

Kim et al, **Health Promotion Perspectives**, 2020, 10(2), 116-122 doi: 10.34172/hpp.2020.19 https://hpp.tbzmed.ac.ir

Original Article



CrossMark

The impact of leisure time physical activity on mental health and health perception among people with cancer

Jaehyun Kim¹⁰, Junhyoung Kim^{2*0}, Areum Han³⁰

¹Department of Health and Human Performance, Texas State University, San Marcos, TX, USA ²School of Public Health, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA ³Department of Physical Education, Dongduk Women's University, Seoul, South Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received: 25 Oct. 2019 Accepted: 29 Feb. 2020 ePublished: 30 Mar. 2020

Keywords:

Oncology, Leisure activities, Mental health, Health status, Health promotion

*Corresponding Author: Junhyoung Kim, Email: kim9@iu.edu

Abstract

Background: People with cancer often report high levels of negative psychological symptoms and poor perception of health due to cancer treatment and activity limitations. Prior studies have suggested that participation in leisure time physical activity (LTPA) can reduce negative psychological symptoms and improve health perception. However, a few studies have examined the contribution of LTPA to health benefits among people with cancer. Thus, we aimed to examine how a different level of LTPA engagement contributed to mental health and health perceptions among people with cancer.

Methods: Using the 2017 Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS) data, crosssectional data of 504 respondents diagnosed with any types of 22 cancers listed in the survey questionnaire were analyzed. A multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to test for mean differences in mental health and health perception among the three different LTPA groups (i.e., inactive, moderately active, and vigorously active groups).

Results: Results indicated that people with cancer who reported higher levels of LTPA scored higher on mental health and health perception than those with lower levels of LTPA (Pillai's trace = 0.060, F (4,944) = 15.06, P < 0.001).

Conclusion: This finding suggests that individuals with cancer gained more health benefits through high engagement in LTPA. Moreover, we suggested that LTPA can be used as an important therapeutic intervention to promote health quality and wellbeing among people with cancer. Implications for practical suggestions are further discussed.

Introduction

Cancer has become a global public health concern, as it is the leading or second leading cause of death in many countries.¹ According to the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), in 2018, 17 million people were diagnosed with cancer worldwide, and it is expected to grow to 27.5 million people by 2040.² As the number of people diagnosed with cancer has continued to rise, public health researchers have gained more interest in health promotion and quality of life for people with cancer. They have been attempting to create a variety of programs to promote health and wellbeing among people with cancer.

Prior research has suggested that people with cancer tend to experience negative psychological symptoms, such as fear, anxiety, and depression.^{3,4} Due to negative psychological symptoms, people with cancer have reported poorer health perception and diminished quality of life.^{5,6} For example, Eng and colleagues⁷ found that nearly 40% of breast cancer patients showed poor self-rated health. They suggest that promoting health perception and quality of life among people with cancer is imperative. Thus, it is important for healthcare providers to design and implement health promotion interventions conducive to promoting quality of life and wellbeing for people with cancer.

Healthcare providers have proposed that participation in leisure-time physical activity (LTPA) is a nonpharmacological health promotion intervention, as it increases quality of life and health among people with cancer. Previous studies have provided evidence that LTPA participation contributes to social and psychological health among cancer survivors.⁸⁻¹⁰ These studies have indicated that LTPA serves as an important vehicle for improving health status and overall quality of life among people with cancer. In addition, multiple studies have focused on investigating the effects of physical exercise

^{© 2020} The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

interventions on psychosocial functioning.^{11,12} They concluded that physical exercise interventions reduced negative psychological symptoms, such as depression, anxiety, and stress among people with cancer.

In spite of the importance of LTPA benefits among people with cancer, previous studies have mainly focused on understanding the role of LTPA as a cancer prevention strategy.^{13,14} Those studies indicated that participation in LTPA was associated with a decreased risk of developing cancer. From health promotion perspectives, little research exists on examining the contribution of LTPA to mental health and perceived health among people who have been diagnosed with cancer. In addition, while multiple studies have found the positive association of LTPA participation with health of people with cancer,^{15,16} only a few studies have investigated how different levels of LTPA participation contributed to mental health.^{17,18} That is, the association of non-participation in LTPA with health perception and mental health among people with cancer is currently unknown. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine how different levels of LTPA engagement contributed to mental health and health perceptions among people with cancer. Specifically, we aimed to test whether there are significant mean differences in mental health and health perception across the three different LTPA groups (i.e., inactive, moderately active, and vigorously active groups).

