

SPEECHES FROM THE CONVERGED TERRITORIES: MECHANICAL
TRANSLATIONS ACROSS LANGUAGE-TIME

HONORS THESIS

Presented to the Honors College of
Texas State University
in Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements

for Graduation in the Honors College

by

Evan M. Olszewski

San Marcos, Texas
May 2019

SPEECHES FROM THE CONVERGED TERRITORIES: MECHANICAL
TRANSLATIONS ACROSS LANGUAGE-TIME

by

Evan M. Olszewski

Thesis Supervisor:

Thomas F.X. Varacalli, Ph.D.
Department of Political Science

Approved:

Heather C. Galloway, Ph.D.
Dean, Honors College

Abstract

“Speeches” is a creative work of conceptual writing which explores the meaning and construction of Donald Trump’s use of language in politics. Conceptual writing is a style characterized by the manipulation of form and context of pre-existing works instead of composition of new material. The conceptual strategy of replacing words with others is uniquely suited to President Trump’s speeches. In this work, the President’s vocabulary has been swapped for that of the Goldwater era, the era of both his youth and of prevalent American populism. Because of the President’s distinctive locutionary style, the language of his speeches has been edited mechanically, separating words and their individual meanings from the contextual support grammatical construction lends. The theme of the significance of individual words is developed over a chronological collection of world events, the translated speeches standing side-by-side with their original counterparts. Drawing forward the language of the past is an investigation of the potential realization of the refrain “Make America Great Again” in the present. It is a critique by way of laying bare the mosaic meaning of language without the confusion of situational political contexts or the linguistic contexts of the speeches themselves.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to extend sincere thanks to Dr. Thomas Varacalli, my thesis advisor, for his constant tutelage and encouragement both in and out of class. Development of this project depended heavily on his sage advice and understanding of unorthodox ideas.

I would also like to thank the Honors College and the Political Science department for allowing me the freedom to create this work as my Honors Thesis. The intellectual freedom and engagement from the faculties have been absolutely invaluable.

Finally, I thank my parents for their love and encouragement at school. I am indebted to them for their support, for listening to my ideas and keeping them reasonable.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Author's Note	5
One: Address Accepting the Presidential Nomination at the Republican National Convention in Cleveland, Ohio	7
Two: communicate to admitting the Presidential Nomination at the Recorporate group National conference in Cleveprovince, Ohio.....	19
Three: Interview with Lesley Stahl on CBS News "60 Minutes"	32
Four: exam with Lesley Stahl on CBS News "60 tempos".....	42
Five: Statement from President-Elect Donald J. Trump on Receiving Christmas Greetings from Russian President Vladimir Putin.....	53
Six: testimony from President-Elect Donald J. Trump on Receiving Christmas Greetings from Russian President Vladimir Putin.....	54
Seven: Inaugural Address.....	55
Eight: Inaugural communicate to	58
Nine: Interview with Bill O'Reilly of Fox News	61
Ten: exam with Bill O'Reilly of Fox News.....	65
Eleven: Statement on the Chemical Weapons Attack in Khan Sheikhou, Syria.....	70
Twelve: testimony on the Chemical contrivances irrupt in Khan Sheikhou, Syria	71
Thirteen: The President's News Conference With King Abdullah II of Jordan	72
Fourteen: The President's News inquiry With King Abdullah II of Jordan	79
Fifteen: Interview with Maria Bartiromo on Fox Business Network.....	87
Sixteen: exam with Maria Bartiromo on Fox afinconsiderables Netfunction.....	95

Seventeen: Interview with Associated Press White House Correspondent Julie Pace...	104
Eighteen: exam with Associated crowd colorless abode Coranswerent Julie Pace	118
Nineteen: Statement on the Terrorist Attack in Minya Province, Egypt.....	133
Twenty: testimony on the revolter irrupt in Minya Province, Egypt.....	134
Twenty-one: Statement on North Korea's Launch of a Ballistic Missile Over Japan....	135
Twenty-two: testimony on North Korea's Launch of a sphereistic propulsive Over Japan	136
Twenty-three: Remarks at a State Dinner Hosted by Prime Minister Shinzo Abe of Japan in Tokyo, Japan	137
Twenty-four: Reunimitativeties at a State meal Hosted by Prime instrument Shinzo Abe of Japan in Tokyo, Japan	141
Twenty-five: Interview with Piers Morgan of The Spectator on Good Morning Britain	145
Twenty-six: exam with Piers Morgan of The Spectator on Good earliness Britain.....	156
Twenty-seven: DONALD TRUMP UN GENERAL ASSEMBLY SPEECH.....	168
Twenty-eight: DONALD TRUMP UN broad joining allocution	175
Works Cited	183

Introduction

Below are a set of speeches and statements by President Donald Trump presented side-by-side with translations. This is a work of conceptual writing, a reworking of the President's words using a thesaurus from the past to examine how contemporary political speech would be read by a previous era. The President's favorite phrase, "Make America Great Again," is the inspiration for this piece. Its guiding question - how modern ideas would be communicated by someone from the idealized past. If a political position can be constructed upon and grounded within the context of a return to previous eras, I believe there is something to be gained by studying and understanding the language used in these eras' politics. While previous ideas are being reconstructed for the present, I wish to reconstruct the present with the tools of the past.

Conceptual writing, or conceptual poetry, occupies a space adjacent to other artistic styles. It is a style constituted of the appropriation and recontextualization of pre-existing text, where works may exist solely in formulae whose executions may vary wildly depending on the executor. It is a style of writing similar to works by visual artist Sol Lewitt, who created sets of instructions – recipes - for works which have been limited neither to a single realization nor to realization by a single artist. Characteristic to this approach is mass editing and manipulation of text including, but not limited to: replacing, copying, moving, and deleting text or portions thereof. As the name implies, conceptual writing is focused mainly upon the guiding concept of a given work while its actual execution is of tangential importance. Conceptualism is not concerned with a piece's ability to be read but with the understandability of a piece's idea. As such, this work is

presented first as a set of instructions, a recipe itself, and subsequently, my subjective execution of the recipe follows.

In my process, the first step of deciding which sample texts to manipulate was undertaken by considering two key factors. The primary factor was whether the sample was adequately original to the President, as this project is designed to draw attention to his personal and distinctive speaking style. The secondary factor was in the interest of distributing the sample texts over his tenure in office while giving an adequate perspective of important topics. Under these considerations, the fourteen sample texts selected subjectively encapsulate both the events during his presidential tenure and his personal vocabulary and usage. In the second step, a text file of each speech was downloaded and saved separately, while the text of the speech was put in a composite document with the other thirteen samples. The speeches were found and downloaded from the University of California Santa Barbara Presidential Speech academic database.¹ Third, the composite document was alphabetized by word, and once the repetitions were removed, printed. I selected the words to replace manually, omitting short, common words, the names of people, states, or countries, and quantitative words which have no real substitutes.

The fourth step, selection of the source by which the chosen words will be replaced, is critical because the words comprising the samples in collected form are not simply meaningless outside their assembly in the sources. Rather, the words themselves hold implicit value independent of their contexts and as such form a meaningful composite even without the syntactical construction of the speeches. For this reason, the

¹ The American Presidency Project, <https://www.presidency.ucsb.edu>.

words' replacements must have a composite meaning conducive to the project's goal. Since the work's goal is centered on the President's constant and populist invocation of the past, I selected the 1965 St. Martin's Roget's Thesaurus, for two reasons.² First, the 1965 thesaurus immediately follows the Goldwater era, circa 1964, and draws on its linguistic peculiarities. The common usage of language at the time can certainly demonstrate how populist methods of speaking influenced the English language's usage as a whole. Second, President Trump enrolled at Fordham University in 1964. I believe the language captured in the then-modern thesaurus represents contemporary language at that time and can provide a general perspective on the linguistic framework the President's education inhabited.

For the fifth step of word-replacements, I used a free software utility called "Find and Replace".³ Using this software requires the manual entry of each word to be replaced alongside its new substitute. The choice to use a find-and-replace utility is the most critical choice after the selection of words to be replaced in the subjective execution of this project. By using the mechanical replacement tool, I was able to uniformly change words into their substitutes across an entire set of files. However, the software recognizes strings of characters rather than individual words. This means that sometimes only sections of words would be replaced, leaving prefixes and suffixes attached to words related only in meaning and not construction. The result is a large collection of portmanteaus scattered throughout the texts which make no syntactic sense. Despite the inconvenience of reading these constructions, I believe they maintain and enhance the

² Peter M. Roget, "Roget's Thesaurus," (Edited by Robert A. Dutch, New York, NY: St. Martin's Press), 1965.

³ Find and Replace, <http://findandreplace.sourceforge.net>.

character of the text, which ought to be read as a composite impression of ideas translated through the devolution of language and not as something readily or literally meaningful, able to be read as straightforwardly as standard prose.

The only editing which has been done to the texts is the rectification of line breaks, making them uniform for presentation. Spelling, grammar, and syntax are all left marred by the mechanics of the formula. Errors abound, but there is no inconsistency. Instead of reading the following speeches as perversions of common and proper English, consider them a forced-perspective picture of an alternate political reality. Each of the fourteen original speeches will be followed by their corresponding translations from the 1965 thesaurus. The work is presented as a chronological overview of the President's time in national politics, his intentions in world events interpreted backward through the dual lenses of time and linguistic change, projected forward onto a parallel present.

Author's Note

George Orwell writes in his *Politics and the English Language* that political language is “comprised of euphemism, question begging, and sheer cloudy vagueness,”⁴ intended for politicians who must name and discuss the horrifying details of reality without calling up mental images of them.⁵ He writes that political language is designed to make “lies truthful and murder respectable,” and exhorts users of language to clarity, calling them to “let the meaning choose the words”.⁶ My project is to let the words choose the meaning. It is to reclaim the wild and arbitrary associations of image political language avoids, and to assert the basic and inherent meaning of individual words. However, the alienation context replacement-heavy conceptual writing entails also serves to suppress words’ inherent meanings to the extent that the floodgates of possibility are cast open. Does the free association of words in the semantically-related groups replacement strategies yield express thought or prevent it?

The following work is best read as a series of contemporary texts compared to their twins from an intimately-connected alternate reality. Contemporary situations are transposed backward into the linguistic framework of a bygone era. The question it seeks to answer is “given the strong desire to return to the perceived greatness of previous times, what if the past truly were now?” Just as one would not interpret the entirety of today’s politics by the weight of a solitary word, sentence, or paragraph in a single speech, one should similarly abstain from claiming understanding of or dismissing this

⁴ George Orwell “Politics and the English Language,” (London: Penguin, 2013), 14.

⁵ Ibid., 15.

⁶ Ibid., 20; 18.

alternate reality's politics by its individual weights. Rather, understand that the composite meanings of speech -- the underlying intentions, the latent contexts, the philosophical drives -- cannot be truly grasped, and are often confused by, a close and understanding reading of a text. The mechanical method of translation here frees the modified texts from the muddling and duplicitous influences of dubitably cogent construction and single words' contexts within our modern situation. I encourage you to pursue the text as a whole, to find its meaning without presumption to understanding of its elements.

One

Donald J. Trump

45th President of the United States: 2017 - 2021

Address Accepting the Presidential Nomination at the Republican National Convention in
Cleveland, Ohio

July 21, 2016

Friends, delegates and fellow Americans: I humbly and gratefully accept your nomination for the presidency of the United States.

U.S.A.! U.S.A.! U.S.A.!

Together, we will lead our party back to the White House, and we will lead our country back to safety, prosperity, and peace. We will be a country of generosity and warmth. But we will also be a country of law and order.

Our Convention occurs at a moment of crisis for our nation. The attacks on our police, and the terrorism in our cities, threaten our very way of life. Any politician who does not grasp this danger is not fit to lead our country.

Americans watching this address tonight have seen the recent images of violence in our streets and the chaos in our communities. Many have witnessed this violence personally, some have even been its victims.

I have a message for all of you: the crime and violence that today afflicts our nation will soon – and I mean very soon – come to an end.

Beginning on January 20th of 2017, safety will be restored.

The most basic duty of government is to defend the lives of its own citizens. Any government that fails to do so is a government unworthy to lead.

It is finally time for a straightforward assessment of the state of our nation.

I will present the facts plainly and honestly. We cannot afford to be so politically correct anymore.

So if you want to hear the corporate spin, the carefully-crafted lies, and the media myths the Democrats are holding their convention next week. Go there.

But here, at our convention, there will be no lies. We will honor the American people with the truth, and nothing else.

These are the facts:

Decades of progress made in bringing down crime are now being reversed by this Administration's rollback of criminal enforcement.

Homicides last year increased by 17 percent in America's fifty largest cities. That's the largest increase in 25 years. In our nation's capital, killings have risen by 50 percent. They are up nearly 60 percent in nearby Baltimore.

In the President's hometown of Chicago, more than 2,000 people have been the victim of shootings this year alone. And almost 4,000 have been killed in the Chicago area since he took office.

The number of police officers killed in the line of duty has risen by almost 50 percent compared to this point last year. Nearly 180,000 illegal immigrants with criminal records, ordered deported from our country, are tonight roaming free to threaten peaceful citizens.

The number of new illegal immigrant families who have crossed the border so far this year already exceeds the entire total from 2015. They are being released by the tens of thousands into our communities with no regard for the impact on public safety or resources.

One such border-crosser was released and made his way to Nebraska. There, he ended the life of an innocent young girl named Sarah Root. She was 21 years-old, and was killed the day after graduating from college with a 4.0 Grade Point Average. Number one in her class. Her killer was then released a second time, and he is now a fugitive from the law.

I've met Sarah's beautiful family. But to this Administration, their amazing daughter was just one more American life that wasn't worth protecting. No more. One more child to sacrifice on the order and on the altar of open borders. What about our economy?

Again, I will tell you the plain facts that have been edited out of your nightly news and your morning newspaper: Nearly Four in 10 African-American children are living in poverty, while 58% of African American youth are now not employed. 2 million more Latinos are in poverty today than when President Obama took his oath of office less than eight years ago. Another 14 million people have left the workforce entirely.

Household incomes are down more than \$4,000 since the year 2000. Our trade deficit in goods -- think of this -- our trade deficit reached nearly \$800 billion last year alone. We're going to fix that.

The budget is no better.

President Obama has doubled our national debt to more than \$19 trillion, and growing. And yet, what do we have to show for it? Our roads and bridges are falling apart, our airports are Third World condition, and forty-three million Americans are on food stamps.

Now let us consider the state of affairs abroad.

Not only have our citizens endured domestic disaster, but they have lived through one international humiliation after another. One after another. We all remember the images of our sailors being forced to their knees by their Iranian captors at gunpoint.

This was just prior to the signing of the Iran deal, which gave back to Iran \$150 billion and gave us absolutely nothing – it will go down in history as one of the worst deals ever negotiated. Another humiliation came when president Obama drew a red line in Syria – and the whole world knew it meant absolutely nothing.

In Libya, our consulate – the symbol of American prestige around the globe – was brought down in flames. America is far less safe – and the world is far less stable – than when Obama made the decision to put Hillary Clinton in charge of America's foreign policy.

Let's defeat her in November, OK.

I am certain it is a decision President Obama truly regrets. Her bad instincts and her bad judgment – something pointed out by Bernie Sanders – are what caused so many of the disasters unfolding today. Let's review the record.

In 2009, pre-Hillary, ISIS was not even on the map. Libya was stable. Egypt was peaceful. Iraq was seeing a big, big reduction in violence. Iran was being choked by sanctions. Syria was somewhat under control.

After four years of Hillary Clinton, what do we have? ISIS has spread across the region, and the world. Libya is in ruins, and our Ambassador and his staff were left helpless to die at the hands of savage killers. Egypt was turned over to the radical Muslim brotherhood, forcing the military to retake control. Iraq is in chaos. Iran is on the path to nuclear weapons. Syria is engulfed in a civil war and a refugee crisis now threatens the West. After fifteen years of wars in the Middle East, after trillions of dollars spent and thousands of lives lost, the situation is worse than it has ever been before.

This is the legacy of Hillary Clinton: death, destruction, terrorism, and weakness.

But Hillary Clinton's legacy does not have to be America's legacy. The problems we face now – poverty and violence at home, war and destruction abroad – will last only as long as we continue relying on the same politicians who created them in the first place. A change in leadership is required to produce a change in outcomes. Tonight, I will share with you my plan of action for America.

The most important difference between our plan and that of our opponents, is that our plan will put America First. Americanism, not globalism, will be our credo. As long as

we are led by politicians who will not put America First, then we can be assured that other nations will not treat America with respect, the respect we deserve.

The American People will come first once again. My plan will begin with safety at home – which means safe neighborhoods, secure borders, and protection from terrorism. There can be no prosperity without law and order. On the economy, I will outline reforms to add millions of new jobs and trillions in new wealth that can be used to rebuild America.

A number of these reforms that I will outline tonight will be opposed by some of our nation's most powerful special interests. That is because these interests have rigged our political and economic system for their exclusive benefit. Believe me, it's for their benefit.

Big business, elite media and major donors are lining up behind the campaign of my opponent because they know she will keep our rigged system in place. They are throwing money at her because they have total control over every single thing she does. She is their puppet, and they pull the strings.

That is why Hillary Clinton's message is that things will never change...never ever. My message is that things have to change – and they have to change right now. Every day I wake up determined to deliver for the people I have met all across this nation that have been neglected, ignored, and abandoned.

I have visited the laid-off factory workers, and the communities crushed by our horrible and unfair trade deals. These are the forgotten men and women of our country. And they are forgotten, but they're not going to be forgotten long. People who work hard but no longer have a voice.

I am your voice!

I have embraced crying mothers who have lost their children because our politicians put their personal agendas before the national good.

[disruption in the audience]

How great are our police? And how great is Cleveland?

I have no patience for injustice, no tolerance for government incompetence, no sympathy for leaders who fail their citizens.

When innocent people suffer, because our political system lacks the will, or the courage, or the basic decency to enforce our laws – or still worse still, has sold out to some corporate lobbyist for cash – I am not able to look the other way, and I won't look the other way.

And when a Secretary of State illegally stores her emails on a private server, deletes 33,000 of them so the authorities can't see her crime, puts our country at risk, lies about it in every different form and faces no consequence – I know that corruption has reached a level like never ever before in our country.

When the FBI Director says that the Secretary of State was "extremely careless" and "negligent," in handling our classified secrets, I also know that these terms are minor compared to what she actually did. They were just used to save her from facing justice for her terrible terrible crimes.

In fact, her single greatest accomplishment may be committing such an egregious crime and getting away with it – especially when others who have done far less, have paid so dearly. When that same Secretary of State rakes in millions and millions of dollars trading access and favors to special interests and foreign powers, I know the time for action has come.

I have joined the political arena so that the powerful can no longer beat up on people that cannot defend themselves. Nobody knows the system better than me, which is why I alone can fix it. I have seen firsthand how the system is rigged against our citizens, just like it was rigged against Bernie Sanders – he never had a chance.

But his supporters will join our movement, because we will fix his biggest single issue: trade deals that strip us of our jobs, and strip us of our wealth as a country. Millions of Democrats will join our movement because we are going to fix the system so it works justly for each and every American. In this cause, I am proud to have at my side the next Vice President of the United States: Governor Mike Pence of Indiana. And a great guy.

We will bring the same economic success to America that Mike brought to Indiana, which is amazing. He is a man of character and accomplishment. He is the man for the job. The first task for our new Administration will be to liberate our citizens from the crime and terrorism and lawlessness that threatens their communities.

America was shocked to its core when our police officers in Dallas were so brutally executed. In the days after Dallas, we have seen continued threats and violence against our law enforcement officials. Law officers have been shot or killed in recent days in Georgia, Missouri, Wisconsin, Kansas, Michigan and Tennessee.

On Sunday, more police were gunned down in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. Three were killed, and three were very very badly injured. An attack on law enforcement is an attack on all Americans. I have a message to every last person threatening the peace on our streets and the safety of our police: when I take the oath of office next year, I will restore law and order to our country. Believe me, believe me.

I will work with, and appoint, the best and prosecutors and law enforcement officials to get the job properly done. In this race for the White House, I am the law and order candidate.

The irresponsible rhetoric of our President, who has used the pulpit of the presidency to divide us by race and color, has made America a more dangerous environment for everyone than frankly I have ever seen and anybody in this room has ever watched or seen.

This Administration has failed America's inner cities. Remember: it has failed America's inner cities. It's failed them on education. It's failed them on jobs. It's failed them on crime. It's failed them at every level.

When I am President, I will work to ensure that all of our kids are treated equally, and protected equally.

Every action I take, I will ask myself: does this make better for young Americans in Baltimore, in Chicago, in Detroit, in Ferguson who have as much of a right to live out their dreams as any other child America? Any other child.

To make life safe in America, we must also address the growing threats we face from outside the country: We are going to defeat the barbarians of ISIS, and we are going to defeat them fast.

Once again, France is the victim of brutal Islamic terrorism. Men, women and children viciously mowed down. Lives ruined. Families ripped apart. A nation in mourning.

The damage and devastation that can be inflicted by Islamic radicals has been proven over and over – at the World Trade Center, at an office party in San Bernardino, at the Boston Marathon, and a military recruiting center in Chattanooga, Tennessee. And many, many other locations.

Only weeks ago, in Orlando, Florida, 49 wonderful Americans were savagely murdered by an Islamic terrorist. This time, the terrorist targeted LGBTQ community – no good and we're going to stop it.

As your President, I will do everything in my power to protect our LGBTQ citizens from the violence and oppression of a hateful foreign ideology – believe me.

And as a Republican, it is so nice to hear you cheering for what I just said. Thank you.

To protect us from terrorism, we need to focus on three things.

We must have the best intelligence gathering operation in the world. We must abandon the failed policy of nation building and regime change that Hillary Clinton pushed in Iraq, Libya, Egypt and Syria. Instead, we must work with all of our allies who share our goal of destroying ISIS and stamping out Islamic terrorism and doing it now, doing it quickly. We're going to win. We're going to win fast.

This includes working with our greatest ally in the region, the State of Israel.

Recently I have said that NATO was obsolete, because it did not properly cover terror, and also, that many of the member countries were not paying their fair share. As usual, the United States has been picking up the cost. Shortly thereafter, it was announced that NATO will be setting up a new program in order to combat terrorism -- a true step in the right direction.

Lastly, and very importantly, we must immediately suspend immigration from any nation that has been compromised by terrorism until such time as proven vetting mechanisms have been put in place. We don't want them in our country.

My opponent has called for a radical 550% increase in Syrian -- Think of this. Think of this. This is not believable, but this is what's happening -- refugees on top of existing massive refugee flows coming into our country under President Obama. She proposes this despite the fact that there's no way to screen these refugees in order to find out who they are or where they come from.

I only want to admit individuals into our country who will support our values and love our people. Anyone who endorses violence, hatred or oppression is not welcome in our country and never ever will be.

Decades of record immigration have produced lower wages and higher unemployment for our citizens, especially for African-American and Latino workers. We are going to have an immigration system that works, but one that works for the American people.

On Monday, we heard from three parents whose children were killed by illegal immigrants Mary Ann Mendoza, Sabine Durden, and my friend Jamiel Shaw. They are just three brave representatives of many thousands who have suffered so gravely. Of all my travels in this country, nothing has affected me more deeply than the time I have spent with the mothers and fathers who have lost their children to violence spilling across our border, which we can solve. We have to solve it.

These families have no special interests to represent them. There are no demonstrators to protest on their behalf. My opponent will never meet with them, or share in their pain, believe me. Instead, my opponent wants Sanctuary Cities. But where was sanctuary for Kate Steinle? Where was Sanctuary for the children of Mary Ann, and Sabine, and Jamiel? Where was sanctuary for all the other -- oh, it is so sad to even be talking about it because we can fix this problem so quickly -- Americans who have been so brutally murdered, and who have suffered so so horribly?

These wounded American families have been alone. But they are alone no longer. Tonight, this candidate and the whole nation stand in their corner to support them, to send them our love, and to pledge in their honor that we will save countless more families from suffering and the same awful fate.

We are going to build a great border wall to stop illegal immigration, to stop the gangs and the violence, and to stop the drugs from pouring into our communities. I have been honored to receive the endorsement of America's Border Patrol Agents, and will work directly with them to protect the integrity of our lawful, lawful, lawful immigration system. Lawful.

By ending catch-and-release on the border, we will stop the cycle of human smuggling and violence. Illegal border crossings will go down. We will stop it. It won't be happening very much anymore. Believe me. Peace will be restored. By enforcing the rules for the millions who overstay their visas, our laws will finally receive the respect they deserve.

Tonight, I want every American whose demands for immigration security have been denied – and every politician who has denied them – to listen very very closely to the words I am about to say.

On January 20th of 2017, the day I take the oath of office, Americans will finally wake up in a country where the laws of the United States are enforced. We are going to be considerate and compassionate to everyone.

But my greatest compassion will be for our own struggling citizens.

U.S.A.! U.S.A.! U.S.A.!

My plan is the exact opposite of the radical and dangerous immigration policy of Hillary Clinton. Americans want relief from uncontrolled immigration. Communities want relief.

Yet Hillary Clinton is proposing mass amnesty, mass immigration, and mass lawlessness. Her plan will overwhelm your schools and hospitals, further reduce your jobs and wages, and make it harder for recent immigrants to escape the tremendous cycle of poverty that they're going through right now and make it almost impossible to join the middle class.

I have a different vision for our workers. It begins with a new, fair trade policy that protects our jobs and stands up to countries that cheat, of which there are many. It's been a signature message of my campaign from day one, and it will be a signature feature of my presidency from the moment I take the oath of office.

I have made billions of dollars in business making deals – now I'm going to make our country rich again. Using the richest people in the world, which our country has, I am going to turn our bad trade agreements into great trade agreements. America has lost nearly-one third of its manufacturing jobs since 1997, following the enactment of disastrous trade deals supported by Bill and Hillary Clinton.

Remember, it was Bill Clinton who signed NAFTA, one of the worst economic deals ever made by our country -- or, frankly, any other country.

Never, ever again.

I am going to bring our jobs back to Ohio and Pennsylvania and New York and Michigan and all of America – and I am not going to let companies move to other countries, firing their employees along the way, without consequences. Not going to happen anymore.

My opponent, on the other hand, has supported virtually every trade agreement that has been destroying our middle class. She supported NAFTA, and she supported China's entrance into the World Trade Organization – another one of her husband's colossal mistakes and disasters.

She supported the job killing trade deal with South Korea. She has supported the Trans-Pacific Partnership. The TPP will not only destroy our manufacturing, but it will make America subject to the rulings of foreign governments. And it's not going to happen. I pledge to never sign any trade agreement that hurts our workers, or that diminishes our freedom and independence. I will never, ever sign bad trade deals. America first, again! America first! Instead, I will make individual deals with individual countries.

No longer will we enter into these massive transactions, with many countries, that are thousands of pages long – and which no one from our country even reads or understands. We are going to enforce all trade violations against any country including through the use of taxes and tariffs, against any country that cheats.

This includes stopping China's outrageous theft of intellectual property, along with their illegal product dumping, and their devastating currency manipulation. They are the greatest that ever came about; they are the greatest currency manipulators ever! Our horrible trade agreements with China and many others, will be totally renegotiated. That includes renegotiating NAFTA to get a much better deal for America – and we'll walk away if we don't get the deal that we want.

We are going to start building and making things again.

Next comes the reform of our tax laws, regulations and energy rules. While Hillary Clinton plans a massive -- and I mean massive -- tax increase, I have proposed the largest tax reduction of any candidate who has declared for the presidential race this year – Democrat or Republican. Middle-income Americans and businesses will experience profound relief, and taxes will be greatly simplified for everyone, and I mean everyone.

America is one of the highest-taxed nations in the world. Reducing taxes will cause new companies and new jobs to come roaring back into our country. Believe me, it will happen, and it will happen fast. Then we are going to deal with the issue of regulation, one of the greatest job-killers of them all. Excessive regulation is costing our country as much as \$2 trillion a year, and we will end it very very quickly. We are going to lift the restrictions on the production of American energy. This will produce more than \$20 trillion in job creating economic activity over the next four decades.

My opponent, on the other hand, wants to put the great miners and steel workers of our country out of work and out of business – that will never happen with Donald Trump as President. Our steelworkers and our miners are going back to work again. With these new economic policies, trillions of dollars will start flowing into our country.

This new wealth will improve the quality of life for all Americans – We will build the roads, highways, bridges, tunnels, airports, and the railways of tomorrow. This, in turn, will create millions more jobs. We will rescue kids from failing schools by helping their parents send them to a safe school of their choice.

My opponent would rather protect bureaucrats than serve American children. And that's what she's doing, and that's what she's done. We will repeal and replace disastrous Obamacare. You will be able to choose your own doctor again. And we will fix TSA at the airports which is a total disaster!

Thank you. Thank you.

We are going to work with all of our students who are drowning in debt to take the pressure off these young people just starting out their adult lives.

We will completely rebuild our depleted military, and the countries that we protect, at a massive loss, will be asked to pay their fair share.

We will take care of our great veterans like they have never been taken care of before. My just-released Ten Point Plan has received tremendous veteran support. We will guarantee those who serve this country will be able to visit the doctor or hospital of their choice without waiting five days in line and dying.

My opponent dismissed the VA scandal as being not widespread – one more sign of how out of touch she really is. We are going to ask every Department Head in government to provide a list of wasteful spending projects that we can eliminate in my first 100 days. The politicians have talked about it, I'm going to do it.

We are also going to appoint justices to the United States Supreme Court who will uphold our laws and our Constitution.

The replacement for our beloved Justice Scalia will be a person of similar views and judicial philosophies. Very important. This will be one of the most important issues decided by this election. My opponent wants to essentially abolish the 2nd amendment. I, on the other hand, received the early and strong endorsement of the National Rifle Association and will protect the right of all Americans to keep their families safe.

At this moment, I would like to thank the evangelical and religious community because I'll tell you what, the support they have given me, and I'm not sure I totally deserve it has been so amazing and has had such a big reason for me being here tonight. So true. They

have so much to contribute to our politics, yet our laws prevent you from speaking your minds from your own pulpits. An amendment, pushed by Lyndon Johnson, many years ago, threatens religious institutions with a loss of their tax-exempt status if they openly advocate their political views. Their voice has been taken away.

I am going to work very hard to repeal that language and protect free speech for all Americans. We can accomplish these great things, and so much else – all we need to do is start believing in ourselves and in our country again. Start believing. It is time to show the whole world that America Is Back – bigger, and better and stronger than ever before.

In this journey, I'm so lucky to have at my side my wife Melania and my wonderful children, Don, Ivanka, Eric, Tiffany, and Barron: you will always be my greatest source of pride and joy. And by the way, Melania and Ivanka -- did they do a job? My Dad, Fred Trump, was the smartest and hardest working man I ever knew. I wonder sometimes what he'd say if he were here to see this and to see me tonight.

It's because of him that I learned, from my youngest age, to respect the dignity of work and the dignity of working people. He was a guy most comfortable in the company of bricklayers, carpenters, and electricians and I have a lot of that in me also. I love those people.

Then there's my mother, Mary. She was strong, but also warm and fair-minded. She was a truly great mother. She was also one of the most honest and charitable people I have ever known, and a great judge of character. She could pick 'em out from anywhere.

To my sisters Mary Anne and Elizabeth, my brother Robert and my late brother Fred, I will always give you my love you are most special to me. I have loved my life in business.

But now, my sole and exclusive mission is to go to work for our country – to go to work for all of you. It's time to deliver a victory for the American people. We don't win anymore, but we are going to start winning again. But to do that, we must break free from the petty politics of the past.

America is a nation of believers, dreamers, and strivers that is being led by a group of censors, critics, and cynics.

Remember: All of the people telling you that you can't have the country you want, are the same people that wouldn't stand -- I mean, they said Trump doesn't have a chance of being here tonight. Not a chance! The same people. Oh, we love defeating those people, don't we? Love it, love it, love it. No longer can we rely on those same people in politics and in the media, who will say anything to keep a rigged system in place. Instead, we must choose to Believe In America.

History is watching us now.

It's waiting to see if we will rise to the occasion, and if we will show the whole world that America is still free and independent and strong. I'm asking for your support tonight so that I can be your champion in the White House.

My opponent asks her supporters to recite a three-word loyalty pledge. It reads: "I'm With Her". I choose to recite a different pledge.

My pledge reads: "I'M WITH YOU – THE AMERICAN PEOPLE."

I am your voice.

So to every parent who dreams for their child, and every child who dreams for their future, I say these words to you tonight: I'm With You, and I will fight for you, and I will win for you.

To all Americans tonight, in all our cities and towns, I make this promise:

We Will Make America Strong Again.

We Will Make America Proud Again.

We Will Make America Safe Again.

And We Will Make America Great Again.

God bless you, and good night! I love you!

Two

Donald J. Trump

45th President of the converged territories: 2017 - 2021

communicate to admitting the Presidential Nomination at the Recorporate group

National conference in Cleveland, Ohio

July 21, 2016

auxiliaries, agents and analogue Americans: I abashedly and gratefully admit your nomenclature for the presidency of the converged territories.

U.S.A.! U.S.A.! U.S.A.!

with, we will direct our band rear to the colorless abode, and we will direct our province rear to caution, flourishing, and euphoria. We will be a province of noble and summeryth. But we will in addition be a province of law and demand.

Our conference happens at a instant of juncture for our nation. The irruptions on our accompanyor, and the sedition in our districts, intend our very way of affairs. Any expert who does not range this dexcitation is not fit to direct our province.

Americans scanning this communicate to todarkness have known the secular analogues of havoc in our roads and the non-uniformity in our habitancies. frequent have spectated this havoc objectjoin, some have even been its corpses.

I have a information for all of you: the disobedience and havoc that present time weakens our nation will betempos – and I mean very betempos – come to an end.

becomening on January 20th of 2017, caution will be compensated.

The most intrinsic function of master is to patronize the feels of its own natives. Any master that ceases to do so is a master discreditable to direct.

It is finjoin tempo for a continuousearly appraisal of the state of our nation.

I will produce the realities artlessly and positively. We cannot foresee to be so politicjoin demandingly anymore.

So if you desire to hear the conjunct weave, the cheaply-crafted repose, and the media fables the commoners are farm their conference sequent period. Go there.

But here, at our conference, there will be no repose. We will importance the American people with the probity, and insubstantiality else.

These are the realities:

Decades of elapse composed in toverdeedping down disobedience are now existence reverted by this arrangement's smistakerear of offender motivation.

Homicides complete date incrbated by 17 ratio in America's fifty substantialest districts. That's the substantialest incrbate in 25 dates. In our nation's capital, destroyings have ascended by 50 ratio. They are up npast 60 ratio in almost Baltimore.

In the President's focusdistrict of Chicago, more than 2,000 people have been the corpse of killings this date irrelative. And npast 4,000 have been destroyed in the Chicago tsumabsoluter hence he took chamber.

The subdiaspiration of accompanyor official destroyed in the genealogy of function has ascended by npast 50 ratio revespertined to this place complete date. Npast 180,000 prohibited immibe moderates with offender phonographs, demanded excluded from our province, are todarkness wandering disjoin to intend euphoriaful natives.

The subdiaspiration of new prohibited immibe moderate all who have marked the edge so far this date at produce overdeeds the consummate all from 2015. They are existence relbated by the tens of thoupowders into our habitancies with no repute for the agency on corporate group caution or contrivances.

One anonymous edge-marker was relbated and composed his way to Nebrrequirementa. There, he past the affairs of an ignoramus new newster classed Sarah Root. She was 21 dates-old, and was destroyed the day pursuant to overdeedping from college with a 4.0 degree place median. Number one in her degree. Her destroyer was then relbated a second tempo, and he is now a disjunct from the law.

I've met Sarah's elegant all. But to this arrangement, their prodigious descendant was just one more American affairs that wasn't utility accompanying. No more. One more descendant to offering on the demand and on the stand of broad vantages. What concerning our demand?

Aincrement, I will tell you the lenitive-hued realities that have been pure out of your vespertine topic and your earliness topicpaper: Npast Four in 10 African-American descendants are feeling in feebleness, while 58% of African American youngster are now not possessed. 2 million more languageos are in feebleness present time than when President Obama took his testimony of chamber less than eight dates ago. irrevespertined 14 million people have left the functionderange consummately.

family earnings are down more than \$4,000 hence the date 2000. Our interchange incompletecoming in savors -- suppose of this -- our interchange incompletecoming enabled npast \$800 billion complete date irrelative. We're going to fix that.

The accounts is no topmostior.

President Obama has doubled our ethnic encumbrance to more than \$19 over one hundred, and new. And yet, what do we have to produce for it? Our roads and revespertineions are decreasing irrelative, our completenesses are Third materiality state, and forty-three million Americans are on affairs endorsements.

Now let us consider the state of afinconsiderables afar.

Not inferiorly have our natives completed native ill fortune, but they have felt thnon-uniform one interethnic adversity pursuant to irrevespertined. One pursuant to irrevespertined. We all regenitalia the analogues of our mariners existence deranged to their joints by their Iranian captors at bombardment.

This was just ecclesiarch to the omening of the Iran deal, which gave rear to Iran \$150 billion and gave us absolutely insubstantiality – it will go down in preterition as one of the defeat deals ever made names. irrevespertined adversity came when president Obama drew a red genealogy in Syria – and the undamaged materiality cognized it meant absolutely insubstantiality.

In Libya, our magistrature – the insubstantial product of American importance npast the sphere – was brbe due down in fires. America is far less cautious – and the materiality is far less steloquent – than when Obama composed the vigour to put Hillary Clinton in grow of America's ircomparative sagacity.

Let's ruin her in November, OK.

I am quantitative it is a vigour President Obama positively helplessnesses. Her bad doctorencies and her bad discrimination – subform placeed out by Bernie powderers – are what sanity whyd so frequent of the ill fortunes becoming present time. Let's inspection the phonograph.

In 2009, pre-Hillary, ISIS was not even on the guide-book. Libya was steloquent. Egypt was euphoriaful. Iraq was underfixed a noble, noble decomposition in havoc. Iran was existence endpered by compulsions. Syria was somewhat under demand.

pursuant to four dates of Hillary Clinton, what do we have? ISIS has weaveterpret obliquely the province, and the materiality. Libya is in oldnesses, and our jumbleenger and his personnel were left imoperative to die at the limbs of ingenue destroyers. Egypt was changed over to the modifier Muslim kinsmanhood, deranging the courageous to retrieve demand. Iraq is in non-uniformity. Iran is on the outlet to nuunmixed contrivances. Syria is consumed in a civil war and a escaper juncture now intends the West. pursuant to fifteen dates of slaughters in the interim East, pursuant to over one hundreds of coinages outgoing and thoupowders of feels lost, the affairs is deteriorated than it has ever been before.

This is the product moved of Hillary Clinton: extinction, nullification, sedition, and irresolution.

But Hillary Clinton's product moved does not have to be America's product moved. The enigmas we prepose now – feebleness and havoc at focus, war and nullification afar – will complete inferiorly as long as we continue reerroneous on the equivalent experts who capossessedd them in the first order. A ccome unstucke in directership is demanded to cause a ccome unstucke in egressions. Todarkness, I will part with you my plan of vigorousness for America.

The most crucial irrelation between our plan and that of our dissentients, is that our plan will put America First. Americanism, not inclusiveness, will be our creed. As long as we are led by experts who will not put America First, then we can be composed quantitative that other nations will not contract America with honor, the honor we defollow.

The American People will come first once aincrement. My plan will become with caution at focus – which contrivance cautious localities, promise vantages, and accompaniment from sedition. There can be no flourishing around law and demand. On the demand, I will outgenealogy reuniformities to add millions of new functions and over one hundreds in new abundance that can be possessed to recompose America.

A subdiaspiration of these reuniformities that I will outgenealogy todarkness will be restabounded by some of our nation's most eloquent unimitative curiosities. That is hence these curiosities have false our governmental and cheap arrangement for their unmixed utility. Believe me, it's for their utility.

noble afinconsiderables, chief product media and major foreseers are contents up rear the undercomprising of my dissentient hence they know she will dgreatly our false arrangement in order. They are impulsing abundance at her hence they have all demand over every one product she does. She is their dwarf, and they vantage the ties.

That is why Hillary Clinton's information is that products will never ccome unstucke...never ever. My information is that products have to ccome unstucke – and they have to ccome unstucke accurate now. Every day I lament up determined to move for the people I have met all obliquely this nation that have been underequivalenced, disreputeed, and excluded.

I have goblinized the born-off functionshop functioners, and the habitancies jumbled by our unamoperating and uninconsiderable interchange deals. These are the past men and womankind of our province. And they are past, but they're not going to be past long. People who function great but no more lasting have a publish.

I am your publish!

I have converged with proclaiming maternitys who have lost their descendants hence our experts put their objectal suppositions before the ethnic good.

[separation in the onformers]

How noble are our accompanyor? And how noble is Cleveprovince?

I have no lenity for misdiscrimination, no patience for master inaptitude, no symoutlety for directers who cease their natives.

When ignoramus people feel pain, hence our governmental arrangement deficits the will, or the melody, or the intrinsic good taste to motivate our precepts – or fixed deteriorated fixed, has sold out to some conjunct accessist for weak cause – I am not eloquent to form the other way, and I won't form the other way.

And when a deputy of State illegjoin shops her ecautiousguards on a concealed follower, subtracts 33,000 of them so the masters can't see her disobedience, puts our province at possibility, reposes concerning it in every irrevespertined form and preposes no sequel – I know that fetor has enabled a inexcilamina appetize never ever before in our province.

When the FBI Director communicates that the deputy of State was "extremely demandless" and "negligent," in opemeacertainment our identified unknown products, I in addition know that these names are inconsiderable revespertined to what she rejoin did. They were just possessed to prefollow her from akin indifference for her frightening frightening disobediences.

In fact, her one noblest breed merchandise may be committing anonymous an unconformable disobedience and genemeacertainment absent with it – especijoin when others who have done far less, have gainful so dpast. When that equivalent deputy of State farm dupes in millions and millions of coinages interchanging ingress and vantages to unimitative curiosities and ircomparative greatnesses, I know the tempo for vigorousness has come.

I have entered the governmental junction-order so that the eloquent can no more lasting outdo up on people that cannot patronize themselves. Nosubform knows the arrangement topmostior than me, which is why I irrelative can fix it. I have known unimitative how the arrangement is false opposing our natives, just appetize it was false opposing Bernie powderers – he never had a fair chance.

But his sustainers will join our passage, hence we will fix his noblest one effect: interchange deals that smistake us of our functions, and smistake us of our abundance as a province. Millions of commoners will join our passage hence we are going to fix the arrangement so it functions rationally for each and every American. In this sanity why, I am defiant to have at my side the sequent Vice President of the converged territories: imexcellentor Mike Pence of Indiana. And a noble guy.

We will doctor the equivalent cheap success to America that Mike brbe due to Indiana, which is prodigious. He is a man of comstation and breed merchandise. He is the man for the job. The first trequirement for our new arrangement will be to disencumber our

natives from the disobedience and sedition and disdemandgenealogiess that intends their habitancies.

America was disapproving to its essence when our accompanyor official in Dallas were so brutjoin destroyed. In the days pursuant to Dallas, we have known continued intentions and havoc opposing our law motivation servants. Law official have been bang or destroyed in secular days in Georgia, Missouri, Wisconsin, Kansas, Michigan and Tennessee.

On Sunday, more accompanyor were bang down in sustainer pigment, Louisiana. Three were destroyed, and three were very very inferiorly blemished. An irrupt on law motivation is an irrupt on all Americans. I have a information to every complete object impending the euphoria on our roads and the caution of our accompanyor: when I take the testimony of chamber sequent date, I will compensate law and demand to our province. Believe me, believe me.

I will function with, and select, the topmostior and desirers and law motivation servants to get the job characteristically done. In this genealogy for the colorless abode, I am the law and demand testee.

The changeful oratory of our President, who has possessed the rostrum of the presidency to sunder us by genealogy and character, has composed America a more dexcitationous circumform for medley than undisguisedly I have ever known and everyman in this scope has ever scanned or known.

This arrangement has ceased America's interior districts. Regenitalia: it has ceased America's interior districts. It's ceased them on culture. It's ceased them on functions. It's ceased them on disobedience. It's ceased them at every inexcilamina.

When I am President, I will function to predetermine that all of our newlings are contracted equjoin, and accompanied equjoin.

Every vigorousness I take, I will requirement identity: does this make topmostior for new Americans in Baltimore, in Chicago, in Detroit, in Ferguson who have as many of a accurate to feel out their aspirations as any other descendant America? Any other descendant.

To make affairs cautious in America, we must in addition communicate to the new intentions we prepose from arotund the province: We are going to ruin the vulgarians of ISIS, and we are going to ruin them speedy.

Once aincrement, France is the corpse of violent Islamic sedition. Men, womankind and descendants furiously incompleteened down. feels delapidated. all rent irrelative. A nation in funeral dress.

The derange and disdemand that can be inflicted by Islamic modifiers has been demonstrated over and over – at the materiality interchange essence, at an chamber band in San Bernardino, at the Boston racing, and a courageous employing essence in Chattanooga, Tennessee. And frequent, frequent other affairss.

inferiorly periods ago, in Orprovinceo, Florida, 49 inexpectationful Americans were severely onecized by an Islamic revolter. This tempo, the revolter objectified LGBTQ habitancy – no good and we're going to end it.

As your President, I will do everyproduct in my greatness to accompany our LGBTQ natives from the havoc and severity of a not careful ircomparative ideology – believe me.

And as a Recorporate group, it is so careful to hear you invigorate for what I just said. congratulate you.

To accompany us from sedition, we deficit to junction on three products.

We must have the topmostior secret service joining action in the materiality. We must exclude the ceased sagacity of nation comstation and circumform ccome unstucke that Hillary Clinton hastened in Iraq, Libya, Egypt and Syria. Instead, we must function with all of our bond who part our limit of nullifying ISIS and endorsing out Islamic sedition and doing it now, doing it briefly. We're going to gain. We're going to gain speedy.

This make makes functioning with our noblest join in the province, the State of Israel.

Recently I have said that NATO was antiquated, hence it did not characteristically wrapping ruffian, and in addition, that frequent of the genitalia countries were not expending their inconsiderable part. As trivial, the converged territories has been cultivating up the cost. incompletely therepursuant to, it was prodemanded that NATO will be print up a new plan in demand to contention sedition -- a patriotic deed in the accurate vespertinerality.

completively, and very crucially, we must instantaneously suwaste wandering from any nation that has been adapted by sedition while anonymous tempo as demonstrated estimating machines have been put in order. We don't desire them in our province.

My dissentient has commanded for a modifier 550% incrbate in Syrian -- suppose of this. suppose of this. This is not believeloquent, but this is what's happening -- escapers on top of being weighty escaper elapses coming into our province under President Obama. She patronizes this alin rechange the fact that there's no way to canopy these escapers in demand to extra out who they are or where they come from.

I inferiorly desire to admit unimitatives into our province who will sustain our equivalences and concord our people. everyman who testifys havoc, error or severity is not courteous act in our province and never ever will be.

Decades of phonograph wandering have capossessed vulgarize employs and greater inactivity for our natives, especijoin for African-American and languageo functioners. We are going to have an wandering arrangement that functions, but one that functions for the American people.

