lasiecka, R. Triggiani, P.-F. Yao; Inverse/observability estimates for second-order hyperbolic equations with variable coefficients, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **235** (1999), no. 1, 13-57.
- [22] M. I. Mustafa, S. A. Messaoudi; General stability result for viscoelastic wave equations, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **53** (2012), no. 5, 867-872.

- [23] Z.-H. Ning, F.Y. Yang; Stabilization of wave equations with variable coefficients and internal memory, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **2018** (2018), no. 160, 1-19.
- [24] J. Y. Park, T. G. Ha; Energy decay for nondissipative distributed systems with boundary damping and source term, *Nonlinear Analysis-Theory, Methods and Applications*, **70** (2009), no. 6, 2416-2434.
- [25] J. Q. Wu, S. J. Li, S.G. Chai; Uniform decay of the solution to a wave equation with memory conditions on the boundary, *Nonlinear Analysis-An International Multidisciplinary Journal*, **2010** (2010), no. 7, 2213-2220.
- [26] J. Q. Wu, S. J. Li, F. Feng; Energy decay of a variable-coefficient wave equation with memory type acoustic boundary conditions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **434** (2016), no. 1, 882-893.
- [27] H. X. Wu, C. L. Shen, Y. L. Yu; An Introduction to Riemannian Geometry (in Chinese), Peking University Press, Beijing, 1989.
- [28] P.-F. Yao; On the observability inequality for exact controllability of wave equations with variable coefficients, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, **37** (1999), no. 5, 1568-1599.
- [29] P.-F. Yao; *Modeling and Control in Vibrational and Structural Dynamics-A Differential Geometric Approach*, Chapman and Hall/CRC Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Science Series. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2011.
- [30] E. Zuazua; Uniform stabilization of the wave equation by nonlinear boundary feedback, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, **28** (1990), no. 2, 466-477.

SHENG-JIE LI

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANXI UNIVERSITY, TAIYUAN, SHANXI, 030006, CHINA
Email address: lishengjiebo@163.com

SHUGEN CHAI

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANXI UNIVERSITY, TAIYUAN, SHANXI, 030006, CHINA
Email address: sgchai@sxu.edu.cn