Leisure time physical activity, health perception, and mental health

Previous studies have explored the relationship between LTPA and health perceptions among people with cancer.^{19,20} They found that people with cancer who actively participated in LTPA reported higher health perceptions than those who did not. According to Schootman et al,²¹ increased participation in LTPA was associated with a reduction of fair-poor self-rated health during both the first year after diagnosis and one year later. In addition, Lee et al²² found that older adult cancer survivors who engaged in LTPA reported better health status. Moreover, previous studies suggested that LTPA serves an important non-pharmacologic means to improve overall healthrelated quality of life among people with cancer.^{10,17} For example, Paxton et al10 found that childhood cancer survivors actively involved in LTPA increased overall health-related quality of life, including physical, social, cognitive, and psychological functions.

Empirical studies have emphasized LTPA interventions for mental health promotion among people with cancer.^{9,23} Kolden et al²⁴ examined the effect of 16-week group exercise programs (e.g., aerobic and resistance training) on mental health among people with breast cancer. They found that participation in group exercise programs helped participants reduce depressive symptoms and improve overall quality of life. In addition, after completion of 10-week group exercise programs, such as gymnastics, relaxation, walking, and jogging, breast cancer patients reported reduced anxiety and depression and improved maximal oxygen uptake and body image.²⁵ In the recent study, Ho et al²⁶ examined relationships among fatigue, physical activity, depressive symptoms, and quality of life among childhood cancer survivors. They found participation in LTPA helped alleviate feelings of fatigue and depressive symptoms and ultimately promoted the perceived quality of life.

Several studies have sought to investigate the relationship between levels of LTPA and mental health among people with cancer. For example, a population-based study of breast cancer patients found that participation in low- and moderate-intensity LTPA was associated with better mental health (i.e., low levels of depression).²⁷ Furthermore, Lee et al²² found the older adult cancer survivors who participated in vigorous LTPA showed significantly higher levels of health status than those who engaged in low-intensity LTPA. These studies suggest that greater involvement in LTPA is positively associated with mental health among people with cancer. Rogers and colleagues²⁸ focused on rural breast cancer survivors and revealed that those who met physical activity guidelines (i.e., \geq 500 MET-mins/week) showed significantly lower scores on depression than those who reported physical activity levels less than 500 MET-min/week. Although the authors provided insights into the possible relationship between sitting behaviors and depression levels among cancer survivors, they did not consider those who did not report LTPA (i.e., LTPA non-participants). Therefore, the present study examined how a different level of LTPA engagement (i.e., inactive, moderately active, and vigorously active groups) contributed to mental health and health perceptions among people with cancer.

Materials and Methods

Data source

This study used the 2017 Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS), a nationally represented survey of non-institutionalized adults in the United States. The HINTS includes a wide range of health information, such as cancer prevalence, health status, and health-related behaviors, as well as public use of health-related information. The 2017 HINTS conducted random-digit dialing telephone surveys to provide a nationally represented sample of U.S. households. Telephone interviews were conducted with one adult from each household. The HINTS sampling method and survey design have been presented in detail elsewhere (Nelson et al).²⁹ The 2017 HINTS had a sample of 3285.

For the purpose of this study, we extracted 504 respondents who had been diagnosed with any type of 22 cancers listed in the survey questionnaire. The most frequently reported cancer was skin cancer (n = 162), followed by breast cancer (n = 106) and prostate cancer (n = 56). Descriptive statistics (Table 1) indicated that the sample was comprised of 303 female participants (61.8%), and 187 male participants (38.2%), and the mean age was

Gender	Ν	Valid %	
Female	303	61.8	
Male	187	38.2	
Age (years)	Mean	Standard Deviation	
	67	12	
Education	Ν	Valid%	
Less than high school	30	6.2	
High school graduate	117	24.0	
Some college	147	30.2	
Bachelor's degree	107	22.0	
Post-baccalaureate degree	86	17.6	
Race/ethnicity	N	Valid%	
White	340	75.7	
Black	51	11.4	
Hispanic	36	8.0	
Asian	5	1.1	
Other	17	3.8	

67 years. The majority of respondents were non-Hispanic White (75.7%). The most common educational level was "Some college" (30.2%), followed by "High school graduate" (24%) and "A Bachelor's degree" (22%).