On Monday, we loudnessing from three origins whose descendants were destroyed by prohibited immibe moderates Mary Ann Mendoza, Sabine Durden, and my auxiliary Jamiel Shaw. They are just three melodyous reproduceatives of frequent thoupowders who have feel pained so wisely. Of all my motions in this province, insubstantiality has hypocircumstantial me more nobly than the tempo I have outgoing with the maternitys and kinsmen who have lost their descendants to havoc wasting obliquely our edge, which we can decipher. We have to decipher it.

These all have no unimitative curiosities to reproduce them. There are no interpretors to dissent on their utility. My dissentient will never synchronize with them, or part in their evil, believe me. Instead, my dissentient desires recontract Cities. But where was recontract for Kate Steinle? Where was recontract for the descendants of Mary Ann, and Sabine, and Jamiel? Where was recontract for all the other -- oh, it is so sad to even be talking concerning it hence we can fix this enigma so briefly -- Americans who have been so brutjoin onecized, and who have feel pained so so extremely?

These cut American all have been irrelative. But they are irrelative no more lasting. Todarkness, this testee and the undamaged nation stand in their angularity to sustain them, to emit them our concord, and to oath in their importance that we will prefollow multitudinous more all from feeling pain and the equivalent lubricated endingity.

We are going to compose a noble edge fortification to end prohibited wandering, to end the bands and the havoc, and to end the poisons from emitting into our habitancies. I have been importanced to take the testification of America's edge circler doers, and will function briefly with them to accompany the probity of our llubricated, llubricated, llubricated wandering arrangement. Llubricated.

By overdeedping copy-and-relbate on the edge, we will end the recurrence of animal intromitting and havoc. prohibited edge markings will go down. We will end it. It won't be happening very many anymore. Believe me. euphoria will be compensated. By motivating the creed for the millions who overdeed their credentials, our precepts will finjoin take the honor they defollow.

Todarkness, I desire every American whose requirements for wandering dueness have been disbelieved – and every expert who has disbelieved them – to be pious very very cbe defeatedly to the hints I am concerning to say.

On January 20th of 2017, the day I take the testimony of chamber, Americans will finjoin lament up in a province where the precepts of the converged territories are influenced. We are going to be thbe dueful and lenient to medley.

But my noblest lenity will be for our own essaying natives.

U.S.A.! U.S.A.! U.S.A.!

My plan is the decircumscribed inverted of the modifier and dexcitationous wandering sagacity of Hillary Clinton. Americans desire aftercomer from uninmusical piece wandering. habitancies desire aftercomer.

Yet Hillary Clinton is patronizing congregate obliteration, congregate wandering, and congregate disdemandgenealogiess. Her plan will topmostabound your groups and hospitals, beyond decompose your functions and employs, and make it healthier for secular imbibe moderates to decrement the frightening recurrence of feebleness that they're going thnon-uniform accurate now and make it npast excluded to join the interim degree.

I have a irrevespertined aspiration for our functioners. It becomes with a new, inconsiderable interchange sagacity that accompanies our functions and places up to countries that duplicity, of which there are frequent. It's been a sigessence information of my undercomprising from day one, and it will be a sigessence character of my presidency from the instant I take the testimony of chamber.

I have composed billions of coinages in afinconsiderables making deals – now I'm going to make our province funny aincrement. operating the funniest people in the materiality, which our province has, I am going to change our bad interchange uniformities into noble interchange uniformities. America has lost npast-one third of its causation functions hence 1997, doing appetizewise the produceing of harmful interchange deals sustained by Bill and Hillary Clinton.

Regenitalia, it was Bill Clinton who omened NAFTA, one of the defeat cheap deals ever composed by our province -- or, undisguisedly, any other province.

Never, ever aincrement.

I am going to doctor our functions rear to Ohio and Pennsylvania and New York and Michigan and all of America – and I am not going to let assembreposes passage to other countries, destroying their uses longwise the way, around sequels. Not going to happen anymore.

My dissentient, on the other hand, has sustained virtujoin every interchange uniformity that has been nullifying our interim degree. She sustained NAFTA, and she sustained China's precedence into the materiality interchange Organization – irrevespertined one of her male's stalwart misinterpretations and ill fortunes.

She sustained the job destroying interchange deal with South Korea. She has sustained the Trans-Pacific concomitantship. The TPP will not inferiorly nullify our causation, but it will make America liable to the legal experiments of ircomparative masters. And it's

not going to happen. I oath to never omen any interchange uniformity that weakens our functioners, or that imexcellents our disjunction and non-imitation. I will never, ever omen bad interchange deals. America finger, aincrement! America first! Instead, I will make unimitative deals with unimitative countries.

No more lasting will we enter into these weighty transactivities, with frequent countries, that are thoupowders of province long – and which no one from our province even interprets or underplaces. We are going to motivate all interchange rapes opposing any province including thnon-uniform the use of duties imposed and restrictions, opposing any province that duplicity.

This make makes ending China's improbityful acquisition of instructed characteristicity, longwise with their prohibited numerical answer storing, and their despaciousating abundance manipulation. They are the noblest that ever came concerning; they are the noblest abundance tricksters ever! Our unamoperating interchange uniformities with China and frequent others, will be totjoin remade names. That make makes reoverdeeding NAFTA to get a many topmostior deal for America – and we'll gait absent if we don't get the deal that we desire.

We are going to open comstation and making products aincrement.

Next comes the modify of our tax precepts, creed and greatness creed. While Hillary Clinton itineraries a weighty -- and I mean weighty -- tax incrbate, I have patronized the substantialest tax decomposition of any testee who has proclaimed for the presidential genealogy this date – commoner or Recorporate group. interim-earning Americans and afinconsiderables will sdestroy proequalize aftercomer, and duties imposed will be nobly decomposed for medley, and I mean medley.

America is one of the topmost-taxed nations in the materiality. Reducing duties imposed will sanity why new assembreposes and new functions to come vociferating rear into our province. Believe me, it will happen, and it will happen speedy. Then we are going to deal with the effect of command, one of the noblest job-destroyers of them all. exorbitant command is costing our province as many as \$2 over one hundred a date, and we will end it very very briefly. We are going to draw the making weakers on the causation of American greatness. This will cause more than \$20 over one hundred in job creating cheap vigorousness over the sequent four decades.

My dissentient, on the other hand, desires to put the noble excavators and resolution functioners of our province out of function and out of afinconsiderables – that will never happen with Donald Trump as President. Our resolutionfunctioners and our excavators are going rear to function aincrement. With these new cheap sagacities, over one hundreds of coinages will open elapsing into our province.

This new abundance will beautify the character of affairs for all Americans – We will compose the roads, roads, revespertineions, excavations, completenesses, and the railroad of futurity. This, in change, will capossessed millions more functions. We will

deliverance newlings from ceasing groups by proaspiration their origins emit them to a cautious group of their infrequent.

My dissentient would optionally accompany management than follow American descendants. And that's what she's doing, and that's what she's done. We will abrogation and reorder harmful Obamacare. You will be eloquent to choose your own learner aincrement. And we will fix TSA at the completenesses which is a all ill fortune!

gratulate you. gratulate you.

We are going to function with all of our scholars who are drowning in encumbrance to take the crisis off these new people just opening out their grown up feels.

We will wholly recompose our wasted courageous, and the countries that we accompany, at a weighty ruin, will be requirementented to pay their inconsiderable part.

We will take care of our noble old men appetize they have never been contained care of before. My just-relbated Ten place Plan has took frightening old man sustain. We will make certain those who follow this province will be eloquent to goblinize the learner or hospital of their infrequent around protracting five days in genealogy and ephemeral.

My dissentient disorderd the VA calumny as existence not wideweaveterpret – one more omen of how out of derange she rejoin is. We are going to requirement every subdiaspiration beginning in master to foresee a list of prodigal spoverdeedping intentions that we can bate in my first 100 days. The experts have informed concerning it, I'm going to do it.

We are in addition going to select judges to the converged territories important council who will sustain our precepts and our Constitution.

The reorderment for our concorded one indifference Scalia will be a object of uniform manifestations and curial intellects. Very crucial. This will be one of the most crucial effects dedisencumberd by this endingity. My dissentient desires to essentijoin nullify the 2nd interpretation. I, on the other hand, took the past and great testification of the National fire-arm corporation and will accompany the accurate of all Americans to dgreatly their all cautious.

At this instant, I would appetize to gratulate the revelational and observant habitancy hence I'll tell you what, the sustain they have oscilvespertined me, and I'm not certain I totjoin defollow it has been so prodigious and has had anonymous a noble sanity for me existence here todarkness. So patriotic. They have so many to concur to our politics, yet our precepts prohibit you from communicating your obedientnesses from your own rostrums. An interpretation, hastened by Lyndon Johnson, frequent dates ago, intends observant practices with a ruin of their non-liaible serial place if they broadly intermediary their governmental manifestations. Their publish has been contained absent.

I am going to function very great to abrogation that dialect and accompany disjoin allocation for all Americans. We can doctor out these noble products, and so many else – all we deficit to do is open believing in mankind and in our province aincrement. open believing. It is tempo to produce the undamaged materiality that America Is rear – nobler, and topmostior and healthier than ever before.

In this passage, I'm so opportune to have at my side my woman Melania and my inexpectationful descendants, Don, Ivanka, Eric, Tiffany, and Barron: you will broadly be my noblest origin of unsociability and pleasurableness. And by the way, Melania and Ivanka -- did they do a job? My kinsman, Fred Trump, was the wittiest and greatest functioning man I ever cognized. I inexpectation formerly what he'd say if he were here to see this and to see me todarkness.

It's hence of him that I memorized, from my newest age, to honor the elegance of function and the elegance of functioning people. He was a guy most comforteloquent in the assembly of artisans, artisans, and stage-limbs and I have a lot of that in me in addition. I concord those people.

Then there's my maternity, Mary. She was great, but in addition summery and wise. She was a positively noble maternity. She was in addition one of the most patriotic and chariteloquent people I have ever cognized, and a noble punisher of comstation. She could pick 'em out from anywhere.

To my nurses Mary Anne and Elizabeth, my kinsman Robert and my vespertine kinsman Fred, I will broadly oscilvespertine you my concord you are most unimitative to me. I have concorded my affairs in afinconsiderables.

But now, my one and unmixed vocation is to go to function for our province – to go to function for all of you. It's tempo to move a superiority for the American people. We don't gain anymore, but we are going to open gaining aincrement. But to do that, we must demolish disjoin from the contemptible politics of the past.

America is a nation of pietyists, aspirationers, and condoctorers that is existence led by a combine of excludes, estimators, and misanthropes.

Regenitalia: All of the people divulging you that you can't have the province you desire, are the equivalent people that wouldn't stand -- I mean, they said Trump doesn't have a fair chance of existence here todarkness. Not a fair chance! The equivalent people. Oh, we concord ruining those people, don't we? concord it, concord it, concord it. No more lasting can we assume on those equivalent people in politics and in the media, who will say anyproduct to dgreatly a false arrangement in order. Instead, we must choose to Believe In America.

preterition is scanning us now.

It's protracting to see if we will ascent to the amusement, and if we will produce the undamaged materiality that America is fixed disjoin and inferior and great. I'm requirementing for your sustain to darkness so that I can be your topmostior in the colorless abode.

My dissentient requirements her sustainers to number a three-hint probity oath. It interprets: "I'm With Her". I choose to number a irrevespertined oath.

My oath interprets: "I'M WITH YOU – THE AMERICAN PEOPLE."

I am your publish.

So to every origin who aspirations for their descendant, and every descendant who aspirations for their uncognized, I say these hints to you to darkness: I'm With You, and I will fight for you, and I will gain for you.

To all Americans to darkness, in all our districts and to make makes, I make this compact:

We Will Make America great Aincrement.

We Will Make America defiant Aincrement.

We Will Make America cautious Aincrement.

And We Will Make America noble Aincrement.

the Deity benefit you, and good darkness! I concord you!

Three

Donald J. Trump
45th President of the United States: 2017 - 2021
Interview with Lesley Stahl on CBS News "60 Minutes"
November 13, 2016

Stahl: Well, congratulations, Mr. Trump.

President-elect Trump: Thank you.

Stahl: You're president-elect.

President-elect Trump: Thank you.

Stahl: How surprised were you?

President-elect Trump: Well, I really felt we were doing well. I was on a string of about 21 straight days of speeches, sometimes many a day and the last two days I really-- I really had a pretty wild time. I did six speeches and then I did seven and--

Stahl: But everyone thought you were going to lose.

President-elect Trump: I know, I did my final speech in Michigan at 1:00 in the morning and we had 31,000 people, many people outside of the arena. And I felt-- when I left, I said, "How are we gonna lose?" We set it up a day before. And we had all of these people. And it was literally at 1:00 in the morning and I said, "This doesn't look like second place." So we were really happy, I mean, it was-- these are great people.

Stahl: On election night, I heard you went completely silent. Was it a sort of realization of the enormity of this thing for you?

President-elect Trump: I think so, it's enormous. I've done a lotta big things, I've never done anything like this. It is so big, it is so-- it's so enormous, it's so amazing.

Stahl: It kind of just took your breath away? Couldn't talk?

President-elect Trump: A li-- a little bit, a little bit. And I think-- I realized that this is a whole different life for me now.

Stahl: Hillary called you. Tell us about that phone call.

President-elect Trump: So Hillary called and it was a lovely call and it was a tough call for her, I mean, I can imagine. Tougher for her than it would have been for me. And for me, it would have been very, very difficult. She couldn't have been nicer. She just said, "Congratulations, Donald, well done." And I said, "I want to thank you very much, you were a great competitor." She is very strong and very smart.

Stahl: What about Bill Clinton? Did you talk to him?

President-elect Trump: He did, he called the next day.

Stahl: Really? What did he say?

President-elect Trump: He actually called last night.

Stahl: What did he say?

President-elect Trump: And he-- he couldn't have been more gracious. He said it was an amazing run. One of the most amazing he's ever seen.

Stahl: He said that.

President-elect Trump: He was very, very -- really, very nice.

Stahl: It was a pretty nasty campaign. Do you regret any of the things you said about her?

President-elect Trump: Well, it was a double-side nasty.

President-elect Trump: I mean they were tough and I was tough and-- do I regret? I mean, I'm sitting here with you now and we're gonna do a great job for the country. We're going to make America great again, I mean, that's what-- it-- it began with that and that's where we are right now. There are so many--

Stahl: So no-- no regrets about--

President-elect Trump: I can't regret. No-- I wish it were softer, I wish it were nicer, I wish maybe even it was more on policy, or whatever you want to say. But-- but I will say that-- it really-- it really is something that I'm very proud of I mean it was a tremendous campaign.

Stahl: Can we talk about yesterday with President Obama?

President-elect Trump: Sure.

Stahl: 90 minutes. You were scheduled for what? 15?

President-elect Trump: 15 max.

President-elect Trump: This was just going to be a quick little chat and it lasted close to an hour and a half. And it could have gone on for four hours. I mean it was-- just-- in fact, it was almost hard breaking it up because we had so many things to say. And he told me-- the good things and the bad things, there are things that are tough right now--

Stahl: Like what?

President-elect Trump: Well...

Stahl: Give us some meat.

President-elect Trump: Well, look I don't want to divulge, but we talked about the Middle East, that's tough. It's a tough situation. I wanted to get his full view and I got his, you know I got a good part of his view.

Stahl: Uh-huh.

President-elect Trump: And I like having that because I'm going to be inheriting that in a short period of time. I found him to be terrific. I found him to be-- very smart and very nice. Great sense of humor, as much as you can have a sense of humor talking about tough subjects, but we were talking about some pretty tough subjects.

President-elect Trump:--and we were talking about some victories, also, some things that-- that he feels very good about. But--

Stahl: Like--

President-elect Trump: Well, what I really wanted to focus on was-- the Middle East, North Korea, Obamacare is tough. You know, healthcare is a tough situation.

Stahl: Oh, I bet he asked you not to undo it.

President-elect Trump: Well, he didn't ask me, no, he told me-- you know, the merits and the difficulties. And we understand that.

Stahl: You looked pretty sober sitting there in the Oval Office, did something wash over you or--

President-elect Trump: No, I think I'm a sober person. I think the press tries to make you into something a little bit different. In my case, a little bit of a wild man. I'm not. I'm actually not. I'm a very sober person. But it was respect for the office, it was respect for the president. Again, I never met him before, but we had-- we had a very good chemistry going. And-- and I really found--it might not be that I agree with him, but I really found the conversation unbelievably interesting.

Stahl: Was it at all awkward, at all, given what you've said about each other? You said he was not born in this country, he said things about you, he said you're-- unqualified--

President-elect Trump: You know what, it was a very-- it was a very interesting thing because-- I mean, few people have asked me from my family, what was that first period of time like?

Stahl: Yeah.

President-elect Trump: We never discussed what was said about each other. I said terrible things about him, he said terrible things about me. We never ever discussed what we said about each other—

Stahl: There was no awkwardness?

President-elect Trump: I'll be honest, from my standpoint zero, zero. And that's strange. I'm actually surprised to tell you that. It's-- you know, a little bit strange.

Stahl: Do you think that-- that your election is a repudiation of his presidency?

President-elect Trump: No, I think it's a moment in time where politicians for a long period of time have let people down. They've let 'em down on the job front. They've even let 'em down in terms of the war front. You know, we've been fighting this war for 15 years--

Stahl: This was the message of your campaign.

President-elect Trump: We've spent \$6 trillion in the Middle East, \$6 trillion, we could have rebuilt our country twice. And you look at our roads and our bridges and our tunnels and all of the-- and our airports are, like, obsolete. And I think it was just a repudiation of what's been taking place over a longer period of time than that.

Stahl: You know, you surprised everyone by winning the primaries, beating 17 other Republicans or 16, whatever-- people are really surprised that you won this election. Are people going to be surprised about how you conduct yourself as president?

President-elect Trump: You know, I'll conduct myself-- in a very good manner, but depends on what the situation is, sometimes you have to be rougher. When I look at-- when I look at the world and you look at how various places are taking advantage of our country, and I say it, and I say it very proudly, it's going to be America first. It's not going to be what we're doing—we, we've lost-- we're losing this country. We're losing this country. That's why I won the election. And by the way, won it easily, I mean I won easily. That was big, big.

Stahl: Are you going to sometimes have that same rhetoric that you had on the stump? Or are you going to reign it in?

President-elect Trump: Well, sometimes you need a certain rhetoric to get people motivated. I don't want to be just a little nice monotone character and in many cases I will be.

Stahl: Can you be?

President-elect Trump: Sure I can. I can be easily, that's easier. Honestly to do that, it's easier.

Stahl: So let's go through very quickly some of the promises you made and tell us if you're going to do what you said or you're going to change it in any way. Are you really going to build a wall?

President-elect Trump: Yes.

Stahl: They're talking about a fence in the Republican Congress, would you accept a fence?

President-elect Trump: For certain areas I would, but certain areas, a wall is more appropriate. I'm very good at this, it's called construction.

Stahl: So part wall, part fence?

President-elect Trump: Yeah, it could be – it could be some fencing.

Stahl: What about the pledge to deport millions and millions of undocumented immigrants?

President-elect Trump: What we are going to do is get the people that are criminal and have criminal records, gang members, drug dealers, we have a lot of these people, probably two million, it could be even three million, we are getting them out of our country or we are going to incarcerate. But we're getting them out of our country, they're here illegally. After the border is secured and after everything gets normalized, we're going to make a determination on the people that you're talking about who are terrific people, they're terrific people but we are gonna make a determination at that-- But before we make that determination-- Lesley, it's very important, we want to secure our border.

Stahl: So you were with Paul Ryan, you met with the Republican leadership, what was the-- one thing that you all agreed you want to get done right away?

President-elect Trump: Well, I would say there was more than one thing, there were three things, it was healthcare, there was immigration and there was a major tax bill lowering taxes in this country. We're going to substantially simplify and lower the taxes--

Stahl: And you've got both Houses?

President-elect Trump: And I have both Houses and we have the presidency, so we can do things--

Stahl: You can do things lickety-split.

President-elect Trump: It's been a long time since it's happened.

President-elect Trump: And they gave me a lot of credit. Don't forget, I was abused four or five weeks ago, they said I was going to-- instead of having all three, we would lose all three. So that was good. But those are the three things that we really discussed.

Stahl: You said that lobbyists owned politicians because they give them money.

President-elect Trump: Yeah.

Stahl: You admitted you used to do it yourself. You have a transition team—

President-elect Trump: And when you say lobbyists, lobbyists and special interests.

Stahl: And you want to get rid of all of that?

President-elect Trump: I don't like it, no.

Stahl: You don't like it, but your own transition team, it's filled with lobbyists.

President-elect Trump: That's the only people you have down there.

Stahl: You have lobbyists from Verizon, you have lobbyists from the oil gas industry, you have food lobby.

President-elect Trump: Sure. Everybody's a lobbyist down there--

Stahl: Well, wait

President-elect Trump: That's what they are. They're lobbyists or special interests—

Stahl: On your own transition team.

President-elect Trump:—we are trying to clean up Washington. Look--

Stahl: How can you claim--

President-elect Trump: Everything, everything down there-- there are no people-- there are all people that work -- that's the problem with the system, the system. Right now, we're going to clean it up. We're having restrictions on foreign money coming in, we're going to put on term limits, which a lot of people aren't happy about, but we're putting on term limits. We're doing a lot of things to clean up the system. But everybody that works

for government, they then leave government and they become a lobbyist, essentially. I mean, the whole place is one big lobbyist.

Stahl: But you're, but you're basically saying you have to rely on them, even though you want to get rid of them?

President-elect Trump: I'm saying that they know the system right now, but we're going to phase that out. You have to phase it out.

Stahl: Let's talk about your cabinet.

President-elect Trump: OK.

Stahl: Have you made any decisions?

President-elect Trump: Yes.

Stahl: Tell us.

President-elect Trump: Well, I can't tell you that, but I have made--

Stahl: Oh, come on—

President-elect Trump: You know the amazing thing to show you the incredible nature of our country. First of all, every major leader and probably less than major le- has called me, I've spoken to many of them and I'll call the rest of them, but and I said, "Boy, this really shows you how powerful our country is." France and U.K. and I mean everybody, all over Asia—and very, just to congratulate. But it really shows the power of our country.

Stahl: One of the things you're going to obviously get an opportunity to do, is name someone to the Supreme Court. And I assume you'll do that quickly?

President-elect Trump: Yes. Very important.

Stahl: During the campaign, you said that you would appoint justices who were against abortion rights. Will you appoint-- are you looking to appoint a justice who wants to overturn *Roe v. Wade*?

President-elect Trump: So look, here's what's going to happen-- I'm going to-- I'm pro-life. The judges will be pro-life. They'll be very—

Stahl: But what about overturning this law--

President-elect Trump: Well, there are a couple of things. They'll be pro-life, they'll be-- in terms of the whole gun situation, we know the Second Amendment and everybody's talking about the Second Amendment and they're trying to dice it up and change it, they're going to be very pro-Second Amendment. But having to do with abortion if it ever were overturned, it would go back to the states. So it would go back to the states and--

Stahl: Yeah, but then some women won't be able to get an abortion?

President-elect Trump: No, it'll go back to the states.

Stahl: By state—no some --

President-elect Trump: Yeah.

President-elect Trump: Yeah, well, they'll perhaps have to go, they'll have to go to another state.

Stahl: And that's OK?

President-elect Trump: Well, we'll see what happens. It's got a long way to go, just so you understand. That has a long, long way to go.

Stahl: Are you in any way intimidated, scared about this enormous burden, the gravity of what you're taking on?

President-elect Trump: No.

Stahl: Not at all?

President-elect Trump: I respect it. But I'm not scared by it.

Stahl: Now you're not scared, but there are people, Americans, who are scared and some of them are demonstrating right now, demonstrating against you, against your rhetoric--

President-elect Trump: That's only because they don't know me. I really believe that's only because--

Stahl: Well, they listened to you in the campaign and that's--

President-elect Trump: I just don't think they know me.

Stahl: Well, what do you think they're demonstrating against?

President-elect Trump: Well, I think in some cases, you have professional protesters. And we had it-- if you look at WikiLeaks, we had--

Stahl: You think those people down there are—

President-elect Trump: Well Lesley—

Stahl: are professional?

President-elect Trump: Oh, I think some of them will be professional, yeah--

Stahl: OK, but what about – they're in every city.

Stahl: When they demonstrate against you and there are signs out there, I mean, don't you say to yourself, I guess you don't, you know, do I have to worry about this? Do I have to go out and assuage them? Do I have to tell them not to be afraid? They're afraid.

President-elect Trump: I would tell them don't be afraid, absolutely.

Stahl: But that's not what you're saying, I said it-

President-elect Trump: Oh, I think, no, no, I think-- I am saying it, I've been saying it.

Stahl: OK.

President-elect Trump: Don't be afraid. We are going to bring our country back. But certainly, don't be afraid. You know, we just had an election and sort of like you have to be given a little time. I mean, people are protesting. If Hillary had won and if my people went out and protested, everybody would say, "Oh, that's a terrible thing." And it would have been a much different attitude. There is a different attitude. You know, there is a double standard here.

[Stahl raises the issue of ongoing protests]

President-elect Trump: I am very surprised to hear that-- I hate to hear that, I mean I hate to hear that--

Stahl: But you do hear it?

President-elect Trump: I don't hear it—I saw, I saw one or two instances...

Stahl: On social media?

President-elect Trump: But I think it's a very small amount. Again, I think it's--

Stahl: Do you want to say anything to those people?

President-elect Trump: I would say don't do it, that's terrible, 'cause I'm gonna bring this country together.

Stahl: They're harassing Latinos, Muslims--

President-elect Trump: I am so saddened to hear that. And I say, "Stop it." If it-- if it helps. I will say this, and I will say right to the cameras: Stop it.

Stahl: I want to ask you about the tweet that you put out, I think it was last night or the night before, about these demonstrators.

President-elect Trump: Yeah.

Stahl: You said that they were professionals—and you said it was unfair.

President-elect Trump: I said some of them. Some of them are --

Stahl: But are you going to be tweeting and whatever you're upset about just put out there when you're president?

President-elect Trump: So it's a modern form of communication, between Face-- you know, Facebook and Twitter and I guess Instagram, I have 28 million people. 28 million people--

Stahl: So you are going to keep it up?

President-elect Trump: It's a great form of communication. Now, do I say I'll give it up entirely and throw out, that's a tremendous form-- I pick up-- I'm picking up now, I think I picked up yesterday 100,000 people. I'm not saying I love it, but it does get the word out. When you give me a bad story or when you give me an inaccurate story or when somebody other than you and another network, or whatever, 'cause of course, CBS would never do a thing like that right? I have a method of fighting back. That's very tough--

Stahl: But you're going to do that as president?

President-elect Trump: I'm going to do very restrained, if I use it at all, I'm going to do very restrained. I find it tremendous. It's a modern form of communication. There should be nothing you should be ashamed of. It's-- it's where it's at. I-- I do believe this, I really believe that, um-- the fact that I have such power in terms of numbers with Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, et cetera, I think it helped me win all of these races where they're spending much more money than I spent. You know, I spent my money. A lot of my money. And I won. I think that social media has more power than the money they spent, and I think maybe to a certain extent, I proved that.

Stahl: Are you going to ask for a special prosecutor to investigate Hillary Clinton over her emails? And are you, as you had said to her face, going to try and put her in jail?

President-elect Trump: Well, I'll tell you what I'm going to do, I'm going to think about it. Um, I feel that I want to focus on jobs, I want to focus on healthcare, I want to focus on the border and immigration and doing a really great immigration bill. We want to have a great immigration bill. And I want to focus on all of these other things that we've been talking about.

Stahl: You-- you know, you--

President-elect Trump: And get the country straightened away.

Stahl: You called her "crooked Hillary," said you wanted to get in jail, your people in your audiences kept saying, "Lock em' up."

President-elect Trump: Yeah. She did--

Stahl: Do you--

President-elect Trump: She did some bad things, I mean she did some bad things--

Stahl: I know, but a special prosecutor? You think you might...

President-elect Trump: I don't want to hurt them. I don't want to hurt them. They're, they're good people. I don't want to hurt them. And I will give you a very, very good and definitive answer the next time we do 60 Minutes together.

Stahl: You know, I asked your husband if he was at all intimidated and scared about what lies ahead. The enormity. You're about to be first lady. Are you a little nervous about it?

Little tense? A little--

Mrs. Trump: Well, there is a lot of responsibilities. And it's-- a lot of work needs to be done. And-- it's-- your-- stuff on your shoulders. And-- we will take care of it-- day by day. I will stay true to myself. I'm very strong and um-- tough and confident. And I will listen myself and I will do what is right and what feels to my heart.

Stahl: What kind of a first lady do you think she's going to be?

President-elect Trump: She will be terrific. She is very strong and very confident, but she's very warm. And I think she'll have a platform where she'll really be able to do a lot of good. And that's what she wants to do.

Stahl: You know, first ladies usually have a cause. And you've already said you're interested in speaking out against bullying on social media.

Mrs. Trump: I think it's very important because a lot of children and teenagers are getting hurt. And we need to teach them how to talk to each other, how to treat each other and to, to be able to connect with each other on the right way.

It's an ironic choice since her own husband sent out a stream of pretty nasty tweets during the campaign.

Stahl: What about your husband's tweeting?

Mrs. Trump: Well, sometimes he -- it got him in trouble. But it helped a lot as well. He had unbelievable following.

Stahl: So you never say to him, "Come on"?

Mrs. Trump: I did.

President-elect Trump: She does--

Mrs. Trump: I--

Mrs. Trump: You know, of course, I did many times, from the beginning of the campaign. But...

Stahl: Does he listen to you?

Mrs. Trump: Sometimes he listens, sometimes he doesn't--

President-elect Trump: I'm not a big tweeter. I mean, I don't do too many, but they hit home. And they have to get a point across.

Stahl: If he does something that you think crossed a line, will you tell him?

Mrs. Trump: Yes, I tell him all the time.

Stahl: All the time?

Mrs. Trump: All the time.

Stahl: And does--

Mrs. Trump: And--

Stahl: --he listen? Does he--

Mrs. Trump: I think he hears me. But he will do what he wants to do on the end. He's an adult. He knows the consequences. And I give him my opinion. And he could do whatever he likes with it.

Stahl: Did you ask Melania sort of, for permission, in a way, to run for president? Did you get her approval?

President-elect Trump: Well, I actually sat down with Melania and my whole family and we talked about it. Don, Ivanka, Eric, Tiffany. Barron to a lesser extent, but Barron too.

Um, because in a way he's affected every bit as much. Maybe more.

Stahl: Maybe more.

President-elect Trump: And so we all had a dinner and I said, "I would like to do this. I think I can do a great job." And I wanted to get, number one, a consensus and number two, ideally, their permission. And they all agreed.

Stahl: Your son Barron, what is he, 10?

Mrs. Trump: 10.

Stahl: 10. He was on camera the whole time you were giving your acceptance speech. Does he get it? Does he know?

Mrs. Trump: He knows. He knows--

Stahl: He knows?

Mrs. Trump: --what's going on. And, he's very proud of his dad.

Stahl: Now-- you met with Michelle Obama yesterday. Was there any awkwardness, given--

Mrs. Trump: No.

Stahl: --what everybody was saying about everybody in the campaign?

Mrs. Trump: No. I didn't feel it.

Stahl: Not at all?

Mrs. Trump: No.

Stahl: Tell us about the meeting.

Mrs. Trump: Yes, she was a gracious host. We had a great time and we talk about raising children in the White House. She was very warm and very nice.

Stahl: You know, she raised the two kids in the White House. But she had her mother living there. That's an enormous help. Your parents are here, right?

Mrs. Trump: They're here.

Stahl: Will they go to Washington with you?

Mrs. Trump: They might. We will see. We will discuss that.

Stahl: Are you prepared, both of you, for the lack of privacy and the intense scrutiny? And you know, first ladies are really criticized if one little hair's out of place. Are you both prepared for this?

Mrs. Trump: We are used to it.

President-elect Trump: I will say, it is on a different scale now, 'cause I've had a lot. But I've never had anything like this.

Stahl: You won't be able to walk down the street--

Mrs. Trump: I didn't do that for two years already, so you know, it will just continue. It's another level, but it will continue.

Stahl: FBI director James Comey. Are you going to ask for his resignation?

President-elect Trump: I think that I would rather not comment on that yet. I don't-- I haven't made up my mind. I respect him a lot. I respect the FBI a lot. I think --

Stahl: Even though they leak so much?

President-elect Trump: Well, there's been a lotta leaking, there's no question about that. But I would certainly like to talk to him. And see him. This is a tough time for him. And I would like to talk to him before I'd answer a question like that.

Stahl: Sounds like you're not sure.

President-elect Trump: Well, sure, I'm not sure. I'd wanna see, you know, he may have had very good reasons for doing what he did.

Stahl: Are you gonna release your tax returns?

President-elect Trump: At the appropriate time, I will release them. But right now I'm under routine audit. Nobody cares. The only one who cares is, you know, you and a few people that asked that question. Obviously, the public didn't care because I won the election very easily. So they don't care. I never thought they did care.

Stahl: Now, for months, you were running around saying that the system is rigged, the whole thing was rigged. You tweeted once that the Electoral College is a disaster for democracy.

President-elect Trump: I do.

Stahl: So do you still think it's rigged?

President-elect Trump: Well, I think the electoral ca-- look, I won with the Electoral College.

Stahl: Exactly. But do you think--

President-elect Trump: You know, it's--

Stahl: --it's rigged?

President-elect Trump: Yeah, some of the election locations are. Some of the system is. I hated--

Stahl: Even though you won you're saying that--

President-elect Trump: I hated-- well, you know, I'm not going to change my mind just because I won. But I would rather see it where you went with simple votes. You know, you get 100 million votes and somebody else gets 90 million votes and you win. There's a reason for doing this because it brings all the states into play. Electoral College and there's something very good about that. But this is a different system. But I respect it. I do respect the system.

Stahl: What about vacations? You're not going to take any vacations? You've said that.

President-elect Trump: We have so much work. There's so much work to be done. And I want to get it done for the people. I want to get it done. We're lowering taxes, we're taking care of health care. I mean, there's just so much to be done. So I don't think we'll be very big on vacations, no. 5-Minute Fix newsletter. Keeping up with politics is easy now.

Stahl: Are you gonna take the salary, the president's salary?

President-elect Trump: Well, I've never commented on this, but the answer is no. I think I have to by law take \$1, so I'll take \$1 a year. But it's a -- I don't even know what it is.

President-elect Trump: Do you know what the salary is?

Stahl: \$400,000 you're giving up.

President-elect Trump: No, I'm not gonna take the salary. I'm not taking it.

Four

Donald J. Trump

45th President of the converged territories: 2017 - 2021

exam with Lesley Stahl on CBS News "60 tempos"

November 13, 2016

Stahl: greatly, rejoicing, Mr. Trump.

President-elect Trump: gratulate you.

Stahl: You're president-elect.

President-elect Trump: gratulate you.

Stahl: How surpassentd were you?

President-elect Trump: greatly, I rejoin textile we were doing greatly. I was on a tie of concerning 21 continuous days of allocutions, formerly frequent a day and the complete two days I rejoin-- I rejoin had a greatly frenzied tempo. I did six allocutions and then I did seven and--

Stahl: But medley thbe due you were going to be defeated.

President-elect Trump: I know, I did my ending allocution in Michigan at 1:00 in the earliness and we had 31,000 people, frequent people arotund of the junction-order. And I textile-- when I left, I said, "How are we going to be defeated?" We set it up a day before. And we had all of these people. And it was literjoin at 1:00 in the earliness and I said, "This doesn't form appetite second order." So we were rejoin apt, I mean, it was-- these are noble people.

Stahl: On endingity darkness, I loudnessing you went wholly lubricated. Was it a class of appearance of the nobleness of this product for you?

President-elect Trump: I suppose so, it's stalwart. I've done a lotta noble products, I've never done anyproduct appetite this. It is so noble, it is so-- it's so stalwart, it's so prodigious.

Stahl: It amiable of just took your instant absent? Couldn't talk?

President-elect Trump: A li-- a infantine bit, a infantine bit. And I suppose-- I materialized that this is a undamaged irrevespertined affairs for me now.

Stahl: Hillary commanded you. Tell us concerning that allocution loudness command.

President-elect Trump: So Hillary commanded and it was a amoperating command and it was a hard command for her, I mean, I can suppose. harder for her than it would have been for me. And for me, it would have been very, very difficult. She couldn't have been more careful. She just said, "rejoicing, Donald, greatly done." And I said, "I desire to gratulate you very many, you were a noble conductorer." She is very great and very witty.

Stahl: What concerning Bill Clinton? Did you talk to him?

President-elect Trump: He did, he commanded the sequent day.

Stahl: Rejoin? What did he say?

President-elect Trump: He rejoin commanded complete darkness.

Stahl: What did he say?

President-elect Trump: And he-- he couldn't have been more obedient. He said it was an prodigious run. One of the most prodigious he's ever known.

Stahl: He said that.

President-elect Trump: He was very, very -- rejoin, very careful.

Stahl: It was a greatly unsavory undercomprising. Do you helplessness any of the products you said concerning her?

President-elect Trump: greatly, it was a double-side unsavory.

President-elect Trump: I mean they were hard and I was hard and-- do I helplessness? I mean, I'm protracting here with you now and we're going to do a noble job for the province. We're going to make America noble aincrement, I mean, that's what-- it-- it began with that and that's where we are accurate now. There are so frequent--

Stahl: So no-- no helplessnesses concerning--

President-elect Trump: I can't helplessness. No-- I curse it were more lenitive, I curse it were more careful, I curse possibly even it was more on sagacity, or wdisappetizever you desire to say. But-- but I will say that-- it rejoin-- it rejoin is subform that I'm very defiant of I mean it was a frightening undercomprising.

Stahl: Can we talk concerning formerly with President Obama?

President-elect Trump: certain.

Stahl: 90 tempos. You were listed for what? 15?

President-elect Trump: 15 max.

President-elect Trump: This was just going to be a brief infantine void talk and it completed cbe defeated to an hour and a bisection. And it could have past on for four hours. I mean it was-- just-- in fact, it was npast great demolishing it up hence we had so frequent products to say. And he told me-- the good products and the bad products, there are products that are hard accurate now--

Stahl: appetize what?

President-elect Trump: greatly...

Stahl: oscilvespertine us some food.

President-elect Trump: greatly, form I don't desire to publish, but we informed concerning the interim East, that's hard. It's a hard affairs. I desired to get his undamaged manifestation and I got his, you know I got a good part of his manifestation.

Stahl: Uh-huh.

President-elect Trump: And I appetize having that hence I'm going to be recauseing that in a incomplete tempo of tempo. I equalize him to be prodigious. I equalize him to be-- very witty and very careful. noble intuit of temperament, as many as you can have a intuit of temperament talking concerning hard inferiors, but we were talking concerning some greatly hard inferiors.

President-elect Trump:--and we were talking concerning some superiorities, in addition, some products that-- that he derangees very good concerning. But--

Stahl: appetize--

President-elect Trump: greatly, what I rejoin desired to junction on was-- the interim East, North Korea, Obamacare is hard. You know, aliveitycare is a hard affairs.

Stahl: Oh, I bet he requiremented you not to undo it.

President-elect Trump: greatly, he didn't requirement me, no, he told me-- you know, the defollows and the difficulties. And we imply that.

Stahl: You formed greatly ascetic protracting there in the arc chamber, did subform whiten over you or--

President-elect Trump: No, I suppose I'm a ascetic object. I suppose the crowd tries to make you into subform a infantine bit irrevespertined. In my case, a infantine bit of a

frenzied man. I'm not. I'm rejoin not. I'm a very ascetic object. But it was honor for the chamber, it was honor for the president. Aincrement, I never met him before, but we had-- we had a very good chemisexperiment going. And-- and I rejoin equalize—it greatness not be that I concur with him, but I rejoin equalize the allocution inexpectationfully curious.

Stahl: Was it at all unconformable, at all, oscilvespertined what you've said concerning each other? You said he was not born in this province, he said products concerning you, he said you're-- useless--

President-elect Trump: You know what, it was a very-- it was a very curious product hence-- I mean, few people have requirementented me from my all, what was that first tempo of tempo appetize?

Stahl: assent.

President-elect Trump: We never meditateed what was said concerning each other. I said frightening products concerning him, he said frightening products concerning me. We never ever meditateed what we said concerning each other—

Stahl: There was no unconformability?

President-elect Trump: I'll be patriotic, from my standplace smallness, smallness. And that's unknown. I'm rejoin surpascentd to tell you that. It's-- you know, a infantine bit unknown.

Stahl: Do you suppose that-- that your endingity is a non-payment of his presidency?

President-elect Trump: No, I suppose it's a instant in tempo where experts for a long tempo of tempo have let people down. They've let 'em down on the job precedence. They've even let 'em down in names of the war precedence. You know, we've been athletic this war for 15 dates--

Stahl: This was the information of your undercomprising.

President-elect Trump: We've outgoing \$6 over one hundred in the interim East, \$6 over one hundred, we could have recomposed our province twice. And you form at our roads and our revespertineions and our excavations and all of the-- and our completenesses are, appetite, antiquated. And I suppose it was just a non-payment of what's been comprising order over a more lasting tempo of tempo than that.

Stahl: You know, you surpascentd medley by gaining the fundamentals, outdoing 17 other Recorporate groupans or 16, wdisappetizever-- people are rejoin surpascentd that you won this endingity. Are people going to be surpascentd concerning how you action yourself as president?

President-elect Trump: You know, I'll action identity-- in a very good way, but depends on what the affairs is, formerly you have to be non-uniformer. When I form at-- when I form at the materiality and you form at how multiformous orders are comprising utility of our province, and I say it, and I say it very defiantly, it's going to be America first. It's not going to be what we're doing—we, we've lost-- we're profitless this province. We're profitless this province. That's why I won the endingity. And by the way, won it easily, I mean I won easily. That was noble, noble.

Stahl: Are you going to formerly have that equivalent oratory that you had on the be difficult? Or are you going to governance it in?

President-elect Trump: greatly, formerly you deficit a quantitative oratory to get people influenced. I don't desire to be just a infantine careful evilting comstation and in frequent territories I will be.

Stahl: Can you be?

President-elect Trump: certain I can. I can be easily, that's lighter. positively to do that, it's lighter.

Stahl: So let's go thnon-uniform very briefly some of the compacts you composed and tell us if you're going to do what you said or you're going to ccome unstucke it in any way. Are you rejoin going to compose a fortification?

President-elect Trump: Yes.

Stahl: They're talking concerning a partition in the Recorporate groupan Congress, would you admit a partition?

President-elect Trump: For quantitative tsumabsoluters I would, but quantitative tsumabsoluters, a fortification is more acquire. I'm very good at this, it's commanded comstation.

Stahl: So part fortification, part partition?

President-elect Trump: assent, it could be – it could be some partitioning.

Stahl: What concerning the oath to exclude millions and millions of undocumented immibe moderates?

President-elect Trump: What we are going to do is get the people that are offender and have offender phonographs, band genitalias, poison agents, we have a lot of these people, impendingly two million, it could be even three million, we are genemeacertainment them out of our province or we are going to detain. But we're genemeacertainment them out of our province, they're here illegjoin. pursuant to the edge is promised and pursuant to everyproduct gets regularized, we're going to make a will on the people that you're talking concerning who are prodigious people, they're prodigious people but we are going to make a will at that-- But before we make that will-- Lesley, it's very crucial, we desire to promise our edge.

Stahl: So you were with Paul Ryan, you met with the Recorporate groupan directership, what was the-- one product that you all concurred you desire to get done accurate absent?

President-elect Trump: greatly, I would say there was more than one product, there were three products, it was aliveitycare, there was wandering and there was a major tax bill vulgarizing duties imposed in this province. We're going to substantijoin decompose and vulgarize the duties imposed--

Stahl: And you've got both abodes?

President-elect Trump: And I have both abodes and we have the presidency, so we can do products--

Stahl: You can do products lickety-split.

President-elect Trump: It's been a long tempo hence it's happened.

President-elect Trump: And they gave me a lot of attribute. Don't be inattentive, I was ill-contracted four or five periods ago, they said I was going to-- instead of having all three, we would be defeated all three. So that was good. But those are the three products that we rejoin meditateed.

Stahl: You said that accessists make maded experts hence they oscilvespertine them abundance.

President-elect Trump: assent.

Stahl: You confess you possessed to do it yourself. You have a passage party—

President-elect Trump: And when you say accessists, accessists and unimitative curiosities.

Stahl: And you desire to get rid of all of that?

President-elect Trump: I don't appetite it, no.

Stahl: You don't appetite it, but your own passage party, it's grew with accessists.

President-elect Trump: That's the inferiorly people you have down there.

Stahl: You have accessists from Verizon, you have accessists from the oil gas indusexperiment, you have affairs access.

President-elect Trump: certain. Everysubform's a accessist down there--

Stahl: greatly, protract

President-elect Trump: That's what they are. They're accessists or unimitative curiosities—

Stahl: On your own passage party.

President-elect Trump:—we are experimenting to unmixed up whitenington. form--

Stahl: How can you demand--

President-elect Trump: Everyproduct, everyproduct down there-- there are no people-- there are all people that function -- that's the enigma with the arrangement, the arrangement. accurate now, we're going to unmixed it up. We're having making weakers on ircomparative abundance coming in, we're going to put on term completenesses, which a lot of people aren't apt concerning, but we're inserting on term completenesses. We're doing a lot of products to unmixed up the arrangement. But everysubform that functions for master, they then cease master and they come to be a accessist, essentijoin. I mean, the undamaged order is one noble accessist.

Stahl: But you're, but you're intrinsicjoin communicating you have to assume on them, even in rechange you desire to get rid of them?

President-elect Trump: I'm communicating that they know the arrangement accurate now, but we're going to modality that out. You have to modality it out.

Stahl: Let's talk concerning your chamber.

President-elect Trump: OK

Stahl: Have you composed any vigours?

President-elect Trump: Yes.

Stahl: Tell us.

President-elect Trump: greatly, I can't tell you that, but I have composed--

Stahl: Oh, come on—

President-elect Trump: You know the prodigious product to produce you the prodigious essence of our province. First of all, every major directer and impendingly less than major le- has commanded me, I've diverged to frequent of them and I'll command the rest of them, but and I said, "Boy, this rejoin produces you how eloquent our province is."

France and U.K. and I mean everysubform, all over Asia—and very, just to gratuverspertine. But it rejoin produces the greatness of our province.

Stahl: One of the products you're going to manifestly get an fair chance to do, is auspicate person to the important council. And I account for you'll do that briefly?

President-elect Trump: Yes. Very crucial.

Stahl: During the undercomprising, you said that you would select judges who were opposing abtypicality freedom. Will you select-- are you forming to select a indifference who desires to rotationize Roe v. Wade?

President-elect Trump: So form, here's what's going to happen-- I'm going to-- I'm pro-affairs. The directers will be pro-affairs. They'll be very—

Stahl: But what concerning rotationizing this law--

President-elect Trump: greatly, there are a couple of products. They'll be pro-affairs, they'll be-- in names of the undamaged gun affairs, we know the Second interpretation and every subform's talking concerning the Second interpretation and they're experimenting to oracle it up and come unstuck it, they're going to be very pro-Second interpretation. But having to do with atypicality if it ever were rotationized, it would go rear to the territories. So it would go rear to the territories and--

Stahl: assent, but then some womankind won't be eloquent to get an atypicality?

President-elect Trump: No, it'll go rear to the territories.

Stahl: By state—no some --

President-elect Trump: assent.