Measures

Demographics

This study included age (years), gender, education, and race/ethnicity as demographic variables. Educational attainment included five categories: Less than high school, high school graduate, some college, bachelor's degree, and post-baccalaureate degree. There were five categories of race/ethnicity: White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, and other.

Independent variable

Leisure time physical activity (LTPA) was assessed by asking respondents to indicate the number of days and the duration of the moderate-intensity physical activities they undertake in a typical week. Moderate-intensity physical activity was considered as 4.0 MET (the metabolic equivalent of task).30 Continuous scores for LTPA were calculated as follows: MET level $(4) \times$ minutes of activity per day \times days per week. That is, cancer survivors who meet the LTPA guideline (i.e., 4 METs for 150 minutes per week) refer to those who reported more than 600 METminutes per week. For this study, cancer survivors were categorized into three different LTPA groups: (1) inactive group (those who did not report any LTPA; 0 MET-min/ week), (2) moderately active group (those who reported less than 600 MET-min/week), (3) vigorously active group (those who reported more than 600 MET-min/week).

Dependent variables

Health perception was measured with one question: "In general, would you say your health is..." This item was assessed on a 4-point Likert-type scale (1 = Excellent, 2 = Very Good, 3 = Fair, and 4 = Poor) and was reverse

coded for our data analysis. Thus, higher scores indicate better health perception among cancer survivors. Mental health was measured with four items. That is, respondents were asked to indicate how often those problems (i.e., little interest or pleasure, hopelessness, nervousness, and worrying) have bothered them over the past two weeks. An example of items includes "Past 2 weeks, how often were you bothered by feeling nervous, anxious or on edge?" The items were assessed on a 4-point Likert-type scale (1 = Nearly every day, 2 = More than half the days, 3 = Several days, and 4 = Not at all). Scores on the four mental health indicators were averaged. Thus, cancer survivors with higher scores indicate those who reported better mental health.

Data analysis

All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 24.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois). Descriptive analysis was used to identify cancer patients' characteristics with regard to demographics and study constructs. We found that the outcome variables of interest (i.e., mental health and health perception) were moderately correlated (r = 0.38) and, thus, decided to use a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) to test for differences in mental health and health perception among the three different LTPA groups. When significant differences occurred between groups in MANOVA tests, Scheffe post-hoc analyses were conducted to determine which means significantly differ from each other. Pillai's Trace test was used as the assumption of homogeneity of covariance across the groups was violated.³¹

Results

LPTA groups and health perception and mental health

Descriptive statistics (Table 2) indicated that the vigorously active group had the highest scores on mental health and health perception, followed by moderately active and inactive groups. In our MANOVA tests, a statistical test for equality of covariance matrices was found to be significant (Box's M = 12.944, F = 2.144, P <0.05). Since the assumption of homogeneity of covariance across the groups was violated, we used Pillai's trace as a test statistic. Results of the MANOVA (Table 3) indicated that there are statistically significant differences in mental health and health perception (Pillai's trace = 0.060, F (4,944) = 15.06, P < 0.001). The univariate tests (Table 4) revealed a statistically significant difference in the mean mental health among the three LTPA groups (F (2,472) = 10.20, P < 0.001). That is, cancer patients who report higher levels of LTPA reported better mental health than those with lower levels of LTPA. The univariate tests also indicated a statistically significant difference in the mean health perception among the three LTPA groups (F (2,472) = 29.84, P < 0.001). This shows that cancer patients who report higher levels of LTPA reported better health perception than those with lower levels of LTPA. Scheffe post-hoc test (Table 4) indicated that mean scores

		м	ental Health	Health Perception		
	Levels of LTPA -	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	
LPTA groups	Inactive (163)	3.28	0.774	2.78	0.023	
	Moderately active (153)	3.56	0.599	3.31	0.927	
	Vigorously active (159)	3.59	0.668	3.58	0.983	
	Total	3.47	0.699	3.22	1.000	

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics on Mental Health and Health Perception

Table 3. Multivariate tests for mental health and health perception by levels of LTPA

Effect		Value	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	<i>P</i> value	Partial Eta squared
Intercept	Pillai's trace	0.966	6786.633	2.000	471.000	< 0.001***	0.944
LTPA levels	Pillai's trace	0.120	15.061	4.000	944.000	< 0.001***	0.060

*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

 Table 4. Significant Univariate Effects and Post-Hoc Test for Levels of LTPA

Dependent Value		df	Mean square	F	<i>P</i> value	Partial Eta squared	Scheffe ^a
Mental Health	9.592	2	4.796	10.204	0.000***	0.041	2, 1 > 0
Mental Perception	53.287	2	26.643	29.844	0.000***	0.112	2, 1 > 0 2 > 0

 $^{*P}<\!0.05,\,^{**P}<\!0.01,\,^{***P}<\!0.001.$

a = non-LPTA group, 1 = low LTPA group, and 2 = high LTPA group.

on mental health were significantly lower in the inactive group than either moderately active or vigorously active groups, while no significant difference was found between moderately active or vigorously active groups. With regard to health perception, Scheffe post-hoc test revealed that the vigorously active groups had significantly higher scores than the other two groups, and the moderately active group had significantly higher scores than the inactive group (Table 4).

Discussion

This study examined how a different level of LTPA engagement contributed to mental health and health perceptions among people with cancer. The results of this study found that a higher level of LTPA participation led to better mental health and health perception. This study indicates that people with cancer gain more health benefits through high engagement in LTPA. This study also suggests that LTPA can be used as an important therapeutic intervention to promote health quality and wellbeing among people with cancer.

Previous studies have found that greater LTPA participation resulted in better mental health among people with cancer.^{24,25,27} The results of the current study expand the body of literature, suggesting that vigorous engagement in LTPA leads to better mental health compared to groups with lower levels of LTPA engagement.³² For example, Rogers et al28 found that cancer survivors who met physical activity guidelines scored significantly lower on depressive symptoms than those who did not meet the guideline. Consistent with this study, the current study also revealed that people with cancer who actively participated in LTPA can gain mental

health benefits. In addition, it is noteworthy that mean scores on mental health were significantly lower in the inactive group than either moderately active or vigorously active groups. This finding may suggest that engaging in at least some LTPA is better than non-participation with regard to mental health among people with cancer.

Results of our study indicated that participants with higher levels of LTPA engagement reported higher scores on health perception than those with lower levels of LTPA engagement. The findings of this study supported previous literature that suggests higher levels of LTPA participation increased health perception and healthrelated quality of life.^{12,18,22} The current study suggests that increased LTPA participation may allow participants to increase their perceptions on health and wellbeing while enhancing health status. Moreover, researchers have provided evidence that health perception and healthrelated quality of life are associated with mortality.33,34 For example, Vejen et al³⁵ found that high levels of self-rated health and health-related quality of life were all associated with decreased mortality in intensive care unit survivors. Given this, our finding has a significant implication that it is important for health care professionals to develop intervention programs conducive to promoting LTPA, which in turn may improve health perception and reduce cancer mortality.

The majority of studies have focused on the role of LTPA as cancer prevention (e.g., Eliassen et al³⁶). These studies demonstrated that regular LTPA involvement reduced the risk of developing cancer across a wide range of the population. Along with the benefits of LTPA as prevention, this study extends the importance of LTPA participation as a health promotion intervention. In particular, higher

levels of LTPA engagement serve as an important health promotion strategy for people with cancer to increase health perception and mental health. Thus, this study extends the body of research on cancer studies to include that increased participation in LTPA positively influences healthy behaviors and health promotion.

This study has several limitations. In the sample used for this study, the majority of people with cancer were non-Hispanic Whites (75.7%). Therefore, the findings of this study may not be generalizable across diverse ethnic groups. Future research could extend our analysis by using a sample equally distributed across different ethnic groups. In addition, future studies could examine whether there are ethnic differences in LTPA, health perception, and mental health in a sample of people with cancer.

Another study limitation we found was the self-reported LTPA data. Although the HINTS used a validated assessment of physical activity, we acknowledge that several biases (e.g., recall bias) may exist. In addition to the amount of LTPA (i.e., MET-min/week), it would be interesting if future research examines the contributions of different types of leisure activities (e.g., outdoor leisure versus indoor leisure) to health perception and mental health among people with cancer.

Last, this study did not differentiate the current status of cancer, such as cancer patients and cancer survivors. Cancer patients' LTPA involvement can be different from cancer survivors. If future studies investigate a group difference on mental health and health quality through a level of LTPA, they can provide insightful information and knowledge to healthcare providers and therapists.