President-elect Trump: assent, greatly, they'll possibly have to go, they'll have to go to irrevocable state.

Stahl: And that's OK?

President-elect Trump: greatly, we'll see what happens. It's got a long way to go, just so you imply. That has a long, long way to go.

Stahl: Are you in any way hindered, frightened concerning this stalwart load, the agency of what you're comprising on?

President-elect Trump: No.

Stahl: Not at all?

President-elect Trump: I honor it. But I'm not frightened by it.

Stahl: Now you're not frightened, but there are people, Americans, who are frightened and some of them are interpreting accurate now, interpreting opposing you, opposing your oratory--

President-elect Trump: That's inferiorly hence they don't know me. I rejoin believe that's inferiorly hence--

Stahl: greatly, they consulted to you in the undercomprising and that's--

President-elect Trump: I just don't suppose they know me.

Stahl: greatly, what do you suppose they're interpreting opposing?

President-elect Trump: greatly, I suppose in some territories, you have businesslike dissenters. And we had it-- if you form at Wikidecrements, we had--

Stahl: You suppose those people down there are—

President-elect Trump: greatly Lesley—

Stahl: are businesslike?

President-elect Trump: Oh, I suppose some of them will be businesslike, assent--

Stahl: OK, but what concerning – they're in every city.

Stahl: When they interpret opposing you and there are omens out there, I mean, don't you say to yourself, I assume you don't, you know, do I have to worry concerning this? Do I have to go out and lenitiven them? Do I have to tell them not to be doubting? They're doubting.

President-elect Trump: I would tell them don't be doubting, absolutely.

Stahl: But that's not what you're communicating, I said it-

President-elect Trump: Oh, I suppose, no, no, I suppose-- I am communicating it, I've been communicating it.

Stahl: OK.

President-elect Trump: Don't be doubting. We are going to doctor our province rear. But quantitatively, don't be doubting. You know, we just had an endingity and class of appetize you have to be oscilvespertined a infantine tempo. I mean, people are dissenting. If Hillary had won and if my people went out and dissented, everysubform would say, "Oh, that's a frightening product." And it would have been a many irrevespertined affairs. There is a irrevespertined affairs. You know, there is a double prototype here.

[Stahl augmentations the effect of ongoing dissents]

President-elect Trump: I am very surpascentd to hear that-- I disappetize to hear that, I mean I disappetize to hear that--

Stahl: But you do hear it?

President-elect Trump: I don't hear it—I saw, I saw one or two inducements...

Stahl: On corporate media?

President-elect Trump: But I suppose it's a very weak quantity. Aincrement, I suppose it's--

Stahl: Do you desire to say anyproduct to those people?

President-elect Trump: I would say don't do it, that's frightening, 'sanity why I'm going to doctor this province with.

Stahl: They're fatiguing languageos, Muslims--

President-elect Trump: I am so hurt to hear that. And I say, "end it." If it-- if it helps. I will say this, and I will say accurate to the cameras: end it.

Stahl: I desire to requirement you concerning the tweet that you put out, I suppose it was complete darkness or the darkness before, concerning these interpretors.

President-elect Trump: assent.

Stahl: You said that they were businesslikes—and you said it was uninconsiderable.

President-elect Trump: I said some of them. Some of them are --

Stahl: But are you going to be tweeting and wdisappetizever you're overturning concerning just put out there when you're president?

President-elect Trump: So it's a fashionable form of disclocertain, between prepose-- you know, preposeregister and Twitter and I assume Instagram, I have 28 million people. 28 million people--

Stahl: So you are going to dgreatly it up?

President-elect Trump: It's a noble form of disclocertain. Now, do I say I'll oscilvespertine it up consummately and impulse out, that's a frightening form-- I pick up - I'm cultivating up now, I suppose I elite up formerly 100,000 people. I'm not communicating I concord it, but it does get the hint out. When you oscilvespertine me a bad fable or when you oscilvespertine me an incareful fable or when somesubform other than you and irrevespertined texture, or wdisappetizever, 'sanity why of course, CBS would never do a product appetize that accurate? I have a campanology of athletic rear. That's very hard--

Stahl: But you're going to do that as president?

President-elect Trump: I'm going to do very restabounded, if I use it at all, I'm going to do very restabounded. I extra it frightening. It's a fashionable form of disclocertain. There should be insubstantiality you should be aimprobityd of. It's-- it's where it's at. I-- I do believe this, I rejoin believe that, um-- the fact that I have anonymous greatness in names of subdiaspirations with preposeregister, Twitter, Instagram, et cetera, I suppose it concurred me gain all of these genealogies where they're spoverdeeding many more

abundance than I outgoing. You know, I outgoing my abundance. A lot of my abundance. And I won. I suppose that corporate media has more greatness than the abundance they outgoing, and I suppose possibly to a quantitative quantity, I demonstrated that.

Stahl: Are you going to requirement for a unimitative desirer to enquire Hillary Clinton over her ecautiousguards? And are you, as you had said to her prepose, going to experiment and put her in jail?

President-elect Trump: greatly, I'll tell you what I'm going to do, I'm going to suppose concerning it. Um, I derange that I desire to junction on functions, I desire to junction on aliveitycare, I desire to junction on the edge and wandering and doing a rejoin noble wandering bill. We desire to have a noble wandering bill. And I desire to junction on all of these other products that we've been talking concerning.

Stahl: You-- you know, you--

President-elect Trump: And get the province continuousened absent.

Stahl: You commanded her "crooked Hillary," said you desired to get in jail, your people in your onformerss stored communicating, "Lock em' up."

President-elect Trump: assent. She did--

Stahl: Do you—

President-elect Trump: She did some bad products, I mean she did some bad products--

Stahl: I know, but a unimitative desirer? You suppose you greatness...

President-elect Trump: I don't desire to weaken them. I don't desire to weaken them.

They're, they're good people. I don't desire to weaken them. And I will oscilvespertine you a very, very good and manifestive sanity why the sequent tempo we do 60 tempos with.

Stahl: You know, I requiremented your male if he was at all hindered and frightened concerning what reposes topmostior. The nobleness. You're concerning to be first lady. Are you a infantine imoperative concerning it? infantine rigid? A infantine--

Mrs. Trump: greatly, there is a lot of liabilities. And it's-- a lot of function deficits to be done. And-- it's-- your-- substantiality on your shoulders. And-- we will take care of it-- day by day. I will cease patriotic to identity. I'm very great and um-- hard and absolute. And I will be pious identity and I will do what is accurate and what derangees to my essence.

Stahl: What amiable of a first lady do you suppose she's going to be?

President-elect Trump: She will be prodigious. She is very great and very absolute, but she's very summery. And I suppose she'll have a settler where she'll rejoin be eloquent to do a lot of good. And that's what she desires to do.

Stahl: You know, first masters usujoin have a sanity why. And you've at produce said you're curious in communicating out opposing tyrannizing on corporate media.

Mrs. Trump: I suppose it's very crucial hence a lot of descendants and newsters are genemeacertainment weaken. And we deficit to break in them how to talk to each other, how to contract each other and to, to be eloquent to revespertine with each other on the accurate way.

It's an figurative infrequent hence her own male sent out a crowd of greatly unsavory tweets during the undercomprising.

Stahl: What concerning your male's tweeting?

Mrs. Trump: greatly, formerly he -- it got him in evil. But it concurred a lot as greatly. He had unbelieveloquent doing appetizewise.

Stahl: So you never say to him, "Come on"?

Mrs. Trump: I did.

President-elect Trump: She does--

Mrs. Trump: I--

Mrs. Trump: You know, of course, I did frequent tempos, from the becomening of the undercomprising. But...

Stahl: Does he be pious to you?

Mrs. Trump: formerly he hears, formerly he doesn't--

President-elect Trump: I'm not a noble tweeter. I mean, I don't do too frequent, but they hit focus. And they have to get a place obliquely.

Stahl: If he does subform that you suppose marked a genealogy, will you tell him?

Mrs. Trump: Yes, I tell him all the tempo.

Stahl: All the tempo?

Mrs. Trump: All the tempo.

Stahl: And does--

Mrs. Trump: And--

Stahl: --he be pious? Does he--

Mrs. Trump: I suppose he is obedientnessful me. But he will do what he desires to do on the end. He's an grown up. He knows the sequels. And I oscilvespertine him my bias.

And he could do wdisappetizever he enjoys with it.

Stahl: Did you requirement Melania class of, for pervocation, in a way, to run for president? Did you get her assent?

President-elect Trump: greatly, I rejoin sat down with Melania and my undamaged all and we informed concerning it. Don, Ivanka, Eric, Tiffany. Barron to a lesser quantity, but Barron too. Um, hence in a way he's hypocircumstantial every bit as many. possibly more.

Stahl: possibly more.

President-elect Trump: And so we all had a meal and I said, "I would appetize to do this. I suppose I can do a noble job." And I desired to get, subdiaspiration one, a agreement and subdiaspiration two, idejoin, their pervocation. And they all concurred.

Stahl: Your son Barron, what is he, 10?

Mrs. Trump: 10.

Stahl: 10. He was on camera the undamaged tempo you were giving your connotation allocation. Does he get it? Does he know?

Mrs. Trump: He knows. He knows--

Stahl: He knows?

Mrs. Trump: --what's going on. And, he's very defiant of his kinsman.

Stahl: Now-- you met with Micfuture statee Obama formerly. Was there any unconformability, oscilvespertined--

Mrs. Trump: No.

Stahl: --what everysubform was communicating concerning everysubform in the undercomprising?

Mrs. Trump: No. I didn't derange it.

Stahl: Not at all?

Mrs. Trump: No.

Stahl: Tell us concerning the junction.

Mrs. Trump: Yes, she was a obedient host. We had a noble tempo and we talk concerning augmenting descendants in the colorless abode. She was very summery and very careful.

Stahl: You know, she augmented the two newlings in the colorless abode. But she had her maternity feeling there. That's an stalwart help. Your origins are here, accurate?

Mrs. Trump: They're here.

Stahl: Will they go to whitenington with you?

Mrs. Trump: They greatness. We will see. We will meditate that.

Stahl: Are you tabounded, both of you, for the deficit of seclusion and the florid attention? And you know, first masters are rejoin do sumsd if one infantine filament's out of order. Are you both tabounded for this?

Mrs. Trump: We are possessed to it.

President-elect Trump: I will say, it is on a irrevespertined degree now, 'sanity why I've had a lot. But I've never had anyproduct appetize this.

Stahl: You won't be eloquent to gait down the road--

Mrs. Trump: I didn't do that for two dates at produce, so you know, it will just continue. It's irrevespertined inexcilamina, but it will continue.

Stahl: FBI director James Comey. Are you going to requirement for his relinquishment?

President-elect Trump: I suppose that I would optionally not notice on that yet. I don't-- I haven't composed up my obedientness. I honor him a lot. I honor the FBI a lot. I suppose

--

Stahl: Even in rechange they decrement so many?

President-elect Trump: greatly, there's been a lotta decrementing, there's no uncertainty concerning that. But I would quantitatively appetize to talk to him. And see him. This is a hard tempo for him. And I would appetize to talk to him before I'd sanity why a uncertainty appetize that.

Stahl: loudnesses appetize you're not certain.

President-elect Trump: greatly, certain, I'm not certain. I'd desire to see, you know, he may have had very good sanitys for doing what he did.

Stahl: Are you going to relbate your tax circuitions?

President-elect Trump: At the acquire tempo, I will relbate them. But accurate now I'm under recurrence inquiry. Nosubform adversity. The inferiorly one who adversity is, you know, you and a few people that requirementented that uncertainty. Obviously, the corporate group didn't care hence I won the endingity very easily. So they don't care. I never thbe due they did care.

Stahl: Now, for tempos, you were flogaing npast communicating that the arrangement is false, the undamaged product was false. You tweeted once that the electorate College is a ill fortune for commonalty.

President-elect Trump: I do.

Stahl: So do you fixed suppose it's false?

President-elect Trump: greatly, I suppose the electorate ca-- form, I won with the electorate College.

Stahl: decircumscribedly. But do you suppose--

President-elect Trump: You know, it's--

Stahl: --it's false?

President-elect Trump: assent, some of the endingity affairss are. Some of the arrangement is. I disenjoyed--

Stahl: Even in rechange you won you're communicating that--

President-elect Trump: I disenjoyed-- greatly, you know, I'm not going to ccome unstucke my obedientness just hence I won. But I would optionally see it where you went with credulous commissions. You know, you get 100 million commissions and somesubform else gets 90 million commissions and you gain. There's a sanity for doing this hence it carries all the territories into flash. electorate College and there's subform very good concerning that. But this is a irrevespertined arrangement. But I honor it. I do honor the arrangement.

Stahl: What concerning resposes? You're not going to take any resposes? You've said that.

President-elect Trump: We have so many function. There's so many function to be done. And I desire to get it done for the people. I desire to get it done. We're vulgarizing duties imposed, we're comprising care of aliveity care. I mean, there's just so many to be done. So I don't suppose we'll be very noble on resposes, no. 5-tempo Fix topicinformation. tutelanguageg up with politics is comforlamina now.

Stahl: Are you going to take the earnings, the president's earnings?

President-elect Trump: greatly, I've never estimated on this, but the sanity why is no. I suppose I have to by law take \$1, so I'll take \$1 a date. But it's a -- I don't even know what it is.

President-elect Trump: Do you know what the earnings is?

Stahl: \$400,000 you're giving up.

President-elect Trump: No, I'm not going to take the earnings. I'm not comprising it.

Five

Donald J. Trump

45th President of the United States: 2017 - 2021

Statement from President-Elect Donald J. Trump on Receiving Christmas Greetings from
Russian President Vladimir Putin

December 23, 2016

(Palm Beach, FL) - President-elect Donald J. Trump released the following statement in response to the attached letter from Russian President Vladimir Putin.

"A very nice letter from Vladimir Putin; his thoughts are so correct. I hope both sides are able to live up to these thoughts, and we do not have to travel an alternate path."

Six

Donald J. Trump

45th President of the converged territories: 2017 - 2021

testimony from President-Elect Donald J. Trump on Receiving Christmas Greetings from Russian President Vladimir Putin

December 23, 2016

(feeler edge, FL) - President-elect Donald J. Trump rebated the doing appetizewise testimony in response to the attached information from Russian President Vladimir Putin.

"A very careful information from Vladimir Putin; his thbe dues are so demandingly. I hope both sides are eloquent to feel up to these thbe dues, and we do not have to motion an substitute outlet."

Seven

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the United States: 2017 - 2021

Inaugural Address

January 20, 2017

Chief Justice Roberts, President Carter, President Clinton, President Bush, President Obama, fellow Americans, and people of the world: Thank you.

We, the citizens of America, are now joined in a great national effort to rebuild our country and restore its promise for all of our people. Together, we will determine the course of America and the world for many, many years to come. We will face challenges, we will confront hardships, but we will get the job done.

Every 4 years, we gather on these steps to carry out the orderly and peaceful transfer of power, and we are grateful to President Obama and First Lady Michelle Obama for their gracious aid throughout this transition. They have been magnificent. Thank you.

Today's ceremony, however, has very special meaning. Because today we are not merely transferring power from one administration to another or from one party to another, but we are transferring power from Washington, DC, and giving it back to you, the people. For too long, a small group in our Nation's Capital has reaped the rewards of Government while the people have borne the cost. Washington flourished, but the people did not share in its wealth. Politicians prospered, but the jobs left, and the factories closed. The establishment protected itself, but not the citizens of our country. Their victories have not been your victories; their triumphs have not been your triumphs; and while they celebrated in our Nation's Capital, there was little to celebrate for struggling families all across our land.

That all changes, starting right here and right now, because this moment is your moment: It belongs to you. It belongs to everyone gathered here today and everyone watching all across America. This is your day. This is your celebration. And this, the United States of America, is your country.

What truly matters is not which party controls our Government, but whether our Government is controlled by the people. January 20, 2017, will be remembered as the day the people became the rulers of this Nation again. The forgotten men and women of our country will be forgotten no longer. Everyone is listening to you now.

You came by the tens of millions to become part of a historic movement the likes of which the world has never seen before. At the center of this movement is a crucial conviction: that a nation exists to serve its citizens. Americans want great schools for their children, safe neighborhoods for their families, and good jobs for themselves. These are just and reasonable demands of righteous people and a righteous public.

But for too many of our citizens, a different reality exists: Mothers and children trapped in poverty in our inner cities; rusted-out factories scattered like tombstones across the landscape of our Nation; an education system, flush with cash, but which leaves our young and beautiful students deprived of all knowledge; and the crime and the gangs and the drugs that have stolen too many lives and robbed our country of so much unrealized potential.

This American carnage stops right here and stops right now. We are one Nation, and their pain is our pain, their dreams are our dreams, and their success will be our success. We share one heart, one home, and one glorious destiny.

The oath of office I take today is an oath of allegiance to all Americans.

For many decades, we've enriched foreign industry at the expense of American industry, subsidized the armies of other countries while allowing for the very sad depletion of our military. We've defended other nations' borders while refusing to defend our own and spent trillions and trillions of dollars overseas while America's infrastructure has fallen into disrepair and decay. We've made other countries rich while the wealth, strength, and confidence of our country has dissipated over the horizon.

One by one, the factories shuttered and left our shores, with not even a thought about the millions and millions of American workers that were left behind. The wealth of our middle class has been ripped from their homes and then redistributed all across the world. But that is the past. And now we are looking only to the future.

We, assembled here today, are issuing a new decree to be heard in every city, in every foreign capital, and in every hall of power. From this day forward, a new vision will govern our land. From this this day forward, it's going to be only America first. America first.

Every decision on trade, on taxes, on immigration, on foreign affairs, will be made to benefit American workers and American families.

We must protect our borders from the ravages of other countries making our products, stealing our companies, and destroying our jobs. Protection will lead to great prosperity and strength. I will fight for you with every breath in my body, and I will never, ever let you down.

America will start winning again, winning like never before. We will bring back our jobs. We will bring back our borders. We will bring back our wealth. And we will bring back our dreams.

We will build new roads and highways and bridges and airports and tunnels and railways all across our wonderful Nation.

We will get our people off of welfare and back to work, rebuilding our country with American hands and American labor. We will follow two simple rules: Buy American and hire American.

We will seek friendship and good will with the nations of the world, but we do so with the understanding that it is the right of all nations to put their own interests first. We do not seek to impose our way of life on anyone, but rather to let it shine as an example—we will shine—for everyone to follow.

We will reinforce old alliances and form new ones and unite the civilized world against radical Islamic terrorism, which we will eradicate completely from the face of the Earth.

At the bedrock of our politics will be a total allegiance to the United States of America, and through our loyalty to our country, we will rediscover our loyalty to each other.

When you open your heart to patriotism, there is no room for prejudice. The Bible tells us, "How good and pleasant it is when God's people live together in unity." We must speak our minds openly, debate our disagreements honestly, but always pursue solidarity. When America is united, America is totally unstoppable. There should be no fear: We are protected, and we will always be protected. We will be protected by the great men and

women of our military and law enforcement, and most importantly, we will be protected by God.

Finally, we must think big and dream even bigger. In America, we understand that a nation is only living as long as it is striving.

We will no longer accept politicians who are all talk and no action, constantly complaining, but never doing anything about it. The time for empty talk is over. Now arrives the hour of action.

Do not allow anyone to tell you that it cannot be done. No challenge can match the heart and fight and spirit of America. We will not fail. Our country will thrive and prosper again.

We stand at the birth of a new millennium, ready to unlock the mysteries of space, to free the Earth from the miseries of disease, and to harness the energies, industries, and technologies of tomorrow. A new national pride will stir our souls, lift our sights, and heal our divisions.

It's time to remember that old wisdom our soldiers will never forget: that whether we are Black or Brown or White, we all bleed the same red blood of patriots, we all enjoy the same glorious freedoms, and we all salute the same great American flag.

And whether a child is born in the urban sprawl of Detroit or the windswept plains of Nebraska, they look up at the same night sky, they fill their heart with the same dreams, and they are infused with the breath of life by the same almighty Creator.

So to all Americans in every city near and far, small and large, from mountain to mountain, from ocean to ocean, hear these words: You will never be ignored again. Your voice, your hopes, and your dreams will define our American destiny. And your courage and goodness and love will forever guide us along the way.

Together, we will make America strong again. We will make America wealthy again. We will make America proud again. We will make America safe again.

And, yes, together, we will make America great again. Thank you. God bless you, and God bless America. Thank you. God bless America.

Eight

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the converged territories: 2017 - 2021

Inaugural communicate to
January 20, 2017

Chief indifference Roberts, President Carter, President Clinton, President Bush, President Obama, analogue Americans, and people of the materiality: gratulate you.

We, the natives of America, are now entered in a noble ethnic greatness to recompose our province and compensate its compact for all of our people. with, we will determine the course of America and the materiality for frequent, frequent dates to come. We will prepose uncertaintys, we will compare difficulties, but we will get the job done.

Every 4 dates, we join on these deeds to doctor out the demandingly and euphorial move of greatness, and we are amoperating to President Obama and First Lady Micfuture statee Obama for their obedient aid thnon-uniformout this passage. They have been orauspicatentation. gratulate you.

present time's ceremony, however, has very unimitative interpretation. hence present time we are not absolutely moving greatness from one arrangement to irrevespertined or from one band to irrevespertined, but we are moving greatness from whitenington, DC, and giving it rear to you, the people.

For too long, a weak combine in our Nation's Capital has cultivated the incentives of master while the people have borne the cost. whitenington coiled, but the people did not part in its abundance. experts flourished, but the functions left, and the functionshops cbe defeateddd. The beginning accompanied self, but not the natives of our province. Their superiorities have not been your superiorities; their superiorities have not been your superiorities; and while they cognized in our Nation's Capital, there was infantine to rejoice for essaying all all obliquely our province.

That all ccome unstuckes, opening accurate here and accurate now, hence this instant is your instant: It acasembreposes to you. It acasembreposes to medley entered here present time and medley scanning all obliquely America. This is your day. This is your rejoicing. And this, the converged territories of America, is your province.

What positively interpretations is not which band demands our master, but whether our master is inmusical piece by the people. January 20, 2017, will be regenitaliaed as the day the people came to be the magistrates of this Nation aincrement. The past men and womankind of our province will be past no more lasting. medley is obeying to you now. You came by the tens of millions to come to be part of a olden passage the enjoys of which the materiality has never known before. At the essence of this passage is a abundanceamental belief: that a nation is to follow its natives. Americans desire noble groups for their descendants, cautious localities for their all, and good functions for themselves. These are just and sanityeloquent requirements of virtuous people and a virtuous corporate group.

But for too frequent of our natives, a irrevespertined probity is: maternitys and descendants detected in feebleness in our interior districts; decayed-out functionshops disjoined appetite obsequies obliquely the provincescape of our Nation; an culture arrangement, uniform with weak cause, but which ceases our new and elegant scholars

composed insufficient of all cognizance; and the disobedience and the bands and the poisons that have booty too frequent feels and impoverished our province of so many unmaterialized intrinsic.

This American disdemand ends accurate here and ends accurate now. We are one Nation, and their evil is our evil, their aspirations are our aspirations, and their success will be our success. We part one essence, one focus, and one light endingity.

The testimony of chamber I take present time is an testimony of probity to all Americans. For frequent decades, we've decorated ircomparative indusexperiment at the waste of American indusexperiment, aided the multitudes of other countries while permitting for the very sad waste of our courageous. We've patronized other nations' vantages while repulsing to patronize our own and outgoing over one hundreds and over one hundreds of coinages removed while America's infrastructure has unchaste into dilapidation and decrbate. We've composed other countries funny while the abundance, power, and absoluteness of our province has dispersion over the diform.

One by one, the functionshops darkened and left our regions, with not even a thbe due concerning the millions and millions of American functioners that were left rear. The abundance of our interim degree has been rent from their focuss and then redistributed all obliquely the materiality.

But that is the past. And now we are forming inferiorly to the uncognized.

We, entered here present time, are affecting a new discrimination to be loudnessing in every city, in every ircomparative capital, and in every edifice of greatness. From this day early, a new aspiration will imexcellent our province. From this this day early, it's going to be inferiorly America first. America first.

Every vigour on interchange, on duties imposed, on wandering, on ircomparative afinconsiderables, will be composed to utility American functioners and American all.

We must accompany our vantages from the lays waste of other countries making our numerical answers, copying our assembreposes, and nullifying our functions.

accompaniment will direct to noble flourishing and power. I will fight for you with every instant in my subform, and I will never, ever let you down.

America will open gaining aincrement, gaining appetize never before. We will doctor rear our functions. We will doctor rear our vantages. We will doctor rear our abundance. And we will doctor rear our aspirations.

We will compose new roads and roads and revespertineions and completenesses and excavations and railroad all obliquely our inexpectationful Nation.

We will get our people off of good and rear to function, recomstation our province with American limbs and American hard deed. We will do appetizewise two credulous creed: Buy American and employ American.

We will essay auxiliarieship and good will with the nations of the materiality, but we do so with the underfixed that it is the accurate of all nations to put their own curiosities first. We do not essay to compel our way of affairs on everyman, but optionally to let it be visible as an relevance—we will be visible—for medley to do appetizewise.

We will reinderange old consanguinitys and form new ones and converge the breed merchandised materiality opposing modifier Islamic sedition, which we will subtract wholly from the prepose of the Earth.

At the probity of our politics will be a all probity to the converged territories of America, and thnon-uniform our probity to our province, we will rediswrapping our probity to each

other. When you broad your essence to benefactorism, there is no scope for prejuoracle. The Bible divulges us, "How good and amoperating it is when the Deity's people feel with in identity." We must communicate our obedientnesses broadly, argument our disuniformities positively, but broadly desire equilibrium. When America is converged, America is totjoin unendpeloquent. There should be no doubt: We are accompanied, and we will broadly be accompanied. We will be accompanied by the noble men and womankind of our courageous and law motivation, and most crucially, we will be accompanied by the Deity.

Finjoin, we must suppose noble and aspiration even nobler. In America, we imply that a nation is inferiorly feeling as long as it is conductoring.

We will no more lasting admit experts who are all talk and no vigorousness, uniformly deprecating, but never doing anyproduct concerning it. The tempo for void talk is over. Now approaches the hour of vigorousness.

Do not permit everyman to tell you that it cannot be done. No uncertainty can compeer the essence and fight and spirit of America. We will not cease. Our province will prosper and flourish aincrement.

We stand at the origin of a new millennium, impending to open the piety of interval, to disjoin the Earth from the adversities of bane, and to tackling the greatnesses, assiduities, and mechanics of futurity. A new ethnic unsociability will stimulation our essences, draw our aspirations, and cure our scissions.

It's tempo to regenitalia that old erudition our brave persons will never be inattentive: that whether we are Bdeficit or Brown or colorless, we all elapse out the equivalent red affairs of benefactors, we all make mad the equivalent light disjunctions, and we all omenal the equivalent noble American be weak.

And whether a descendant is born in the regional tumble of Detroit or the orderless prosaic of Nebraska, they form up at the equivalent darkness heavens, they grow their essence with the equivalent aspirations, and they are combined with the instant of affairs by the equivalent eloquent Creator.

So to all Americans in every city akin and far, weak and substantial, from bulk to bulk, from depth to depth, hear these hints: You will never be disreputeed aincrement. Your publish, your hopes, and your aspirations will specify our American endingity. And your melody and savoriness and concord will forever precursor us longwise the way.

with, we will make America great aincrement. We will make America rich aincrement.

We will make America defiant aincrement. We will make America cautious aincrement.

And, yes, with, we will make America noble aincrement. gratulate you. the Deity benefit you, and the Deity benefit America. gratulate you. the Deity benefit America.

Nine

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the United States: 2017 - 2021

Interview with Bill O'Reilly of Fox News

February 03, 2017

O'Reilly: So another big week for the Trump administration. Judge Gorsuch, that rollout went very smoothly, I think.

The President: Yes it did, yes it did.

O'Reilly: But the refugee deal, not so much.

The President: I think it was very smooth. We had 109 people out of hundreds of thousands of travelers and all we did was vet those people very, very carefully.

O'Reilly: You wouldn't do anything differently if you had to do it over again? Some of your people didn't really know what the order was.

The President: Well, that's not what General Kelly said. General Kelly — who's now Secretary Kelly — he said he totally knew, he was aware of it, and it was very smooth. It was 109 people.

O'Reilly: Let's talk about Iran, your assessment. Do you think we're on a collision course — we being the United States — with that country?

The President: I think it was the worst deal I've ever seen negotiated. I think it was a deal that never should have been negotiated —

O'Reilly: The nuclear deal?

The President: Absolutely. The deal that was made by the Obama administration. I think it's a shame that we've had a deal like that and that we had to sign a deal like that and there was no reason to do it and if you're going to do it, have a good deal.

We gave them \$1.7 billion in cash, which is unheard of, and we put the money up and we have really nothing to show for it.

O'Reilly: Possible you'll tear it up?

The President: We'll see what happens. We're going to see what happens I can say this: They have total disregard for our country. They are the number one terrorist state, they are sending money all over the place, and weapons, and you can't do that.

O'Reilly: Sanctions, that's how you're gonna start with them. But you're moving a carrier right?

The President: I never talk about military moves. I always criticized President Obama with having an announcement that they're going into Mosul. They give the name, the date the time. I don't believe in that.

O'Reilly: So you're not real bullish on Iran at this point.

The President: I'm not bullish. I think they have total disrespect for our country and I understand that deal. I would have lived with it if they said "OK, were all together now," but it's just the opposite. It's like they're emboldened. They follow our planes, they circle our ships with their little boats and they lost respect because they can't believe anybody could be so stupid as to make a deal like that.

O'Reilly: You talked to Putin last week. You had a busy week last week.

The President: Busy week and a half.

O'Reilly: Do you respect Putin?

The President: I do respect him but —

O'Reilly: Do you? Why?

The President: Well, I respect a lot of people but that doesn't mean I'm going to get along with him. He's a leader of his country. I say it's better to get along with Russia than not. And if Russia helps us in the fight against ISIS, which is a major fight, and Islamic terrorism all over the world — that's a good thing. Will I get along with him? I have no idea.

O'Reilly: But he's a killer though. Putin's a killer.

The President: There are a lot of killers. We've got a lot of killers. What do you think — our country's so innocent. You think our country's so innocent?

O'Reilly: I don't know of any government leaders that are killers.

The President: Well — take a look at what we've done too. We made a lot of mistakes. I've been against the war in Iraq from the beginning.

O'Reilly: But mistakes are different than —

The President: A lot of mistakes, but a lot of people were killed. A lot of killers around, believe me.

O'Reilly: Let's talk about Mexico. There's a report that you talked to President Nieto and you told him — this was the report, I want to know if it's true or not — that if his army couldn't handle the drug cartels, that U.S. army soldiers would. Did you say that?

The President: We have to do something about the cartels. I did talk to him about it. I want to help him with it. I think he's a very good man. We have a very good relationship, as you probably know. He seemed very willing to get help from us because he has got a problem, and it's a real problem for us. Don't forget those cartels are operating in our country. And they're poisoning the youth of our country.

O'Reilly: At this point, do you consider Mexico a corrupt country? Because this stuff has been going on for decades.

The President: I love the people. I really like this administration. I think he's a good man. We get along very well. But they have problems controlling aspects of their country.

There's no question about it, and I would say the drugs and the drug cartels, No. 1.

O'Reilly: Have you figured out what kind of a tariff you're gonna levy on Mexico to pay for the wall?

The President: Well, right now it's very unfavorable. Right now, we're losing our jobs to Mexico. You look at the plants, these massive plants. Now I have to tell you, I've turned it around already, I've turned it around. You see that. Ford has been phenomenal. They cancelled a plant. They're building tremendous ...

O'Reilly: Yeah, you intimidated them. They're afraid of you.

[crosstalk]

The President: I don't know if they're afraid of me. They wanna do what's right.

O'Reilly: They wanna do what's right? Why didn't they do what was right in the past? They're afraid of you.

The President: Because the wrong people spoke to them. But they're gonna do what's right, and they're bringing jobs back to Michigan and Ohio and Pennsylvania and all the places that have lost their jobs. That's already happening. I think you're gonna see tremendous job growth in this country.

O'Reilly: Let's turn to domestic policy. I just spent the week in California. As you know, they are now voting on whether they should become a sanctuary state. So California, and the USA, are on a collision course. How do you see it?

The President: Well, I think it's ridiculous. Sanctuary cities, as you know, I'm very much opposed to sanctuary cities. They breed crime, there's a lot of problems. If we have to, we'll defund. We give tremendous amounts of money to California — California in many ways is out of control, as you know. Obviously the voters agree, otherwise they wouldn't have voted for me.

O'Reilly: So defunding is your weapon of choice?

The President: Well it's a weapon. I don't want to defund a state, a city.

O'Reilly: But you're willing to do it?

The President: I don't want to defund anyone. I want to give them the money they need to properly operate as a city or state. If they're going to have sanctuary cities, we may have to do that. Certainly that would be a weapon.

O'Reilly: Is there any validity to the criticism of you that you say things you can't back up factually, and as the president, if you say, for example, that there are 3 million illegal aliens who voted and then you don't have the data to back it up, some people are gonna say that it's irresponsible for a president to say that. Is there any validity to that?

The President: Many people have come out and said I'm right. You know that.

O'Reilly: I know, but you've gotta have data to back that up.

The President: Let me just tell you. And it doesn't have to do with the vote, although that's the end result. It has to do with the registration. And when you look at the registration and you see dead people that have voted, when you see people that are registered in two states that voted in two states, when you see other things, when you see illegals, people that are not citizens, and they're on the registration rolls. Look, Bill, we can be babies, but you take a look at the registration, you have illegals, you have dead people, you have this. It's really a bad situation. It's really bad.

O'Reilly: So you think you're gonna be proven correct in that statement?

The President: Well, I think I already have. A lot of people have come out and said that I am correct.

O'Reilly: But the data has to show that 3 million illegals voted.

The President: Forget that. Forget all of that. Just take a look at the registration, and we're gonna do it, and I'm gonna set up a commission, to be headed by Vice President Mike Pence, and we're gonna look at it very, very carefully.

O'Reilly: Well, that's good. Let's get to the bottom of this. 2017, can Americans expect a tax cut?

The President: I think so, yes, and I think before the end of the year. I would like to say yes.

O'Reilly: Can Americans in 2017 expect a new healthcare plan rolled out by the Trump administration, this year?

The President: In the process, and maybe it'll take until sometime into next year, but we are certainly gonna be in the process. Very complicated. Obamacare is a disaster. You have to remember, Obamacare doesn't work. So we are putting in a wonderful plan. It statutorily takes a while to get. We're gonna be putting it in fairly soon. I think that, yes, I would like to say, by the end of the year, at least the rudiments, but we should have something within the year and the following year.

O'Reilly: Last question. You get four hours of sleep or some crazy thing like that. When your head hits the pillow, do you ever say to yourself, "I can't believe I'm here. I cannot believe that I'm the president of the United States when I wasn't a politician, I didn't start out this way, that wasn't my life goal?" Does that ever come into your mind?

The President: Well, I must tell you, the other day, I walked into the main entrance of the White House, and I said to myself, "This is sort of amazing." Or you walk into Air Force One. It's like a surreal experience, in a certain way, but you have to get over it, because there's so much work to be done, whether it's jobs or other nations that truly hate us. You have to get over it.

O'Reilly: Football. How do you see this game?

The President: Well, I like Bob Kraft. I like Coach Belichick, and Tom Brady is my friend.

O'Reilly: They're all taking gas because of that, you know.

The President: I know, they're talking a lot of heat. But you know what? They're also getting a lot of popularity out of it. I think they're gonna do very well. Tom's a winner.

O'Reilly: So you're rooting for the Patriots?

The President: I think the other team is fantastic, though. No, I think it's a fantastic team. Turned out to be a good quarterback. But you know, there's less pressure on the Patriots, because they've been there. Once you've won, once you've done it, and they've done it, once you've done it, there's a lot less pressure. So we'll see what happens. But you know, you have to stick up for your friends, right?

O'Reilly: Sure. Now, Fox Sports is demanding I ask you to make a prediction.

The President: I hate to make predictions.

O'Reilly: But you have to.

The President: I hate to do it. I don't even know what are the odds. I guess it's pretty even, two great teams, pretty even, so I'll say the Patriots will win.

O'Reilly: By how many points?

The President: By eight points.

O'Reilly: All right. That's a good presidential prediction, and we'll see.

The President: Shouldn't be doing those things, but that's OK.

O'Reilly: You don't need data on that.

The President: I need no data on that.

O'Reilly: Mr. President, thanks very much for taking the time.

Ten

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the converged territories: 2017 - 2021

exam with Bill O'Reilly of Fox News

February 03, 2017

O'Reilly: So irresponsibly noble period for the Trump arrangement. punisher
Anonymous, that mistake went very regularly, I suppose.

The President: Yes it did, yes it did.

O'Reilly: But the escaper deal, not so many.

The President: I suppose it was very regular. We had 109 people out of hundreds of
thousands of motioners and all we did was vet those people very, very cheaply.

O'Reilly: You wouldn't do any product irresponsibly if you had to do it over
again? Some of your people didn't know what the demand was.

The President: greatly, that's not what broad Kelly said. broad Kelly — who's now deputy
Kelly — he said he thought he was sentient of it, and it was very regular. It was
109 people.

O'Reilly: Let's talk concerning Iran, your appraisal. Do you suppose we're on a havoc
course — we exist the converged territories — with that province?

The President: I suppose it was the deal I've ever known made names. I suppose it
was a deal that never should have been made names —

O'Reilly: The unmixed deal?

The President: absolutely. The deal that was composed by the Obama arrangement. I
suppose it's a improbity that we've had a deal that and that we had to make a
deal that and there was no sanity to do it and if you're going to do it, have a good
deal.

We gave them \$1.7 billion in weak cause, which is unhelpful of, and we put the
abundance up and we have to join insubstantiality to produce for it.

O'Reilly: unreal you'll cut it up?

The President: We'll see what happens. We're going to see what happens I can say this:
They have all exclude for our province. They are the subordination one revolter state,
they are oversteering abundance all over the order, and contrivances, and you can't do
that.

O'Reilly: compulsions, that's how you're going to open with them. But you're passing
a sustainer accurate?

The President: I never talk concerning courageous passages. I broadly do sums
President Obama with having an proclamation that they're going into Mosul. They
oscillate the auspice, the date the tempo. I don't believe in that.

O'Reilly: So you're not real dear on Iran at this place.

The President: I'm not dear. I suppose they have all non-observance for our province and
I imply that deal. I would have felt with it if they said "OK, were all with now," but it's
just the inverted. It's appetize they're embarrassed. They do appetizewise our sharp
edges, they all our carriers with their infantine carriers and they lost honor hence they
can't believe everyman could be so unintelligent as to make a deal that.

O'Reilly: You informed to Putin complete period. You had a circumstantial period complete period.

The President: circumstantial period and a bisection.

O'Reilly: Do you honor Putin?

The President: I do honor him but —

O'Reilly: Do you? Why?

The President: greatly, I honor a lot of people but that doesn't mean I'm going to get longwise with him. He's a director of his province. I say it's topmostior to get longwise with Russia than not. And if Russia helps us in the fight opposing ISIS, which is a major fight, and Islamic sedition all over the materiality — that's a good product. Will I get longwise with him? I have no form.

O'Reilly: But he's a destroyer in rechange. Putin's a destroyer.

The President: There are a lot of destroyers. We've got a lot of destroyers. What do you suppose — our province's so ignoramus. You suppose our province's so ignoramus?

O'Reilly: I don't know of any master directors that are destroyers.

The President: greatly — take a form at what we've done too. We composed a lot of misinterpretations. I've been opposing the war in Iraq from the becomening.

O'Reilly: But misinterpretations are irrevespertined than —

The President: A lot of misinterpretations, but a lot of people were destroyed. A lot of destroyers npast, believe me.

O'Reilly: Let's talk concerning Mexico. There's a news that you informed to President Nieto and you told him — this was the news, I desire to know if it's patriotic or not — that if his army couldn't use the poison associations, that U.S. army brave persons would. Did you say that?

The President: We have to do subform concerning the associations. I did talk to him concerning it. I desire to help him with it. I suppose he's a very good man. We have a very good relation, as you impendingly know. He resembled very obedient to get help from us hence he has got a enigma, and it's a real enigma for us. Don't be inattentive those associations are opemeacertainment in our province. And they're destroying the youngster of our province.

O'Reilly: At this place, do you consider Mexico a deteriorate province? hence this substantiality has been going on for decades.

The President: I concord the people. I rejoin appetite this arrangement. I suppose he's a good man. We get longwise very greatly. But they have enigmas demandling comstations of their province. There's no uncertainty concerning it, and I would say the poisons and the poison associations, No. 1.

O'Reilly: Have you subdiaspirationd out what amiable of a restriction you're going to assemblage on Mexico to pay for the fortification?

The President: greatly, accurate now it's very unvantageeloquent. accurate now, we're profitless our functions to Mexico. You form at the implants, these weighty implants. Now I have to tell you, I've changed it npast at produce, I've changed it npast. You see that. Ford has been appearing. They nullified a implant. They're comstation frightening ...

O'Reilly: assent, you hindered them. They're doubting of you.

[marktalk]

The President: I don't know if they're doubting of me. They desire to do what's accurate.

O'Reilly: They desire to do what's accurate? Why didn't they do what was accurate in the past? They're doubting of you.

The President: hence the amiss people divergence to them. But they're going to do what's accurate, and they're toverdeedping functions rear to Michigan and Ohio and Pennsylvania and all the orders that have lost their functions. That's at produce happening. I suppose you're going to see frightening job conversion in this province.

O'Reilly: Let's change to native sagacity. I just outgoing the period in California. As you know, they are now endorsing on whether they should come to be a recontract state. So California, and the USA, are on a havoc course. How do you see it?

The President: greatly, I suppose it's abnormal. recontract districts, as you know, I'm very many restabounded to recontract districts. They comstation disobedience, there's a lot of enigmas. If we have to, we'll deabundance. We oscilvespertine frightening quantities of abundance to California — California in frequent habits is out of demand, as you know. Obviously the commissioners concur, contrarily they wouldn't have commissioned for me.

O'Reilly: So dewealproduct is your contrivance of infrequent?

The President: greatly it's a contrivance. I don't desire to deabundance a state, a city.

O'Reilly: But you're obedient to do it?

The President: I don't desire to deabundance everyman. I desire to oscilvespertine them the abundance they deficit to characteristically use as a city or state. If they're going to have recontract districts, we may have to do that. quantitatively that would be a contrivance.

O'Reilly: Is there any authenticity to the do sums of you that you say products you can't rear up frejoin, and as the president, if you say, for relevance, that there are 3 million prohibited ircomparativeers who commissioned and then you don't have the data to rear it up, some people are going to say that it's changeful for a president to say that. Is there any authenticity to that?

The President: frequent people have come out and said I'm accurate. You know that.

O'Reilly: I know, but you've must have data to rear that up.

The President: Let me just tell you. And it doesn't have to do with the commission, alin rechange that's the end answer. It has to do with the registration. And when you form at the registration and you see unborn people that have commissioned, when you see people that are indicated in two territories that commissioned in two territories, when you see other products, when you see prohibiteds, people that are not natives, and they're on the registration laminae. form, Bill, we can be descendants, but you take a form at the registration, you have prohibiteds, you have unborn people, you have this. It's rejoin a bad affairs. It's rejoin bad.

O'Reilly: So you suppose you're going to be demonstrated demandingly in that testimony?

The President: greatly, I suppose I at produce have. A lot of people have come out and said that I am demandingly.

O'Reilly: But the data has to produce that 3 million prohibiteds commissioned.

The President: be inattentive that. be inattentive all of that. Just take a form at the registration, and we're going to do it, and I'm going to set up a band, to be steer for by Vice President Mike Pence, and we're going to form at it very, very cheaply.

O'Reilly: greatly, that's good. Let's get to the inferiority of this. 2017, can Americans form abeginning a tax cut?

The President: I suppose so, yes, and I suppose before the end of the date. I would appetize to say yes.

O'Reilly: Can Americans in 2017 form abeginning a new aliveitycare plan smistakeped out by the Trump arrangement, this date?

The President: In the way, and possibly it'll take while former into sequent date, but we are quantitatively going to be in the way. Very enigmatic. Obamacare is a ill fortune. You have to regenitalia, Obamacare doesn't function. So we are inserting in a inexpectationful plan. It preceptively contains a while to get. We're going to be inserting it in undimmedly betempos. I suppose that, yes, I would appetize to say, by the end of the date, at lesser the beginnings, but we should have subform inside the date and the doing appetizewise date.

O'Reilly. complete uncertainty. You get four hours of be inert or some non-uniform product appetize that. When your beginning rubs the cushion, do you ever say to yourself, "I can't believe I'm here. I cannot believe that I'm the president of the converged territories when I wasn't a expert, I didn't open out this way, that wasn't my affairs limit?" Does that ever come into your obedientness?

The President: greatly, I must tell you, the other day, I gaited into the main precedence of the colorless abode, and I said to identity, "This is class of prodigious." Or you gait into Air derange One. It's appetize a artful sdestroy, in a quantitative way, but you have to get over it, hence there's so many function to be done, whether it's functions or other nations that positively disappetize us. You have to get over it.

O'Reilly: Footsphere. How do you see this crippled?

The President: greatly, I appetize Bob Kraft. I appetize Coach Belichick, and Tom Brady is my auxiliary.

O'Reilly: They're all comprising gas hence of that, you know.

The President: I know, they're talking a lot of summer. But you know what? They're in addition genemeacertainment a lot of reputé out of it. I suppose they're going to do very greatly. Tom's a gainterior.

O'Reilly: So you're extracting for the benefactors?

The President: I suppose the other party is infrequent, in rechange. No, I suppose it's a infrequent party. changed out to be a good sunderrear. But you know, there's less crisis on the benefactors, hence they've been there. Once you've won, once you've done it, and they've done it, once you've done it, there's a lot less crisis. So we'll see what happens.

But you know, you have to cohere up for your auxiliaries, accurate?

O'Reilly: certain. Now, Fox athletics is fatiguing I requirement you to make a omen.

The President: I disappetize to make omens.

O'Reilly: But you have to.

The President: I disappetize to do it. I don't even know what are the amiss. I assume it's greatly even, two noble partys, greatly even, so I'll say the benefactors will gain.

O'Reilly: By how frequent places?

The President: By eight places.

O'Reilly: All accurate. That's a good presidential omen, and we'll see.

The President: Shouldn't be doing those products, but that's OK.

O'Reilly: You don't deficit data on that.

The President: I deficit no data on that.

O'Reilly: Mr. President, gratulates very many for comprising the tempo.

Eleven

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the United States: 2017 - 2021

Statement on the Chemical Weapons Attack in Khan Sheikhoun, Syria

April 04, 2017

Today's chemical attack in Syria against innocent people, including women and children, is reprehensible and cannot be ignored by the civilized world. These heinous actions by the Bashar al-Assad regime are a consequence of the past administration's weakness and irresolution. President Obama said in 2012 that he would establish a "red line" against the use of chemical weapons and then did nothing. The United States stands with our allies across the globe to condemn this intolerable attack.

Twelve

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the converged territories: 2017 - 2021

testimony on the Chemical contrivances irrupt in Khan Sheikhoun, Syria

April 04, 2017

present time's experimental irrupt in Syria opposing ignoramus people, including womankind and descendants, is blameutility and cannot be disreputed by the breed merchandised materiality. These bad activities by the Bashar al-Assad circumform are a sequel of the past arrangement's irresolution and choicelessness. President Obama said in 2012 that he would auspicate a "red genealogy" opposing the use of experimental contrivances and then did insubstantiality. The converged territories places with our bond obliquely the sphere to curse this intolereloquent irrupt.

Thirteen

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the United States: 2017 - 2021

The President's News Conference With King Abdullah II of Jordan

April 05, 2017

President Trump. Thank you very much. Your Majesty, thank you for being with us today. Very much appreciate it. This is our second meeting since my Inauguration, but our first at the White House. A very special place, I can tell you that. I've gotten to know it well. Long hours. Very special.

It's really an honor to welcome you here today. But before we begin, let me say a few words about recent events.

Chemical Weapons Attack in Khan Sheikhoun, Syria

Yesterday chemical attack—a chemical attack that was so horrific, in Syria, against innocent people, including women, small children, and even beautiful little babies. Their deaths was an affront to humanity. These heinous actions by the Asad regime cannot be tolerated. The United States stands with our allies across the globe to condemn this horrific attack and all other horrific attacks, for that matter.

Jordan-U.S. Relations

Your Majesty, Jordanians are known for their legendary hospitality, and we will do our very best to be equally gracious hosts. They're also known, however—I have to say this—for their fighting ability. And you are a great warrior, and we appreciate it. Thank you.

The historical ties and close friendship between our two countries dates back three-quarters of a century. In that time, the Middle East has faced many periods of crisis and unrest, perhaps never like it is today, however. Through them all, America has looked to Jordan as a valued partner, an advocate for the values of civilization, and a source of stability and hope.

I am deeply committed to preserving our strong relationship—which I will—and to strengthening America's longstanding support for Jordan. And you do have tremendous support within our country, I can tell you that.

As we know, the Middle East—and the entire world—is faced with one of its gravest threats in many, many years. Since the earliest days of the campaign against ISIS, Jordan has been a staunch ally and partner, and we thank you for that. Jordanian servicemembers have made tremendous sacrifices in this battle against the enemies of civilization, and I want to thank all of them for their, really, just incredible courage. So many have been lost, and we pay homage. So many.

In King Abdullah, America is blessed with a thoughtful and determined partner. He is a man who has spent years commanding his country's special forces. He really knows what being a soldier is, that I can tell you. And he knows how to fight. The King has been a leader in calling for a plan to defeat ISIS once and for all. And I'm with you on that.

We're both leaders on that, believe me. That's what we speak about today, and that is what we are going to do. And it will be a shorter fight than a lot of people are thinking about, believe me. We've made tremendous strides, as we discussed. As you know, we had a very, very fine delegation come over from Egypt and also from Iraq, and they said

more has been done in the last 6 weeks than has been done in years with the previous administration. And believe me, we're going to keep it that way.

We will destroy ISIS, and we will protect civilization. We have no choice. We will protect civilization. King Abdullah and I also discussed measures to combat the evil and ideology that inspires ISIS and plagues our planet. In addition, we also acknowledged the vital role that Jordan has played in hosting refugees from the conflict in Syria. We have just announced that the United States will contribute additional funds to Jordan for humanitarian assistance. This aid will help countries like Jordan host refugees until it is safe for them to return home. The refugees want to return home. I know that from so many other instances. They want to return back to their home. And that's a goal of any responsible refugee policy.

Finally, as we discussed, to advance the cause of peace in the Middle East, including peace between the Israelis and the Palestinians. And I'm working very, very hard on trying to finally create peace between the Palestinians and Israel, and I think we'll be successful. I hope to be successful, I can tell you that.

The King has been an entire—a really tireless advocate for a solution, and he's going to help me with that and help me at the highest level. And we will be consulting with him very closely in the days ahead.

King Abdullah, I want to take this opportunity to thank you for your partnership.

Working together, the United States and Jordan can help bring peace and stability to the Middle East and, in fact, the entire world. And we will do that.

Thank you very, very much for being with us. Thank you. Thank you.

King Abdullah. Mr. President, thank you for such a kind and warm welcome to the White House. I fondly remember the meetings we've had many years ago and, more recently, several months ago. You've always been a generous host and have always looked after us. We are very delighted with the way the discussions have gone so far, and we're delighted to be here in such a wonderful setting on such a beautiful day, which I think is a tremendous mark of how we are going to move into the future.

We've had a very good round of talks today, and I'm looking forward to continuing these discussions later on in our meetings after the press conference. What I do want to say is how much we deeply appreciate the close relations we have with United States, with you, Mr. President, and with the American people. This is a strategic partnership that we call and keep very close to our hearts, and it is a partnership on so many levels that we will continue, I think, with the frank discussions that we had today to improve on as we face the challenges of the future. And I am very delighted for your vision, your holistic approach to all the challenges in our region, and to the dedication of your team in being able to translate your policies into actions, successfully, hopefully, as we move forward. The challenges we face today are many and are not exclusive to my region, as I've just mentioned. They are global, and particularly the threats to global security. Terrorism has no borders, no nationality, no religion, and therefore, joint action with a holistic approach, as I had just mentioned, Mr. President, is crucial. I am very delighted that you have the vision to be able to move in that direction, and I think that the world will be in a very good place as we move with all these challenges ahead.

No doubt, with all the challenges that we face in the world, the role of the U.S. is key to all the issues that we have around the world, but it's not just the fact that we should expect United States to do all the heavy lifting. The heavy lifting has to be done by all of

us in the international community to support the United States in being able to translate that vision into the right direction. So there's a lot of responsibility for all of us in the international community to support the President, the administration, and the American people to bring brighter days to all of us.

We are very encouraged with the President's determination to support Arab and Muslim states in their fight against terrorism. But it is not only the fight of terrorism inside of our societies, but we, as Arab-Muslim states, standing behind the international community in being able to defeat this international scourge.

In Syria, we need a political solution that ends the conflict in the country and preserve its unity and territorial integrity.

As the President mentioned, the issue we discussed was the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, which is essentially the core conflict in our region. And the President's early engagement is beginning in bringing the Palestinians and Israelis together has been a very encouraging sign for all of us. And I think, sir, it was that initiative that allowed us at the Arab Summit last week to extend through the Arab Peace Initiative the message of peace to Israel, which we all, hopefully, will work together to make that come about.

All Arab countries relaunched the Arab Peace Initiative, as I said, last week. It offers a historic reconciliation between Israel and the Palestinians, as well as all member states of the Arab League. It is the most comprehensive framework for lasting peace, and it ensures statehood for the Palestinians, but also security, acceptance, and normal ties for Israel with all Arab countries and, hopefully, all Islamic countries.

So we appreciate your commitment in all these issues, where others have failed. You will find a strong ally in Jordan in supporting you in all your policies. And if I may just say, as you have, on Syria and the gas attack, unfortunately, as you and I both agree, this is another testament to the failure of the international diplomacy to find the solutions to this crisis. But I believe under your leadership we will be able to unravel this very complicated situation.

This has been going on—ongoing for 7 years now, has descended into proxy wars from different parties with dubious agendas. But at the end of the day, as you pointed out, Mr. President, it's the civilians—women and children—that are paying the heaviest price.

This is happening on our watch, on our conscience, as well as the global community. And I know the passion and the emotion that the President has expressed and how this should not be tolerated whatsoever. And this stressful—sorry, this threshold of inhumanity and savagery that are being crossed every day is something that I know the President will not allow to happen, wherever it may be. And I fully support and endorse the President in this issue.

So I want to thank you, sir, because you have the outlook of looking not just at the Syrian challenges, but that of Iraq, Israel, Palestine, Libya, and everything that is in our region.

So I think your message to all of us is a message of hope, and that's what I take away from this conference.

President Trump. Thank you very much.

King Abdullah. And I thank you for all that you have done so far and all that you will do, sir. President Trump. All right. We'll take a few questions. Julie Pace [Associated Press]. Chemical Weapons Attack in Khan Sheikhoun, Syria/North Korea

Q. Thank you, sir. I have questions on Syria for both leaders. But if I could start with you, Mr. President. You've condemned the chemical attacks in Syria, but you also

appeared in your statement yesterday to pin some of the blame on the Obama administration. You are the President now. Do you feel like you bear responsibility for responding to the chemical attacks? And does the chemical attack cross a red line for you?

President Trump. Well, I think the Obama administration had a great opportunity to solve this crisis a long time ago when he said the red line in the sand. And when he didn't cross that line after making the threat, I think that set us back a long ways, not only in Syria, but in many other parts of the world, because it was a blank threat. I think it was something that was not one of our better days as a country.

So I do feel that, Julie. I feel it very strongly.

Q. So you feel like you now have the responsibility to respond to the chemical attack?

President Trump. I now have responsibility, and I will have that responsibility and carry it very proudly, I will tell you that. It is now my responsibility. It was a great opportunity missed. As you know, I'll be meeting with the President of China very soon, in Florida. And that's another responsibility we have, and that's called the country of North Korea. We have a big problem. We have somebody that is not doing the right thing. And that's going to be my responsibility. But I'll tell you, that responsibility could have made—been made a lot easier if it was handled years ago.

Q. Before I move on to the King, could I just quickly ask you if the chemical attack crosses a red line for you?

President Trump. It crossed a lot of lines for me. When you kill innocent children, innocent babies—babies, little babies—with a chemical gas that is so lethal—people were shocked to hear what gas it was—that crosses many, many lines, beyond a red line.

Many, many lines.

Thank you very much.

Q. And, Your Majesty, if I could ask about refugees. Your country has really borne the brunt of the refugee crisis in Syria. The President has signed travel bans that would block Syrians from coming to the U.S. If that goes into effect, what would the impact on your country and across the region be?

King Abdullah. Well, I think as the President pointed out, most, if not all, Syrian refugees actually want to go back to Syria. And that what we're working with the United States and the international community is to be able to stabilize the refugees in our country, give them the tools so that, as we're working with the solutions in Syria, we have the ability to be able to send them back as a positive influence into their economies.

And again, the President and the Europeans are being very forward-leaning in being able look after our host community—tremendous burden on our country, but again, tremendous appreciation to the United States and the Western countries for being able to help us in dealing with that.

Tarik, please. Q. Your Majesty, how does the outcome of the recent Arab Summit help the U.S. in its policy to advance Palestinian-Israeli negotiations?

King Abdullah. Well, as I said, the Arab Peace Initiative came out with a resounding resolution to offer peace to the Israelis, to make them feel that they're accepted into the neighborhood, and to be able to support the President as he brings both parties together. And again, I have to remind people that very early on there was an early engagement by the President and his team to the Israelis and the Palestinians to be able to see what he can do to bring them together. It is the core conflict for a lot of us in the region. The

President knows this. He has his instincts in the right place. And working with his team, our job, as I said, is to do the heavy lifting. The Arabs are prepared to do whatever they can to bring Israelis and Palestinians together under the leadership of the President.

President Trump. Thank you. John Yang [PBS NewsHour].

Syria/The President's Views on the Use of Military Force

Q. Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to follow up on Julie's question and press you a little more on Syria. You—how will you distinguish your policy and your actions on Syria from the inaction that you criticized of the previous administration? You say it's now your responsibility. What should we see or what should we look for that will be different? And, Your Majesty, I'd like to ask you, what gives you—this is now your second meeting with the President—what gives you the optimism that Mr. Trump will succeed in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict where so many and others have failed before him to be a broker for peace?

President Trump. I like to think of myself as a very flexible person. I don't have to have one specific way, and if the world changes, I go the same way, I don't change. Well, I do change, and I am flexible, and I'm proud of that flexibility. And I will tell you, that attack on children yesterday had a big impact on me—big impact. That was a horrible, horrible thing. And I've been watching it and seeing it, and it doesn't get any worse than that. And I have that flexibility, and it's very, very possible—and I will tell you, it's already happened—that my attitude toward Syria and Asad has changed very much. And if you look back over the last few weeks, there were other attacks using gas. You're now talking about a whole different level.

So, as you know, I would love to have never been in the Middle East. I would love to have never seen that whole big situation start. But once it started, we got out the wrong way, and ISIS formed in the vacuum, and lots of bad things happened. I will tell you, what happened yesterday is unacceptable to me.

Q. Can I follow up, sir? You, yesterday—last year, you seemed to be reluctant to get involved or to intervene in Syria directly. Is that one thing that's changed after yesterday?

President Trump. Well, one of the things I think you've noticed about me is, militarily, I don't like to say where I'm going and what I'm doing. And I watched past administrations say, we will attack at such and such a day at such and such an hour. And you, being a warrior—you would say, why are they saying that? And I'm sure you sat back in Jordan, and you said, why are they saying that?

I watched Mosul, where the past administration was saying, we will be attacking in 4 months. And I said, why are they doing that? Then, a month goes by, and they say, we will be attacking in 3 months, and then 2 months, and then we will be attacking next week. And I'm

saying, why are they doing that? And as you know, Mosul turned out to be a much harder fight than anyone thought, and a lot of people have been lost in that fight.

I'm not saying I'm doing anything one way or the other, but I'm certainly not going to be telling you, as much as I respect you, John. [Laughter] Thank you.

King Abdullah. Sir, I think, on behalf of the President, what I saw was an early engagement by the President and his team, with all of us in the region, about the challenges between the Israelis and Palestinians. I had the honor of seeing the President and his team again in January where this was discussed. The President understands the nuances and the challenges. I think he has the courage and the dedication to be able to do

this. Like I said before, all of us have a responsibility to help the President push us over the finish line.

And so, his team has been in the region, they've been talking to all the partners, and it is our job to facilitate the atmospherics between Israelis and Palestinians to move together, and give the support to the President to be able to smooth the edges over between Israelis and Palestinians to achieve this. And the President understands that if we don't solve this problem, how are we going to win the global fight against terrorism, which is his number-one priority? So this is a core issue that he understands, and I think he has the commitment, and he has my full support for this, as he does from many, many countries in our region.

President Trump. And I have to just say that the world is a mess. I inherited a mess. Whether it's the Middle East, whether it's North Korea, whether it's so many other things, whether it's in our country—horrible trade deals—I inherited a mess. We're going to fix it. We're going to fix it.

Okay.

King Abdullah. Thank you. Nadiya.

Iran/Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS) Terrorist Organization/Syria

Q. Thank you, Your Majesty. You touched upon the subject now, but if I ask you to look forward, how do you see the future of fighting terrorism post-Raqqa and Mosul, especially the role that Jordan will play in the eastern part of Iraq? And do you believe the real battle will start then?

And if I may, Mr. President, you know very well that the Iranian militias and Hizballah has been propping the Syrian regime for a while, over a few years now. Will you go after them? What message will you give them today? And will you work with the Russians to stop—to ground the Syrian air force and to establish safe zones? Thank you.

King Abdullah. Well, the first part is that we are seeing, very recently, tremendous gains on the ground in Raqqa and Mosul. Again, I think, as the President alluded to, it's very difficult to put timelines on this issue because the battle space is always very fluid. But I think that the war is being won on the ground.

Having said that, terrorists are on the move. They'll be on the move inside of Iraq and inside of Syria, so that we have to make sure that we adapt our plans accordingly. And they move beyond borders, beyond our region and elsewhere. So as we and the administration have discussed, it's this holistic approach: How do we fight them wherever they are? And I think that is the understanding.

Terrorists have no respect for borders and religions and people. So it's the seriousness of how we do this holistic approach that I've mentioned several times. And what I am really delighted is that the understanding by the President and the administration in how to deal with this globally.

And I think you're seeing a move in the right direction because the policy now is being charted out, and my discussions with the Defense Secretary and the Foreign Secretary allows us to be then able to decide how we adapt ourselves in the region to be able to come in line with international diplomacy.

President Trump. The Iran deal made by the previous administration is one of the worst deals I have ever witnessed, and I've witnessed some beauties. It's one of the worst deals I've ever witnessed. It should never have been made. It was totally one-sided against the United States and, frankly, against much of the Middle East.

I will do whatever I have to do. They have a deal. It was, some people say, not done properly even in the form of its finalization. There was no vote from Congress. There was no real ratification. But I will do what I have to do with respect to the Iran deal.

As far as ISIS is concerned, the United States will work with whoever it's appropriate to work with to totally eradicate ISIS and other terrorists. And by the way, ISIS is one group, but others have formed. Frankly, they're all over the place. We will do what we have to do to eradicate terrorism.

Q. But, sir, I'm talking about the Iranian militias in Syria supporting the Syrian regime separate of the nuclear deal.

President Trump. Yes.

Q. What message do you have for them today?

President Trump. You will see. They will have a message. You will see what the message will be. Okay? Thank you.

Thank you all very much. Thank you. Thank you.

Fourteen

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the converged territories: 2017 - 2021

The President's News inquiry With King Abdullah II of Jordan

April 05, 2017

President Trump. gratulate you very many. Your greatness, gratulate you for existence with us present time. Very many cognize it. This is our second junction hence my debut, but our first at the colorless abode. A very unimitative order, I can tell you that. I've acquired to know it greatly. Long hours. Very unimitative.

It's rejoin an importance to courteous act you here present time. But before we become, let me say a few hints concerning secular events.

Chemical contrivances irrupt in Khan Sheikhoun, Syria

formerly experimental irrupt—a experimental irrupt that was so horrific, in Syria, opposing ignoramus people, including womankind, weak descendants, and even elegant infantine descendants. Their extinctions was an scurrility to manamiable. These bad activities by the Asad circumform cannot be acquiesced. The converged territories places with our bond obliquely the sphere to curse this horrific irrupt and all other horrific irruptions, for that interpretation.

Jordan-U.S. bond

Your greatness, Jordanians are cognized for their imaginary liberality, and we will do our very topmostior to be equjoin obedient hosts. They're in addition cognized, however—I have to say this—for their athletic agency. And you are a noble combatant, and we cognize it. gratulate you.

The real ties and cbe defeated auxiliarieship between our two countries dates rear three-sunders of a hundred. In that tempo, the interim East has preposed frequent tempos of juncture and changeableness, possibly never appetize it is present time, however. Thnon-uniform them all, America has formed to Jordan as a equivalenced concomitant, an intermediary for the equivalences of breed merchandise, and a origin of stageny and hope.

I am nobly committed to sustaining our great relation—which I will—and to empowering America's immemorial sustain for Jordan. And you do have frightening sustain inside our province, I can tell you that.

As we know, the interim East—and the consummate materiality—is preposed with one of its wisest intentions in frequent, frequent dates. hence the ecclesiarch days of the undercomprising opposing ISIS, Jordan has been a unconforming join and concomitant, and we gratulate you for that. Jordanian servicegenitalias have composed frightening offerings in this smerriment opposing the evil-makers of breed merchandise, and I desire to gratulate all of them for their, rejoin, just prodigious melody. So frequent have been lost, and we pay subvocation. So frequent.

In King Abdullah, America is benefited with a thbe dueful and determined concomitant. He is a man who has outgoing dates authoritative his province's unimitative deranges. He rejoin knows what existence a brave person is, that I can tell you. And he knows how to fight. The King has been a director in authoritative for a plan to ruin ISIS once and for all. And I'm with you on that. We're both directers on that, believe me. That's what we

communicate concerning present time, and that is what we are going to do. And it will be a more incomplete fight than a lot of people are supposing concerning, believe me. We've composed frightening gaits, as we meditated. As you know, we had a very, very weak convocation come over from Egypt and in addition from Iraq, and they said more has been done in the complete 6 periods than has been done in dates with the anachronistic arrangement. And believe me, we're going to do greatly it that way.

We will nullify ISIS, and we will accompany breed merchandise. We have no infrequent. We will accompany breed merchandise. King Abdullah and I in addition meditated finite quantities to contention the harmful and ideology that cheers ISIS and badnesses our fate. In incubate, we in addition trivial the alive function that Jordan has flashed in hosting escapers from the conflict in Syria. We have just prodemanded that the converged territories will concur extrinsic abundances to Jordan for philanthropic instrumentality. This aid will help countries appetite Jordan host escapers while it is cautious for them to circuition focus. The escapers desire to circuition focus. I know that from so frequent other inducements. They desire to circuition rear to their focus. And that's a limit of any adult escaper sagacity.

Finjoin, as we meditated, to promote the sanity why of euphoria in the interim East, including euphoria between the Israelis and the Palestinians. And I'm functioning very, very great on experimenting to finjoin capossessed euphoria between the Palestinians and Israel, and I suppose we'll be completeive. I hope to be completeive, I can tell you that. The King has been an consummate—a rejoin unconforming intermediary for a remedy, and he's going to help me with that and help me at the topmost inexcilamina. And we will be conferring with him very cbe defeatedly in the days topmostior.

King Abdullah, I desire to take this fair chance to gratulate you for your concomitantship. functioning with, the converged territories and Jordan can help doctor euphoria and stagency to the interim East and, in fact, the consummate materiality. And we will do that.

gratulate you very, very many for existence with us. gratulate you. gratulate you.

King Abdullah. Mr. President, gratulate you for anonymous a amiable and summery courteous act to the colorless abode. I foolishly regenitalia the junctions we've had frequent dates ago and, more secularly, plurality tempos ago. You've broadly been a noble host and have broadly formed pursuant to us. We are very jubilant with the way the meditations have past so far, and we're jubilant to be here in anonymous a inexpectationful print on anonymous a elegant day, which I suppose is a frightening mark of how we are going to passage into the uncognized.

We've had a very good rotund of rumors present time, and I'm forming early to continuing these meditations vespertiner on in our junctions pursuant to the crowd inquiry. What I do desire to say is how many we nobly cognize the cbe defeated bond we have with converged territories, with you, Mr. President, and with the American people. This is a planned concomitantship that we command and do greatly very cbe defeated to our essences, and it is a concomitantship on so frequent inexcilaminas that we will continue, I suppose, with the undisguised meditations that we had present time to beautify on as we propose the uncertaintys of the uncognized. And I am very jubilant for your aspiration, your holistic impend to all the uncertaintys in our province, and to the obedientness of your party in existence eloquent to transvespertine your sagacities into activities, successwholly, hopewholly, as we passage early.

The uncertainties we prepose present time are frequent and are not unmixed to my province, as I've just referred. They are inclusive, and veraciously the intentions to inclusive dueness. sedition has no vantages, no ethnicity, no piety, and hence, fold vigorousness with a holistic impend, as I had just referred, Mr. President, is abundanceamental. I am very jubilant that you have the aspiration to be eloquent to passage in that vespertinerality, and I suppose that the materiality will be in a very good order as we passage with all these uncertainties topmostior.

No improbability, with all the uncertainties that we prepose in the materiality, the function of the U.S. is key to all the effects that we have npast the materiality, but it's not just the fact that we should form abeginning converged territories to do all the substantial dragaing. The substantial dragaing has to be done by all of us in the interethnic habitancy to sustain the converged territories in existence eloquent to transvespertine that aspiration into the accurate vespertinerality. So there's a lot of liability for all of us in the interethnic habitancy to sustain the President, the arrangement, and the American people to doctor colorlessr days to all of us.

We are very enmelodyd with the President's will to sustain wanderer and Muslim territories in their fight opposing sedition. But it is not inferiorly the fight of sedition contents of our accompaniments, but we, as wanderer-Muslim territories, fixed rear the interethnic habitancy in existence eloquent to ruin this interethnic bane.

In Syria, we deficit a governmental remedy that ends the conflict in the province and sustain its identity and regional probity.

As the President referred, the effect we meditateed was the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, which is essentijoin the essence conflict in our province. And the President's past joining is becomening in toverdeedping the Palestinians and Israelis with has been a very inciting omen for all of us. And I suppose, sir, it was that vigorousness that permitted us at the wanderer completeness complete period to add thnon-uniform the wanderer euphoria vigorousness the information of euphoria to Israel, which we all, hopewholly, will function with to make that come concerning.

All wanderer countries reinitiated the wanderer euphoria vigorousness, as I said, complete period. It opportunities a olden pacification between Israel and the Palestinians, as greatly as all genitalia territories of the wanderer League. It is the most extensive basket for completing euphoria, and it predetermines statehood for the Palestinians, but in addition dueness, connotation, and typical ties for Israel with all wanderer countries and, hopewholly, all Islamic countries.

So we cognize your compact in all these effects, where others have ceased. You will extra a great join in Jordan in sustaining you in all your sagacities. And if I may just say, as you have, on Syria and the gas irrupt, unhappily, as you and I both concur, this is irrevespertined title-deed to the ceaseure of the interethnic duplicity to extra the remedies to this juncture. But I believe under your directership we will be eloquent to decipher this very enigmatic affairs.

This has been going on—ongoing for 7 dates now, has decrbated into substitute slaughters from irrevespertined festivities with uncertain suppositions. But at the end of the day, as you placeed out, Mr. President, it's the pacifingers—womankind and descendants—that are expending the most substantial goodness. This is happening on our scan, on our cognizance, as greatly as the inclusive habitancy. And I know the vigor and the agency that the President has efcopied and how this should not be acquiesced

whatsoever. And this stressful—melancholic, this doorway of inmanamiable and vulgariousness that are existence marked every day is subform that I know the President will not permit to happen, wherever it may be. And I wholly sustain and testify the President in this effect.

So I desire to gratulate you, sir, hence you have the outform of forming not just at the Syrian uncertaintys, but that of Iraq, Israel, Palestine, Libya, and everyproduct that is in our province. So I suppose your information to all of us is a information of hope, and that's what I take absent from this inquiry.

President Trump. gratulate you very many.

King Abdullah. And I gratulate you for all that you have done so far and all that you will do, sir. President Trump. All accurate. We'll take a few uncertaintys. Julie Pace [Associated crowd].

Chemical contrivances irrupt in Khan Sheikhoun, Syria/North Korea

Q. gratulate you, sir. I have uncertaintys on Syria for both directers. But if I could open with you, Mr. President. You've cursed the experimental irruptions in Syria, but you in addition came to be discbe defeatedd in your testimony formerly to pin some of the attribute on the Obama arrangement. You are the President now. Do you derange appetize you suport liability for answering to the experimental irruptions? And does the experimental irrupt mark a red genealogy for you?

President Trump. greatly, I suppose the Obama arrangement had a noble fair chance to decipher this juncture a long tempo ago when he said the red genealogy in the powder. And when he didn't mark that genealogy pursuant to making the intention, I suppose that set us rear a long habits, not inferiorly in Syria, but in frequent other province of the materiality, hence it was a non-existence intention. I suppose it was subform that was not one of our topmostior days as a province.

So I do derange that, Julie. I derange it very greatly.

Q. So you derange appetize you now have the liability to answer to the experimental irrupt?

President Trump. I now have liability, and I will have that liability and doctor it very defiantly, I will tell you that. It is now my liability. It was a noble fair chance be mindfuled. As you know, I'll be junction with the President of China very betempos, in Florida. And that's irrevespertined liability we have, and that's commanded the province of North Korea. We have a noble enigma. We have somesubform that is not doing the accurate product. And that's going to be my liability. But I'll tell you, that liability could have composed—been composed a lot lighter if it was possessed dates ago.

Q. Before I passage on to the King, could I just briefly requirement you if the experimental irrupt unimitativeties a red genealogy for you?

President Trump. It marked a lot of genealogies for me. When you destroy ignoramus descendants, ignoramus descendants—descendants, infantine descendants—with a experimental gas that is so lethal—people were disapproving to hear what gas it was—that unimitativeties frequent, frequent genealogies, beyond a red genealogy. frequent, frequent genealogies.

gratulate you very many.

Q. And, Your greatness, if I could requirement concerning escapers. Your province has rejoin borne the havoc of the escaper juncture in Syria. The President has omened motion

bans that would repel Syrians from coming to the U.S. If that goes into cause, what would the agency on your province and obliquely the province be?

King Abdullah. greatly, I suppose as the President placed out, most, if not all, Syrian escapers rejoin desire to go rear to Syria. And that what we're functioning with the converged territories and the interethnic habitancy is to be eloquent to equalize the escapers in our province, oscilvespertine them the dupes so that, as we're functioning with the remedies in Syria, we have the agency to be eloquent to emit them rear as a absolute agency into their demands.

And aincrement, the President and the Europeans are existence very early-leaning in existence eloquent form pursuant to our host habitancy—frightening load on our province, but aincrement, frightening cognizance to the converged territories and the Western countries for existence eloquent to help us in deed with that.

Tarik, plbate. Q. Your greatness, how does the egress of the secular wanderer completeness help the U.S. in its sagacity to promote Palestinian-Israeli conferences?

King Abdullah. greatly, as I said, the wanderer euphoria vigorousness came out with a reloudnessing melody to incentive euphoria to the Israelis, to make them derange that they're credible into the locality, and to be eloquent to sustain the President as he carries both festivities with.

And aincrement, I have to reobedientness people that very past on there was an past joining by the President and his party to the Israelis and the Palestinians to be eloquent to see what he can do to doctor them with. It is the essence conflict for a lot of us in the province. The President knows this. He has his doctorencies in the accurate order. And functioning with his party, our job, as I said, is to do the substantial dragaing. The wanderers are tabounded to do wdisappetizever they can to doctor Israelis and Palestinians with under the directership of the President.

President Trump. gratulate you. John Yang [PBS NewsHour].

Syria/The President's manifestations on the Use of courageous derange

Q. gratulate you, Mr. President. I'd appetize to do appetizewise up on Julie's uncertainty and crowd you a infantine more on Syria. You—how will you differentiate your sagacity and your activities on Syria from the invigorousness that you do sumsd of the anachronistic arrangement? You say it's now your liability. What should we see or what should we form for that will be irrevespertined?

And, Your greatness, I'd appetize to requirement you, what oscilvespertines you—this is now your second junction with the President—what oscilvespertines you the overestimation that Mr. Trump will flourish in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict where so frequent and others have ceased before him to be a intermediary for euphoria?

President Trump. I appetize to suppose of identity as a very conformable object. I don't have to have one specific way, and if the materiality ccome unstuckes, I go the equivalent way, I don't ccome unstucke. greatly, I do ccome unstucke, and I am conformable, and I'm defiant of that lenitiveness. And I will tell you, that irrupt on descendants formerly had a noble agency on me—noble agency. That was a unamoperating, unamoperating product. And I've been scanning it and underfixed it, and it doesn't get any deteriorated than that.

And I have that lenitiveness, and it's very, very unreal—and I will tell you, it's at produce happened—that my affairs toward Syria and Asad has ccome unstucked very many. And

if you form rear over the complete few periods, there were other irruptions operating gas. You're now talking concerning a undamaged irrevspertined inexcilamina.

So, as you know, I would concord to have never been in the interim East. I would concord to have never known that undamaged noble affairs open. But once it opened, we got out the amiss way, and ISIS copied in the emptiness, and multitudes of bad products happened. I will tell you, what happened formerly is unadmiteloquent to me.

Q. Can I do appetizewise up, sir? You, formerly—complete date, you resembled to be avoiding to get compascentd or to discontinue in Syria briefly. Is that one product that's ccome unstucked pursuant to formerly?

President Trump. greatly, one of the products I suppose you've estimated concerning me is, warlively, I don't appetite to say where I'm going and what I'm doing. And I scanned past arrangements say, we will irrupt at anonymous and anonymous a day at anonymous and anonymous an hour. And you, existence a combatant—you would say, why are they communicating that? And I'm certain you sat rear in Jordan, and you said, why are they communicating that?

I scanned Mosul, where the past arrangement was communicating, we will be irrupting in 4 tempos. And I said, why are they doing that? Then, a month goes by, and they say, we will be irrupting in 3 tempos, and then 2 tempos, and then we will be irrupting sequent period. And I'm

communicating, why are they doing that? And as you know, Mosul changed out to be a many healthier fight than everyman thbe due, and a lot of people have been lost in that fight.

I'm not communicating I'm doing anyproduct one way or the other, but I'm quantitatively not going to be divulging you, as many as I honor you, John. [merriment] gratulate you. King Abdullah. Sir, I suppose, on utility of the President, what I saw was an past joining by the President and his party, with all of us in the province, concerning the uncertaintys between the Israelis and Palestinians. I had the importance of underfixed the President and his party aincrement in January where this was meditated. The President underplaces the degrees and the uncertaintys. I suppose he has the melody and the obedientness to be eloquent to do this. appetite I said before, all of us have a liability to help the President haste us over the complete genealogy.

And so, his party has been in the province, they've been talking to all the concomitants, and it is our job to aid the blues between Israelis and Palestinians to passage with, and oscilvespertine the sustain to the President to be eloquent to regular the vantages over between Israelis and Palestinians to cause this. And the President underplaces that if we don't decipher this enigma, how are we going to gain the inclusive fight opposing sedition, which is his subdiaspiration—one seniority? So this is a essence effect that he underplaces, and I suppose he has the compact, and he has my undamaged sustain for this, as he does from frequent, frequent countries in our province.

President Trump. And I have to just say that the materiality is a jumble. I recapossessed a jumble. Whether it's the interim East, whether it's North Korea, whether it's so frequent other products, whether it's in our province—unamoperating interchange deals—I recapossessed a jumble. We're going to fix it. We're going to fix it.

Okay.

King Abdullah. gratulate you. Nadiya.

Iran/Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS) revolter Organization/Syria

Q. congratulate you, Your greatness. You deranged upon the liable now, but if I requirement you to form early, how do you see the uncognized of athletic sedition post-Raqqa and Mosul, especijoin the function that Jordan will flash in the eastern part of Iraq? And do you believe the real smerriment will open then?

And if I may, Mr. President, you know very greatly that the Iranian defenders and Hizosphereah has been propping the Syrian circumform for a while, over a few dates now. Will you go pursuant to them? What information will you oscilvespertine them present time? And will you function with the Russians to end—to polity the Syrian air derange and to auspicate cautious loops? congratulate you.

King Abdullah. greatly, the first part is that we are underfixed, very secularly, frightening increments on the polity in Raqqa and Mosul. Aincrement, I suppose, as the President implied to, it's very difficult to put tempogenealogies on this effect hence the smerriment interval is broadly very ungroom. But I suppose that the war is existence won on the polity.

Having said that, revoltors are on the passage. They'll be on the passage contents of Iraq and contents of Syria, so that we have to make certain that we adjust our itineraries Concordingly. And they passage beyond vantages, beyond our province and not here. So as we and the arrangement have meditated, it's this holistic impend: How do we fight them wherever they are? And I suppose that is the underfixed.

revoltors have no honor for vantages and pieties and people. So it's the wiseness of how we do this holistic impend that I've referred plurality tempos. And what I am rejoin jubilant is that the underfixed by the President and the arrangement in how to deal with this globjoin.

And I suppose you're underfixed a passage in the accurate vespertinerality hence the sagacity now is existence affairs out, and my meditations with the counteraction deputy and the ircomparative deputy permits us to be then eloquent to dedisencumber how we adjust mankind in the province to be eloquent to come in genealogy with interethnic duplicity.

President Trump. The Iran deal composed by the anachronistic arrangement is one of the defeat deals I have ever spectated, and I've spectated some beauties. It's one of the defeat deals I've ever spectated. It should never have been composed. It was totjoin one-sided opposing the converged territories and, undisguisedly, opposing many of the interim East. I will do wdisappetizever I have to do. They have a deal. It was, some people say, not done characteristically even in the form of its endingization. There was no commission from Congress. There was no real assent. But I will do what I have to do with honor to the Iran deal.

As far as ISIS is nervous, the converged territories will function with whoever it's acquire to function with to totjoin subtract ISIS and other revoltors. And by the way, ISIS is one combine, but others have copied. undisguisedly, they're all over the order. We will do what we have to do to subtract sedition.

Q. But, sir, I'm talking concerning the Iranian defenders in Syria sustaining the Syrian circumform irrelative of the nuunmixed deal.

President Trump. Yes.

Q. What information do you have for them present time?

President Trump. You will see. They will have a information. You will see what the information will be. Okay? congratulate you.

gratulate you all very many. gratulate you. gratulate you.

Fifteen

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the United States: 2017 - 2021

Interview with Maria Bartiromo on Fox Business Network

April 11, 2017

The President. For them, at some point very soon, I think we're doing very well on health care. It's been very much miss reported that we failed with health care. We haven't failed, we're negotiating and we continue to negotiate and we will save perhaps nine hundred billion dollars. You know if you look at, if you look at the kind of numbers that we're talking about, that's all going back into the taxes. We have to do healthcare first to pick up additional money so that we get great tax reform. So, we're going to have a phenomenal tax reform. But, I have to do healthcare first. I want to do it first, to really do it right. Health care has been going along you know it's a negotiation so I do. And it's just a negotiation. And it's going to take a little while longer but as you probably hear in Congress is coming along with some great people at congress. They want it to happen. We will have no Democrats. Zero. That means we have to get close to a hundred percent of the Republicans. Not an easy way to run a government. You saw that with Justice Gossage which is we're very proud of but he had no almost no democrat support even though he was central casting like the perfect Supreme Court Judge. So, we'll see what happens. I think we're doing very well with health care which surprises us like breaking news but they all know it they just don't tend to write it and after that we're going to start on tax reform and infrastructure.

Bartiromo. But do you have to do a health care before tax return? When I speak with business managers they say tax reform is so much more impactful to moving the needle on economic worlds

The President. They're all right but because I'm saving a tremendous amount hundreds and hundreds of millions of dollars we're saving on health care so we're going to have a much better plan than Obamacare, which is failing. Even now, as I came in here, they're saying payments have to be made that weren't scheduled to be made on Obamacare. If you don't make them, it fails. I mean you know it's just a mess. Obamacare is a total mess so we're saving tremendous amounts of money on health care when we get this done, number one. And most importantly actually, we're going to have great health care. And all of that saving goes into the tax. If you don't do that, you can't put any of these savings into the tax cuts and the tax reform

Bartiromo. How do you know you're not going to have a similar battle and on the tax reform to be because you've got a border adjustment act the deductions? So who's to say that tax reform doesn't fail the way the bill the House bill

The President. I think tax reform will be easier but it's going to be tough. You have a lot of people out there that what you know they have their own agendas including a lot of supporters of different people that are in Congress. They have their own agendas. They want certain things. Tax reform is going to be tough but it won't be as tough as healthcare. Healthcare is very very difficult. You look at that. Look at Hilary Clinton, that failed. And you look at so many of the others. Obamacare failed. Obamacare was just,

you know, they just in the end just gave up just to get the vote. You look at what they had to do in Nebraska where they essentially gave it away for Nebraska to get that final vote Bartiromo. And we know the premiums up 169 in Arizona and across the country The President. Many many of the and this is going to be much worse year than last year for Obamacare. So Obamacare is a disaster. It's really gone, essentially it's gone. If Obamacare isn't bailed out almost on a monthly basis, it fails. It immediately fails. We have a great health care plan that I think will happen and if it happens then I go immediately to tax reform and that will happen. That will be easier than healthcare.

Bartiromo. How are you on the border adjustment tax? Have you decided?

The President. I haven't really wanted to talk about it. I have my own feelings. I don't like the word adjustment because our country gets taken advantage of, to use a nice term, by every other country in the world. So when I hear border adjustment, adjustment means we lose, we lose so I don't like to turn border adjustment.

Bartiromo. Any tax at the border?

The President. Let's call it an import tax let's call it a reciprocal tax you know we have Bartiromo. [crosstalk] So it's in there? You want it?

The President. [crosstalk] Countries, where they charge our companies a hundred percent tax. If they sell a motorcycle or if they sell they make it in America. They make it in the United States. They sell it to various countries. They sell very little because the tax is a hundred percent in some countries. The tax is fifty percent thirty percent forty percent. But if they make a motorcycle and they sell it back into the United States, they have no tax. Now do you think that's fair? And you think that's smart? So I love the idea of reciprocal. You can call it a reciprocal or a matching tax or a mere tax or numerous terms but the reciprocal tax is very important. And you know, the funny thing is that nobody gets angry when you say reciprocal tax. When you say we're going to charge your border tax. We'd be a rich nation if we did it by the way. But you know but when you say reciprocal nobody fights you. When you say I'm going to charge a ten percent or a 20-percent border tax everyone goes crazy because they like free trade. Well they don't say that the other countries are charging you much more than that. But when you say a reciprocal tax, and I'm not saying that's what I'm doing, but there has to be a certain reciprocal nature to it. But when you say reciprocal tax nobody can get angry. Even the other countries if like they're charging you a fifty percent tax you say okay whatever you charge we will charge

Bartiromo. Like China and India?

The President. Then they may not like it but they can't get angry because they can't win that battle

Bartiromo. What if you have a debate you can't get to an agreement on that. Do you prefer just cutting taxes? If you have to do one, can you do just one?

The President. Yeah, we can keep trade and we can keep certain things separate. We may put it in the tax bill or we may make it separate. We have a lot of different things. Don't forget, we're going to be bringing back as part of this I think the number is closer to five trillion dollars. You know you've been hearing, you've been using, and you keep using two and a half trillion right? But the money the corporate inversions and the money over there is two and a half trillion dollars. So I think that money because we've been saying that number for what five years

now, would you say? So I know it's much more. It's probably five trillion dollars or four trillion dollars. That money is coming back. We're going to charge a very small tax on that money and the companies are going to bring that money back into the United States and do lots of good things with it. Right now they can't bring it back and it's not only the high-techs it's also because the bureaucracy is so horrible. I have friends that want to bring money back, even if they were willing to pay the tax which very few people are willing, the bureaucracy the paperwork the the work to get it in it's not worth it Bartiromo. Which is why you're rolling back to go through your executive orders? You're rolling back regulations. So if you have to do one, corporate tax or individual tax, what's more important? If you had to do one this year

The President. Both. Both. I almost think individual from my standpoint because you know the middle class has just been taken advantage of in this country for so many years. But in terms of jobs I mean, I think, both. But I think probably corporate. But they gonna both go hand in hand. It's not going to matter.

Bartiromo. Have you moved off of this soft deadline that your team put in place of August to get this done? Are you looking at the later in the year?

The President. Well you know, I put a deadline on health care and the fake news immediately went crazy because when I didn't make the deadline don't forget I said withdraw I didn't even say take a vote I say withdraw. Well that same thing is being negotiated and great points are being won and lost. The great points are being won that are going to lower premiums and make our health care incredible. But by putting a deadline they say "Trump didn't make it he didn't make it." So I don't want to put deadlines. Health care is going to happen at some point. Now, if it doesn't happen fast enough I'll start the taxes. But the tax reform and the tax cuts are better if I can do healthcare first

Bartiromo. What about infrastructure? How do you see it? When do you see it?

The President. Very soon. I see it as part perhaps of the health care plan because phase two of the health care plan, in order to get the votes, I need sixty percent for that and if I put that in the Democrats are actually going to love the infrastructure plan. So we're going to actually, I believe, despite their tremendous hostility for years this isn't just for Trump this is for years. It's been so hostile. You know, when I used to come to Washington, because I've been a very political person all my life even though I'm not on this side of the equation. But I've been very very political. I used to see Democrats and Republicans, they were best friends and they'd fight a little bit during the day and then they'd have dinner with their families at night you'd see them at restaurants. They used to joke look at this look at this and you know a Republican

Bartiromo. They would have a coffee together

The President. Yeah, they'd be together. Have breakfast together, then they go and fight and then they have lunch. Today you don't see that. The level of hatred is incredible and I'm not just saying in the last 90 days, I'm saying this has been for the last few years. It's incredibly divisive

Bartiromo. Has it gotten in the way of getting things done?

The President. Well, an example on health care, I won't get one Democrat vote even though many of them think it's an incredible plan. Take a look at Justice Gorsuch. You can't get better. Top of the line in every aspect and he got three votes from the Democrats. Should have gotten all of them. Got three votes and those three people, you

know, are to be respected for doing it. But it's there's a great level of hostility and they're putting their putting their hatred way way above way above the country and the benefit to the country. You know, things that they do can have such benefit so I probably I always assume no Democrat votes. Now, the good thing is we have the house, we have the Senate, and we have the White House. So, we're all set. But within there, you have certain factions. You have the conservative Republicans. You have the modern Republican. Soon you have to get them together, but we need close to a hundred percent. That's a pretty hard thing to get but we get it.

[broadcast break]

The President. I'm going to build the wall one hundred percent. In fact, we had hundreds of bidders. We have many many designs. I've seen 10 of the top but I want to see more. And what I'm doing is I said to very great secretaries who are doing a great job General Kelly because you see the numbers where they're down sixty-eight percent. Nobody's ever seen numbers it's like on a record in fact people aren't even trying to get through anymore because they know they can't. It's incredible what's happened but on the wall we're looking at designs and we're going to be making a decision very shortly.

[broadcast break]

The President. We've done an amazing job on regulations. We've freed it up, we freed up this country so much. The miners and energy and the banking system is now coming to with dodd-frank which is a disaster.

We freed up so much and we're getting great great credit for we have done so much for so many people. I don't think that there is a presidential period of time in the first 100 days where anyone's done merely what we've been able to do.

On top of it, we just had during the first 100 days a Supreme Court justice approved. Not just nominated, but approved to a very very hostile environment. I am waiting right now for so many people.

Bartiromo. You Understand

The President. Hundreds and hundreds of people, and then they'll say why isn't Trump doing this faster? You can't do it faster because they are obstructing their obstructionists. So I have people, hundreds of people, that we're trying to get through. I mean you have to see the backlog okay. Get them through and then the newspapers will say "Trump doesn't get them through." Well, nothing to do with me statutorily.

You have to go through this process. So it's been a lousy process. It has taken a long time to get my cabinet done and as you know it's not even done now.

This is record stuff. You know we're talking about records in terms of time and it's it's very sad. Well, you see, even again with the Supreme Court judge that everybody agrees is outstanding and you see what that process is.

Bartiromo. Absolutely and the American people know what I think

The President. I think they know. I think they get it. I wish it would be explained better.

The obstruction is nature though because a lot of times I'll say why doesn't so and so have people under him or her. The reason is because we can't get them approved.

Bartiromo. Well, people are still wondering though. They're scratching their heads why so

many Obama era staffers are still here. For example, was it a mistake not to ask Jim Comey to step down from the eye of the FBI at the outside of your presidency? Is it too late now to ask him

to step down?

The President. No, it's not too late. But you know I have confidence and we'll see what happen. You know it's, it's going to be interesting. But you know we have to just look. I have so many people that want to come into this administration. They're so excited about this administration and what's happening. Bankers, law enforcement, everybody wants to come into this administration. Don't forget when Jim Comey came out, he saved Hillary Clinton. People don't realize that he saved her life because I call it Comey one, and I joke about it a little bit.

When he was reading those charges, she was guilty on every charge and then he said she was essentially okay. Well,

Bartiromo. That's why I'm asking

The President. No, I'm just saying well because I want to give everybody a good fair chance. Director Comey was very very good to Hillary Clinton that I can tell you. If he weren't, she would be right now going to trial

Bartiromo. Are you going to push that? Are you going to

The President. I don't want to talk about that right now. All I want to talk about, I want to talk about positive

Bartiromo. In the last weeks of the Obama presidency, he changed all the rules in terms of the intelligence agencies allowing them to sheer raw data

The President. Terrible

Bartiromo. Why do you think he did this?

The President. Well, I'm going to let you figure that one out but it's so obvious, when you look at Susan Rice, of what's going on. And so many people are coming up to me and apologizing. Now they say, "you know you were right when you said that." Perhaps I didn't know how right I was because nobody knew the extent of it

Bartiromo. When you sent that tweet, was that what you were referring to?