Implications and Conclusion

The ACS's physical activity guideline recommends that people with cancer should participate in "at least 150 minutes a week of moderate-intensity, or 75 minutes a week of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity, or an equivalent combination of moderate- and vigorous intensity aerobic activity".³⁷ Despite the health benefits of LTPA participation, most people with cancer did not meet the physical activity recommendation.³⁸ These previous studies suggest that physical inactivity is predominant among cancer survivors and demonstrate a critical need for developing interventions to encourage their LTPA participation.

One health promotion strategy is to provide leisure education programs to people with cancer. Bullock and Mahon³⁹ defined leisure education as "an individualized and contextualized process through which a person develops an understanding of self and leisure education and identifies and learns the cluster of skills necessary to participate in freely chosen activities that lead to an optimally satisfying life". Healthcare providers and therapists working with people with cancer need to design and offer leisure education programs in which people with cancer can obtain specific information about communitybased physical activity/exercise programs or information about LTPA-related community resources. Through these educational programs, people with cancer can pursue active leisure lifestyles, engage in LTPA, gain resources and LTPA skills and techniques, and develop positive social interactions.

Previous research has stressed the importance of social support as a means to facilitate leisure participation and reduce negative psychological symptoms among people with cancer.^{40,41} Given the benefits of social support, healthcare providers and therapists create social support groups related to group exercise programs that encourage LTPA participation for people with cancer. For example, the creation of community-based programs, such as natural walking groups, can be beneficial for people with cancer, who are physically inactive. In addition, by participating in group-based exercise programs with others who are experiencing cancer, they can build unique friendships, bond as a group, and encourage LTPA participation for each other.

This study examined the differences in the mean values of health perception and mental health among three different LTPA groups of people with cancer. We found that people with cancer in the vigorously active group reported higher scores on both health perception and mental health than those with lower levels of LTPA groups. This study extends the body of knowledge that higher engagement in LTPA can result in better health perceptions and mental health among people with cancer. By creating and implementing a variety of physical activity/exercise programs, people with cancer can be physically active in their leisure time and, thus, improve their health-related quality of life.

Ethical approval

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest.

Funding

No funding was received for this study.

Authors' contributions

The first author (JK) proposed a research idea and write on the sections of Introduction and Literature Review. Then, the first author had worked on analyzing the collected data with the second author (JHK) and third author (AH). JHK as corresponding author was responsible for writing Material and Methods and Results sections. We as a research team worked together to write on the sections of Discussion and Implications and Conclusion. Each author has contributed to the editing process throughout the whole manuscript. Finally, all authors have approved the manuscript for submission to the *Health Promotion Perspectives*.

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016;66(1):7-30. doi: 10.3322/caac.21332.
- 2. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal

A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(6):394-424. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492.