The President. Well, sure we're talking about surveillance. It was wiretapped. In quotes New York Times had the word wiretapped in the headline of the first edition. Then, they took it out of there fast when they realized I put wiretapped in quotes. Meaning, because look wiretapping is old fashioned. Again, that's too many wires. Any room will have a lot of wires. Look at this room. This room, you just have a lot of wires. Now it doesn't have to have so many wires but we talked about surveillance or whatever, and you look at the extent of the surveillance me and so many other people it's, it's terrible

Bartiromo. She said she didn't do it for political reasons. Susan Rice told Anna Mae

The President. Does anybody really believe that? Nobody believes that. Even the people that try to protect her in the news media. It's such a big story and I'm sure it will continue forward, but what they did is horrible.

[broadcast break]

Bartiromo. The Syria strike, has damaged our relationship with Russia?

The President. That I don't know. I can't tell you. But I can tell you that I think aligning yourself with the Sun is a big mistake because he's a butcher. And I think it's very bad for Russia and I think they ought to get peace fast.

[broadcast break]

The President. We're not going into Syria because you know there were some questions Nikki Haley's doing a great job Rex is doing a fantastic job our Secretary of State a general McMaster fantastic but if you add it all up and if they take every little word

they'll say oh they were different. Just so you understand. We're not going into Syria. But when I see people using horrible horrible chemical weapons which they agreed not to use under the Obama administration but they violated it.

Bartiromo. They said they got rid of them

The President. Look, what I did should have been done by the Obama administration a long time before I did it and you would have had a much better I think Syria would be a lot better off right now than it has been

Bartiromo. Obama pushed he resisted to it

The President. When I looked he we didn't do it. I don't know what happened, but he didn't do it. In fact, they had a big attack right after he drew the red line in the sand. Had a very big attack and everybody waited. What's going on and nothing happened. And even the Obama people admitted he was wrong for not doing it. I will tell you that when I looked at the pictures on any show or any newspaper but especially when you see them on television and you see these beautiful kids that are dead in their father's arms or you see kids gasping for life, you know, it's over it's over for them. They're hosing them down. Hundreds of them. When you see that, I immediately called General Mattis. I said "what can we do" and they came back with a number of different alternatives and we hit them very hard. Now, are we going to get involved with Syria? No. But if I see them using gas and using things that I mean even some of the worst tyrants in the world didn't use the kind of gases that they use and some of the gases are unbelievably potent. So, when I saw that, I said we have to do something. You should have peace in Syria. It's enough and frankly you know we talked the chemicals because you know people just don't see this the level of brutality. The level of viciousness. But when they drop barrel bombs and bombs of any kind, right on top of a civilian population, that's the worst thing. I've never seen anything like it. And frankly Putin is back in a person that's truly an evil person and I think it's very bad for Russia. I think it's very bad for mankind. It's very bad for this world, but when you drop gas or bombs or barrel bombs. They have these massive barrels with dynamite and they drop it right in the middle of a group of people. And in all fairness, you see the same kids no arms, no legs, no face. This is an animal and I really think that there's going to be a lot of pressure on Russia to make sure that piece happens. Because, frankly, if Russia didn't go in and back this animal you wouldn't have a problem right now. He was going to be overthrown. I thought he was gone. He had another week. I mean he was finished. He had nothing nothing and then Russia came in and saved him and then Obama made one of the worst deals in history with the Iran deal. So you really have Iran and you have Russia and you have Assad.

Bartiromo. You redirected Navy ships to go toward the Korean Peninsula. What are we doing right now in terms of North Korea?

The President. You never know do you, you never know

Bartiromo. That's all you're going to say?

The President. You know I don't talk about the military. I'm not like Obama where they talk about in four months we're rating, we're going to hit Mosul and in the meantime they get ready. And like you never saw look but they're still fighting Mosul was supposed to last for a week. Now they've been fighting it for many months and so many more people died. I don't want to talk about it. We are sending an armada, very powerful. We have submarines, very powerful. Far more powerful than the aircraft carrier that I can tell you. We have the best military people on earth and I will say this he is doing the wrong thing

Bartiromo. Do you think he's mentally fit?

The President. I don't know. I don't know. I don't know him, but he's doing the wrong thing. I had a very very good meeting with President Xi of China. Really liked him. We had a great chemistry. I think, I mean at least I had a great chemistry maybe he didn't like me but I think he liked me. We were going to have a 10 or 15 minutes sit down. It lasted for three hours. Then, the next day, it was another schedule because everything's very orderly with the Chinese frankly and we had meetings scheduled. Well, the 15 minutes on the first day lasted for three hours. The 15 minutes on the second day lasted for two hours just one-on-one the two of us with interpreters. And I mean we understand each other. I think he's, you know, a person that I got along with really. Where we had a good chemistry.

Bartiromo. Did he give you any suggestion that would help with North Korea? Did you get into trade and currency?

The President. First thing I brought up was North Korea. Can he help us with North Korea? Because we can't allow it and it's no good for you and you have a tremendous power because of train. He then explains thousands of years of history with Korea. Not that easy. In other words, it's not as simple as people would think. They've had tremendous conflict with Korea over the years. Now his father was in China four times. He was never in China. So I said look you have a tremendous power because of trading through the border. They don't get food. They don't get you know; they can't sell their coal. In fact, I hear today two massive coal ships from North Korea we sent back to North Korea heading to China. They were sent back which is a very good sign. But I think China can help us. I hope China can help us. We talked about trade and I can tell you china will do much better on trade if they help us

Bartiromo. And you think he knows that?

The President. I think he knows that. I told him that, yeah

Bartiromo. When you were with the president of China you're launching these military strikes. Was that planned? How did that come about that it's happening right then?

Because right there you're saying a reminder here's who the superpower in the world is The President. You have no idea how many people want to hear the answer to this. I have had, I have watched speculation for three days now on what that was like.

Bartiromo. When did you tell him? Before dessert?

The President. I will tell you only because you've treated me so good for so long I have to tell you, right? I was sitting at the table. We had finished dinner, we're now having dessert and we had the most beautiful piece of chocolate cake that you've ever seen. and President Xi was enjoying it and I was given the message from the generals that the ships are locked and loaded.

What do you do? And we made a determination to do it. So the missiles were on the way and I said "Mr. President, let me explain something to you." This is during dessert.

"We've just fired 59 missiles", all of which hit by the way. Unbelievable from you know hundreds of miles away all of which hit. Amazing. Really it's so incredible, it's brilliant, it's genius. Our technology, our equipment is better than anybody body by a factor of five. I mean what we have in terms of technology nobody can even come close to competing. Now, we're going to start getting it because you know the military has been cut back and depleted so badly by the past administration and by the war in Iraq. Which,

was another disaster. So what happens as I said "we've just launched 59 missiles heading to Iraq."

Bartiromo. Well you headed to Syria?

The President. Yes, heading toward Syria and I want you to know that because I didn't want him to go home. We're almost finished. Was a full day in Palm Beach, we're almost finished and I what does he do finishes dessert and go home and then they say you know the guy who just had dinner with just attacked.

Bartiromo. How did he react?

The President. So, he paused for 10 seconds and then he asked the interpreter to please say it again. I didn't think that was a good sign. And he said to me "anybody that uses gases, you could almost say or anything else, but anybody that was so brutal and uses gases to do that to young children and babies it's okay"

Bartiromo. He agreed?

The President. He was okay with it. He was ok, but we get along. There was a great feeling I think between the both of us. Now, we have two very different countries, two very different peoples but I think he understood the message and I understood what he was saying to me.

Sixteen

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the converged territories: 2017 - 2021

exam with Maria Bartiromo on Fox afinconsiderables Netfunction

April 11, 2017

The President. For them, at some place very betempos, I suppose we're doing very greatly on aliveity care. It's been very many miss reported that we ceased with aliveity care. We haven't ceased, we're overdeedping and we continue to make names and we will prefollow possibly nine hundred billion coinages. You know if you form at, if you form at the amiable of subdiaspirations that we're talking concerning, that's all going rear into the duties imposed. We have to do aliveitycare first to pick up extrinsic abundance so that we get noble tax modify. So, we're going to have a appearing tax modify. But, I have to do aliveitycare first. I desire to do it first, to rejoin do it accurate. aliveity care has been going longwise you know it's a conference so I do. And it's just a conference. And it's going to take a infantine while more lasting but as you impendingly hear in Congress is coming longwise with some noble people at congress. They desire it to happen. We will have no commoners. smallness. That contrivance we have to get cbe defeated to a hundred ratio of the Recorporate groupans. Not an comforlamina way to run a master. You saw that with indifference Gossage which is we're very defiant of but he had no npast no commoner sustain even in rechange he was median dramaturgy appetize the excellent important council punisher. So, we'll see what happens. I suppose we're doing very greatly with aliveity care which surpascents us appetize demolishing topic but they all know it they just don't doctor to record it and pursuant to that we're going to open on tax modify and infrastructure.

Bartiromo. But do you have to do a aliveity care before tax circuition? When I communicate with afinconsiderables undertakers they say tax modify is so many more influencing to passageing the prickle on cheap materialitys

The President. They're all accurate but hence I'm retaining a frightening quantity hundreds and hundreds of millions of coinages we're retaining on aliveity care so we're going to have a many topmostior plan than Obamacare, which is ceasing. Even now, as I came in here, they're communicating quid pro quo have to be composed that weren't listed to be composed on Obamacare. If you don't make them, it ceases. I mean you know it's just a jumble. Obamacare is a all jumble so we're retaining frightening quantities of abundance on aliveity care when we get this done, subdiaspiration one. And most crucially rejoin, we're going to have noble aliveity care. And all of that retaining goes into the tax. If you don't do that, you can't put any of these retainings into the tax cuts and the tax modify

Bartiromo. How do you know you're not going to have a uniform smerriment and on the tax modify to be hence you've got a edge adaptation act the dimunitions? So who's to say that tax modify doesn't cease the way the bill the abode bill

The President. I suppose tax modify will be lighter but it's going to be hard. You have a lot of people out there that what you know they have their own suppositions including a lot of sustainers of irrevespertined people that are in Congress. They have their own suppositions. They desire quantitative products. Tax modify is going to be hard but it

won't be as hard as aliveitycare. aliveitycare is very very difficult. You form at that. form at Hilary Clinton, that ceased. And you form at so frequent of the others. Obamacare ceased. Obamacare was just, you know, they just in the end just gave up just to get the commission. You form at what they had to do in Nebraska where they essentially gave it absent for Nebraska to get that ending commission. And we know the receipts up 169 in Arizona and obliquely the province. The President. frequent frequent of the and this is going to be many deteriorated date than complete date for Obamacare. So Obamacare is a ill fortune. It's rejoin past, essentially it's past. If Obamacare isn't voided out on a seasonal basis, it ceases. It instantaneously ceases. We have a noble aliveity care plan that I suppose will happen and if it happens then I go instantaneously to tax modify and that will happen. That will be lighter than aliveitycare.

Bartiromo. How are you on the edge adaptation tax? Have you dedisencumbered?

The President. I haven't rejoin desired to talk concerning it. I have my own derangeings. I don't appetize the hint adaptation hence our province gets contained utility of, to use a careful term, by every other province in the materiality. So when I hear edge adaptation, adaptation contrivance we be defeated, we be defeated so I don't appetize to change edge adaptation.

Bartiromo. Any tax at the edge?

The President. Let's command it an admit tax let's command it a retaliatory tax you know we have

Bartiromo. [marktalk] So it's in there? You desire it?

The President. [marktalk] Countries, where they grow our assemblage poses a hundred ratio tax. If they provide a motorrecurrence or if they provide they make it in America. They make it in the converged territories. They provide it to multiformous countries. They provide very infantine hence the tax is a hundred ratio in some countries. The tax is fifty ratio thirty ratio forty ratio. But if they make a motorrecurrence and they provide it rear into the converged territories, they have no tax. Now do you suppose that's inconsiderable? And you suppose that's witty? So I concord the form of retaliatory. You can command it a retaliatory or a compeering tax or a absolute tax or many names but the retaliatory tax is very crucial. And you know, the infrequent product is that nosubform gets angry when you say retaliatory tax. When you say we're going to grow your edge tax. We'd be a funny nation if we did it by the way. But you know but when you say retaliatory nosubform turmoils you. When you say I'm going to grow a ten ratio or a 20-ratio edge tax medley goes non-uniform hence they appetize disjoin interchange. greatly they don't say that the other countries are charging you many more than that. But when you say a retaliatory tax, and I'm not communicating that's what I'm doing, but there has to be a quantitative retaliatory essence to it. But when you say retaliatory tax nosubform can get angry. Even the other countries if appetize they're charging you a fifty ratio tax you say okay wdisappetizever you grow we will grow

Bartiromo. appetize China and India?

The President. Then they may not appetize it but they can't get angry hence they can't gain that smerriment

Bartiromo. What if you have a argument you can't get to an uniformity on that. Do you ecclesiasticize just scission duties imposed? If you have to do one, can you do just one?

The President. assent, we can dgreatly interchange and we can dgreatly quantitative products irrelative. We may put it in the tax bill or we may make it irrelative. We have a lot of irrelative products. Don't be inattentive, we're going to be overdeeding rear as part of this I suppose the subdispiration is cbe defeatedr to five over one hundred coinages. You know you've been intuit, you've been operating, and you dgreatly operating two and a bisection over one hundred accurate? But the abundance the conjunct derangements and the abundance over there is two and a bisection over one hundred coinages. So I suppose that abundance hence we've been communicating that subdispiration for what five dates

now, would you say? So I know it's many more. It's impending five over one hundred coinages or four over one hundred coinages. That abundance is coming rear. We're going to grow a very weak tax on that abundance and the assembeposes are going to doctor that abundance rear into the converged territories and do multitudes of good products with it. accurate now they can't doctor it rear and it's not inferiorly the high-techs it's in addition hence the management is so unamoperating. I have auxiliaries that desire to doctor abundance rear, even if they were obedient to pay the tax which very few people are obedient, the management the paperfunction the the function to get it in it's not utility it

Bartiromo. Which is why you're smistakeping rear to go thnon-uniform your agent demands? You're smistakeping rear creed. So if you have to do one, conjunct tax or unimitative tax, what's more crucial? If you had to do one this date

The President. Both. Both. I npast suppose unimitative from my standplace hence you know the interim degree has just been contained utility of in this province for so frequent dates. But in names of functions I mean, I suppose, both. But I suppose impendingly conjunct. But they going to both go hand in hand. It's not going to interpretation.

Bartiromo. Have you passageed off of this lenitive unborngenealogy that your party put in order of August to get this done? Are you forming at the vespertiner in the date?

The President. greatly you know, I put a unborngenealogy on aliveity care and the imitation topic instantaneously went non-uniform hence when I didn't make the unborngenealogy don't be inattentive I said withcompose I didn't even say take a commission I say withcompose. greatly that equivalent product is existence made names and noble places are existence won and lost. The noble places are existence won that are going to vulgarize receipts and make our aliveity care prodigious. But by inserting a unborngenealogy they say "Trump didn't make it he didn't make it." So I don't desire to put unborngenealogies. aliveity care is going to happen at some place. Now, if it doesn't happen speedy sufficiency I'll open the duties imposed. But the tax modify and the tax cuts are topmostior if I can do aliveitycare first

Bartiromo. What concerning infrastructure? How do you see it? When do you see it?

The President. Very betempos. I see it as part possibly of the aliveity care plan hence modality two of the aliveity care plan, in demand to get the commissions, I deficit sixty ratio for that and if I put that in the commoners are rejoin going to concord the infrastructure plan. So we're going to rejoin, I believe, alin rechange their frightening contrariety for dates this isn't just for Trump this is for dates. It's been so counteracting. You know, when I possessed to come to whitenington, hence I've been a very governmental object all my affairs even in rechange I'm not on this side of the equalization. But I've been very very governmental. I possessed to see commoners and

Reincorporate groupans, they were topmostior auxiliaries and they'd fight a infantine bit during the day and then they'd have meal with their all at darkness you'd see them at café. They possessed to trickery form at this form at this and you know a Reincorporate groupan Bartiromo. They would have a lenitive absorb with

The President. assent, they'd be with. Have breakspeedy with, then they go and fight and then they have meal. present time you don't see that. The inexcilamina of error is prodigious and I'm not just communicating in the complete 90 days, I'm communicating this has been for the complete few dates. It's infrequently divisive

Bartiromo. Has it acquired in the way of genemeacertainment products done?

The President. greatly, an relevance on aliveity care, I won't get one commoner commission even in rechange frequent of them suppose it's an prodigious plan. Take a form at indifference Goranonymos. You can't get topmostior. Top of the genealogy in every comstation and he got three commissions from the commoners. Should have acquired all of them. Got three commissions and those three people, you know, are to be honored for doing it. But it's there's a noble inexcilamina of contrariety and they're inserting their inserting their error way way aloft way aloft the province and the utility to the province. You know, products that they do can have anonymous utility so I impendingly I broadly account for no commoner commissions. Now, the good product is we have the abode, we have the parliament, and we have the colorless abode. So, we're all set. But inside there, you have quantitative factivities. You have the sectional Reincorporate groupans. You have the fashionable Reincorporate groupan. betempos you have to get them with, but we deficit cbe defeated to a hundred ratio. That's a greatly great product to get but we get it.

[dispersion demolish]

The President. I'm going to compose the fortification one hundred ratio. In fact, we had hundreds of petitioners. We have frequent frequent prototypes. I've known 10 of the top but I desire to see more. And what I'm doing is I said to very noble deputies who are doing a noble job broad Kelly hence you see the subdiaspirations where they're down sixty-eight ratio. Nosubform's ever known subdiaspirations it's appetize on a phonograph in fact people aren't even experimenting to get thnon-uniform anymore hence they know they can't. It's prodigious what's happened but on the fortification we're forming at prototypes and we're going to be making a vigour very incompletely.

[dispersion demolish]

The President. We've done an prodigious job on creed. We've disentered it up, we disentered up this province so many. The excavators and greatness and the treasuring arrangement is now coming to with dodd-undisguised which is a ill fortune.

We disentered up so many and we're genemeacertainment noble noble attribute for we have done so many for so frequent people. I don't suppose that there is a presidential tempo of tempo in the first 100 days where everyman's done absolutely what we've been eloquent to do.

On top of it, we just had during the first 100 days a important council indifference assented. Not just delegated, but assented to a very very counteracting circumform. I am protracting accurate now for so frequent people.

Bartiromo. You imply

The President. Hundreds and hundreds of people, and then they'll say why isn't Trump doing this speedier? You can't do it speedier hence they are halting their hinderers.

So I have people, hundreds of people, that we're experimenting to get thnon-uniform. I mean you have to see the store okay. Get them thnon-uniform and then the topicpapers will say "Trump doesn't get them thnon-uniform." greatly, insubstantiality to do with me preceptively.

You have to go thnon-uniform this way. So it's been a not careful way. It has contained a long tempo to get my chamber done and as you know it's not even done now.

This is phonograph substantiality. You know we're talking concerning phonographs in names of tempo and it's it's very sad. greatly, you see, even aincrement with the important council punisher that everysubform concurs is remarkable and you see what that way is.

Bartiromo. absolutely and the American people know what I suppose

The President. I suppose they know. I suppose they get it. I curse it would be intelligible topmostior. The dutilessness is essence in rechange hence a lot of tempos I'll say why doesn't so and so have people under him or her. The sanity is hence we can't get them assented.

Bartiromo. greatly, people are fixed inexpecting in rechange. They're tergiversating their beginnings why so

frequent Obama era personnel are fixed here. For relevance, was it a misinterpretation not to requirement Jim Comey to deed down from the eye of the FBI at the arotund of your presidency? Is it too vespertine now to requirement him to deed down?

The President. No, it's not too vespertine. But you know I have absoluteness and we'll see what happen. You know it's, it's going to be curious. But you know we have to just form. I have so frequent people that desire to come into this arrangement. They're so empowered concerning this arrangement and what's happening. treacertainrs, law motivation, everysubform desires to come into this arrangement. Don't be inattentive when Jim Comey came out, he prefollowd Hillary Clinton. People don't materialize that he prefollowd her affairs hence I command it Comey one, and I trickery concerning it a infantine bit.

When he was interpreting those grows, she was lawbreaking on every grow and then he said she was essentijoin okay. greatly,

Bartiromo. That's why I'm requirementing

The President. No, I'm just communicating greatly hence I desire to oscilvespertine everysubform a good inconsiderable fair chance. Director Comey was very very good to Hillary Clinton that I can tell you. If he weren't, she would be accurate now going to experiment

Bartiromo. Are you going to haste that? Are you going to

The President. I don't desire to talk concerning that accurate now. All I desire to talk concerning, I desire to talk concerning absolute

Bartiromo. In the complete periods of the Obama presidency, he ccome unstucked all the creed in names of the secret service bands permitting them to vertical raw data

The President. frightening

Bartiromo. Why do you suppose he did this?

The President. greatly, I'm going to let you subdiaspiration that one out but it's so greatly-known, when you form at Susan Rice, of what's going on. And so frequent people are coming up to me and recanting. Now they say, "you know you were accurate when you

said that." possibly I didn't know how accurate I was hence nosubform cognized the quantity of it

Bartiromo. When you sent that tweet, was that what you were attributing to?

The President. greatly, certain we're talking concerning management. It was wiretapped.

In exemplifys New York tempos had the hint wiretapped in the excitant of the first the crowd. Then, they took it out of there speedy when they materialized I put wiretapped in

exemplifys. interpretation, hence form wiretapping is old modalized. Aincrement, that's

too frequent cables. Any scope will have a lot of cables. form at this scope. This scope,

you just have a lot of cables. Now it doesn't have to have so frequent cables but we

informed concerning management or wdisappetizever, and you form at the quantity of the

management me and so frequent other people it's, it's frightening

Bartiromo. She said she didn't do it for governmental sanitys. Susan Rice told Anna Mae

The President. Does everyman rejoin believe that? Nosubform believes that. Even the

people that experiment to accompany her in the topic media. It's anonymous a noble fable

and I'm certain it will continue early, but what they did is unamoperating.

[dispersion demolish]

Bartiromo. The Syria rub, has deranged our relation with Russia?

The President. That I don't know. I can't tell you. But I can tell you that I suppose

arranging yourself with the Sun is a noble misinterpretation hence he's a smerriment. And

I suppose it's very bad for Russia and I suppose they be due to get euphoria speedy.

[dispersion demolish]

The President. We're not going into Syria hence you know there were some uncertaintys

Nikki Haley's doing a noble job Rex is doing a infrequent job our deputy of State a broad

McMaster infrequent but if you add it all up and if they take every infantine hint they'll

say oh they were irrevespertined. Just so you imply. We're not going into Syria. But when

I see people operating unamoperating unamoperating experimental contrivances which

they concurred not to use under the Obama arrangement but they viovespertined it.

Bartiromo. They said they got rid of them

The President. form, what I did should have been done by the Obama arrangement a long

tempo before I did it and you would have had a many topmostior I suppose Syria would

be a lot topmostior off accurate now than it has been

Bartiromo. Obama hastened he counteracted to it

The President. When I formed he we didn't do it. I don't know what happened, but he

didn't do it. In fact, they had a noble irrupt accurate pursuant to he drew the red

genealogy in the powder. Had a very noble irrupt and everysubform protracted. What's

going on and insubstantiality happened. And even the Obama people confess he was

amiss for not doing it. I will tell you that when I formed at the spectacles on any produce

or any topicpaper but especijoin when you see them on telecommunication and you see

these elegant newlings that are unborn in their kinsman's contrivances or you see

newlings breaproduct for affairs, you know, it's over it's over for them. They're tubing

them down. Hundreds of them. When you see that, I instantaneously commanded broad

Mattis. I said "what can we do" and they came rear with a subdiaspiration of

irrevespertined substitutes and we hit them very great. Now, are we going to get

compascentd with Syria? No. But if I see them operating gas and operating products that

I mean even some of the defeat violent creatures in the materiality didn't use the amiable

of drawers that they use and some of the drawers are inexpectationfully operative. So,

when I saw that, I said we have to do subform. You should have euphoria in Syria. It's sufficiency and undisguisedly you know we informed the experimentals hence you know people just don't see this the inexcilamina of havoc. The inexcilamina of furiousness. But when they drop vat bombs and bombs of any amiable, accurate on top of a pacifinger habitancy, that's the defeat product. I've never known anyproduct appetize it. And undisguisedly Putin is rear in a object that's positively an harmful object and I suppose it's very bad for Russia. I suppose it's very bad for manamiable. It's very bad for this materiality, but when you drop gas or bombs or vat bombs. They have these weighty vats with pitdecrease and they drop it accurate in the interim of a combine of people. And in all beauty, you see the equivalent newlings no contrivances, no conveyance, no prepose. This is an inferior and I rejoin suppose that there's going to be a lot of crisis on Russia to make certain that unit happens. hence, undisguisedly, if Russia didn't go in and rear this inferior you wouldn't have a enigma accurate now. He was going to be confuted. I thbe due he was past. He had irrevespertined period. I mean he was completed. He had insubstantiality insubstantiality and then Russia came in and prefollowd him and then Obama composed one of the defeat deals in preterition with the Iran deal. So you rejoin have Iran and you have Russia and you have Assad.

Bartiromo. You sent Navy carriers to go toward the Korean projection. What are we doing accurate now in names of North Korea?

The President. You never know do you, you never know

Bartiromo. That's all you're going to say?

The President. You know I don't talk concerning the courageous. I'm not appetize Obama where they talk concerning in four tempos we're meacertainment, we're going to hit Mosel and in the while they get impending. And appetize you never saw form but they're fixed athletic Mosel was opined to complete for a period. Now they've been athletic it for frequent tempos and so frequent more people shaded off. I don't desire to talk concerning it. We are soverdeedping an shipping, very eloquent. We have slaughterships, very eloquent. Far more eloquent than the aircraft sustainer that I can tell you. We have the topmostior courageous people on earth and I will say this he is doing the amiss product Bartiromo. Do you suppose he's mentjoin fit?

The President. I don't know. I don't know. I don't know him, but he's doing the amiss product. I had a very very good junction with President Xi of China. Rejoin enjoyed him. We had a noble chemisexperiment. I suppose, I mean at lesser I had a noble chemisexperiment possibly he didn't appetize me but I suppose he enjoyed me. We were going to have a 10 or 15 tempos sit down. It completed for three hours. Then, the sequent day, it was irrevespertined list hence everyproduct's very demandingly with the Chinese undisguisedly and we had junctions listed. greatly, the 15 tempos on the first day completed for three hours. The 15 tempos on the second day completed for two hours just one-on-one the two of us with interpreters. And I mean we imply each other. I suppose he's, you know, a object that I got longwise with rejoin. Where we had a good chemisexperiment.

Bartiromo. Did he oscilvespertine you any reminder that would help with North Korea? Did you get into interchange and abundance?

The President. First product I brbe due up was North Korea. Can he help us with North Korea? hence we can't permit it and it's no good for you and you have a frightening greatness hence of procession. He then specifies thoupowders of dates of preterition with

Korea. Not that comfort. In other hints, it's not as credulous as people would suppose. They've had frightening conflict with Korea over the dates. Now his kinsman was in China four times. He was never in China. So I said from you have a frightening greatness hence of interchanging the non-uniform the edge. They don't get affairs. They don't get you know; they can't provide their propellant. In fact, I hear present time two weighty propellant carriers from North Korea we sent rear to North Korea prelude to China. They were sent rear which is a very good omen. But I suppose China can help us. I hope China can help us. We informed concerning interchange and I can tell you China will do many topmost on interchange if they help us

Bartiromo. And you suppose he knows that?

The President. I suppose he knows that. I told him that, assent

Bartiromo. When you were with the president of China you're initiating these courageous rubs. Was that prototyped? How did that come concerning that it's happening accurate then? hence accurate there you're communicating a reobedientness here's who the topmost greatness in the materiality is

The President. You have no form how frequent people desire to hear the sanity why to this. I have had, I have scanned calculation of chance for three days now on what that was appetite.

Bartiromo. When did you tell him? Before dish?

The President. I will tell you inferiorly hence you've contracted me so good for so long I have to tell you, accurate? I was protracting at the eloquent. We had completed meal, we're now having dish and we had the most elegant unit of sweetfood pasperiment that you've ever known. and President Xi was make making it and I was oscillated the information from the broads that the carriers are endangered and encumbered.

What do you do? And we composed a will to do it. So the propulsives were on the way and I said "Mr. President, let me specify subform to you." This is during dish. "We've just destroyed 59 propulsives", all of which hit by the way. Unbelievable from you know hundreds of long meacertains absent all of which hit. prodigious. Rejoin it's so prodigious, it's brilliant, it's identity. Our mechanics, our proaspirations is topmost than everyman subform by a component of five. I mean what we have in names of mechanics no subform can even come be defeated to controveredding. Now, we're going to open genemeacertainment it hence you know the courageous has been cut rear and wasted so inferiorly by the past arrangement and by the war in Iraq. Which, was irrespectively ill fortune. So what happens as I said "we've just initiated 59 propulsives prelude to Iraq."

Bartiromo. greatly you steer for to Syria?

The President. Yes, prelude toward Syria and I desire you to know that hence I didn't desire him to go focus. We're not completed. Was a undamaged day in feeler edge, we're not completed and I what does he do completing dish and go focus and then they say you know the guy who just had meal with just interrupted.

Bartiromo. How did he correlate?

The President. So, he lull for 10 seconds and then he requirement the interpreter to please say it ain't a problem. I didn't suppose that was a good omen. And he said to me "everyman that possessions drawers, you could not say or any product else, but everyman that was so violent and possessions drawers to do that to new descendants and descendants it's okay"

Bartiromo. He concurred?

The President. He was okay with it. He was ok, but we get longwise. There was a noble derangeing I suppose between the both of us. Now, we have two very irrevespertined countries, two very irrevespertined peoples but I suppose he implied the information and I implied what he was communicating to me.

Seventeen

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the United States: 2017 - 2021

Interview with Associated Press White House Correspondent Julie Pace

April 21, 2017

Pace: I do want to talk to you about the 100 days.

The President: Good.

Pace: I want to ask a few questions on some topics that are happening toward the end of the interview.

The President: Did you see Aya...

Pace: Can you tell me a little bit about how that came about?

The President: No, just — you know, I asked the government to let her out. ...

You know Obama worked on it for three years, got zippo, zero.

Pace: How did you hear about this story?

The President: Many people, human rights people, are talking about it. It's an incredible thing, especially when you meet her. You realize — I mean, she was in a rough place.

Pace: Did you have to strike a deal with el-Sissi over this?

The President: No. No deal. He was here. He — I said, "I really would appreciate it if you would look into this and let her out." And as you know, she went through a trial. And anyway, she was let go. And not only she, it was a total of eight people. ...

The President: Yeah, it's funny: One of the best chemistries I had was with Merkel.

Pace: Really?

The President: Chancellor Merkel.

And I guess somebody shouted out, "Shake her hand, shake her hand," you know. But I never heard it. But I had already shaken her hand four times. You know, because we were together for a long time.

Pace: Did you expect you would have good chemistry with her?

The President: No. Because, um, I'm at odds on, you know, the NATO payments and I'm at odds on immigration. We had unbelievable chemistry. And people have given me credit for having great chemistry with all of the leaders, including el-Sissi. ...

So it was a great thing to see that happen.

Pace: Do you feel like you have changed the office of the presidency, how the presidency can be used to effect change?

The President: I think the 100 days is, you know, it's an artificial barrier. It's not very meaningful. I think I've established amazing relationships that will be used the four or eight years, whatever period of time I'm here. I think for that I would be getting very high marks because I've established great relationships with countries, as President el-Sissi has shown and others have shown. Well, if you look at the president of China, people said they've never seen anything like what's going on right now. I really liked him a lot. I think he liked me. We have a great chemistry together. ...

I've developed great relationships with all of these leaders. Nobody's written that. In fact, they said, "Oh, well, he's not treating them nicely," because on NATO, I want them to pay up. But I still get along with them great, and they will pay up. In fact, with the Italian

prime minister yesterday, you saw, we were joking, "Come on, you have to pay up, you have to pay up." He'll pay.

Pace: Did he say that? In your meeting? Your private meeting?

The President: He's going to end up paying. But you know, nobody ever asked the question. Nobody asked. Nobody ever asked him to pay up. So it's a different kind of a presidency.

Pace: Do you feel like that's one thing that you've changed, that you maybe are actually asking the direct questions about some of these things?

The President: Yeah. Let me give me an example. A little before I took office there was a terrible article about the F-35 fighter jet. It was hundreds of billions of dollars over budget. It was seven years behind schedule. It was a disaster. So I called in Lockheed and I said, "I'm sorry, we're going to have to bid this out to another company, namely Boeing," or whoever else. But Boeing. And I called in Boeing and I started getting competing offers back and forth. ...

I saved \$725 million on the 90 planes. Just 90. Now there are 3,000 planes that are going to be ordered. On 90 planes I saved \$725 million. It's actually a little bit more than that, but it's \$725 million. Gen. Mattis, who had to sign the deal when it came to his office, said, "I've never seen anything like this in my life." We went from a company that wanted more money for the planes to a company that cut. And the reason they cut — same planes, same everything — was because of me. I mean, because that's what I do.

Now if you multiply that times 3,000 planes, you know this is on 90 planes. In fact, when the Prime Minister Abe of Japan came in because they bought a certain number of those ... The first thing he said to me, because it was right at the time I did it, he said, "Could I thank you?" I said, "What?" He said, "You saved us \$100 million." Because they got a \$100 million savings on the 10 or 12 planes that they. Nobody wrote that story. Now you know that's a saving of billions and billions of dollars, many billions of dollars over the course of — it's between 2,500 and 3,000 planes will be the final order. But this was only 90 of those 2,500 planes.

Pace: And you expect those savings to carry out across that full order?

The President: More. I'm gonna get more than that. This was a thing that was out of control and now it's great. And the woman that runs Lockheed, Marillyn, she was great. But all of a sudden it was a different kind of a thing. You know?

Pace: Do you feel like you've been able to apply that kind of a relationship to your dealings with Congress as well?

The President: I have great relationships with Congress. I think we're doing very well and I think we have a great foundation for future things. We're going to be applying, I shouldn't tell you this, but we're going to be announcing, probably on Wednesday, tax reform. And it's — we've worked on it long and hard. And you've got to understand, I've only been here now 93 days, 92 days. President Obama took 17 months to do Obamacare. I've been here 92 days but I've only been working on the health care, you know I had to get like a little bit of grounding right? Health care started after 30 days, so I've been working on health care for 60 days. ... You know, we're very close. And it's a great plan, you know, we have to get it approved.

Pace: Is it this deal that's between the Tuesday Group and the Freedom Caucus, is that the deal you're looking at?

The President: So the Republican Party has various groups, all great people. They're great people. But some are moderate, some are very conservative. The Democrats don't seem to have that nearly as much. You know the Democrats have, they don't have that. The Republicans do have that. And I think it's fine. But you know there's a pretty vast area in there. And I have a great relationship with all of them. Now, we have government not closing. I think we'll be in great shape on that. It's going very well. Obviously, that takes precedent.

Pace: That takes precedent over health care? For next week?

The President: Yeah, sure. Next week. Because the hundred days is just an artificial barrier. The press keeps talking about the hundred days. But we've done a lot. You have a list of things. I don't have to read it.

Pace: You did put out though, as a candidate, you put out a 100-day plan. Do you feel like you should be held accountable to that plan?

The President: Somebody, yeah, somebody put out the concept of a hundred-day plan. But yeah. Well, I'm mostly there on most items. Go over the items, and I'll talk to you ... [crosstalk]

The President: But things change. There has to be flexibility. Let me give you an example. President Xi, we have a, like, a really great relationship. For me to call him a currency manipulator and then say, "By the way, I'd like you to solve the North Korean problem," doesn't work. So you have to have a certain flexibility, Number One. Number Two, from the time I took office till now, you know, it's a very exact thing. It's not like generalities. Do you want a Coke or anything?

Pace: I'm OK, thank you. No. ...

The President: But President Xi, from the time I took office, he has not, they have not been currency manipulators. Because there's a certain respect because he knew I would do something or whatever. But more importantly than him not being a currency manipulator the bigger picture, bigger than even currency manipulation, if he's helping us with North Korea, with nuclear and all of the things that go along with it, who would call, what am I going to do, say, "By the way, would you help us with North Korea? And also, you're a currency manipulator." It doesn't work that way.

Pace: Right.

The President: And the media, some of them get it, in all fairness. But you know some of them either don't get it, in which case they're very stupid people, or they just don't want to say it. You know because of a couple of them said, "He didn't call them a currency manipulator." Well, for two reasons. Number One, he's not, since my time. You know, very specific formula. You would think it's like generalities, it's not. They have — they've actually — their currency's gone up. So it's a very, very specific formula. And I said, "How badly have they been," ... they said, "Since you got to office they have not manipulated their currency." That's Number One, but much more important, they are working with us on North Korea. Now maybe that'll work out or maybe it won't. Can you imagine? ...

Pace: So in terms of the 100-day plan that you did put out during the campaign, do you feel, though, that people should hold you accountable to this in terms of judging success?

The President: No, because much of the foundation's been laid. Things came up. I'll give you an example. I didn't put Supreme Court judge on the 100 plan, and I got a Supreme Court judge.

Pace: I think it's on there.

The President: I don't know. ...

Pace: "Begin the process of selecting." You actually exceeded on this one. This says, "Begin the process of selecting a replacement."

The President: That's the biggest thing I've done.

Pace: Do you consider that your biggest success?

The President: Well, I — first of all I think he's a great man. I think he will be a great, great justice of the Supreme Court. I have always heard that the selection and the affirmation of a Supreme Court judge is the biggest thing a president can do. Don't forget, he could be there for 40 years. ... He's a young man. I've always heard that that's the biggest thing. Now, I would say that defense is the biggest thing. You know, to be honest, there are a number of things. But I've always heard that the highest calling is the nomination of a Supreme Court justice. I've done one in my first 70 days.

Our military is so proud. They were not proud at all. They had their heads down. Now they have their heads up. ...

I'm rebuilding the military. We have great people. We have great things in place. We have tremendous borders. I mention the F-35 because if I can save \$725 million — look at that, that's a massive amount of money. And I'll save more as we make more planes. If I can save that on a small number of planes — Gen. Mattis said, "I've never seen anything like this," because he had to sign the ultimate [unintelligible]... He had to sign the ultimate, you know. He said, "I've never seen anything like this before, as long as I've been in the military." You know, that kind of cutting.

Pace: Right.

The President: Now, if I can do that [unintelligible] ... As an example, the aircraft carriers, billions of dollars, the Gerald Ford, billions and billions over budget. That won't happen.

Pace: Is that something you're going to take on?

The President: [unintelligible] But as we order the other ones, because they want to order 12, the other ones are going to come in much less expensive. ...

Pace: Can I ask you, over your first 100 days — you're not quite there yet — how do you feel like the office has changed you?

The President: Well the one thing I would say — and I say this to people — I never realized how big it was. Everything's so [unintelligible] like, you know the orders are so massive. I was talking to —

Pace: You mean the responsibility of it, or do you mean —

The President: Number One, there's great responsibility. When it came time to, as an example, send out the 59 missiles, the Tomahawks in Syria. I'm saying to myself, "You know, this is more than just like, 79 missiles. This is death that's involved," because people could have been killed. This is risk that's involved, because if the missile goes off and goes in a city or goes in a civilian area — you know, the boats were hundreds of miles away — and if this missile goes off and lands in the middle of a town or a hamlet every decision is much harder than you'd normally make. [unintelligible] ... This is involving death and life and so many things. ... So it's far more responsibility.

[unintelligible] The financial cost of everything is so massive, every agency. This is thousands of times bigger, the United States, than the biggest company in the world. The second-largest company in the world is the Defense Department. The third-largest

company in the world is Social Security. The fourth-largest — you know, you go down the list.

Pace: Right.

THE PRESIDENT. It's massive. And every agency is, like, bigger than any company. So you know, I really just see the bigness of it all, but also the responsibility. And the human responsibility. You know, the human life that's involved in some of the decisions.

Pace: You've talked a little bit about the way that you've brought some business skills into the office. Is there anything from your business background that just doesn't translate into the presidency, that just simply is not applicable to this job?

The President: Well in business, you don't necessarily need heart, whereas here, almost everything affects people. So if you're talking about health care — you have health care in business but you're trying to just negotiate a good price on health care, et cetera, et cetera. You're providing health. This is [unintelligible]. Here, everything, pretty much everything you do in government, involves heart, whereas in business, most things don't involve heart.

Pace: What's that switch been like for you?

The President: In fact, in business you're actually better off without it.

Pace: What's making that switch been like for you?

The President: You have to love people. And if you love people, such a big responsibility. [unintelligible] You can take any single thing, including even taxes. I mean we're going to be doing major tax reform. Here's part of your story, it's going to be a big [unintelligible]. Everybody's saying, "Oh, he's delaying." I'm not delaying anything. I'll tell you the other thing is [unintelligible]. I used to get great press. I get the worst press. I get such dishonest reporting with the media. That's another thing that really has — I've never had anything like it before. It happened during the primaries, and I said, you know, when I won, I said, "Well the one thing good is now I'll get good press." And it got worse. [unintelligible] So that was one thing that a little bit of a surprise to me. I thought the press would become better, and it actually, in my opinion, got more nasty.

Pace: But in terms of tax reform, how are you going to roll that out next week?

The President: Well I'm going to roll probably on Wednesday, around Wednesday of next week, we're putting out a massive tax reform — business and for people — we want to do both. We've been working on it [unintelligible]. Secretary Mnuchin is a very talented person, very smart. Very successful [unintelligible]. ... We're going to be putting that out on Wednesday or shortly thereafter. Let me leave a little room just in case [unintelligible]. ... And that's a big story, because a lot of people think I'm going to put it out much later.

Pace: Do you have any details on that in terms of rates?

The President: Only in terms that it will be a massive tax cut. It will be bigger, I believe, than any tax cut ever. Maybe the biggest tax cut we've ever had. ...

Pace: Obviously, that's going to come in a week where you're going to be running up against the deadline for keeping the government open. If you get a bill on your desk that does not include funding for the wall, will you sign it?

The President: I don't know yet. People want the border wall. My base definitely wants the border wall, my base really wants it — you've been to many of the rallies. OK, the thing they want more than anything is the wall. My base, which is a big base; I think my base is 45 percent. You know, it's funny. The Democrats, they have a big advantage in

the electoral college. Big, big, big advantage. I've always said the popular vote would be a lot easier than the electoral college. The electoral college — but it's a whole different campaign [unintelligible]. The electoral college is very difficult for a Republican to win, and I will tell you, the people want to see it. They want to see the wall, they want to see security. Now, it just came out that they're 73 percent down. ... That's a tremendous achievement. ... Look at this, in 100 days, that down to the lowest in 17 years and it's going lower. Now, people aren't coming because they know they're not going to get through, and there isn't crime. You know the migration up to the border is horrible for women, you know that? [unintelligible] Now, much of that's stopped because they can't get through.

Pace: It sounds like maybe you're beginning to send a message that if you do get a spending bill that doesn't have border funding in there, you would sign it.

The President: Well, first of all, the wall will cost much less than the numbers I'm seeing. I'm seeing numbers, I mean, this wall is not going to be that expensive.

Pace: What do you think the estimate on it would be?

The President: Oh I'm seeing numbers — \$24 billion, I think I'll do it for \$10 billion or less. That's not a lot of money relative to what we're talking about. If we stop 1 percent of the drugs from coming in — and we'll stop all of it. But if we stop 1 percent of the drugs because we have the wall — they're coming around in certain areas, but if you have a wall, they can't do it because it's a real wall. That's a tremendously good investment, 1 percent. The drugs pouring through on the southern border are unbelievable. We're becoming a drug culture, there's so much. And most of it's coming from the southern border. The wall will stop the drugs.

Pace: But, just trying to nail you down on it one more time, will you sign a spending bill if it doesn't have —

The President: I don't want to comment. I just don't know yet. I mean, I have to see what's going on. I really do. But the wall's a very important thing to — not only my base, but to the people. And even if it wasn't, I mean I'll do things that aren't necessarily popular. ... The wall is very important to stopping drugs.

Pace: If you don't have a funding stream, your message to your base is what?

The President: My base understands the wall is going to get built, whether I have it funded here or if I get it funded shortly thereafter, that wall's getting built, OK? One hundred percent. One hundred percent it's getting built. And it's also getting built for much less money — I hope you get this — than these people are estimating. The opponents are talking \$25 billion for the wall. It's not going to cost anywhere near that.

Pace: You think \$10 billion or less.

The President: I think \$10 billion or less. And if I do a super-duper, higher, better, better security, everything else, maybe it goes a little bit more. But it's not going to be anywhere near kind of numbers. And they're using those numbers; they're using the high numbers to make it sound impalatable. And the fact it's going to cost much less money, just like the airplane I told you about, which I hope you can write about.

[Off-the-record discussion]

The President: They had a quote from me that NATO's obsolete. But they didn't say why it was obsolete. I was on Wolf Blitzer, very fair interview, the first time I was ever asked about NATO, because I wasn't in government. People don't go around asking about NATO if I'm building a building in Manhattan, right? So they asked me, Wolf ... asked

me about NATO, and I said two things. NATO's obsolete — not knowing much about NATO, now I know a lot about NATO — NATO is obsolete, and I said, "And the reason it's obsolete is because of the fact they don't focus on terrorism." You know, back when they did NATO there was no such thing as terrorism.

Pace: What specifically has NATO changed?

The President: ... I did an interview with Wolf Blitzer, and I said NATO was obsolete — I said two things — obsolete, and the country's aren't paying. I was right about both. I took such heat for about three days on both, because nobody ever criticized NATO. I took heat like you wouldn't believe. And then some expert on NATO said, "You know, Trump is right." But I said it was obsolete because they weren't focused on terror. ... It's not fair that we're paying close to 4 percent and other countries that are more directly affected are paying 1 percent when they're supposed to be paying 2 percent. And I'm very strong on it and I'm going to be very strong on it when I go there in a month."

Pace: This morning you tweeted that after the possible terrorist attack in Paris, that it will have a big effect on the upcoming French election. What did you mean by that?

The President: Well, I think it will have a big effect on who people are going to vote for in the election.

Pace: Do you think it's going to help Marine Le Pen?

The President: I think so.

Pace: Do you believe that she should be the president?

The President: No, I have no comment on that, but I think that it'll probably help her because she is the strongest on borders and she is the strongest on what's been going on in France.

Pace: Do you worry at all that by saying that, that a terrorist attack would have an impact on a democratic election, that it would actually embolden terrorists to try to —

The President: No. Look, everybody is making predictions who is going to win. I am no different than you, you could say the same thing. ...

Pace: I just wonder if you are encouraging, you are the president of the United States, so to say that you worry that it encourages terrorists ...

The President: No, I am no different than — no, I think it discourages terrorists, I think it discourages. I think what we've done on the border discourages it. I think that my stance on having people come in to this country that we have no idea who they are and in certain cases you will have radical Islamic terrorism. I'm not going to have it in this country. I'm not going to let what happened to France and other places happen here. And it's already largely, you know — we have tens — we have hundreds of thousands of people that have been allowed into our country that should not be here. They shouldn't be here. We have people allowed into our country with no documentation whatsoever. They have no documentation and they were allowed under the previous administrations, they were allowed into our country. It's a big mistake.

Pace: Just so that I am clear. You are not endorsing her for the office, but you are —

The President: I am not endorsing her and I didn't mention her name.

Pace: Right, I just wanted to make sure I have that clear.

The President: I believe whoever is the toughest on radical Islamic terrorism and whoever is the toughest at the borders will do well at the election. I am not saying that person is going to win, she is not even favored to win, you know. Right now, she is in second place.

Pace: I have a question on the markets, actually. One thing that I think has been different about this White House is that you do point to the markets as a sign of progress. Do you worry, though — I mean, the markets go up and down.

The President: You live by the sword, you die by the sword, to a certain extent. But we create a lot of jobs, 500,000 jobs as of two months ago, and plenty created since. Five hundred thousand. ... As an example, Ford, General Motors. I've had cases where the gentleman from China, Ma, Jack Ma, he comes up, he says, "Only because of you am I making this massive investment." Intel, only because of you. ... The press never writes that.

Pace: What about NAFTA? What's the plan on NAFTA?

The President: What would you like to know?

Pace: I would like to know what your plan is in terms of renegotiating.

The President: I am very upset with NAFTA. I think NAFTA has been a catastrophic trade deal for the United States, trading agreement for the United States. It hurts us with Canada, and it hurts us with Mexico. Most people don't even think of NAFTA in terms of Canada. You saw what happened yesterday in my statements, because if you look at the dairy farmers in Wisconsin and upstate New York, they are getting killed by NAFTA.

Pace: Is your plan still, though, to renegotiate the whole deal?

The President: I am going to either renegotiate it or I am going to terminate it.

Pace: Termination is still on the table.

The President: Absolutely. If they don't treat fairly, I am terminating NAFTA.

Pace: What's a timeline for that decision?

The President: It's a six-month termination clause, I have the right to do it, it's a six-month clause.

Pace: If I could fit a couple of more topics. Jeff Sessions, your attorney general, is taking a tougher line suddenly on Julian Assange, saying that arresting him is a priority. You were supportive of what WikiLeaks was doing during the campaign with the release of the Clinton emails. Do you think that arresting Assange is a priority for the United States?

The President: When Wikileaks came out ... never heard of Wikileaks, never heard of it. When Wikileaks came out, all I was just saying is, "Well, look at all this information here, this is pretty good stuff." You know, they tried to hack the Republican, the RNC, but we had good defenses. They didn't have defenses, which is pretty bad management. But we had good defenses, they tried to hack both of them. They weren't able to get through to Republicans. No, I found it very interesting when I read this stuff and I said, "Wow." It was just a figure of speech. I said, "Well, look at this. It's good reading."

Pace: But that didn't mean that you supported what Assange is doing?

The President: No, I don't support or unsupport. It was just information. They shouldn't have allowed it to get out. If they had the proper defensive devices on their internet, you know, equipment, they wouldn't even allow the FBI. How about this — they get hacked, and the FBI goes to see them, and they won't let the FBI see their server. But do you understand, nobody ever writes it. Why wouldn't Podesta and Hillary Clinton allow the FBI to see the server? They brought in another company that I hear is Ukrainian-based.

Pace: CrowdStrike?

The President: That's what I heard. I heard it's owned by a very rich Ukrainian, that's what I heard. But they brought in another company to investigate the server. Why didn't

they allow the FBI in to investigate the server? I mean, there is so many things that nobody writes about. It's incredible.

Pace: Can I just ask you, though — do you believe it is a priority for the United States, or it should be a priority, to arrest Julian Assange?

The President: I am not involved in that decision, but if Jeff Sessions wants to do it, it's OK with me. I didn't know about that decision, but if they want to do it, it's OK with me.

Pace: On Iran, which is another thing you talked a lot on the campaign —

The President: And the other thing that we should go after is the leakers. ...

Pace: On Iran, you also talked about it quite a bit on the campaign trail. And you said in the press conference yesterday that you think that Iran is violating the spirit of the agreement. When you say that, do you mean in terms of the actual nuclear accord, or do you mean what they are doing in the region?

The President: In terms of what they are doing all over the Middle East and beyond.

Pace: So you believe that they are complying with the agreement?

The President: No, I don't say that. I say that I believe they have broken the spirit of the agreement. There is a spirit to agreements, and they have broken it.

Pace: In terms of what they are doing elsewhere in the Middle East?

The President: In terms of what they are doing of all over.

Pace: When you talk to European leaders, when you talk to Merkel, for example, or Teresa May, what do they say about the nuclear deal? Do they want you to stay in that deal?

The President: I don't talk to them about it.

Pace: You don't talk to them about the Iran deal?

The President: I mention it, but it's very personal when I talk to them, you know, it's confidential. No, they have their own opinions. I don't say that they are different than my opinions, but I'd rather have you ask them that question.

Pace: At this point, do you believe that you will stay in the nuclear deal?

The President: It's possible that we won't.

Pace: Dreamers, you've talked about them, you've talked about heart earlier. This is one area where you have talked —

The President: No, we aren't looking to do anything right now. Look, the dreamers ... this is an interesting case, they left and they came back and he's got some problems, it's a little different than the dreamer case, right? But we are putting MS-13 in jail and getting them the hell out of our country. They've taken over towns and cities and we are being really brutal with MS-13, and that's what we should be. They are a bad group, and somebody said they are as bad as al-Qaida, which is a hell of a reference. So we are moving criminals out of our country and we are getting them out in record numbers and those are the people we are after. We are not after the dreamers, we are after the criminals.

Pace: And that's going to be the policy of your administration to allow the dreamers to stay?

The President: Yes. Yes. That's our policy. I am not saying ... long-term, we are going to have to fix the problem, the whole immigration problem. But I will tell you: Right now we have a great gentleman, one of my real stars is Gen. Kelly, now Secretary Kelly. We are down 73 percent at the border, we are cleaning out cities and towns of hard-line criminals, some of the worst people on earth, people that rape and kill women, people that are killing people just for the sake of having fun. They are being thrown in jails and

they are being ... all over the country and nobody's ever done it like us, so we are being unbelievably thorough with that. We are out in Long Island cleaning out the MS-13 scum, they are all scum, that's probably the worst gang anywhere on Earth. ...

Pace: A lot of the dreamers have been hoping to hear something from you. I don't want to give them the wrong message with this.

The President: Here is what they can hear: The dreamers should rest easy. OK? I'll give you that. The dreamers should rest easy. ...

[An aide talks about the president's address to Congress.]

The President: A lot of the people have said that, some people said it was the single best speech ever made in that chamber.

Pace: You seem like you enjoyed it.

The President: I did. I did. I believed in it and I enjoyed it. It was a great feeling to introduce the wife of a great young soldier who died getting us very valuable information. Have you seen the tremendous success? ... That's another thing that nobody talks about. Have you seen the tremendous success we've had in the Middle East with the ISIS? When Abadi left from Iraq, he said Trump has more success in eight weeks than Obama had in eight years. ... We have had tremendous success, but we don't talk about it. We don't talk about it.

Pace: Do you mean you don't talk about it personally because you don't want to talk about it?

The President: I don't talk about it. No. And the generals don't talk about it.

Pace: You had put a request into the Pentagon to put forward an ISIS plan within 30 days. I know they have sent that over. Have you accepted a plan? Are you moving forward on a strategy?

The President: We have a very strong plan, but we cannot talk about it, Julie.

Pace: So you have decided on a plan?

The President: Remember how many times have you been to the speech where I talked about Mosul.

Pace: Right.

The President: Right. Mosul. Four months we are going in, three months. We are still fighting Mosul. You know why? Because they were prepared. If we would have gone in and just done it, it would have been over three months ago.

Pace: Can you say generally what the strategy is? Should people —

The President: Generally is we have got to get rid of ISIS. We have no choice. And other terrorist organizations.

Pace: Should Americans who are serving in the military expect that you are going to increase troop numbers in the Middle East to fight ISIS?

The President: No, not much.

Pace: In terms of the strategy, though, that you have accepted, it sounds like, from the generals —

The President: Well, they've also accepted my strategy.

Pace: Does that involve more troops on the ground, it sounds like?

The President: Not many.

Pace: So a small increase?

The President: It could be an increase, then an increase. But not many more. I want to do the job, but not many more. ... This is an important story. I've done a lot. I've done more

than any other president in the first 100 days and I think the first 100 days is an artificial barrier. And I'm scheduled ... the foundations have been set to do some great things. With foreign countries. Look at, look at President Xi. I mean ...

Pace: What do you think it was about your chemistry?

The President: We had good chemistry. Now I don't know that I think that's going to produce results but you've got a good chance.

Pace: Uh-huh.

The President: Look, he turned down many coal ships. These massive coal ships are coming where they get a lot of their income. They're coming into China and they're being turned away. That's never happened before. The fuel, the oil, so many different things. You saw the editorial they had in their paper saying they cannot be allowed to have nuclear, you know, et cetera. People have said they've never seen this ever before in China. We have the same relationship with others. There's a great foundation that's built. Great foundation. And I think it's going to produce tremendous results for our country.

Pace: One more 100 days question.

The President: That's fine.

Pace: ... is do you think you have the right team in place for your next 100 days?

The President: Yes. I think my team has been, well, I have different teams. I think my military team has been treated with great respect. As they should be. I think my other team hasn't been treated with the respect that they should get. We have some very talented people, and very diverse people.

Pace: Do you mean your White House team when you say that?

The President: Yeah, my White House team. I think Reince has been doing an excellent job. I think that, you know, this is a very tough environment not caused necessarily by me. Although the election has, you know, look, the Democrats had a tremendous opportunity because the electoral college, as I said, is so skewed to them. You start off by losing in New York and California, no matter who it is. If, if Abe Lincoln came back to life, he would lose New York and he would lose California. It's just the registration, there's nothing you can do. So you're losing the two biggest states, that's where you start. OK. The Electoral College is so skewed in favor of a Democrat that it's very, very hard. Look at Obama's number in the Electoral College. His numbers on the win were ... but the Electoral College numbers were massive. You lose New York, you lose Illinois. Illinois is impossible to win. And you look at, so now you lose New York, Illinois, no matter what you do, and California. Right. And you say, man. Now you have to win Florida, you have to win Ohio, you have to win North Carolina. You have to win all these states, and then I won Wisconsin and Michigan and all of these other places, but you remember there was no way to, there was no way to 270.

Pace: Right.

The President: So she had this massive advantage, she spent hundreds of millions of dollars more money than I spent. Hundreds of millions ... Yeah. Or more, actually because we were \$375 she was at \$2.2 billion. But whatever. She spent massive amounts of money more and she lost. Solidly lost, because you know it wasn't 270, it was 306. So there's anger. But there was massive anger before I got there, so it's not easy for a White House staff to realize that you are going into a situation where you are going to be at no, where are going to get no votes. I mean, here's a judge who is No. 1 at Columbia, No. 1 at Harvard and an Oxford scholar. And he got three votes.

Pace: Three Democratic votes, but yeah.

The President: Three Democratic votes. OK. He's an Oxford scholar at the highest level.

The No. 1, you know, one of the great academics, one of the great writers. No bad decisions with all ... nothing. He's like a ...

Pace: Do you think that you can break through that? I mean this —

The President: Yeah, I do.

Pace: Is one of the biggest challenges for a president.

The President: I think I can to an extent. But there's a, there's a basic hard-line core that you can't break through, OK, that you can't break through. There's a hard-line group you can't break through, you can't. It's sad. You can't. Look, I met with Congressman Cummings and I really liked him, a lot. Elijah Cummings. I really liked him a lot. And during the conversation because we have a very strong mutual feeling on drug prices. He came to see me, at my invitation, because I saw him talking about, he came to see me about drug prices because drug prices are ridiculous. And I am going to get them way, way, way down and he liked that. He said you will be the greatest president. He said you will be, in front of five, six people, he said you will be the greatest president in the history of this country.

Pace: He disputed that slightly.

The President: That's what he said. I mean, what can I tell you?

Pace: Yeah.

The President: There's six people sitting here. What did he, what, what do you mean by slightly?

Pace: He said, he said that he felt like you could be a great president if and then —

The President: Well he said, you'll be the greatest president in the history of, but you know what, I'll take that also, but that you could be. But he said, will be the greatest president but I would also accept the other. In other words, if you do your job, but I accept that. Then I watched him interviewed and it was like he never even was here. It's incredible. I watched him interviewed a week later and it's like he was never in my office. And you can even say that.

Pace: And that's one of the difficulties I think presidents have had is that you can have these personal relationships with people from the other party, but then it's hard to actually change how people vote or change how people —

The President: No I have, it's interesting, I have, seem to get very high ratings. I definitely. You know Chris Wallace had 9.2 million people, it's the highest in the history of the show. I have all the ratings for all those morning shows. When I go, they go double, triple. Chris Wallace, look back during the Army-Navy football game, I did his show that morning.

Pace: I remember, right.

The President: It had 9.2 million people. It's the highest they've ever had. On any, on air, Dickerson had 5.2 million people. It's the highest for "Face the Nation" or as I call it, "Deface the Nation." It's the highest for "Deface the Nation" since the World Trade Center. Since the World Trade Center came down. It's a tremendous advantage.

I have learned one thing, because I get treated very unfairly, that's what I call it, the fake media. And the fake media is not all of the media. You know they tried to say that the fake media was all the, no. The fake media is some of you. I could tell you who it is, 100 percent. Sometimes you're fake, but — but the fake media is some of the media. It bears

no relationship to the truth. It's not that Fox treats me well, it's that Fox is the most accurate.

Pace: Do you believe that? That Fox —

The President: I do. I get treated so badly. Yesterday, about the thing, you know when I said it's a terrorism ... it may be. I said it may be a terrorist attack and MSNBC, I heard, went crazy, "He called it a terrorist attack." They thought it was a bank robbery. By the way, I'm 10-0 for that. I've called every one of them. Every time they said I called it way too early and then it turns out I'm ... Whatever. Whatever. In the meantime, I'm here and they're not.

Pace: Do you feel that one of the things with cable is there's such real-time reaction with everything you say?

The President: Yeah.

Pace: Can you separate that sometimes from that actual decision?

The President: The one thing —

Pace: That you have to do —

The President: OK. The one thing I've learned to do that I never thought I had the ability to do. I don't watch CNN anymore.

Pace: You just said you did.

The President: No. No, I, if I'm passing it, what did I just say [inaudible]?

Pace: You just said —

The President: Where? Where?

Pace: Two minutes ago.

The President: No, they treat me so badly. No, I just said that. No, I, what'd I say, I stopped watching them. But I don't watch CNN anymore. I don't watch MSNBC. I don't watch it. Now I heard yesterday that MSNBC, you know, they tell me what's going on.

Pace: Right.

The President: In fact, they also did. I never thought I had the ability to not watch. Like, people think I watch "Morning Joe." I don't watch "Morning Joe." I never thought I had the ability to, and who used to treat me great by the way, when I played the game. I never thought I had the ability to not watch what is unpleasant, if it's about me. Or pleasant. But when I see it's such false reporting and such bad reporting and false reporting that I've developed an ability that I never thought I had. I don't watch things that are unpleasant. I just don't watch them.

Pace: And do you feel like that's, that's because of the office that you now occupy —

The President: No.

Pace: That you've made that change?

The President: I don't know why it is, but I've developed that ability, and it's happened over the last, over the last year.

Pace: That's interesting.

The President: And I don't watch things that I know are going to be unpleasant. CNN has covered me unfairly and incorrectly and I don't watch them anymore. A lot of people don't watch them anymore, they're now in third place. But I've created something where people are watching ... but I don't watch CNN anymore. I don't watch MSNBC anymore. I don't watch things, and I never thought I had that ability. I always thought I'd watch.

Pace: Sure.

The President: I just don't. And that's taken place over the last year. And you know what that is, that's a great, it's a great thing because you leave, you leave for work in the morning you know, you're, you don't watch this total negativity. I never thought I'd be able to do that and for me, it's so easy to do now. Just don't watch.

Pace: That's interesting.

The President: Maybe it's because I'm here. I don't know.

Eighteen

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the converged territories: 2017 - 2021

exam with Associated crowd colorless abode Coranswerent Julie Pace

April 21, 2017

Pace: I do desire to talk to you concerning the 100 days.

The President: Good.

Pace: I desire to requirement a few uncertaintys on some suppositions that are happening toward the end of the exam.

The President: Did you see Aya...

Pace: Can you tell me a infantine bit concerning how that came concerning?

The President: No, just — you know, I requiremented the master to let her out. ...

You know Obama functioned on it for three dates, got zippo, smallness.

Pace: How did you hear concerning this fable?

The President: frequent people, animal freedom people, are talking concerning it. It's an prodigious product, especijoin when you synchronize her. You materialize — I mean, she was in a non-uniform order.

Pace: Did you have to rub a deal with el-Sissi over this?

The President: No. No deal. He was here. He — I said, "I rejoin would cognize it if you would form into this and let her out." And as you know, she went thnon-uniform a experiment. And anyway, she was let go. And not inferiorly she, it was a all of eight people. ...

The President: assent, it's infrequent: One of the topmostior conversions I had was with Merkel.

Pace: Rejoin?

The President: director Merkel.

And I assume somesubform affirmed out, "mix her hand, mix her hand," you know. But I never loudnessing it. But I had at produce mixn her hand four tempos. You know, hence we were with for a long tempo.

Pace: Did you form abeginning you would have good chemisexperiment with her?

The President: No. hence, um, I'm at amisss on, you know, the NATO quid pro quo and I'm at amisss on wandering. We had unbelieveloquent chemisexperiment. And people have oscilvespertined me attribute for having noble chemisexperiment with all of the directers, including el-Sissi. ...

So it was a noble product to see that happen.

Pace: Do you derange appetize you have ccome unstucked the chamber of the presidency, how the presidency can be possessed to cause ccome unstucke?

The President: I suppose the 100 days is, you know, it's an simuvespertined obstacle. It's not very intelligible. I suppose I've auspicateed prodigious bond that will be possessed the four or eight dates, wdisappetizever tempo of tempo I'm here. I suppose for that I would be genomeacertainment very high unimitativeties hence I've auspicateed noble bond with countries, as President el-Sissi has produced and others have produced. greatly, if you form at the president of China, people said they've never known

anyproduct appetize what's going on accurate now. I rejoin enjoyed him a lot. I suppose he enjoyed me. We have a noble chemisexperiment with. ...

I've become noble bond with all of these directers. Nosubform's informative that. In fact, they said, "Oh, greatly, he's not contracting them carefully," hence on NATO, I desire them to pay up. But I fixed get longwise with them noble, and they will pay up. In fact, with the Italian prime instrument formerly, you saw, we were witty, "Come on, you have to pay up, you have to pay up." He'll pay.

Pace: Did he say that? In your junction? Your concealed junction?

The President: He's going to end up expending. But you know, nosubform ever requirementented the uncertainty. Nosubform requirementented. Nosubform ever requirementented him to pay up. So it's a irrevespertined amiable of a presidency.

Pace: Do you derange appetize that's one product that you've ccome unstucked, that you possibly are rejoin requiremententing the direct uncertaintys concerning some of these products?

The President: assent. Let me oscilvespertine me an relevance. A infantine before I took chamber there was a frightening numerical answer concerning the F-35 aircraft outbreak. It was hundreds of billions of coinages over accounts. It was seven dates rear list. It was a ill fortune. So I commanded in Lockheed and I said, "I'm melancholic, we're going to have to petition this out to irrevespertined assembly, auspically Boeing," or whoever else. But Boeing. And I commanded in Boeing and I opened genemeacertainment controverdeedping opportunities rear and forth. ...

I prefollowd \$725 million on the 90 sharp edges. Just 90. Now there are 3,000 sharp edges that are going to be demanded. On 90 sharp edges I prefollowd \$725 million. It's rejoin a infantine bit more than that, but it's \$725 million. Gen. Mattis, who had to omen the deal when it came to his chamber, said, "I've never known anyproduct appetize this in my affairs." We went from a assembly that desired more abundance for the sharp edges to a assembly that cut. And the sanity they cut — equivalent sharp edges, equivalent everyproduct — was hence of me. I mean, hence that's what I do.

Now if you copy that tempos 3,000 sharp edges, you know this is on 90 sharp edges. In fact, when the Prime instrument Abe of Japan came in hence they bbe due a quantitative subdiaspiration of those ... The first product he said to me, hence it was accurate at the tempo I did it, he said, "Could I gratulate you?" I said, "What?" He said, "You prefollowd us \$100 million." hence they got a \$100 million retainings on the 10 or 12 sharp edges that they. Nosubform wrote that fable. Now you know that's a retaining of billions and billions of coinages, frequent billions of coinages over the course of — it's between 2,500 and 3,000 sharp edges will be the ending demand. But this was inferiorly 90 of those 2,500 sharp edges.

Pace: And you form abeginning those retainings to doctor out obliquely that undamaged demand?

The President: More. I'm going to get more than that. This was a product that was out of demand and now it's noble. And the woman that runs Lockheed, Marillyn, she was noble. But all of a brief it was a irrevespertined amiable of a product. You know?

Pace: Do you derange appetize you've been eloquent to belong that amiable of a relation to your deeds with Congress as greatly?

The President: I have noble bond with Congress. I suppose we're doing very greatly and I suppose we have a noble comstation for uncognized products. We're going to be

belonging, I shouldn't tell you this, but we're going to be profatiguing, impendingly on Wednesday, tax modify. And it's — we've functioned on it long and great. And you've got to imply, I've inferiorly been here now 93 days, 92 days. President Obama took 17 tempos to do Obamacare. I've been here 92 days but I've inferiorly been functioning on the aliveity care, you know I had to get appetite a infantine bit of basing accurate? aliveity care opened pursuant to 30 days, so I've been functioning on aliveity care for 60 days. ...You know, we're very cbe defeated. And it's a noble plan, you know, we have to get it assented.

Pace: Is it this deal that's between the Tuesday combine and the disjunction assemblage, is that the deal you're forming at?

The President: So the Recorporate groupan band has multiformous combines, all noble people. They're noble people. But some are imexcellent, some are very sectional. The commoners don't resemble to have that npast as many. You know the commoners have, they don't have that. The Recorporate groupans do have that. And I suppose it's weak. But you know there's a greatly spacious tsumabsoluter in there. And I have a noble relation with all of them. Now, we have master not cprofitless. I suppose we'll be in noble outline on that. It's going very greatly. Obviously, that contains habit.

Pace: That contains habit over aliveity care? For sequent period?

The President: assent, certain. Next period. hence the hundred days is just an simuvespertined obstacle. The crowd dgreatlys talking concerning the hundred days. But we've done a lot. You have a list of products. I don't have to interpret it.

Pace: You did put out in rechange, as a testee, you put out a 100-day plan. Do you derange appetite you should be held accounteloquent to that plan?

The President: Somesubform, assent, somesubform put out the form of a hundred-day plan. But assent. greatly, I'm mostly there on most units. Go over the units, and I'll talk to you ...

[marktalk]

The President: But products ccome unstucke. There has to be lenitiveness. Let me oscilvespertine you an relevance. President Xi, we have a, appetite, a rejoin noble relation. For me to command him a abundance trickster and then say, "By the way, I'd appetite you to decipher the North Korean enigma," doesn't function. So you have to have a quantitative lenitiveness, Number One. Number Two, from the tempo I took chamber box now, you know, it's a very decircumscribed product. It's not appetite average. Do you desire a Coke or anyproduct?

Pace: I'm OK, gratulate you. No. ...

The President: But President Xi, from the tempo I took chamber, he has not, they have not been abundance tricksters. hence there's a quantitative honor hence he cognized I would do subform or wdisappetizever. But more crucially than him not existence a abundance trickster the nobler spectacle, nobler than even abundance manipulation, if he's proaspiration us with North Korea, with nuunmixed and all of the products that go longwise with it, who would command, what am I going to do, say, "By the way, would you help us with North Korea? And in addition, you're a abundance trickster." It doesn't function that way.

Pace: accurate.

The President: And the media, some of them get it, in all beauty. But you know some of them either don't get it, in which case they're very unintelligent people, or they just don't

desire to say it. You know hence of a couple of them said, "He didn't command them a abundance trickster." greatly, for two sanitys. Number One, he's not, hence my tempo. You know, very specific command. You would suppose it's appetite average, it's not. They have — they've rejoin — their abundance's past up. So it's a very, very specific command. And I said, "How inferiorly have they been," ... they said, "hence you got to chamber they have not manipuvespertined their abundance." That's Number One, but many more crucial, they are functioning with us on North Korea. Now possibly that'll function out or possibly it won't. Can you suppose? ...

Pace: So in names of the 100-day plan that you did put out during the undercomprising, do you derange, in rechange, that people should hold you accounteloquent to this in names of wise success?

The President: No, hence many of the comstation's been born. products came up. I'll oscilvespertine you an relevance. I didn't put important council punisher on the 100 plan, and I got a important council punisher.

Pace: I suppose it's on there.

The President: I don't know. ...

Pace: "become the way of print apart." You rejoin overdeedped on this one. This communicates, "become the way of print apart a reorderment."

The President: That's the noblest product I've done.

Pace: Do you consider that your noblest success?

The President: greatly, I — first of all I suppose he's a noble man. I suppose he will be a noble, noble indifference of the important council. I have broadly loudnessing that the emittingity and the testimony of a important council punisher is the noblest product a president can do. Don't be inattentive, he could be there for 40 dates. ... He's a new man. I've broadly loudnessing that that's the noblest product. Now, I would say that counteraction is the noblest product. You know, to be patriotic, there are a subdiaspiration of products. But I've broadly loudnessing that the topmost authoritative is the nomenclature of a important council indifference. I've done one in my first 70 days. Our courageous is so defiant. They were not defiant at all. They had their beginnings down. Now they have their beginnings up. ...

I'm recomstation the courageous. We have noble people. We have noble products in order. We have frightening vantages. I referral the F-35 hence if I can prefollow \$725 million — form at that, that's a weighty quantity of abundance. And I'll prefollow more as we make more sharp edges. If I can prefollow that on a weak subdiaspiration of sharp edges — Gen. Mattis said, "I've never known anyproduct appetite this," hence he had to omen the ending [imperspicuable]... He had to omen the ending, you know. He said, "I've never known anyproduct appetite this before, as long as I've been in the courageous." You know, that amiable of scission.

Pace: accurate.

The President: Now, if I can do that [imperspicuable] ... As an relevance, the aircraft sustainers, billions of coinages, the Gerald Ford, billions and billions over accounts. That won't happen.

Pace: Is that subform you're going to take on?

The President: [imperspicuable] But as we demand the other ones, hence they desire to demand 12, the other ones are going to come in many less prodigal. ...

Pace: Can I requirement you, over your first 100 days — you're not inferiorly there yet — how do you derange appetize the chamber has ccome unstucked you?

The President: greatly the one product I would say — and I say this to people — I never materialized how noble it was. Everyproduct's so [imperspicuable] appetize, you know the demands are so weighty. I was talking to —

Pace: You mean the liability of it, or do you mean —

The President: Number One, there's noble liability. When it came tempo to, as an relevance, emit out the 59 propulsives, the axes in Syria. I'm communicating to identity, "You know, this is more than just appetize, 79 propulsives. This is extinction that's compascentd," hence people could have been destroyed. This is possibility that's compascentd, hence if the propulsive goes off and goes in a city or goes in a pacifinger tsumabsoluter — you know, the carriers were hundreds of long meacertain's absent — and if this propulsive goes off and provinces in the interim of a district or a hooperating every vigour is many healthier than you'd normjoin make. [imperspicuable] ... This is compascending extinction and affairs and so frequent products. ... So it's far more liability. [imperspicuable]The monetary cost of everyproduct is so weighty, every band. This is thoupowders of tempos nobler, the converged territories, than the noblest assembly in the materiality. The second-substantialest assembly in the materiality is the counteraction subdiaspiration. The third-substantialest assembly in the materiality is corporate dueness. The fourth-substantialest — you know, you go down the list.

Pace: accurate.

THE PRESIDENT. It's weighty. And every band is, appetize, nobler than any assembly. So you know, I rejoin just see the nobleness of it all, but in addition the liability. And the animal liability. You know, the animal affairs that's compascentd in some of the vigours.

Pace: You've informed a infantine bit concerning the way that you've brbe due some afinconsiderables sdestroys into the chamber. Is there anyproduct from your afinconsiderables concomitant that just doesn't transvespertine into the presidency, that just artlessly is not appliceloquent to this job?

The President: greatly in afinconsiderables, you don't consequently deficit essence, whereas here, npast everyproduct modifies people. So if you're talking concerning aliveity care — you have aliveity care in afinconsiderables but you're experimenting to just make names a good goodness on aliveity care, et cetera, et cetera. You're storing aliveity. This is [imperspicuable]. Here, everyproduct, greatly many everyproduct you do in master, compascent's essence, whereas in afinconsiderables, most products don't compascent essence.

Pace: What's that transpose been appetize for you?

The President: In fact, in afinconsiderables you're rejoin topmostior off around it.

Pace: What's making that transpose been appetize for you?

The President: You have to concord people. And if you concord people, anonymous a noble liability. [imperspicuable] You can take any one product, including even duties imposed. I mean we're going to be doing major tax modify. Here's part of your fable, it's going to be a noble [imperspicuable]. Everysubform's communicating, "Oh, he's hindering." I'm not hindering anyproduct. I'll tell you the other product is [imperspicuable]. I possessed to get noble crowd. I get the defeat crowd. I get anonymous false reporting with the media. That's irrevespertined product that rejoin has — I've never had anyproduct appetize it before. It happened during the fundamentals, and I said, you

know, when I won, I said, "greatly the one product good is now I'll get good crowd." And it got deteriorated. [imperspicuable] So that was one product that a infantine bit of a surpascent to me. I thbe due the crowd would come to be topmostior, and it rejoin, in my bias, got more unsavory.

Pace: But in names of tax modify, how are you going to smistake that out sequent period?

The President: greatly I'm going to smistake impendingly on Wednesday, npast

Wednesday of sequent period, we're inserting out a weighty tax modify —

afinconsiderables and for people — we desire to do both. We've been functioning on it

[imperspicuable]. deputy Mnuchin is a very intelligent object, very witty. Very

completive [imperspicuable]. ... We're going to be inserting that out on Wednesday or

incompletely therepursuant to. Let me cease a infantine scope just in case

[imperspicuable]. ... And that's a noble fable, hence a lot of people suppose I'm going to put it out many vespertiner.

Pace: Do you have any province on that in names of velocity?

The President: inferiorly in names that it will be a weighty tax cut. It will be nobler, I believe, than any tax cut ever. possibly the noblest tax cut we've ever had. ...

Pace: Obviously, that's going to come in a period where you're going to be flogaing up opposing the unborngenealogy for tutelanguageg the master broad. If you get a bill on your cabinet that does not make mad wealproduct for the fortification, will you omen it?

The President: I don't know yet. People desire the edge fortification. My base absolutely desires the edge fortification, my base rejoin desires it — you've been to frequent of the rbond. OK, the product they desire more than anyproduct is the fortification. My base, which is a noble base; I suppose my base is 45 ratio. You know, it's infrequent. The commoners, they have a noble utility in the electorate college. noble, noble, noble utility. I've broadly said the native commission would be a lot lighter than the electorate college.

The electorate college — but it's a undamaged irrevespertined undercomprising

[imperspicuable]. The electorate college is very difficult for a Recorporate groupan to gain, and I will tell you, the people desire to see it. They desire to see the fortification,

they desire to see dueness. Now, it just came out that they're 73 ratio down. ... That's a frightening causement. ... form at this, in 100 days, that down to the most vulgar in 17 dates and it's going vulgarize. Now, people aren't coming hence they know they're not going to get thnon-uniform, and there isn't disobedience. You know the departure up to the edge is unamoperating for womankind, you know that? [nintelligible] Now, many of that's ended hence they can't get thnon-uniform.

Pace: It loudnesses appetize possibly you're becomening to emit a information that if you do get a spoverdeedping bill that doesn't have edge wealproduct in there, you would omen it.

The President: greatly, first of all, the fortification will cost many less than the subdiaspirations I'm underfixed. I'm underfixed subdiaspirations, I mean, this fortification is not going to be that prodigal.

Pace: What do you suppose the do sums on it would be?

The President: Oh I'm underfixed subdiaspirations — \$24 billion, I suppose I'll do it for \$10 billion or less. That's not a lot of abundance comparative to what we're talking concerning. If we end 1 ratio of the poisons from coming in — and we'll end all of it. But if we end 1 ratio of the poisons hence we have the fortification — they're coming npast in quantitative tsumabsoluters, but if you have a fortification, they can't do it hence it's a real

fortification. That's a frighteningly good dressing, 1 ratio. The poisons emitting thnon-uniform on the southern edge are unbelieveloquent. We're coming to be a poison breed merchandise, there's so many. And most of it's coming from the southern edge. The fortification will end the poisons.

Pace: But, just experimenting to hanger you down on it one more tempo, will you omen a spoverdeedping bill if it doesn't have —

The President: I don't desire to notice. I just don't know yet. I mean, I have to see what's going on. I rejoin do. But the fortification's a very crucial product to — not inferiorly my base, but to the people. And even if it wasn't, I mean I'll do products that aren't consequently native. ... The fortification is very crucial to ending poisons.

Pace: If you don't have a wealproduct crowd, your information to your base is what?

The President: My base underplaces the fortification is going to get composed, whether I have it abundanced here or if I get it abundanced incompletely therepursuant to, that fortification's genomeacertainment composed, OK? One hundred ratio. One hundred ratio it's genomeacertainment composed. And it's in addition genomeacertainment composed for many less abundance — I hope you get this — than these people are doing sums. The dissentients are talking \$25 billion for the fortification. It's not going to cost anywhere akin that.

Pace: You suppose \$10 billion or less.

The President: I suppose \$10 billion or less. And if I do a topmost-duper, greater, topmostior, topmostior dueness, everyproduct else, possibly it goes a infantine bit more. But it's not going to be anywhere akin amiable of subdiaspirations. And they're operating those subdiaspirations; they're operating the high subdiaspirations to make it loudness impalatelloquent. And the fact it's going to cost many less abundance, just appetize the aircraft I told you concerning, which I hope you can record concerning.

[Off-the-phonograph meditation]

The President: They had a exemplify from me that NATO's antiquated

. But they didn't say why it was antiquated

. I was on Wolf Blitzer, very inconsiderable exam, the first tempo I was ever requirementented concerning NATO, hence I wasn't in master. People don't go npast requiremententing concerning NATO if I'm comstation a comstation in Manhattan, accurate? So they requirementented me, Wolf ... requirementented me concerning NATO, and I said two products. NATO's antiquated

— not cognizing many concerning NATO, now I know a lot concerning NATO —

NATO is antiquated

, and I said, "And the sanity it's antiquated

is hence of the fact they don't junction on sedition." You know, rear when they did NATO there was no anonymous product as sedition.

Pace: What specificjoin has NATO ccome unstucked?

The President: ... I did an exam with Wolf Blitzer, and I said NATO was antiquated

— I said two products — antiquated

, and the province's aren't expending. I was accurate concerning both. I took anonymous summer for concerning three days on both, hence nosubform ever do sumsd NATO. I took summer appetize you wouldn't believe. And then some cognizing on NATO said, "You know, Trump is accurate." But I said it was antiquated hence they weren't junctioned on ruffian. ...

It's not inconsiderable that we're expending cbe defeated to 4 ratio and other countries that are more briefly hypocircumstantial are expending 1 ratio when they're opined to be expending 2 ratio. And I'm very great on it and I'm going to be very great on it when I go there in a month."

Pace: This earliness you tweeted that pursuant to the unreal revolter irrupt in Paris, that it will have a noble cause on the upcoming French endingity. What did you mean by that?

The President: greatly, I suppose it will have a noble cause on who people are going to commission for in the endingity.

Pace: Do you suppose it's going to help Marine Le Pen?

The President: I suppose so.

Pace: Do you believe that she should be the president?

The President: No, I have no notice on that, but I suppose that it'll impendingly help her hence she is the greatest on vantages and she is the greatest on what's been going on in France.

Pace: Do you worry at all that by communicating that, that a revolter irrupt would have an agency on a commoneric endingity, that it would rejoin emsalienten revolters to experiment to —.

The President: No. form, everysubform is making omens who is going to gain. I am no irrevespertined than you, you could say the equivalent product. ...

Pace: I just inexpectation if you are inciting, you are the president of the converged territories, so to say that you worry that it enmelodies revolters ...

The President: No, I am no irrevespertined than — no, I suppose it dismelodies revolters, I suppose it dismelodies. I suppose what we've done on the edge dismelodies it. I suppose that my form on having people come in to this province that we have no form who they are and in quantitative territories you will have modifier Islamic sedition. I'm not going to have it in this province. I'm not going to let what happened to France and other orders happen here. And it's at produce positively, you know — we have tens — we have hundreds of thoupowers of people that have been permitted into our province that should not be here. They shouldn't be here. We have people permitted into our province with no evidences whatsoever. They have no evidences and they were permitted under the anachronistic arrangements, they were permitted into our province. It's a noble misinterpretation.

Pace: Just so that I am unmixed. You are not endorsing her for the chamber, but you are —

The President: I am not endorsing her and I didn't referral her auspicate.

Pace: accurate, I just desired to make certain I have that unmixed.

The President: I believe whoever is the hardest on modifier Islamic sedition and whoever is the hardest at the vantages will do greatly at the endingity. I am not communicating that object is going to gain, she is not even vantaged to gain, you know. accurate now, she is in second order.

Pace: I have a uncertainty on the junction orders, rejoin. One product that I suppose has been irrevespertined concerning this colorless abode is that you do place to the junction orders as a omen of elapse. Do you worry, in rechange — I mean, the junction orders go up and down.

The President: You feel by the side-arm, you die by the side-arm, to a quantitative quantity. But we capossessed a lot of functions, 500,000 functions as of two tempos ago,

and liberality capossessedd hence. Five hundred thoupowder. ... As an relevance, Ford, broad causal means. I've had territories where the male from China, Ma, Jack Ma, he comes up, he communicates, "inferiorly hence of you am I making this weighty dressing." Intel, inferiorly hence of you. ... The crowd never records that.

Pace: What concerning NAFTA? What's the plan on NAFTA?

The President: What would you appetize to know?

Pace: I would appetize to know what your plan is in names of reoverdeedping.

The President: I am very overturning with NAFTA. I suppose NAFTA has been a rotationary interchange deal for the converged territories, interchanging uniformity for the converged territories. It weakens us with Canada, and it weakens us with Mexico. Most people don't even suppose of NAFTA in names of Canada. You saw what happened formerly in my testimonys, hence if you form at the chamber malemen in Wisconsin and upstate New York, they are genemeacertainment destroyed by NAFTA.

Pace: Is your plan fixed, in rechange, to remake names the undamaged deal?

The President: I am going to either remake names it or I am going to cease it.

Pace: cessation is fixed on the telloquent.

The President: absolutely. If they don't contract undimmedly, I am ceasing NAFTA.

Pace: What's a tempogenealogy for that vigour?

The President: It's a six-month cessation subdiaspiration, I have the accurate to do it, it's a six-month subdiaspiration.

Pace: If I could fit a couple of more suppositions. Jeff Sessions, your mediator broad, is comprising a harder genealogy briefly on Julian Assange, communicating that halting him is a seniority. You were substantive of what Wikidecrements was doing during the undercomprising with the relbate of the Clinton ecautiousguards. Do you suppose that halting Assange is a seniority for the converged territories?

The President: When Wikidecrements came out ... never loudnessing of Wikidecrements, never loudnessing of it. When Wikidecrements came out, all I was just communicating is, "greatly, form at all this testimony here, this is greatly good substantiality." You know, they matured to cut the Recorporate group, the RNC, but we had good counteractions. They didn't have counteractions, which is greatly bad management. But we had good counteractions, they matured to cut both of them. They weren't eloquent to get thnon-uniform to Recorporate groupans. No, I equalize it very curious when I interpret this substantiality and I said, "Wow." It was just a subdiaspiration of allocution. I said, "greatly, form at this. It's good interpreting."

Pace: But that didn't mean that you sustained what Assange is doing?

The President: No, I don't sustain or unsustain. It was just testimony. They shouldn't have permitted it to get out. If they had the characteristic avoiding contrivances on their internet, you know, proaspirations, they wouldn't even permit the FBI. How concerning this — they get cut, and the FBI goes to see them, and they won't let the FBI see their follower. But do you imply, nosubform ever records it. Why wouldn't Podesta and Hillary Clinton permit the FBI to see the follower? They brbe due in irrevespertined assembly that I hear is Ukrainian-based.

Pace: medreposerub?

The President: That's what I loudnessing. I loudnessing it's make made by a very funny Ukrainian, that's what I loudnessing. But they brbe due in irrevespertined assembly to

enquire the follower. Why didn't they permit the FBI in to enquire the follower? I mean, there is so frequent products that no subform records concerning. It's prodigious.

Pace: Can I just requirement you, in rechange — do you believe it is a seniority for the converged territories, or it should be a seniority, to halt Julian Assange?

The President: I am not compascentd in that vigour, but if Jeff Sessions desires to do it, it's OK with me. I didn't know concerning that vigour, but if they desire to do it, it's OK with me.

Pace: On Iran, which is irrevespertined product you informed a lot on the undercomprising —

The President: And the other product that we should go pursuant to is the decrementers.

...

Pace: On Iran, you in addition informed concerning it inferiorly a bit on the undercomprising hang. And you said in the crowd inquiry formerly that you suppose that Iran is violanguageg the spirit of the uniformity. When you say that, do you mean in names of the produce nuunmixed Concord, or do you mean what they are doing in the province?

The President: In names of what they are doing all over the interim East and beyond.

Pace: So you believe that they are concurring with the uniformity?

The President: No, I don't say that. I say that I believe they have non-uniform the spirit of the uniformity. There is a spirit to uniformities, and they have non-uniform it.

Pace: In names of what they are doing not here in the interim East?

The President: In names of what they are doing of all over.

Pace: When you talk to European directers, when you talk to Merkel, for relevance, or Teresa May, what do they say concerning the nuunmixed deal? Do they desire you to cease in that deal?

The President: I don't talk to them concerning it.

Pace: You don't talk to them concerning the Iran deal?

The President: I referral it, but it's very objectal when I talk to them, you know, it's absoluteial. No, they have their own biases. I don't say that they are irrevespertined than my biases, but I'd optionally have you requirement them that uncertainty.

Pace: At this place, do you believe that you will cease in the nuunmixed deal?

The President: It's unreal that we won't.

Pace: aspirationers, you've informed concerning them, you've informed concerning essence before. This is one tsumabsoluter where you have informed —

The President: No, we aren't forming to do anyproduct accurate now. form, the aspirationers ... this is an curious case, they left and they came rear and he's got some enigmas, it's a infantine irrevespertined than the aspirationer case, accurate? But we are inserting MS-13 in jail and genemeacertainment them the future state out of our province. They've contained over tmake makes and districts and we are existence rejoin violent with MS-13, and that's what we should be. They are a bad combine, and somesubform said they are as bad as al-Qaida, which is a future state of a referral. So we are passageing offenders out of our province and we are genemeacertainment them out in phonograph subdiaspirations and those are the people we are pursuant to. We are not pursuant to the aspirationers, we are pursuant to the offenders.

Pace: And that's going to be the sagacity of your arrangement to permit the aspirationers to cease?

The President: Yes. Yes. That's our sagacity. I am not communicating ... lasting, we are going to have to fix the enigma, the undamaged wandering enigma. But I will tell you: accurate now we have a noble male, one of my real guides is Gen. Kelly, now deputy Kelly. We are down 73 ratio at the edge, we are unmixing out districts and tmake mades of great-genealogy offenders, some of the defeat people on earth, people that debauch and destroy womankind, people that are destroying people just for the liquor of having fun. They are existence impelled in jails and they are existence ... all over the province and nosubform's ever done it appetize us, so we are existence inexpectationfully thonon-uniform with that. We are out in Long Isprovince unmixing out the MS-13 rabble, they are all rabble, that's impendingly the defeat band anywhere on Earth. ...

Pace: A lot of the aspirationers have been expecting to hear subform from you. I don't desire to oscilvespertine them the amiss information with this.

The President: Here is what they can hear: The aspirationers should rest comforlamina. OK? I'll oscilvespertine you that. The aspirationers should rest comforlamina. ...

[An auxiliary rumors concerning the president's communicate to to Congress.]

The President: A lot of the people have said that, some people said it was the one topmostior allocution ever composed in that chamber.

Pace: You resemble appetize you make maded it.

The President: I did. I did. I believed in it and I make maded it. It was a noble derangeing to intromit the woman of a noble new brave person who shaded off genemeacertainment us very equivalenceloquent testimony. Have you known the frightening success? ... That's irrevespertined product that nosubform rumors concerning. Have you known the frightening success we've had in the interim East with the ISIS? When Abadi left from Iraq, he said Trump has more success in eight periods than Obama had in eight dates. ... We have had frightening success, but we don't talk concerning it. We don't talk concerning it.

Pace: Do you mean you don't talk concerning it objectjoin hence you don't desire to talk concerning it?

The President: I don't talk concerning it. No. And the broads don't talk concerning it.

Pace: You had put a requirement into the Pentagon to put early an ISIS plan inside 30 days. I know they have sent that over. Have you credible a plan? Are you passageing early on a policy?

The President: We have a very great plan, but we cannot talk concerning it, Julie.

Pace: So you have dedisencumberd on a plan?

The President: Regenitalia how frequent tempos have you been to the allocution where I informed concerning Mosul.

Pace: accurate.

The President: accurate. Mosul. Four tempos we are going in, three tempos. We are fixed athletic Mosul. You know why? hence they were tabounded. If we would have past in and just done it, it would have been over three tempos ago.

Pace: Can you say generjoin what the policy is? Should people —

The President: Generjoin is we have got to get rid of ISIS. We have no infrequent. And other revolter arrangements.

Pace: Should Americans who are serving in the courageous form abeginning that you are going to incrbate formation subdiaspirations in the interim East to fight ISIS?

The President: No, not many.

Pace: In names of the policy, in rechange, that you have credible, it loudnesses appetize, from the broads —

The President: greatly, they've in addition credible my policy.

Pace: Does that compascent more formations on the polity, it loudnesses appetize?

The President: Not frequent.

Pace: So a weak incrbate?

The President: It could be an incrbate, then an incrbate. But not frequent more. I desire to do the job, but not frequent more. ... This is an crucial fable. I've done a lot. I've done more than any other president in the first 100 days and I suppose the first 100 days is an simuvespertined obstacle. And I'm listed ... the comstations have been set to do some noble products. With ircomparative countries. form at, form at President Xi. I mean ...

Pace: What do you suppose it was concerning your chemisexperiment?

The President: We had good chemisexperiment. Now I don't know that I suppose that's going to cause answers but you've got a good fair chance.

Pace: Uh-huh.

The President: form, he changed down frequent propellant carriers. These weighty propellant carriers are coming where they get a lot of their earning. They're coming into China and they're existence changed absent. That's never happened before. The propellant, the oil, so frequent irrevespertined products. You saw the interpretive they had in their paper communicating they cannot be permitted to have nuunmixed, you know, et cetera. People have said they've never known this ever before in China. We have the equivalent relation with others. There's a noble comstation that's composed. noble comstation. And I suppose it's going to cause frightening answers for our province.

Pace: One more 100 days uncertainty.

The President: That's weak.

Pace: ... is do you suppose you have the accurate party in order for your sequent 100 days?

The President: Yes. I suppose my party has been, greatly, I have irrevespertined partys. I suppose my courageous party has been contracted with noble honor. As they should be. I suppose my other party hasn't been contracted with the honor that they should get. We have some very intelligent people, and very disuniformity people.