- Gibbons A, Groarke A, Sweeney K. Predicting general and cancer-related distress in women with newly diagnosed breast cancer. BMC Cancer. 2016;16(1):935. doi: 10.1186/ s12885-016-2964-z.
- Tsaras K, Papathanasiou IV, Mitsi D, Veneti A, Kelesi M, Zyga S, et al. Assessment of depression and anxiety in breast cancer patients: prevalence and associated factors. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2018;19(6):1661-9. doi: 10.22034/ apjcp.2018.19.6.1661.
- Calhoun C, Helzlsouer KJ, Gallicchio L. Racial differences in depressive symptoms and self-rated health among breast cancer survivors on aromatase inhibitor therapy. J Psychosoc Oncol. 2015;33(3):263-77. doi: 10.1080/07347332.2015.1019661.
- Richardson LC, Wingo PA, Zack MM, Zahran HS, King JB. Health-related quality of life in cancer survivors between ages 20 and 64 years: population-based estimates from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. Cancer. 2008;112(6):1380-9. doi: 10.1002/cncr.23291.
- Eng JA, Clough-Gorr K, Cabral HJ, Silliman RA. Predicting 5- and 10-year survival in older women with early-stage breast cancer: self-rated health and walking ability. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2015;63(4):757-62. doi: 10.1111/jgs.13340.
- Adamsen L, Quist M, Midtgaard J, Andersen C, Møller T, Knutsen L, et al. The effect of a multidimensional exercise intervention on physical capacity, well-being and quality of life in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. Support Care Cancer. 2006;14(2):116-27. doi: 10.1007/s00520-005-0864-x.
- Mack DE, Meldrum LS, Wilson PM, Sabiston CM. Physical activity and psychological health in breast cancer survivors: an application of basic psychological needs theory. Appl Psychol Health Well Being. 2013;5(3):369-88. doi: 10.1111/ aphw.12016.
- Paxton RJ, Jones LW, Rosoff PM, Bonner M, Ater JL, Demark-Wahnefried W. Associations between leisure-time physical activity and health-related quality of life among adolescent and adult survivors of childhood cancers. Psychooncology. 2010;19(9):997-1003. doi: 10.1002/ pon.1654.
- 11. Duijts SF, Faber MM, Oldenburg HS, van Beurden M, Aaronson NK. Effectiveness of behavioral techniques and physical exercise on psychosocial functioning and healthrelated quality of life in breast cancer patients and survivorsa meta-analysis. Psychooncology. 2011;20(2):115-26. doi: 10.1002/pon.1728.
- 12. Ergun M, Eyigor S, Karaca B, Kisim A, Uslu R. Effects of exercise on angiogenesis and apoptosis-related molecules, quality of life, fatigue and depression in breast cancer patients. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl). 2013;22(5):626-37. doi: 10.1111/ecc.12068.
- Amin MB, Greene FL, Edge SB, Compton CC, Gershenwald JE, Brookland RK, et al. The eighth edition AJCC cancer staging manual: continuing to build a bridge from a population-based to a more "personalized" approach to cancer staging. CA Cancer J Clin. 2017;67(2):93-9. doi: 10.3322/caac.21388.
- 14. Liu L, Shi Y, Li T, Qin Q, Yin J, Pang S, et al. Leisure

time physical activity and cancer risk: evaluation of the WHO's recommendation based on 126 high-quality epidemiological studies. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50(6):372-8. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2015-094728.

- Kruk J. Physical activity in the prevention of the most frequent chronic diseases: an analysis of the recent evidence. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2007;8(3):325-38.
- Moore SC, Lee IM, Weiderpass E, Campbell PT, Sampson JN, Kitahara CM, et al. Association of leisure-time physical activity with risk of 26 types of cancer in 1.44 million adults. JAMA Intern Med. 2016;176(6):816-25. doi: 10.1001/ jamainternmed.2016.1548.
- Buffart LM, Thong MS, Schep G, Chinapaw MJ, Brug J, van de Poll-Franse LV. Self-reported physical activity: its correlates and relationship with health-related quality of life in a large cohort of colorectal cancer survivors. PLoS One. 2012;7(5):e36164. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0036164.
- Amireault S, Wrosch C, Sabiston CM. Leisure-time physical activity and perceived stress mediated the impact of social support on depressive symptoms among breast cancer survivors. Journal of Exercise, Movement, and Sport. 2014;46(1):81.
- Bailis DS, Segall A, Chipperfield JG. Two views of self-rated general health status. Soc Sci Med. 2003;56(2):203-17. doi: 10.1016/s0277-9536(02)00020-5.
- Wu S, Wang R, Zhao Y, Ma X, Wu M, Yan X, et al. The relationship between self-rated health and objective health status: a population-based study. BMC Public Health. 2013;13(1):320. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-320.
- 21. Schootman M, Deshpande AD, Pruitt S, Aft R, Jeffe DB. Estimated effects of potential interventions to prevent decreases in self-rated health among breast cancer survivors. Ann Epidemiol. 2012;22(2):79-86. doi: 10.1016/j. annepidem.2011.10.011.
- 22. Lee HY, Kim J, Merighi JR. Physical activity and selfrated health status among older adult cancer survivors: does intensity of activity play a role? Oncol Nurs Forum. 2015;42(6):614-24. doi: 10.1188/15.onf.614-624.
- 23. Irwin ML, Smith AW, McTiernan A, Ballard-Barbash R, Cronin K, Gilliland FD, et al. Influence of pre- and postdiagnosis physical activity on mortality in breast cancer survivors: the health, eating, activity, and lifestyle study. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(24):3958-64. doi: 10.1200/ jco.2007.15.9822.
- 24. Kolden GG, Strauman TJ, Ward A, Kuta J, Woods TE, Schneider KL, et al. A pilot study of group exercise training (GET) for women with primary breast cancer: feasibility and health benefits. Psychooncology. 2002;11(5):447-56. doi: 10.1002/pon.591.
- 25. Mehnert A, Veers S, Howaldt D, Braumann KM, Koch U, Schulz KH. Effects of a physical exercise rehabilitation group program on anxiety, depression, body image, and health-related quality of life among breast cancer patients. Onkologie. 2011;34(5):248-53. doi: 10.1159/000327813.
- Ho KY, Li WHC, Lam KWK, Wei X, Chiu SY, Chan CG, et al. Relationships among fatigue, physical activity, depressive symptoms, and quality of life in Chinese children and adolescents surviving cancer. Eur J Oncol Nurs. 2019;38:21-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ejon.2018.11.007.
- 27. Kang KD, Bae S, Kim HJ, Hwang IG, Kim SM, Han DH. The relationship between physical activity intensity and mental health status in patients with breast cancer. J Korean Med