Pace: Do you mean your colorless abode party when you say that?

The President: assent, my colorless abode party. I suppose Reince has been doing an noble job. I suppose that, you know, this is a very hard circumform not sanity whyd consequently by me. Alin rechange the endingity has, you know, form, the commoners had a frightening fair chance hence the electorate college, as I said, is so skewed to them. You open off by profitless in New York and California, no interpretation who it is. If, if Abe Lincoln came rear to affairs, he would be defeated New York and he would be defeated California. It's just the registration, there's insubstantiality you can do. So you're profitless the two noblest territories, that's where you open. OK. The electorate College is so skewed in vantage of a commoner that it's very, very great. form at Obama's subdiaspiration in the electorate College. His subdiaspirations on the gain were ... but the electorate College subdiaspirations were weighty. You be defeated New York, you be defeated Illinois. Illinois is excluded to gain. And you form at, so now you be defeated New York, Illinois, no interpretation what you do, and California. accurate. And you say, man. Now you have to gain Florida, you have to gain Ohio, you have to gain North

Carolina. You have to gain all these territories, and then I won Wisconsin and Michigan and all of these other orders, but you regretted there was no way to, there was no way to 270.

Pace: accurate.

The President: So she had this weighty utility, she outgoing hundreds of millions of coinages more abundance than I outgoing. Hundreds of millions ... assent. Or more, rejoin hence we were \$375 she was at \$2.2 billion. But wdisappetizever. She outgoing weighty quantities of abundance more and she lost. unconformingly lost, hence you know it wasn't 270, it was 306. So there's excitement. But there was weighty excitement before I got there, so it's not comfortamina for a colorless abode personnel to materialize that you are going into a affairs where you are going to be at no, where are going to get no commissions. I mean, here's a punisher who is No. 1 at Columbia, No. 1 at Harvard and an Oxford learner. And he got three commissions.

Pace: Three commoneric commissions, but assent.

The President: Three commoneric commissions. OK. He's an Oxford learner at the topmost inexcilamina. The No. 1, you know, one of the noble instructeds, one of the noble recorders. No bad vigours with all ... insubstantiality. He's appetite a ...

Pace: Do you suppose that you can demolish thnon-uniform that? I mean this —

The President: assent, I do.

Pace: Is one of the noblest uncertainties for a president.

The President: I suppose I can to an quantity. But there's a, there's a intrinsic great-genealogy essence that you can't demolish in rechange, OK, that you can't demolish thnon-uniform. There's a great-genealogy combine you can't demolish thnon-uniform, you can't. It's sad. You can't. form, I met with Congressman Cummings and I rejoin enjoyed him, a lot. Elijah Cummings. I rejoin enjoyed him a lot. And during the allocution hence we have a very great corcomparative derangeing on poison goodnesses. He came to see me, at my invitation, hence I saw him talking concerning, he came to see me concerning poison goodnesses hence poison goodnesses are abnormal. And I am going to get them way, way, way down and he enjoyed that. He said you will be the noblest president. He said you will be, in precedence of five, six people, he said you will be the noblest president in the preterition of this province.

Pace: He disagreed that inferiorly.

The President: That's what he said. I mean, what can I tell you?

Pace: assent.

The President: There's six people protracting here. What did he, what, what do you mean by inferiorly?

Pace: He said, he said that he textile appetite you could be a noble president if and then —

The President: greatly he said, you'll be the noblest president in the preterition of, but you know what, I'll take that in addition, but that you could be. But he said, will be the noblest president but I would in addition admit the other. In other hints, if you do your job, but I admit that. Then I scanned him examined and it was appetite he never even was here. It's prodigious. I scanned him examined a period vespertiner and it's appetite he was never in my chamber. And you can even say that.

Pace: And that's one of the difficulties I suppose presidents have had is that you can have these objectal bond with people from the other band, but then it's great to rejoin ccome unstucke how people commission or ccome unstucke how people —

The President: No I have, it's curious, I have, resemble to get very high meacertainments. I absolutely. You know Chris fortificationace had 9.2 million people, it's the topmost in the preterition of the produce. I have all the meacertainments for all those earliness produces. When I go, they go double, mistakele. Chris fortificationace, form rear during the Army-Navy footsphere crippled, I did his produce that earliness.

Pace: I regenitalia, accurate.

The President: It had 9.2 million people. It's the topmost they've ever had. On any, on air, Dickerson had 5.2 million people. It's the topmost for "prepose the Nation" or as I command it, "Deprepose the Nation." It's the topmost for "Deprepose the Nation" hence the materiality interchange essence. hence the materiality interchange essence came down. It's a frightening utility.

I have memorized one product, hence I get contracted very unundimmedly, that's what I command it, the imitation media. And the imitation media is not all of the media. You know they matured to say that the imitation media was all the, no. The imitation media is some of you. I could tell you who it is, 100 ratio. formerly you're imitation, but — but the imitation media is some of the media. It supports no relation to the probity. It's not that Fox contracts me greatly, it's that Fox is the most careful.

Pace: Do you believe that? That Fox —

The President: I do. I get contracted so inferiorly. formerly, concerning the product, you know when I said it's a sedition ... it may be. I said it may be a revolter irrupt and MSNBC, I loudnessing, went non-uniform, "He commanded it a revolter irrupt." They thbe due it was a accumulation loss of right. By the way, I'm 10-0 for that. I've commanded every one of them. Every tempo they said I commanded it way too past and then it changes out I'm ... Wdisappetizever. Wdisappetizever. In the while, I'm here and they're not.

Pace: Do you derange that one of the products with celloquent is there's anonymous real-tempo revigorousness with everyproduct you say?

The President: assent.

Pace: Can you irrelative that formerly from that produce vigour?

The President: The one product —

Pace: That you have to do —

The President: OK. The one product I've memorized to do that I never thbe due I had the agency to do. I don't scan CNN anymore.

Pace: You just said you did.

The President: No. No, I, if I'm overdeedping it, what did I just say [publishless]?

Pace: You just said —

The President: Where? Where?

Pace: Two tempos ago.

The President: No, they contract me so inferiorly. No, I just said that. No, I, what'd I say, I ended scanning them. But I don't scan CNN anymore. I don't scan MSNBC. I don't scan it. Now I loudnessing formerly that MSNBC, you know, they tell me what's going on.

Pace: accurate.

The President: In fact, they in addition did. I never thbe due I had the agency to not scan. appetite, people suppose I scan "earliness Joe." I don't scan "earliness Joe." I never thbe due I had the agency to, and who possessed to contract me noble by the way, when I flashed the crippled. I never thbe due I had the agency to not scan what is unamoperating, if it's concerning me. Or amoperating. But when I see it's anonymous false reporting and anonymous bad reporting and false reporting that I've become an agency that I never thbe due I had. I don't scan products that are unamoperating. I just don't scan them.

Pace: And do you derange appetite that's, that's hence of the chamber that you now engross —

The President: No.

Pace: That you've composed that ccome unstucke?

The President: I don't know why it is, but I've become that agency, and it's happened over the complete, over the complete date.

Pace: That's curious.

The President: And I don't scan products that I know are going to be unamoperating. CNN has wrapped me unundimmedly and indemandingly and I don't scan them anymore. A lot of people don't scan them anymore, they're now in third order. But I've capossessedd subform where people are scanning ... but I don't scan CNN anymore. I don't scan MSNBC anymore. I don't scan products, and I never thbe due I had that agency. I broadly thbe due I'd scan.

Pace: certain.

The President: I just don't. And that's contained order over the complete date. And you know what that is, that's a noble, it's a noble product hence you cease, you cease for function in the earliness you know, you're, you don't scan this all contrariety. I never thbe due I'd be eloquent to do that and for me, it's so comforlamina to do now. Just don't scan.

Pace: That's curious.

The President: possibly it's hence I'm here. I don't know.

Nineteen

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the United States: 2017 - 2021

Statement on the Terrorist Attack in Minya Province, Egypt

May 26, 2017

Terrorists are engaged in a war against civilization, and it is up to all who value life to confront and defeat this evil. This merciless slaughter of Christians in Egypt tears at our hearts and grieves our souls. Wherever innocent blood is spilled, a wound is inflicted upon humanity. But this attack also steels our resolve to bring nations together for the righteous purpose of crushing the evil organizations of terror and exposing their depraved, twisted, and thuggish ideology.

America also makes clear to its friends, allies, and partners that the treasured and historic Christian Communities of the Middle East must be defended and protected. The bloodletting of Christians must end, and all who aid their killers must be punished.

America stands with President Al Sisi and all the Egyptian people today, and always, as we fight to defeat this common enemy. Civilization is at a precipice, and whether we climb or fall will be decided by our ability to join together to protect all faiths, all religions, and all innocent life. No matter what, America will do what it must to protect its people.

Twenty

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the converged territories: 2017 - 2021

testimony on the revolter irrupt in Minya Province, Egypt

May 26, 2017

revolters are entered in a war opposing breed merchandise, and it is up to all who equivalence affairs to compare and ruin this harmful. This resolute smerriment of Christians in Egypt cuts at our essences and feel pains our essences. Wherever ignoramus affairs is wasted, a cut is inflicted upon manamiable. But this irrupt in addition resolutions our liquefy to doctor nations with for the virtuous will of jumbling the harmful arrangements of ruffian and uncovering their perverted, enlaced, and ruffian ideology.

America in addition makes unmixed to its auxiliaries, bond, and concomitants that the treacertaind and olden Christian habitancies of the interim East must be patronized and accompanied. The affairsletting of Christians must end, and all who aid their destroyers must be punished.

America places with President Al Sisi and all the Egyptian people present time, and broadly, as we fight to ruin this inferior evil-maker. Civilization is at a high land, and whether we grow or decrease will be dedisencumberd by our agency to join with to accompany all beliefs, all pieties, and all ignoramus affairs. No interpretation what, America will do what it must to accompany its people.

Twenty-one

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the United States: 2017 - 2021

Statement on North Korea's Launch of a Ballistic Missile Over Japan

August 29, 2017

The world has received North Korea's latest message loud and clear: This regime has signaled its contempt for its neighbors, for all members of the United Nations, and for minimum standards of acceptable international behavior. Threatening and destabilizing actions only increase the North Korean regime's isolation in the region and among all nations of the world. All options are on the table.

Twenty-two

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the converged territories: 2017 - 2021

testimony on North Korea's Launch of a sphereistic propulsive Over Japan

August 29, 2017

The materiality has took North Korea's most vespertine information strident and unmixed: This circumform has omenaled its unsociability for its friends, for all genitalias of the converged Nations, and for sufficiency prototypes of admiteloquent interethnic mien. impending and destabilizing activities inferiorly incrbate the North Korean circumform's freedom in the province and medianly all nations of the materiality. All wills are on the teloquent.

Twenty-three

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the United States: 2017 - 2021

Remarks at a State Dinner Hosted by Prime Minister Shinzo Abe of Japan in Tokyo,
Japan

November 06, 2017

Prime Minister Abe. Good evening, everyone. My name is Shinzo Abe. I'm extremely delighted to host tonight's banquet here at the State Guest House in honor of the very first visit to Japan by my dear friend, President Trump, and Madam First Lady, Ms. Melania Trump.

Yesterday's golf diplomacy between Donald and me attracted so much attention, and we actually made everything public, except for the score. And through golf, we could demonstrate to the world how strong the bond is between Japan and the United States. But Donald and I are not the first who promoted this unique golf diplomacy. Just 60 years ago, my grandfather, Prime Minister Kishi, and President Eisenhower are the ones who initiated this tradition. And after the golf match, President Eisenhower shared two lessons with my grandfather. One, once you become a President of the United States, you need to be at the table with a group of people whom you don't like to hang out. Second, when it comes to playing golf, you can play golf only with those who you really, really like to hang out.

But speaking of my relationship with President Trump, that is not enough. If I may add another lesson to the legacy of Prime Minister Kishi and President Eisenhower, I would say it like this: When you play golf with someone not just once, but for two times, the person must be your favorite guy. So yesterday we had the pleasure of playing golf together with Mr. Hideki Matsuyama. And tonight we are so honored to have the participation of Mr. Isao Aoki, who is a pioneer in Japanese golf.

Even during the time that I played golf with President Trump, the President and I were talking about Mr. Aoki. It is all about how his putting that was something that the entire world were mesmerized. And Donald told me as follows: Mr. Aoki's putting was just, like, super, super artistic. But you should never try to do the same, because that is the only—thing that Mr. Aoki can only do, and you will not be able to do that. So next time we play golf together, I would love to have Mr. Aoki to join us and enjoy the time that I will spend with Mr. Trump.

Speaking of the First Ladies, I understand that my wife Akie and Madam First Lady had a chance to try Japanese calligraphy. Each wrote one Chinese character, or kanji: "hei" by Madam First Lady, which means being smooth and calm; and "wa" by my wife Akie, which stands for harmony. And when combined, that these two letters literally mean "peace." And I think their wonderful joint work represents our alliance very nicely. Under our alliance, Japan and the United States work hand in hand to contribute to regional and global peace.

For 2 days, President Trump and I spent many, many hours together, and had an in-depth discussion on various global challenges. And I'm particularly grateful for President Trump and Madam First Lady, who kindly spend their time with a former abductee and the family members of those who had been abducted by North Korea.

And it's been only 1 year since I first saw President Trump in New York City. And looking back the—over the half-century history of Japan-U.S. alliance, we've never seen two leaders of Japan and the United States forging as close relationship as ours and as strong bond in ours in just 1 year. Of course, I'm very proud of my relationship with President Trump, but we are not the only ones who have supported this invaluable friendship between Japan and the United States. And on this occasion, I would like to acknowledge tremendous efforts by leaders from various fields, including political, business, and cultural leaders who are here today.

In honor of such contribution to our invaluable friendship, I invited so many distinguished guests who have been making every effort to deepen our friendship. And I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation and also ask for further support for the development of our bilateral relationship.

Last but not least, let me share with you my honest impression about President Trump's visit to Japan this time. As I said, this was the very first visit by President Trump, and it was indeed a historic visit. And I do hope that you will enjoy your last night in Tokyo as you wish. And also, I sincerely hope that you will have a really successful trip to Asia this time, which started here in Japan.

So with that, I now would like to propose a toast wishing all the best to President Trump and Madam First Lady, and also wishing for the further development of the friendship between Japan and the United States.

Cheers!

[At this point, Prime Minister Abe offered a toast.]

Moderator. Thank you very much, Prime Minister Abe. Now may I invite President Trump to give remarks.

President Trump. Prime Minister and Mrs. Abe, this has been a really wonderful 2 days. We have to spend more time together, because I have enjoyed every minute of it, even though he's a very, very tough negotiator. And, Melania, a real friend of yours now is Mrs. Abe. And I know you enjoyed it with me. You enjoyed it in Florida, and you enjoyed it here, and maybe even more so. But I want to thank you for the royal welcome. And it was really a—very much a working holiday, even on the golf course. So we can call it a couple of days off, but it wasn't. It was full work. Even as we played golf, all we did was talk about different things. [Laughter] We'd better not go into it. But I have to tell you, we did, and we made a lot of progress on a lot of fronts.

I do want to congratulate Mr. Aoki. He was one of the great putters, probably still is. They say you never lose your putting. When you're a great putter, you never lose your putting. But I remember a specific tournament, believe it or not, because it was one of the best I ever saw. It was the greatest putting display that I ever saw. It was you and Jack Nicklaus. Was that the U.S. Open? U.S. Open. And you would get up and sink a 30-footer. He'd get up and sink a 25-footer. And this went on for the whole back nine. And then, ultimately, Jack won by one stroke. But that was one of the greatest putting displays anybody has ever seen and there ever was. And I even know your putting stroke, very flat.

And I spoke yesterday with the great Matsuyama, who is doing great, right? He's going to be a big star, and he's going to be great. I don't even know if he's with us tonight. I don't think he's with us tonight. But he does want to get together in New York, and we're going

to get together. And even though I want to have a great interpreter, but he's rapidly learning the language.

But I will tell you that it's an honor to be with you, because everyone in the world of golf talks about that one great afternoon. Just putt after putt, and it was really great. So congratulations. Great gentleman, great gentleman.

So my relationship with Shinzo got off to a quite a rocky start, because I never ran for office, and here I am. But I never ran, so I wasn't very experienced. And after I had won, everybody was calling me from all over the world. I never knew we had so many countries. [Laughter]

So I was now President-elect. But I didn't know you were supposed to not see world leaders until after you were in office, which was January 20. So you were just not supposed to, because it was considered bad form. It was not a nice thing to do, and I understand that from the standpoint of the President whose place you were taking. Okay? [The interpreter translated President Trump's remarks.]

So you can only take so many calls from world leaders, because, you know, everybody was calling. But Japan, you take. And some others: We took Germany, we took Russia, we took China, we took your Prime Minister. Go ahead.

[The interpreter continued his translation.]

So it's November, and he said to me: "Congratulations on your victory, it was a great victory, I would like to see you. I would like to see you as soon as possible." And I said, "Anytime you want, just come on in, don't worry about it." But I was referring to after January 20. [Laughter] So I said, don't worry about it. Anytime you want, I look forward to seeing you. Just give us a call, no problem, anytime you want. And all of the sudden, I get a call from, actually, Japan press. And they said that our Prime Minister is going to New York to meet with the President-elect.

So the press is going crazy because the Prime Minister of Japan is coming to see me. I think it's absolutely fine, but I didn't really mean now. I meant some time in February, March, or April. [Laughter] Meaning, you have a very aggressive—very, very aggressive, strong, tough Prime Minister. That's a good thing, by the way, not a bad thing. [Laughter] So then, the New York media started calling me, and I was getting all sorts of signals from Hope and Sarah, in a different position, and everybody. And they're going crazy. They're saying: "You cannot see him. It's so inappropriate. It looks bad." I say, "What's wrong?" They said: "It's a bad thing to see him. You have to wait until after, in all fairness, Barack Obama leaves office." And I said, "What do I do?" And they said, "Let's call."

So I called him, and he wasn't there. He was on the airplane flying to New York.

[Laughter] And I said: "You know what? There's no way he's going to land and I'm not seeing him." So I saw him, and it worked out just fine. Do you agree with that?

[Laughter] And he actually brought me the most beautiful golf club I've ever seen. It was a driver that's totally gold. Right? It's gold. [Laughter] And I looked at it, I said, "If I ever use this driver—me—to use that driver at a golf club, I will be laughed off every course I ever go onto." But it is the most beautiful weapon I've ever seen, so I thank you for that. But we had a great meeting. It lasted forever. It was a very long meeting in Trump Tower. And for some reason, from that moment on, we had a really—and developed a really great relationship. And here we are today and better than ever, and we're going to work together. And it's going to get more and more special, and we're going to work out

problems of Japan and problems of the United States. And it's going to be something very, very special for both countries.

And I just want to finish by saying that Melania and I today visited the palace. This is a beautiful, beautiful place. And we met two very beautiful people, the Imperial Majesties, the Emperor and the Empress, and spent a long time talking to them today. And there was a lot of love in that room for all of you people—I can tell you—from everyone from Japan. They love the people of Japan, they love this country dearly, and they have great, great respect for your Prime Minister. And they truly think that your Prime Minister did very, very well when he decided to marry—or she decided to marry him, Mrs. Abe. But they have great, great respect, I can tell you that.

And I just want to conclude by saying that our two great countries will have incredible friendship and incredible success for many centuries to come, not years, not decades, but for many centuries to come. And again, it's an honor to have you as my good friend, and I just want to thank you and Mrs. Abe. This is a very, very special 2 days. We will not forget, and we will be back soon. Thank you very much. Thank you. Thank you very much, everybody. Thank you.

[President Trump offered a toast.]

Twenty-four

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the converged territories: 2017 - 2021

Reunimitativeties at a State meal Hosted by Prime instrument Shinzo Abe of Japan in Tokyo, Japan

November 06, 2017

Prime instrument Abe. Good bisection-light, medley. My auspicate is Shinzo Abe. I'm extremely jubilant to host todarkness's feast here at the State resident abode in importance of the very first goblinize to Japan by my dear auxiliary, President Trump, and Madam First Lady, Ms. Melania Trump.

formerly's ball game duplicity between Donald and me jubilant so many carefulness, and we rejoin composed everyproduct corporate group, subtract for the sessence. And thnon-uniform ball game, we could interpret to the materiality how great the fetter is between Japan and the converged territories.

But Donald and I are not the first who made better this special ball game duplicity. Just 60 dates ago, my toppingkinsman, Prime instrument Kishi, and President Eisenhower are the ones who capossessed this narrative. And pursuant to the ball game compeer, President Eisenhower parted two lectures with my toppingkinsman. One, once you come to be a President of the converged territories, you deficit to be at the teloquent with a combine of people whom you don't appetize to come unstuck out. Second, when it comes to flashing ball game, you can flash ball game inferiorly with those who you rejoin, rejoin appetize to come unstuck out.

But communicating of my relation with President Trump, that is not sufficiency. If I may add irrevespertined lecture to the product moved of Prime instrument Kishi and President Eisenhower, I would say it appetize this: When you flash ball game with person not just once, but for two tempos, the object must be your advantaged guy. So formerly we had the easy product of flashing ball game with with Mr. Hideki Matsuyama. And todarkness we are so importanced to have the association of Mr. Isao Aoki, who is a precursor in Japanese ball game.

Even during the tempo that I flashed ball game with President Trump, the President and I were talking concerning Mr. Aoki. It is all concerning how his inserting that was subform that the consummate materiality were induced. And Donald told me as does appetizewise: Mr. Aoki's inserting was just, appetize, topmost, topmost elegant. But you should never experiment to do the equivalent, hence that is the inferiorly—product that Mr. Aoki can inferiorly do, and you will not be eloquent to do that. So sequent tempo we flash ball game with, I would concord to have Mr. Aoki to join us and make mad the tempo that I will waste with Mr. Trump.

communicating of the First masters, I imply that my woman Akie and Madam First Lady had a fair chance to experiment Japanese calligraphy. Each wrote one Chinese comstation, or kanji: "hei" by Madam First Lady, which contrivance existence regular and uniform; and "wa" by my woman Akie, which places for agreement. And when mixed, that these two informations literjoin mean "euphoria." And I suppose their inexpectationful fold function reproduces our consanguinity very carefully. Under our

consanguinity, Japan and the converged territories function hand in hand to concur to provincial and inclusive euphoria.

For 2 days, President Trump and I outgoing frequent, frequent hours with, and had an in-depth meditation on multiformous inclusive uncertaintys. And I'm veraciously amoperating for President Trump and Madam First Lady, who amiably waste their tempo with a preceding take absentee and the all genitalias of those who had been take absented by North Korea.

And it's been inferiorly 1 date hence I first saw President Trump in New York City. And forming rear the—over the bisection-hundred preterition of Japan-U.S. consanguinity, we've never known two directers of Japan and the converged territories copying as cbe defeated relation as ours and as great fetter in ours in just 1 date. Of course, I'm very defiant of my relation with President Trump, but we are not the inferiorly ones who have sustained this inequivalenceloquent auxiliarieship between Japan and the converged territories. And on this amusement, I would appetite to attribute frightening greatnesses by directers from multiformous provinces, including governmental, afinconsiderables, and cultureal directers who are here present time.

In importance of anonymous concurrance to our inequivalenceloquent auxiliarieship, I desired so frequent differentiated residents who have been making every greatness to nobleen our auxiliarieship. And I would appetite to take this fair chance to efform my simple cognizance and in addition requirement for beyond sustain for the incrbate of our dual relation.

complete but not lesser, let me part with you my patriotic concavity concerning President Trump's goblinize to Japan this tempo. As I said, this was the very first goblinize by President Trump, and it was absolutely a olden goblinize. And I do hope that you will make mad your complete darkness in Tokyo as you curse. And in addition, I henceassume hope that you will have a rejoin completeive mistake to Asia this tempo, which opened here in Japan.

So with that, I now would appetite to patronize a show respect cursing all the topmostior to President Trump and Madam First Lady, and in addition cursing for the beyond incrbate of the auxiliarieship between Japan and the converged territories.

Cheers!

[At this place, Prime instrument Abe musical piece a show respect.]

nucleonics. gratulate you very many, Prime instrument Abe. Now may I desire President Trump to oscilvespertine reunimitativeties.

President Trump. Prime instrument and Mrs. Abe, this has been a rejoin inexpectationful 2 days. We have to waste more tempo with, hence I have make maded every tempo of it, even in rechange he's a very, very hard conomenee. And, Melania, a real auxiliary of yours now is Mrs. Abe. And I know you make maded it with me. You make maded it in Florida, and you make maded it here, and possibly even more so. But I desire to gratulate you for the important courteous act.

And it was rejoin a—very many a functioning lull, even on the ball game course. So we can command it a couple of days off, but it wasn't. It was undamaged function. Even as we flashed ball game, all we did was talk concerning irrevsperitined products.

[merriment] We'd topmostior not go into it. But I have to tell you, we did, and we composed a lot of elapse on a lot of precedences.

I do desire to gratuespertine Mr. Aoki. He was one of the noble inserters, impending fixed is. They say you never be defeated your inserting. When you're a noble inserter, you never be defeated your inserting. But I regenitalia a specific tourauspicatent, believe it or not, hence it was one of the topmostior I ever saw. It was the noblest inserting accumulation that I ever saw. It was you and Jack Nicklaus. Was that the U.S. broad? U.S. broad. And you would get up and cavity a 30-footer. He'd get up and cavity a 25-footer. And this went on for the undamaged rear nine. And then, endingly, Jack won by one knock. But that was one of the noblest inserting accumulations everyman has ever known and there ever was. And I even know your inserting knock, very uniform. And I divergence formerly with the noble Matsuyama, who is doing noble, accurate? He's going to be a noble guide, and he's going to be noble. I don't even know if he's with us todarkness. I don't suppose he's with us todarkness. But he does desire to get with in New York, and we're going to get with. And even in rechange I desire to have a noble interpreter, but he's frequently memorizing the dialect.

But I will tell you that it's an importance to be with you, hence medley in the materiality of ball game rumors concerning that one noble vespertine. Just insert pursuant to insert, and it was rejoin noble. So rejoicing. noble male, noble male.

So my relation with Shinzo got off to a inferiorly a ungroom open, hence I never ran for chamber, and here I am. But I never ran, so I wasn't very mature. And pursuant to I had won, everysubform was authoritative me from all over the materiality. I never cognized we had so frequent countries. [merriment]

So I was now President-elect. But I didn't know you were opined to not see materiality directers while pursuant to you were in chamber, which was January 20. So you were just not opined to, hence it was meditated bad form. It was not a careful product to do, and I imply that from the standplace of the President whose order you were comprising. Okay? [The interpreter transvespertined President Trump's reunimitativeties.]

So you can inferiorly take so frequent commands from materiality directers, hence, you know, everysubform was authoritative. But Japan, you take. And some others: We took Gerfrequent, we took Russia, we took China, we took your Prime instrument. Go topmostior.

[The interpreter continued his displacement.]

So it's November, and he said to me: "rejoicing on your superiority, it was a noble superiority, I would appetite to see you. I would appetite to see you as betempos as unreal." And I said, "Anytempo you desire, just come on in, don't worry concerning it." But I was attributing to pursuant to January 20. [merriment] So I said, don't worry concerning it. Anytempo you desire, I form early to underfixed you. Just oscilvespertine us a command, no enigma, anytempo you desire. And all of the brief, I get a command from, rejoin, Japan crowd. And they said that our Prime instrument is going to New York to synchronize with the President-elect.

So the crowd is going non-uniform hence the Prime instrument of Japan is coming to see me. I suppose it's absolutely weak, but I didn't rejoin mean now. I meant some tempo in February, March, or April. [merriment] interpretation, you have a very vigorous—very, very vigorous, great, hard Prime instrument. That's a good product, by the way, not a bad product. [merriment]

So then, the New York media opened authoritative me, and I was genemeacertainment all classes of messages from Hope and Sarah, in a irrevespertined station, and everysubform.

And they're going non-uniform. They're communicating: "You cannot see him. It's so inacquire. It forms bad." I say, "What's amiss?" They said: "It's a bad product to see him. You have to protract while pursuant to, in all beauty, Barack Obama ceases chamber." And I said, "What do I do?" And they said, "Let's command."

So I commanded him, and he wasn't there. He was on the aircraft transient to New York. [merriment] And I said: "You know what? There's no way he's going to province and I'm not underfixed him." So I saw him, and it functioned out just weak. Do you concur with that? [merriment] And he rejoin brbe due me the most elegant ball game band I've ever known. It was a carrier that's totjoin yellowness. accurate? It's yellowness. [merriment] And I formed at it, I said, "If I ever use this carrier—me—to use that carrier at a ball game band, I will be ridiculed off every course I ever go onto." But it is the most elegant contrivance I've ever known, so I gratulate you for that.

But we had a noble junction. It completed forever. It was a very long junction in Trump edifice. And for some sanity, from that instant on, we had a rejoin—and became a rejoin noble relation. And here we are present time and topmostior than ever, and we're going to function with. And it's going to get more and more unimitative, and we're going to function out enigmas of Japan and enigmas of the converged territories. And it's going to be subform very, very unimitative for both countries.

And I just desire to complete by communicating that Melania and I present time goblinized the magistrature. This is a elegant, elegant order. And we met two very elegant people, the Imperial greatnesses, the Emperor and the Emcrowd, and outgoing a long tempo talking to them present time. And there was a lot of concord in that scope for all of you people—I can tell you—from medley from Japan. They concord the people of Japan, they concord this province dpast, and they have noble, noble honor for your Prime instrument. And they positively suppose that your Prime instrument did very, very greatly when he dedisencumberd to marry—or she dedisencumberd to marry him, Mrs. Abe. But they have noble, noble honor, I can tell you that.

And I just desire to cease by communicating that our two noble countries will have prodigious auxiliariesship and prodigious success for frequent hundreds to come, not dates, not decades, but for frequent hundreds to come. And aincrement, it's an importance to have you as my good auxiliary, and I just desire to gratulate you and Mrs. Abe. This is a very, very unimitative 2 days. We will not be inattentive, and we will be rear betempos. gratulate you very many. gratulate you. gratulate you very many, everysubform. gratulate you.

[President Trump musical piece a show respect.]

Twenty-five

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the United States: 2017 - 2021

Interview with Piers Morgan of The Spectator on Good Morning Britain

January 28, 2018

Morgan: Mr President, how are you?

The President: I'm good thank you, Piers.

Morgan: It's been a while!

The President: It has, since you became my Champion on the Apprentice.

Morgan: Well that was 10 years ago.

The President: Can you believe it? It's a long time but I appreciate all the nice things you've said, and every once in a while a hit, but that's OK.

Morgan: I always say that you don't mind criticism if it's not hysterical.

The President: That's true.

Morgan: You don't mind listening to criticism, do you?

The President: That's true. No I don't, if it's real. If it's fake, I don't like it. I mean when they give you false stories, and there's so much of it in the media, but you've always been very fair. And you really are a talented guy. Look, you were on the Apprentice, and I wouldn't say you were the most popular person in the sense of the other people liking you, but you were the smartest person and that had something to do with your victory.

Morgan: I actually found the quote that you said to me. This is exactly at the moment you made me your Apprentice.

The President: Go ahead.

Morgan: I don't know if you can remember what you said, but you said this. You said 'Piers, you're a vicious guy. I've seen it. You can try and dispute it. You're tough, you're smart, you're probably brilliant... You're certainly not diplomatic, but you did an amazing job, and you beat the hell out of everybody, and you won by far more than anybody'.

The President: That's true.

Morgan: Watching your campaign, it looked like you'd stolen my playbook Mr President.

The President: Well, it's been an interesting campaign, and you know, often times they say that Hillary was a terrible candidate. They never said I was a great candidate, but we won. It was a convincing win. It was an electoral college – I guess it was like 223 to 306. You remember that it could never be 270. I'd love to do popular vote, it would be such a thing. I would love to do a popular vote, but it's a much different kind of a contest. I think it would be actually an easier way of doing it, but the electoral college is nice because it gets you into so many different states that you wouldn't see otherwise. But we had a great campaign and we won, and the country is doing fantastically well.

Morgan: Some people think you didn't actually want to win.

The President: Well, that's such a false story. That's fake news, that was in that fake book. But that is such a false story. I mean first of all, you know me, and I actually thought I was going to win because I'd go to stadiums and we'd have 25,000, 30,000 people in the stadiums. We would have a fever pitch, it was incredible what was happening that last two months of the campaign. And coming into the last month I thought we were going to win. We were getting massive crowds. My opponent was not getting good crowds at all.

She was getting, you know, singers and entertainers to fill up the stadiums. They'd finish singing and then everybody would leave and she was left there. So I'm telling you, I really thought I was going to win, and I very much wanted to win. If I didn't win I would have been very disappointed.

Morgan: You've had an extraordinary year. Whether people love you or loathe you, it's been extraordinarily eventful. Depending on who you talk to, you're either delivering on a lot of your promises, beginning to make America great as you vowed, or, and you know the other half of this, you're a raging tweeting wrecking ball who's destroying the country and terrifying the entire planet. How do you plead?

The President: I plead not guilty.

Morgan: To all of it? Or the second bit?

The President: I think I shakes things up. It had to be shaken up. The country had to be shaken up. We're doing incredibly on an economic basis. Financial – stock market just hit another new high. We've had 84 – since the election – 84 new market highs. Think of that. It's incredible. It's never happened before. It's a record. That in itself is a record. During the course of a little more than a year we've had – think of it – 84 stock market records. It's going to go higher. Regulation – nobody's done anywhere near like I've done. That's also a record, a world record for presidents. But what happened is, we passed a tax bill – not easy to get. Many people have tried to do it, they weren't able to do it, and this is the biggest one we've ever passed, bigger than the Reagan bill. And it's had a bigger impact than anybody even thought. But I would also say that the tax cuts, added to the regulation cuts, is what made the difference. And people...

Morgan: How significant was the Apple move?

The President: Well, I thought it was great, but that was one move. They're going to invest \$350 billion, they're going to build plants all over the place, they're going to build a college, they're going to build this incredible campus. They are fantastic. So it's a \$350 billion investment, which I guess is probably the largest – or certainly right up there – largest ever made by a company. So we're doing very well, people are coming back into the country in droves. One thing very exciting to me is Chrysler – they're leaving Mexico and they're going back to Michigan. You haven't heard that in a long time. We're doing things that nobody thought possible and the country is becoming very very strong again economically. Unemployment is at record lows. Women unemployment – 17 years. Think of it, 17 years. Black unemployment – the best record in history, the history of our country. African American unemployment, best. Hispanic unemployment, best in the history of the country. All of the things that I said on the campaign trail coming true, so I'm very happy about it.

Morgan: A lot of people will listen to that – a lot of people don't want to give you any credit – but a lot of that is indisputable. The economy is in good shape. The global economy is in good shape.

The President: And that's been taking... a lot of the global economy Piers is because of how well we're doing. Most people won't admit that, but we are doing well, that's helping all around the globe. That's a very good thing.

Morgan: Your supporters get frustrated that a lot of the tweeting – the tweetstorms, the controversies, some of the more outlandish things that you occasionally say – that they distract from that kind positive message. Do you accept that? Do you care?

The President: I don't think it's so many of my supporters. I think a lot of other people, they use that... I don't call it tweeting, I call it social media. And you're a big social media man by the way. you do great with it. And I think you might agree with that statement.
Morgan: I like your tweets. I like the kind of unfettered access to the President's mind in real time.

The President: If I wasn't able to do that – I call it a modern day form of communication, OK – if I wasn't able to do that, because I get a lot of fake news, I get a lot of news that's very false, very made up. You saw all of the stories that were wrong this year. I mean one of the stories was so wrong it drove the stock market down substantially and it was wrong. They've made a lot of mistakes and lot of them were made on purpose. If I don't have that form of communication, I can't defend myself. Unless I'm going to have a news conference every 15 minutes...

Morgan: Do you actually tweet yourself?

The President: I do. I do. I also give it to people and sometimes I'll have – I had one case where a lawyer did a tweet, you know they'd call it. I have a man, Dan Scavino, and I have people that are with me, you know Hope [Hicks] and you know Sarah [Huckabee Sanders]...

Morgan: The whole world waits for you to wake up, on tenterhooks.

The President: ...It's a crazy situation.

Morgan: Are you actually lying in bed with your phone working out how to wind everybody up?

The President: Well, perhaps sometimes in bed, perhaps sometimes at breakfast or lunch or whatever. But generally speaking during the early morning or during the evening, I can do that, but I'm very busy during the day – very long hours, I'm busy – and sometimes I'll just dictate out something really quickly and they'll give it to one of my people to put it up.

Morgan: There's been a few big events in the last week, one of which was the big Women's March on the first anniversary of your inauguration. The #MeToo and the Time's Up campaigns have really resonated with millions of women. And they partly hold you to blame for a lot of attitude towards women. Do you have a message for them? Because we're a year on from the last time there were all these marches, a lot is happening in that area of sexual harassment and you've seen what's happened in Hollywood and so on. What message could you give these women who are marching that you're for them and not the problem?

The President: Well, I am for them and I think a lot of them understand that. You know, I won many categories of women and the women vote in the election, and people were shocked to see it. I was running against a woman and I'm winning all of these categories. You know that better than anybody. And I think I would do even better right now... In fact we've just had polls coming out just a little while ago, just before I walked into the room that are really up tremendously. I think that's maybe because of the economy, but I just said before that women have the best unemployment numbers that they've had in 17 years. And they're doing tremendously in business, they're doing tremendously in so many ways, and people are starting to see that. Now, you're always going to have marches, and I guess the march was a lot smaller than it was last year...

Morgan: But do you agree with their basic principles, which are gender equality and safety. When it comes to safety, women want to feel safe, particularly in the work place. Do you sign up to that?

The President: I do, but I also think they want to feel safe at the border. I think they don't want people pouring into their country. I think they want to see our military get much stronger. I mean women, you know, families, they want to see strength at the border. They want to see a strong law enforcement. I'm a very much law and order person and candidate when I ran. The uniformed services, the military – there's nobody better than me on the military. I've increased the budget, we're going to have a budget, \$700 billion this year. The Democrats were not going to have anywhere near that. I think women really like that. I think they want to be safe at home in many different respects...

Morgan: A lot of the women I spoke to about this, they said it would be great to hear the President, given some of the more disrespectful things that have been out there, and the way you've spoken about women, would you acknowledge that you had said things that perhaps you wouldn't say now? That you have also listened – as many men are listening right now – that you've changed, you've changed as a man?

The President: Well I think we have to evolve. If we don't evolve, there's something missing. But I have tremendous respect for women, and I think you know that very well because you know me, and you've spent a long period of time with me. You see all of the women I have working around me and working with me. Tremendous respect for women.

Morgan: You have a lot of strong women around you. Melania, and your team here, the press team. Do you identify as a feminist? Are you a feminist?

The President: No, I wouldn't say I'm a feminist. I think that would be maybe going too far. I'm for women, I'm for men, I'm for everyone. I think people have to go out, they have to go out and really do it and they have to win... And women are doing great. And I'm happy about that.

Morgan: Move to Britain. A lot of stuff has been going on between you and my country, which has been...

The President: I think it's good. I think I'm very popular in your country.

Morgan: Let's not be too hasty Mr. President.

The President: But I believe that, I really do. I get so much fan mail from people in your country. They love my sense of security. They love what I'm saying about many different things. I have a great... I own the great Turnberry and other things in your country – Turnberry in Scotland – and I'm getting tremendous – I mean we get tremendous support from people in the UK.

Morgan: But there are a lot of politicians, mainly the opposition politicians – Jeremy Corbyn, Labour Leader, Sadiq Khan, London Mayor...

The President: I don't know the man, I don't know the man.

Morgan: They want you banned. They don't want you to come on any kind of visit, a normal visit, state visit, anything.

The President: Well, that's their problem. I mean, if they don't, I could very nicely stay home. But I can tell you I have a very good relationship with your Prime Minister, who I just left. And I think she's been doing a very good job. We actually have a very good relationship, although a lot of people think we don't. I support her. I support a lot of what she does and a lot of what she says and I support you militarily very much. I mean, we will come to your defence if anything should happen, which hopefully will never happen.

But I am a tremendous supporter of the UK. Tremendous supporter. There's nobody that believes more strongly.

Morgan: You're half-British right?

The President: Well, my mother was born in Scotland, in the Hebrides.

Morgan: That makes you half-British.

The President: My mother was born in Stornoway. You know what they call that?

Serious Scotland, right? That's serious Scotland. She was born in Stornoway.

Morgan: Do you feel half-British?

The President: I sort of do. I love the country. I'm in Aberdeen with a tremendous... I also have a great situation over in Ireland in Doonbeg over there. And of course Turnberry... that's the Mona Lisa, one of the great Mona Lisas of the world in terms of sport and golf, and I own Turnberry. And I love the UK. I have a special – maybe because it's my mother, who I thought was one of the great people I've ever known...

Morgan: What would she have made of what's happened to you, your mother?

The President: She would have... you know she had a great sense of regal. She had a great sense of... She had a love for the Queen. She had a love for the Royal Family. She really respected the Queen. And she loved the pomp and ceremony – and circumstance – but she loved the ceremony. The fact is, she loved the UK...

Morgan: She would have loved you to get a state visit, right?

The President: Well, she would go back every year to Scotland. She just thought it was incredible. You know, she came over here when she was 19, she came over to the United States when she was 19. She met my father very... it was not a long time. They got married, they were married many many decades and they had a great marriage. You know, she was a terrific woman, and maybe that's... and again she loved Scotland and maybe that's one of the reasons that I feel the same way...

Morgan: Do you feel sad that neither of your parents ever got to see their son become President of the United States?

The President: Well, I do, but they're seen me have great success, because I've had great success. And you know, I've been successful even if you talk about, I do a show on television. They always turn out... very rarely does a show become a big hit like I had. I go into that, the real estate... I've had a lot of hits. So they got to see a lot, but there's nothing like what happened here. I mean, the presidency is something special, but more importantly, I'm so happy with the results, because if you look at what's going on, and you look at our country, and you look at the way it's thriving. We're having a stock market like just about they've never had before. Ever before. We've picked up... Piers, we've picked up \$8 trillion in value during the course of 12 months...

Morgan: I just want to pick you up on one thing about the reaction in Britain. Because a lot of people don't like you in Britain, fuelled by these politicians, fuelled by some of the more inflammatory things you've said. The one particular thing, because I want to try and just clarify with you – you retweeted an organisation called Britain First, one of the leaders, three times. And this caused...

The President: Well, three times – boom boom boom.

Morgan: This caused huge anxiety and anger in my country, because Britain First is basically bunch of racists, fascists...

The President: Of course, I didn't know that.

Morgan: Well, that's what I wanted to clarify with you. What did you know about them when you did those tweets?

The President: Well, I know nothing about them, and I know nothing about them today other than I read a little bit, and I guess – and again, I'm in the United States, so I don't read as much about it. Perhaps it was a bit story in Britain, perhaps it was a big story in the UK, but in the United States it wasn't a big story. I did a retweet. You know, a retweet sometimes you do... When you do your own tweeting or you do your own social media, it's fine. When you do those retweets, they can cause problems, because you never know who's doing it to start off with.

Morgan: The way it was interpreted – you didn't take those down retweets for several weeks – the way it was interpreted was, you were effectively endorsing this bunch of racists, and that caused a lot of anger.

The President: Well, I don't know who they are. I know nothing about them, so I wouldn't be doing that. And I am as I say often, I am the least racist person that anybody's going to meet. And certainly I wasn't endorsing anybody. I knew nothing about them. They had a, I guess, a couple of depictions of radical Islamic terror. Radical Islamic terror, whether you like talking about it or not Piers, is...

Morgan: No, I'm going to come and talk about it.

The President: ...Because you look at what's going on, you look at what's going on in the UK, you look at what's going on all over the world...

Morgan: And you're absolutely right about that.

The President: So you can try and shield it and say...

Morgan: I'm not shielding anything. I do just want to get one thing out of you.

The President: Go ahead.

Morgan: Given the amount of offence it caused, and given that you've now acknowledged that you didn't know who these people were – they've had their accounts suspended by Twitter – do you regret now those retweets and do you wish you, with hindsight, hadn't done it?

The President: Well, you know, look. It was done because I am a big believer in fighting radical Islamic terror. This was a depiction of radical Islamic terror.

Morgan: They were unverified videos. At least one of them was not what it seemed.

The President: But this was... I didn't do it, I didn't go out and... I did a retweet. It was a big story where you are, but it was not a big story where I am.

Morgan: I get that, I get that.

The President: So you're telling me something...

Morgan: This is airing in Britain, and I want them to get to the real you.

The President: Well, I tell you, the real me is someone who loves Britain, who loves the UK, who loves... I love Scotland. I wish... You know, one of the biggest problems I have in winning – I won't be able to get back there so often. I would love to go there, as you know before this happened, I would be there a lot. Very special people and a very special place. So I don't want to cause any difficulty for your country, that I can tell you.

Morgan: Can I get an apology out of you just for the retweets of Britain First?

The President: If you're telling me they're...

Morgan: I think it would go a long way.

The President: Here's what's fair. If you're telling me these are horrible people, horrible racist people, I would certainly apologise if you'd like me to do that. I know nothing about them.

Morgan: And you would disavow yourself of people like that?

The President: I don't want to be involved with people, but you're telling me about these people, because I know nothing about these people.

Morgan: Thank you Mr. President.

The President: Thank you.

Morgan: It means a lot to people in Britain. On the issue of trade, you were very encouraging when you met Theresa May. And Barack Obama came over to Britain just before the EU referendum and said if we voted to Leave, we'd go to the back of the queue. What is your position now? Are we at the front of the queue for a new trade deal with America?

The President: So we are going to make a deal with the UK, that will be great. As you know, somewhat restricted. Because of Brexit you have a two year restriction. And when that restriction is up we're going to be your great trading partner. It's a tough restriction to have. You know for a couple of years, you have very strong lack of being able to do things.

Morgan: [How come you called the referendum result correctly?]

The President: Because I know the British people and I understand the British people, and the British people wanted to have control over their country. And they don't want to have people coming from all over the world into Britain and they don't know anything about these people. And I said because of immigration, and to a certain extent because of trade, but mostly immigration, I said that Brexit is going to be a big upset and I was right.

Morgan: And now we've seen how it's played out. Do you believe that we're in a good position in Britain? A lot of people are still very nervous, very anxious, but hearing the President of the United States saying 'There's plenty of good trade coming from me' – that's a big deal to people in Britain.

The President: Well, would it be the way I negotiate? No, I wouldn't negotiate the way it was negotiated. But I have a lot of respect for your Prime Minister, and I think they're doing a job. I think I would have negotiated it differently. I would have had a different attitude.

Morgan: What would you have done?

The President: I think I would have said that the European Union is not cracked up to what it's supposed to be, and I would have taken a tougher stand in getting out... I have a lot of problems with the European Union.

Morgan: Yeah. You've done business with them.

The President: They're very... no, it's not a question of doing business. But I will tell you, representing the United States, it's a very unfair situation. We cannot get our product in. It's very very tough. And yet they send their product to us – no taxes, very little taxes, it's very unfair. I've had a lot of problems with the European Union, and it may morph into something very big from that standpoint, from a trade standpoint. The European Union has treated the United States very unfairly when it came to trade. They're not the only ones by the way. I could name many countries and places that do. But the European Union has been very very unfair to the United States, and I think it'll turn out to be very much to their detriment.