Sci. 2017;32(8):1345-50. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2017.32.8.1345.

- Rogers LQ, Markwell SJ, Courneya KS, McAuley E, Verhulst S. Physical activity type and intensity among rural breast cancer survivors: patterns and associations with fatigue and depressive symptoms. J Cancer Surviv. 2011;5(1):54-61. doi: 10.1007/s11764-010-0160-8.
- 29. Nelson DE, Kreps GL, Hesse BW, Croyle RT, Willis G, Arora NK, et al. The Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS): development, design, and dissemination. J Health Commun. 2004;9(5):443-60. doi: 10.1080/10810730490504233.
- 30. Pate RR, Pratt M, Blair SN, Haskell WL, Macera CA, Bouchard C, et al. Physical activity and public health. A recommendation from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American College of Sports Medicine. JAMA. 1995;273(5):402-7. doi: 10.1001/jama.273.5.402.
- Tabachnick BG, Fidell LS. Using Multivariate Statistics. Boston: Allyn and Bacon; 2001.
- Teychenne M, Ball K, Salmon J. Associations between physical activity and depressive symptoms in women. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2008;5:27. doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-5-27.
- Idler EL, Kasl SV. Religion among Disabled and Nondisabled Persons I: Cross-sectional Patterns in Health Practices, Social Activities, and Well-being Ellen. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 1997;52B(6):S294-305. doi: 10.1093/ geronb/52B.6.S294.
- 34. Saquib N, Pierce JP, Saquib J, Flatt SW, Natarajan L, Bardwell WA, et al. Poor physical health predicts time to additional breast cancer events and mortality in breast cancer survivors. Psychooncology. 2011;20(3):252-9. doi: 10.1002/pon.1742.

- 35. Vejen M, Bjorner JB, Bestle MH, Lindhardt A, Jensen JU. Self-rated health as a predictor of death after two years: the importance of physical and mental wellbeing postintensive care. Biomed Res Int. 2017;2017:5192640. doi: 10.1155/2017/5192640.
- 36. Eliassen AH, Hankinson SE, Rosner B, Holmes MD, Willett WC. Physical activity and risk of breast cancer among postmenopausal women. Arch Intern Med. 2010;170(19):1758-64. doi: 10.1001/ archinternmed.2010.363.
- Rock CL, Doyle C, Demark-Wahnefried W, Meyerhardt J, Courneya KS, Schwartz AL, et al. Nutrition and physical activity guidelines for cancer survivors. CA Cancer J Clin. 2012;62(4):243-74. doi: 10.3322/caac.21142.
- Nayak P, Holmes HM, Nguyen HT, Elting LS. Self-reported physical activity among middle-aged cancer survivors in the United States: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey, 2009. Prev Chronic Dis. 2014;11:E156. doi: 10.5888/pcd11.140067.
- Bullock CC, Mahon MJ. Introduction to Recreation Services for Individuals with Disabilities: A Person-Centered Approach. Champaign, IL: Sagamore; 1997.
- 40. Eom CS, Shin DW, Kim SY, Yang HK, Jo HS, Kweon SS, et al. Impact of perceived social support on the mental health and health-related quality of life in cancer patients: results from a nationwide, multicenter survey in South Korea. Psychooncology. 2013;22(6):1283-90. doi: 10.1002/ pon.3133.
- Roland KB, Rodriguez JL, Patterson JR, Trivers KF. A literature review of the social and psychological needs of ovarian cancer survivors. Psychooncology. 2013;22(11):2408-18. doi: 10.1002/pon.3322.

Copyright of Health Promotion Perspectives is the property of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.