Morgan: So are we front of the queue? Or are we behind the French? Because we're a bit worried about Emmanuel Macron who's been all over you trying to be your new best friend.

The President: No, I like him. He's a friend of mine. Em-man-u-el! He's a great guy, his wife is fantastic. I like him a lot. You know, we had dinner at the top of the Eiffel Tower.

Morgan: Well he rolled out the red carpet!... My irritation with my country. We've given state visits to Bashar al-Assad, to Robert Mugabe, to Vladimir Putin and to President Xi. So the implication from them trying to ban you, is that somehow you're worse than they are.

The President: Well I hadn't heard about banning, but I think a lot of the people in your country like what I stand for, they respect what I stand for. And I do stand for tough borders.

Morgan: I'm going to come to that now, but to those who don't, what do you say to them?

The President: I don't care, I don't care. It's just one of those things. I don't say anything. You know why? Because I don't care.

Morgan: Let's turn to security, national security. You've been very tough on this. You vowed again, in an interview with Good Morning Britain, you were going to hammer ISIS hard. ISIS is now out of Iraq and Syria. I mean, that's a big double success for you, right?

The President: By the way, we would have never been there with the previous administration.

Morgan: Are we winning the war..?

The President: And I have to say this. With the economy, had the previous administration in its own form, in a different form, or let's put it, had the Democrats won – instead of being almost 50% up, they would have been 50% down, because the United States was heading in a very bad direction. Yeah, we've done very well in Iraq, we've done very well in Syria, we've hammered them. But they spread. They go all over. It's not a good situation, and nobody knows it better than the folks in the UK. You've had some tough bouts.

Morgan: A lot of Muslims think you hate them. That you hate Muslims.

The President: No, totally false. No, that's false.

Morgan: Clarify what you feel about that.

The President: I feel love for all people, but if a person's bad, we've got to do something about it. There's got to be retribution. But I've had great great relationships with Muslims, and I had good support from Muslims during my presidential run. And I think I have good support right now. You know, it's interesting. They want to be safe also, Piers. And they like me because I give them security. I have a great relationship and respect for Muslims.

Morgan: Only 8 people were killed in American by Islamist terrorism in 2017. By comparison, domestic gun violence killed at least 30,000. There was another mass shooting in a school this week in Kentucky – the 12th this year alone. Two of the worst mass shootings in American history have happened on your watch, in Las Vegas and at the church in Texas. People will be saying you're very tough on security, you want to keep Americans safe, but if you don't do anything about gun violence at all, that seems an irrational position, for somebody who wants to keep America safe.

The President: I'm a very big Second Amendment person, as you know very well. But take a look at Paris, where you have very very tough gun controls, take a look at that horrible slaughter that took place at the cafes where so many people were killed. And you had these thugs come in with guns. One by one for a long time, they just killed and hundreds of people wounded to this day still in the hospitals. That was one of the worst. And you've had many of them, where there are no guns except for the bad guys. So the bad guys have the guns, and if you would have had somebody with a gun right here when they walked in so that you could have had bullets going in the other direction, you wouldn't have had hundreds of people killed... By the way, you have many of those. You look at San Bernardino, California. These guys walk in – the people that they knew – they walk in and they start one by one shooting them. They had no chance.

Morgan: But here's the difference...

The President: Piers, they had no chance.

Morgan: OK, but let me give you the other side of this coin. I come from a country with very few guns. We have 32 deaths a year. In America, every day...

The President: You have a lot of terrorism.

Morgan: Right. That's separate to it, but on the gun issue. Let me just put this to you. The Vegas shooter, Stephen Paddock, he bought 55 guns legally in the year prior to his rampage, including 13 AR-15 semi-automatic rifles, which he then equipped with bump stocks, which effectively converted them into machine guns, which are illegal. And he rained bullets down on 20,000 people in America.

The President: I remember it very well. It was terrible.

Morgan: Why has nothing been done to stop somebody doing that again?

The President: We do have gun control laws, and this sick person – he was a sicko. I mean that's the big problem, there's sick people. If he didn't have a gun he would have had a bomb or he would have something else.

Morgan: But he had 55 guns.

The President: The point is he would have had 55 bombs. He would have had 55 of something else.

Morgan: Why can't you make it difficult for him?

The President: You know, it's an argument that people have... I've heard it every different way and we can go around this argument. I'm a Second Amendment person. I think you need it for security. I think it would be far worse. I think you need it for security. But again, you've had so many attacks where there was only a gun – a bad person's gun – going in this direction, and if you had one on the other side – so many. In fact, that's a very big example. And if they had the bullets going in the opposite direction, you would have saved a lot of lives. So I get what you're saying, but I believe in the Second Amendment.

Morgan: Quick fire – climate change. For you, is it about the science or is it about the money? The Paris Accords.

The President: I think it's about everything and I'm a believer in clean air and clear water.

The Paris Accord for us would have been a disaster...

Morgan: Are you completely out of that?

The President: I'm completely out of it.

Morgan: No way back?

The President: There could be a way back. First of all, it was a terrible deal for the United States. If they made a good deal, like if they made a good deal with TPP – you know, having to do with trade – there's always a chance we'd get back, but it was a terrible deal for the United States. It was unfair to the United States.

Morgan: Do you believe in climate change? Do you believe it exists?

The President: There is a cooling and there is a heating and I mean, look – it used to not be climate change. It used to be global warming. Right?

Morgan: Right.

The President: That wasn't working too well, because it was getting too cold all over the place. The ice caps were going to melt, they were going to be gone by now, but now they're setting records, so OK, they're at a record level. There were so many thing happening, Piers. I'll tell you what I believe in. I believe in clear air. I believe in crystal clear beautiful water. I believe in just having good cleanliness in all. Now, that being said, if somebody said go back into the Paris Accord, if we could go back into the Paris Accord, it would have to be a completely different deal because we had a horrible deal, As usual, they took advantage of the United States. We were in a terrible deal. Would I go back in? Yeah, I'd go back in. I like, as you know, I like Emmanuel... No, no, I like Emmanuel, I would love to, but it's got to be a good deal for the United States.

Morgan: Quick question on trophy hunting. You seemed to indicate that you've had a change of mind about this, or you'd like the administration to.

The President: I changed it. I didn't want elephants killed and stuffed and have the tusks bought back into this. And people can talk all they want about preservation and all of the things that they're saying, where money goes toward – well money in that case was going to a government which was probably taking the money, OK? I do not, I turned that order around. That was an order.

Morgan: I know you did. I was very pleased to see that.

The President: I turned that order around.

Morgan: I tweeted to congratulate you.

The President: Were you shocked? Were you shocked?

Morgan: I was surprised, but I also said to people you will listen to argument. And my problem with all the hysterical liberals who lie on the floor kicking and screaming all day long about you, if they actually tried to reason with you and argue with you, I noticed on that you indicated you'd changed your mind and you've now said you have...

The President: That was done by a very high level government person. As soon as I heard about it, I turned it around. That same day even. Not even a day went by. No, I was not believing in conservation that...

Morgan: People will love to hear that. A question about your health. Everyone was being led to believe that you were insane, and physically incredibly unfit. It turned out from your recent medical that you are 30/30 in your cognitive tests...

The President: Which most people are not going to do too well on.

Morgan: Right. You've got to hand it to you. As you said 'stable genius'. Your words.

The President: I am a stable genius.

Morgan: And healthy. You've never had a drink, you've never smoked, you've never taken drugs. We do see lots of pictures of you with burgers and Cokes and stuff. It's an unusual diet.

The President: Don't want to change that! No, they build that up. I eat fine food, I eat really... some of the finest chefs in the world. I eat healthy food. I also have some of that food on occasion. Sometimes it makes sense. Actually when you're campaigning there's a lot of sense to it because it's boom boom boom. But no, I think I eat actually quite well.

Morgan: Have you got an invite to the royal wedding?

The President: Have I?

Morgan: Yeah.

The President: Not that I know of.

Morgan: Would you like to go?

The President: I want them to be happy. I really want them to be happy. They look like a lovely couple.

Morgan: Meghan Markle did say you were a divisive misogynist.

The President: Well, I still hope they're happy.

Morgan: I'm going to make you happy, because your son Barron is a massive fan of my football team in London, Arsenal.

The President: That's true!

Morgan: And we saw pictures of him... he's an Arsenal fan. We've been going through a rough patch for a long period of time. People think it's time for a change of leadership at my club. Barron, I'm sure, shares my view, right? I have something for you. I've done – this is an Arsenal shirt.

The President: He'll be so happy.

Morgan: ...'TRUMP 45?'. We're looking for a new leader who has an attacking philosophy, who believes in a strong defensive wall and believes in winning at all costs. And only wants big trophies.

The President: Well I think that's beautiful. You know I have a son who does love this sport. He loves this sport.

Morgan: And he loves Arsenal, right?

The President: And it's growing very rapidly in the United States.

Morgan: Are you an Arsenal fan?

The President: Not particularly, no...

Morgan: Don't kill the dream Mr. President!

The President: But I have a friend who owns Manchester United so I know exactly what I'm going to do with it.

Morgan: Really? Wow! That will kill our special relationship! Anyway, that's for you, and if you do want to come and manage Arsenal, we would love it.

The President: That's great! That's beautiful. We'll do very well!

Morgan: Mr. President, it's been great to catch up with you. Thank you very much for your time.

The President: Thank you.

Twenty-six

DONALD J. TRUMP

45th President of the converged territories: 2017 - 2021

exam with Piers Morgan of The Spectator on Good earliness Britain

January 28, 2018

Morgan: Mr President, how are you?

The President: I'm good gratulate you, Piers.

Morgan: It's been a while!

The President: It has, hence you came to be my topmostior on the becomener.

Morgan: greatly that was 10 dates ago.

The President: Can you believe it? It's a long tempo but I cognize all the careful products you've said, and every once in a while a hit, but that's OK.

Morgan: I broadly say that you don't obedientness do sums if it's not furious.

The President: That's patriotic.

Morgan: You don't obedientness obeying to do sums, do you?

The President: That's patriotic. No I don't, if it's real. If it's imitation, I don't appetite it. I mean when they oscilvespertine you false fables, and there's so many of it in the media, but you've broadly been very inconsiderable. And you rejoin are a intelligent guy. form, you were on the becomener, and I wouldn't say you were the most native object in the intuit of the other people enjoying you, but you were the wittiest object and that had subform to do with your superiority.

Morgan: I rejoin equalize the exemplify that you said to me. This is decircumscribedly at the instant you composed me your becomener.

The President: Go topmostior.

Morgan: I don't know if you can regenitalia what you said, but you said this. You said 'Piers, you're a furious guy. I've known it. You can experiment and disagree it. You're hard, you're witty, you're impendingly brilliant... You're quantitatively not hypocritical, but you did an prodigious job, and you outdo the future state out of everysubform, and you won by far more than everyman'.

The President: That's patriotic.

Morgan: scanning your undercomprising, it formed appetite you'd booty my flashregister Mr President.

The President: greatly, it's been an curious undercomprising, and you know, often tempos they say that Hillary was a frightening testee. They never said I was a noble testee, but we won. It was a plausible gain. It was an electorate college – I assume it was appetite 223 to 306. You regenitalia that it could never be 270. I'd concord to do native commission, it would be anonymous a product. I would concord to do a native commission, but it's a many irrevespertined amiable of a athletic. I suppose it would be rejoin an lighter way of doing it, but the electorate college is careful hence it gets you into so frequent irrevespertined territories that you wouldn't see contrarily. But we had a noble undercomprising and we won, and the province is doing infrequentjoin greatly.

Morgan: Some people suppose you didn't rejoin desire to gain.

The President: greatly, that's anonymous a false fable. That's imitation topic, that was in that imitation register. But that is anonymous a false fable. I mean first of all, you know

me, and I rejoin in rechange I was going to gain hence I'd go to arenas and we'd have 25,000, 30,000 people in the arenas. We would have a agitation completeness, it was prodigious what was happening that complete two tempos of the undercomprising. And coming into the complete month I thbe due we were going to gain. We were geneacertainment weighty medreposes. My dissentient was not geneacertainment good medreposes at all. She was geneacertainment, you know, poetizers and temperamentists to grow up the arenas. They'd complete poetizing and then everysubform would cease and she was left there. So I'm divulging you, I rejoin thbe due I was going to gain, and I very many desired to gain. If I didn't gain I would have been very dissected.

Morgan: You've had an infrequent date. Whether people concord you or dissent you, it's been infrequently circumstantial. Depoverdeedding on who you talk to, you're either mofilamentg on a lot of your compacts, becomening to make America noble as you affirmed, or, and you know the other bisection of this, you're a prevailing tweeting impairing sphere who's nullifying the province and frightening the consummate fate. How do you pdirect?

The President: I pdirect not lawbreaking.

Morgan: To all of it? Or the second bit?

The President: I suppose I mixs products up. It had to be mixn up. The province had to be mixn up. We're doing infrequently on an cheap basis. monetary – merchandise junction order just hit irrevespertined new high. We've had 84 – hence the endingity – 84 new junction order highs. suppose of that. It's prodigious. It's never happened before. It's a phonograph. That in self is a phonograph. During the course of a infantine more than a date we've had – suppose of it – 84 merchandise junction order phonographs. It's going to go greater. command – nosubform's done anywhere akin appetite I've done. That's in addition a phonograph, a materiality phonograph for presidents. But what happened is, we elapsed a tax bill – not comforlamina to get. frequent people have matured to do it, they weren't eloquent to do it, and this is the noblest one we've ever elapsed, nobler than the Reagan bill. And it's had a nobler agency than everyman even thbe due. But I would in addition say that the tax cuts, mixed to the command cuts, is what composed the irrelation. And people...

Morgan: How omenificant was the Apple passage?

The President: greatly, I thbe due it was noble, but that was one passage. They're going to besiege \$350 billion, they're going to compose implants all over the order, they're going to compose a college, they're going to compose this prodigious junction order. They are infrequent. So it's a \$350 billion dressing, which I assume is impendingly the substantialest – or quantitatively accurate up there – substantialest ever composed by a assembly. So we're doing very greatly, people are coming rear into the province in combines. One product very empowering to me is Chrysler – they're be disjoining Mexico and they're going rear to Michigan. You haven't loudnessing that in a long tempo. We're doing products that nosubform thbe due unreal and the province is coming to be very very great aincrement cheapjoin. inactivity is at phonograph plebeian. womankind inactivity – 17 dates. suppose of it, 17 dates. Bdeficit inactivity – the topmostior phonograph in preterition, the preterition of our province. African American inactivity, topmostior. Hispanic inactivity, topmostior in the preterition of the province. All of the

products that I said on the undercomprising hang coming patriotic, so I'm very apt concerning it.

Morgan: A lot of people will be pious to that – a lot of people don't desire to oscilvespertine you any attribute – but a lot of that is indisputeloquent. The demand is in good outline. The inclusive demand is in good outline.

The President: And that's been comprising... a lot of the inclusive demand Piers is hence of how greatly we're doing. Most people won't admit that, but we are doing greatly, that's proaspiration all npast the sphere. That's a very good product.

Morgan: Your sustainers get discontent that a lot of the tweeting – the tweetstorms, the differences, some of the more outprovinceish products that you amusementjoin say – that they distract from that amiable absolute information. Do you admit that? Do you care?

The President: I don't suppose it's so frequent of my sustainers. I suppose a lot of other people, they use that... I don't command it tweeting, I command it corporate media. And you're a noble corporate media man by the way. you do noble with it. And I suppose you greatness concur with that testimony.

Morgan: I appetite your tweets. I appetite the amiable of disencumbered ingress to the President's obedientness in real tempo.

The President: If I wasn't eloquent to do that – I command it a fashionable day form of disclocertain, OK – if I wasn't eloquent to do that, hence I get a lot of imitation topic, I get a lot of topic that's very false, very composed up. You saw all of the fables that were amiss this date. I mean one of the fables was so amiss it combine the merchandise junction order down substantijoin and it was amiss. They've composed a lot of misinterpretations and lot of them were composed on will. If I don't have that form of disclocertain, I can't patronize identity. if I'm going to have a topic inquiry every 15 tempos...

Morgan: Do you rejoin tweet yourself?

The President: I do. I do. I in addition oscilvespertine it to people and formerly I'll have – I had one case where a law agent did a tweet, you know they'd command it. I have a man, Dan Scavino, and I have people that are with me, you know Hope [Hicks] and you know Sarah [Huckabee powderers]...

Morgan: The undamaged materiality protracts for you to lament up, on expectant.

The President: ...It's a non-uniform affairs.

Morgan: Are you rejoin erroneous in bed with your allocution loudness functioning out how to flow everysubform up?

The President: greatly, possibly formerly in bed, possibly formerly at breakspeedy or meal or wdisappetizever. But generjoin communicating during the past earliness or during the bisection-light, I can do that, but I'm very circumstantial during the day – very long hours, I'm circumstantial – and formerly I'll just break in out subform rejoin briefly and they'll oscilvespertine it to one of my people to put it up.

Morgan: There's been a few noble events in the complete period, one of which was the noble womankind's March on the first date of your debut. The #MeToo and the tempo's Up undercomprisings have rejoin recoiled with millions of womankind. And they partly hold you to attribute for a lot of affairs towards womankind. Do you have a information for them? hence we're a date on from the complete tempo there were all these gaits, a lot is happening in that tsumabsoluter of generic severity and you've known what's happened

in Hollywood and so on. What information could you oscillate these womankind who are elapsing that you're for them and not the enigma?

The President: greatly, I am for them and I suppose a lot of them imply that. You know, I won frequent territories of womankind and the womankind commission in the endingity, and people were disapproving to see it. I was flogging opposing a woman and I'm gaining all of these territories. You know that topmostior than everyman. And I suppose I would do even topmostior accurate now... In fact we've just had numerations coming out just a infantine while ago, just before I gaited into the scope that are rejoin up frighteningly. I suppose that's possibly hence of the demand, but I just said before that womankind have the topmostior inactivity subdi aspirations that they've had in 17 dates. And they're doing frighteningly in afinconsiderables, they're doing frighteningly in so frequent habits, and people are opening to see that. Now, you're broadly going to have gaits, and I assume the march was a lot weaker than it was complete date...

Morgan: But do you concur with their intrinsic creed, which are classification comparativeness and caution. When it comes to caution, womankind desire to derange cautious, veraciously in the function order. Do you omen up to that?

The President: I do, but I in addition suppose they desire to derange cautious at the edge. I suppose they don't desire people emitting into their province. I suppose they desire to see our courageous get many healthier. I mean womankind, you know, all, they desire to see power at the edge. They desire to see a great law motivation. I'm a very many law and demand object and testee when I ran. The unicopied instrumentality, the courageous – there's nosubform topmostior than me on the courageous. I've incrbated the accounts, we're going to have a accounts, \$700 billion this date. The commoners were not going to have anywhere akin that. I suppose womankind rejoin appetize that. I suppose they desire to be cautious at focus in frequent irrevspertined honors...

Morgan: A lot of the womankind I divergence to concerning this, they said it would be noble to hear the President, oscillate some of the more non-observant products that have been out there, and the way you've diverged concerning womankind, would you attribute that you had said products that possibly you wouldn't say now? That you have in addition consulted – as frequent men are obeying accurate now – that you've ccome unstucked, you've ccome unstucked as a man?

The President: greatly I suppose we have to become. If we don't become, there's subform non-existent. But I have frightening honor for womankind, and I suppose you know that very greatly hence you know me, and you've outgoing a long tempo of tempo with me. You see all of the womankind I have functioning npast me and functioning with me. frightening honor for womankind.

Morgan: You have a lot of great womankind npast you. Melania, and your party here, the crowd party. Do you identify as a female? Are you a female?

The President: No, I wouldn't say I'm a female. I suppose that would be possibly going too far. I'm for womankind, I'm for men, I'm for medley. I suppose people have to go out, they have to go out and rejoin do it and they have to gain... And womankind are doing noble. And I'm apt concerning that.

Morgan: passage to Britain. A lot of substantiality has been going on between you and my province, which has been...

The President: I suppose it's good. I suppose I'm very native in your province.

Morgan: Let's not be too puntuality Mr. President.

The President: But I believe that, I rejoin do. I get so many fan cautiousguard from people in your province. They concord my intuit of dueness. They concord what I'm communicating concerning frequent irrevespertined products. I have a noble... I own the noble changeberry and other products in your province – changeberry in Scotprovince – and I'm genemeacertainment frightening – I mean we get frightening sustain from people in the UK.

Morgan: But there are a lot of experts, chiefly the counteractance experts – Jeremy Corbyn, Labour director, Sadiq Khan, London councillor...

The President: I don't know the man, I don't know the man.

Morgan: They desire you excluded. They don't desire you to come on any amiable of goblinize, a typical goblinize, state goblinize, anyproduct.

The President: greatly, that's their enigma. I mean, if they don't, I could very carefully cease focus. But I can tell you I have a very good relation with your Prime instrument, who I just left. And I suppose she's been doing a very good job. We rejoin have a very good relation, alin rechange a lot of people suppose we don't. I sustain her. I sustain a lot of what she does and a lot of what she communicates and I sustain you warlikely very many. I mean, we will come to your counteraction if anyproduct should happen, which hopewholly will never happen. But I am a frightening sustainer of the UK. frightening sustainer. There's nosubform that believes more greatly.

Morgan: You're bisection-British accurate?

The President: greatly, my maternity was born in Scotprovince, in the Hebrides.

Morgan: That makes you bisection-British.

The President: My maternity was born in Stornoway. You know what they command that? wise Scotprovince, accurate? That's wise Scotprovince. She was born in Stornoway.

Morgan: Do you derange bisection-British?

The President: I class of do. I concord the province. I'm in Aberdeen with a frightening... I in addition have a noble affairs over in Ireprovince in Doonbeg over there. And of course changeberry... that's the Mona Lisa, one of the noble Mona Lisas of the materiality in names of athletic and ball game, and I own changeberry. And I concord the UK. I have a unimitative – possibly hence it's my maternity, who I thbe due was one of the noble people I've ever cognized...

Morgan: What would she have composed of what's happened to you, your maternity?

The President: She would have... you know she had a noble intuit of deificationful. She had a noble intuit of... She had a concord for the Queen. She had a concord for the important all. She rejoin honored the Queen. And she concorded the ostentation and conditionateity – and degree – but she concorded the ceremony. The fact is, she concorded the UK...

Morgan: She would have concorded you to get a state goblinize, accurate?

The President: greatly, she would go rear every date to Scotprovince. She just thbe due it was prodigious. You know, she came over here when she was 19, she came over to the converged territories when she was 19. She met my kinsman very... it was not a long tempo. They got combined, they were combined frequent frequent decades and they had a noble junction. You know, she was a prodigious woman, and possibly that's... and aincrement she concorded Scotprovince and possibly that's one of the sanitys that I derange the equivalent way...

Morgan: Do you derange sad that neither of your origins ever got to see their son come to be President of the converged territories?

The President: greatly, I do, but they're known me have noble success, hence I've had noble success. And you know, I've been complete even if you talk concerning, I do a produce on telecommunication. They broadly change out... very seldom does a produce come to be a noble hit appetite I had. I go into that, the real state... I've had a lot of rubs. So they got to see a lot, but there's insubstantiality appetite what happened here. I mean, the presidency is subform unimitative, but more crucially, I'm so apt with the answers, hence if you form at what's going on, and you form at our province, and you form at the way it's prospering. We're having a merchandise junction order appetite just concerning they've never had before. Ever before. We've elite up... Piers, we've elite up \$8 over one hundred in equivalence during the course of 12 tempos...

Morgan: I just desire to pick you up on one product concerning the revigorousness in Britain. hence a lot of people don't appetite you in Britain, propelled by these experts, propelled by some of the more violent products you've said. The one veracious product, hence I desire to experiment and just bate with you – you retweeted an arrangement commanded Britain First, one of the directors, three tempos. And this sanity whyd...

The President: greatly, three tempos – bang bang bang.

Morgan: This sanity whyd stalwart form abeginningation and excitation in my province, hence Britain First is intrinsicjoin congregate of racists, fascists...

The President: Of course, I didn't know that.

Morgan: greatly, that's what I desired to bate with you. What did you know concerning them when you did those tweets?

The President: greatly, I know insubstantiality concerning them, and I know insubstantiality concerning them present time other than I interpret a infantine bit, and I assume – and aincrement, I'm in the converged territories, so I don't interpret as many concerning it. possibly it was a bit fable in Britain, possibly it was a noble fable in the UK, but in the converged territories it wasn't a noble fable. I did a retweet. You know, a retweet formerly you do... When you do your own tweeting or you do your own corporate media, it's weak. When you do those retweets, they can sanity why enigmas, hence you never know who's doing it to open off with.

Morgan: The way it was accounted for – you didn't take those down retweets for plurality periods – the way it was accounted for was, you were effectively endorsing this congregate of racists, and that sanity whyd a lot of excitation.

The President: greatly, I don't know who they are. I know insubstantiality concerning them, so I wouldn't be doing that. And I am as I say often, I am the lesser racist object that everyman's going to synchronize. And quantitatively I wasn't endorsing everyman. I cognized insubstantiality concerning them. They had a, I assume, a couple of reproduceations of modifier Islamic ruffian. Radical Islamic ruffian, whether you appetite talking concerning it or not Piers, is...

Morgan: No, I'm going to come and talk concerning it.

The President: ...hence you form at what's going on, you form at what's going on in the UK, you form at what's going on all over the materiality...

Morgan: And you're absolutely accurate concerning that.

The President: So you can experiment and armor it and say...

Morgan: I'm not armoring anyproduct. I do just desire to get one product out of you.

The President: Go topmostior.

Morgan: oscilvespertined the quantity of ofpartition it sanity whyd, and oscilvespertined that you've now trivial that you didn't know who these people were – they've had their newss susppast by Twitter – do you helplessness now those retweets and do you curse you, with intellect, hadn't done it?

The President: greatly, you know, form. It was done hence I am a noble pietyist in athletic modifier Islamic ruffian. This was a reproduceation of modifier Islamic ruffian.

Morgan: They were uncertified videos. At lesser one of them was not what it resembled.

The President: But this was... I didn't do it, I didn't go out and... I did a retweet. It was a noble fable where you are, but it was not a noble fable where I am.

Morgan: I get that, I get that.

The President: So you're divulging me subform...

Morgan: This is inquiry in Britain, and I desire them to get to the real you.

The President: greatly, I tell you, the real me is person who likes Britain, who likes the UK, who likes... I concord Scotprovince. I curse... You know, one of the noblest enigmas I have in gaining – I won't be eloquent to get rear there so often. I would concord to go there, as you know before this happened, I would be there a lot. Very unimitative people and a very unimitative order. So I don't desire to sanity why any difficulty for your province, that I can tell you.

Morgan: Can I get an penitence out of you just for the retweets of Britain First?

The President: If you're divulging me they're...

Morgan: I suppose it would go a long way.

The President: Here's what's inconsiderable. If you're divulging me these are unamoperating people, unamoperating racist people, I would quantitatively recant if you'd appetize me to do that. I know insubstantiality concerning them.

Morgan: And you would negate yourself of people appetize that?

The President: I don't desire to be compascentd with people, but you're divulging me concerning these people, hence I know insubstantiality concerning these people.

Morgan: gratulate you Mr. President.

The President: gratulate you.

Morgan: It contrivance a lot to people in Britain. On the effect of interchange, you were very inciting when you met Theresa May. And Barack Obama came over to Britain just before the EU judgment and said if we commissioned to cease, we'd go to the rear of the retinue. What is your station now? Are we at the precedence of the retinue for a new interchange deal with America?

The President: So we are going to make a deal with the UK, that will be noble. As you know, somewhat made weaker. hence of Brexit you have a two date making weaker. And when that making weaker is up we're going to be your noble interchanging concomitant. It's a hard making weaker to have. You know for a couple of dates, you have very great deficit of existence eloquent to do products.

Morgan: [How come you commanded the judgment answer demandingly?]

The President: hence I know the British people and I imply the British people, and the British people desired to have demand over their province. And they don't desire to have people coming from all over the materiality into Britain and they don't know anyproduct concerning these people. And I said hence of wandering, and to a quantitative quantity

hence of interchange, but mostly wandering, I said that Brexit is going to be a noble overturning and I was accurate.

Morgan: And now we've known how it's flashed out. Do you believe that we're in a good station in Britain? A lot of people are fixed very imoperative, very form abeginningant, but intuit the President of the converged territories communicating 'There's liberality of good interchange coming from me' – that's a noble deal to people in Britain.

The President: greatly, would it be the way I make names? No, I wouldn't make names the way it was made names. But I have a lot of honor for your Prime instrument, and I suppose they're doing a job. I suppose I would have made names it irrevespertinedly. I would have had a irrevespertined affairs.

Morgan: What would you have done?

The President: I suppose I would have said that the European coition is not intervald up to what it's opined to be, and I would have contained a harder stand in genemeacertainment out... I have a lot of enigmas with the European coition.

Morgan: assent. You've done afinconsiderables with them.

The President: They're very... no, it's not a uncertainty of doing afinconsiderables. But I will tell you, reproduceing the converged territories, it's a very uninconsiderable affairs. We cannot get our numerical answer in. It's very very hard. And yet they emit their numerical answer to us – no duties imposed, very infantine duties imposed, it's very uninconsiderable. I've had a lot of enigmas with the European coition, and it may morph into subform very noble from that standplace, from a interchange standplace. The European coition has contracted the converged territories very unundimmedly when it came to interchange. They're not the inferiorly ones by the way. I could auspicate frequent countries and orders that do. But the European coition has been very very uninconsiderable to the converged territories, and I suppose it'll change out to be very many to their inutility.

Morgan: So are we precedence of the retinue? Or are we rear the French? hence we're a bit nervous concerning Emmanuel Macron who's been all over you experimenting to be your new topmostior auxiliary.

The President: No, I appetite him. He's a auxiliary of mine. Em-man-u-el! He's a noble guy, his woman is infrequent. I appetite him a lot. You know, we had meal at the top of the Eiffel edifice.

Morgan: greatly he smistakeped out the red compartment-wrapping!... My excitation with my province. We've oscilvespertined state goblinizes to Bashar al-Assad, to Robert Mugabe, to Vladimir Putin and to President Xi. So the complexity from them experimenting to ban you, is that somehow you're deteriorated than they are.

The President: greatly I hadn't loudnessing concerning excluding, but I suppose a lot of the people in your province appetite what I stand for, they honor what I stand for. And I do stand for hard vantages.

Morgan: I'm going to come to that now, but to those who don't, what do you say to them?

The President: I don't care, I don't care. It's just one of those products. I don't say anyproduct. You know why? hence I don't care.

Morgan: Let's change to dueness, ethnic dueness. You've been very hard on this. You affirmed aincrement, in an exam with Good earliness Britain, you were going to pierce ISIS great. ISIS is now out of Iraq and Syria. I mean, that's a noble double success for you, accurate?

The President: By the way, we would have never been there with the anachronistic arrangement.

Morgan: Are we gaining the war..?

The President: And I have to say this. With the demand, had the anachronistic arrangement in its own form, in a irrespertined form, or let's put it, had the commoners won – instead of existence npast 50% up, they would have been 50% down, hence the converged territories was prelude in a very bad vespertinerality. assent, we've done very greatly in Iraq, we've done very greatly in Syria, we've pierced them. But they weaveterpret. They go all over. It's not a good affairs, and nosubform knows it topmostior than the corporate combines in the UK. You've had some hard bouts.

Morgan: A lot of Muslims suppose you disappetize them. That you disappetize Muslims.

The President: No, totjoin false. No, that's false.

Morgan: Clarify what you derange concerning that.

The President: I derange concord for all people, but if a object's bad, we've got to do subform concerning it. There's got to be punishment. But I've had noble noble bond with Muslims, and I had good sustain from Muslims during my presidential run. And I suppose I have good sustain accurate now. You know, it's curious. They desire to be cautious in addition, Piers. And they appetize me hence I oscilvespertine them dueness. I have a noble relation and honor for Muslims.

Morgan: inferiorly 8 people were destroyed in American by Islamist sedition in 2017. By relation, native gun havoc destroyed at lesser 30,000. There was irrespertined congregate killing in a group this period in Kentucky – the 12th this date irrelative. Two of the defeat congregate killings in American preterition have happened on your scan, in Las Vegas and at the the Church in Texas. People will be communicating you're very hard on dueness, you desire to dgreatly Americans cautious, but if you don't do anyproduct concerning gun havoc at all, that resembles an numerical station, for somesubform who desires to dgreatly America cautious.

The President: I'm a very noble Second interpretation object, as you know very greatly. But take a form at Paris, where you have very very hard gun demands, take a form at that unamoperating smerriment that took order at the cafes where so frequent people were destroyed. And you had these bad men come in with guns. One by one for a long tempo, they just destroyed and hundreds of people cut to this day fixed in the hospitals. That was one of the defeat. And you've had frequent of them, where there are no guns subtract for the bad males. So the bad males have the guns, and if you would have had somesubform with a gun accurate here when they gaited in so that you could have had spheres going in the other vespertinerality, you wouldn't have had hundreds of people destroyed... By the way, you have frequent of those. You form at San Bernardino, California. These males gait in – the people that they cognized – they gait in and they open one by one killing them. They had no fair chance.

Morgan: But here's the irrelation...

The President: Piers, they had no fair chance.

Morgan: OK, but let me oscilvespertine you the other side of this cause. I come from a province with very few guns. We have 32 extinctions a date. In America, every day...

The President: You have a lot of sedition.

Morgan: accurate. That's irrelative to it, but on the gun effect. Let me just put this to you.

The Vegas shooter, deedhen Paddock, he bbe due 55 guns legjoin in the date ecclesiarch

to his excilamina state, including 13 AR-15 semi-automatic fire-arms, which he then proaspirationed with sgreatlying merchandises, which effectively modified them into fatalist guns, which are prohibited. And he abounded spheres down on 20,000 people in America.

The President: I regenitalia it very greatly. It was frightening.

Morgan: Why has insubstantiality been done to end somesubform doing that aincrement?

The President: We do have gun demand precepts, and this vomiting object – he was a vomitingo. I mean that's the noble enigma, there's vomiting people. If he didn't have a gun he would have had a bomb or he would have subform else.

Morgan: But he had 55 guns.

The President: The place is he would have had 55 bombs. He would have had 55 of subform else.

Morgan: Why can't you make it difficult for him?

The President: You know, it's an dissention that people have... I've loudnessing it every irrevespertined way and we can go npast this dissention. I'm a Second interpretation object. I suppose you deficit it for dueness. I suppose it would be far deteriorated. I suppose you deficit it for dueness. But aincrement, you've had so frequent irruptions where there was inferiorly a gun – a bad object's gun – going in this vespertinerality, and if you had one on the other side – so frequent. In fact, that's a very noble relevance. And if they had the spheres going in the inverted vespertinerality, you would have prefollowd a lot of feels. So I get what you're communicating, but I believe in the Second interpretation.

Morgan: Quick destroyer – agency ccome unstucke. For you, is it concerning the philosophy or is it concerning the abundance? The Paris Concords.

The President: I suppose it's concerning everyproduct and I'm a pietyist in unmixed air and unmixed fluid. The Paris Concord for us would have been a ill fortune...

Morgan: Are you wholly out of that?

The President: I'm wholly out of it.

Morgan: No way rear?

The President: There could be a way rear. First of all, it was a frightening deal for the converged territories. If they composed a good deal, appetize if they composed a good deal with TPP – you know, having to do with interchange – there's broadly a fair chance we'd get rear, but it was a frightening deal for the converged territories. It was uninconsiderable to the converged territories.

Morgan: Do you believe in agency ccome unstucke? Do you believe it is?

The President: There is a protracting and there is a summering and I mean, form – it possessed to not be agency ccome unstucke. It possessed to be inclusive cheering. accurate?

Morgan: accurate.

The President: That wasn't functioning too greatly, hence it was genemeacertainment too gainexperiment all over the order. The ice caps were going to liquefy, they were going to be past by now, but now they're print phonographs, so OK, they're at a phonograph inexcilamina. There were so frequent product happening, Piers. I'll tell you what I believe in. I believe in unmixed air. I believe in covering unmixed elegant fluid. I believe in just having good hygiene in all. Now, that existence said, if somesubform said go rear into the Paris Concord, if we could go rear into the Paris Concord, it would have to be a wholly

irreversible deal hence we had a unanimous deal, As trivial, they took utility of the converged territories. We were in a frightening deal. Would I go rear in? assent, I'd go rear in. I appetite, as you know, I appetite Emmanuel... No, no, I appetite Emmanuel, I would concord to, but it's got to be a good deal for the converged territories.

Morgan: Quick uncertainty on badge ejecting. You resembled to specify that you've had a come unstuck of obedientness concerning this, or you'd appetite the arrangement to.

The President: I come unstucked it. I didn't desire giants destroyed and substantialized and have the teeth be due rear into this. And people can talk all they desire concerning permanence and all of the products that they're communicating, where abundance goes toward – greatly abundance in that case was going to a master which was impendingly comprising the abundance, OK? I do not, I changed that demand past. That was an demand.

Morgan: I know you did. I was very pleased to see that.

The President: I changed that demand past.

Morgan: I tweeted to gratify you.

The President: Were you disapproving? Were you disapproving?

Morgan: I was surprised, but I in addition said to people you will be pious to dissent. And my enigma with all the furious free men who lie on the compartment striking at and whopping all day long concerning you, if they rejoin matured to sanity with you and dissent with you, I estimated on that you specified you'd come unstuck your obedientness and you've now said you have...

The President: That was done by a very high inexcusable master object. As betimes as I loudness concerning it, I changed it past. That equivalent day even. Not even a day went by. No, I was not believing in permanence that...

Morgan: People will concord to hear that. A uncertainty concerning your aliveness. medley was existence led to believe that you were erroneous, and physic join infrequently unapt. It changed out from your secular medical that you are 30/30 in your mental exams...

The President: Which most people are not going to do too greatly on.

Morgan: accurate. You've got to hand it to you. As you said 'eloquent identity'. Your hints.

The President: I am a eloquent identity.

Morgan: And alive. You've never had a absorb, you've never bedimmed, you've never contained poisons. We do see multitudes of spectacles of you with animators and Cokes and substantiality. It's an infrequent guide.

The President: Don't desire to come unstuck that! No, they compose that up. I eat weak affairs, I eat rejoin... some of the weakest caterers in the materiality. I eat alive affairs. I in addition have some of that affairs on amusement. formerly it makes intuit. rejoin when you're undercomprising there's a lot of intuit to it hence it's bang bang bang. But no, I suppose I eat rejoin inferiorly greatly.

Morgan: Have you got an desire to the important wedding?

The President: Have I?

Morgan: assent.

The President: Not that I know of.

Morgan: Would you appetite to go?

The President: I desire them to be apt. I rejoin desire them to be apt. They form appetite a amoperating couple.

Morgan: Meghan Markle did say you were a divisive celibate.

The President: greatly, I fixed hope they're apt.

Morgan: I'm going to make you apt, hence your son Barron is a weighty fan of my footsphere party in London, accumulation.

The President: That's patriotic!

Morgan: And we saw spectacles of him... he's an accumulation fan. We've been going thnon-uniform a non-uniform modify for a long tempo of tempo. People suppose it's tempo for a ccome unstucke of directership at my band. Barron, I'm certain, parts my manifestation, accurate? I have subform for you. I've done – this is an accumulation bodywear.

The President: He'll be so apt.

Morgan: ...'TRUMP 45?'. We're forming for a new director who has an irrupting intellect, who believes in a great avoiding fortification and believes in gaining at all costs. And inferiorly desires noble badges.

The President: greatly I suppose that's elegant. You know I have a son who does concord this athletic. He likes this athletic.

Morgan: And he likes accumulation, accurate?

The President: And it's new very frequently in the converged territories.

Morgan: Are you an accumulation fan?

The President: Not veraciously, no...

Morgan: Don't destroy the aspiration Mr. President!

The President: But I have a auxiliary who make makes Manchester converged so I know decircumscribedly what I'm going to do with it.

Morgan: Rejoin? Wow! That will destroy our unimitative relation! Anyway, that's for you, and if you do desire to come and manage accumulation, we would concord it.

The President: That's noble! That's elegant. We'll do very greatly!

Morgan: Mr. President, it's been noble to copy up with you. gratulate you very many for your tempo.

The President: gratulate you.

Twenty-seven

DONALD TRUMP UN GENERAL ASSEMBLY SPEECH SEP 25 2018
United Nations Headquarters
New York, New York
10:38 A.M. EDT

THE PRESIDENT: Madam President, Mr. Secretary-General, world leaders, ambassadors, and distinguished delegates:

One year ago, I stood before you for the first time in this grand hall. I addressed the threats facing our world, and I presented a vision to achieve a brighter future for all of humanity.

Today, I stand before the United Nations General Assembly to share the extraordinary progress we've made.

In less than two years, my administration has accomplished more than almost any administration in the history of our country.

America's — so true. (Laughter.) Didn't expect that reaction, but that's okay. (Laughter and applause.)

America's economy is booming like never before. Since my election, we've added \$10 trillion in wealth. The stock market is at an all-time high in history, and jobless claims are at a 50-year low. African American, Hispanic American, and Asian American unemployment have all achieved their lowest levels ever recorded. We've added more than 4 million new jobs, including half a million manufacturing jobs.

We have passed the biggest tax cuts and reforms in American history. We've started the construction of a major border wall, and we have greatly strengthened border security.

We have secured record funding for our military — \$700 billion this year, and \$716 billion next year. Our military will soon be more powerful than it has ever been before.

In other words, the United States is stronger, safer, and a richer country than it was when I assumed office less than two years ago.

We are standing up for America and for the American people. And we are also standing up for the world.

This is great news for our citizens and for peace-loving people everywhere. We believe that when nations respect the rights of their neighbors, and defend the interests of their people, they can better work together to secure the blessings of safety, prosperity, and peace.

Each of us here today is the emissary of a distinct culture, a rich history, and a people bound together by ties of memory, tradition, and the values that make our homelands like nowhere else on Earth.

That is why America will always choose independence and cooperation over global governance, control, and domination.

I honor the right of every nation in this room to pursue its own customs, beliefs, and traditions. The United States will not tell you how to live or work or worship.

We only ask that you honor our sovereignty in return.

From Warsaw to Brussels, to Tokyo to Singapore, it has been my highest honor to represent the United States abroad. I have forged close relationships and friendships and

strong partnerships with the leaders of many nations in this room, and our approach has already yielded incredible change.

With support from many countries here today, we have engaged with North Korea to replace the specter of conflict with a bold and new push for peace.

In June, I traveled to Singapore to meet face to face with North Korea's leader, Chairman Kim Jong Un.

We had highly productive conversations and meetings, and we agreed that it was in both countries' interest to pursue the denuclearization of the Korean Peninsula. Since that meeting, we have already seen a number of encouraging measures that few could have imagined only a short time ago.

The missiles and rockets are no longer flying in every direction. Nuclear testing has stopped. Some military facilities are already being dismantled. Our hostages have been released. And as promised, the remains of our fallen heroes are being returned home to lay at rest in American soil.

I would like to thank Chairman Kim for his courage and for the steps he has taken, though much work remains to be done. The sanctions will stay in place until denuclearization occurs.

I also want to thank the many member states who helped us reach this moment — a moment that is actually far greater than people would understand; far greater — but for also their support and the critical support that we will all need going forward.

A special thanks to President Moon of South Korea, Prime Minister Abe of Japan, and President Xi of China.

In the Middle East, our new approach is also yielding great strides and very historic change.

Following my trip to Saudi Arabia last year, the Gulf countries opened a new center to target terrorist financing. They are enforcing new sanctions, working with us to identify and track terrorist networks, and taking more responsibility for fighting terrorism and extremism in their own region.

The UAE, Saudi Arabia, and Qatar have pledged billions of dollars to aid the people of Syria and Yemen. And they are pursuing multiple avenues to ending Yemen's horrible, horrific civil war.

Ultimately, it is up to the nations of the region to decide what kind of future they want for themselves and their children.

For that reason, the United States is working with the Gulf Cooperation Council, Jordan, and Egypt to establish a regional strategic alliance so that Middle Eastern nations can advance prosperity, stability, and security across their home region.

Thanks to the United States military and our partnership with many of your nations, I am pleased to report that the bloodthirsty killers known as ISIS have been driven out from the territory they once held in Iraq and Syria. We will continue to work with friends and allies to deny radical Islamic terrorists any funding, territory or support, or any means of infiltrating our borders.

The ongoing tragedy in Syria is heartbreaking. Our shared goals must be the de-escalation of military conflict, along with a political solution that honors the will of the Syrian people. In this vein, we urge the United Nations-led peace process be reinvigorated. But, rest assured, the United States will respond if chemical weapons are deployed by the Assad regime.

I commend the people of Jordan and other neighboring countries for hosting refugees from this very brutal civil war.

As we see in Jordan, the most compassionate policy is to place refugees as close to their homes as possible to ease their eventual return to be part of the rebuilding process. This approach also stretches finite resources to help far more people, increasing the impact of every dollar spent.

Every solution to the humanitarian crisis in Syria must also include a strategy to address the brutal regime that has fueled and financed it: the corrupt dictatorship in Iran.

Iran's leaders sow chaos, death, and destruction. They do not respect their neighbors or borders, or the sovereign rights of nations. Instead, Iran's leaders plunder the nation's resources to enrich themselves and to spread mayhem across the Middle East and far beyond.

The Iranian people are rightly outraged that their leaders have embezzled billions of dollars from Iran's treasury, seized valuable portions of the economy, and looted the people's religious endowments, all to line their own pockets and send their proxies to wage war. Not good.

Iran's neighbors have paid a heavy toll for the region's [regime's] agenda of aggression and expansion. That is why so many countries in the Middle East strongly supported my decision to withdraw the United States from the horrible 2015 Iran Nuclear Deal and re-impose nuclear sanctions.

The Iran deal was a windfall for Iran's leaders. In the years since the deal was reached, Iran's military budget grew nearly 40 percent. The dictatorship used the funds to build nuclear-capable missiles, increase internal repression, finance terrorism, and fund havoc and slaughter in Syria and Yemen.

The United States has launched a campaign of economic pressure to deny the regime the funds it needs to advance its bloody agenda. Last month, we began re-imposing hard-hitting nuclear sanctions that had been lifted under the Iran deal. Additional sanctions will resume November 5th, and more will follow. And we're working with countries that import Iranian crude oil to cut their purchases substantially.

We cannot allow the world's leading sponsor of terrorism to possess the planet's most dangerous weapons. We cannot allow a regime that chants "Death to America," and that threatens Israel with annihilation, to possess the means to deliver a nuclear warhead to any city on Earth. Just can't do it.

We ask all nations to isolate Iran's regime as long as its aggression continues. And we ask all nations to support Iran's people as they struggle to reclaim their religious and righteous destiny.

This year, we also took another significant step forward in the Middle East. In recognition of every sovereign state to determine its own capital, I moved the U.S. Embassy in Israel to Jerusalem.

The United States is committed to a future of peace and stability in the region, including peace between the Israelis and the Palestinians. That aim is advanced, not harmed, by acknowledging the obvious facts.

America's policy of principled realism means we will not be held hostage to old dogmas, discredited ideologies, and so-called experts who have been proven wrong over the years, time and time again. This is true not only in matters of peace, but in matters of prosperity.

We believe that trade must be fair and reciprocal. The United States will not be taken advantage of any longer.

For decades, the United States opened its economy — the largest, by far, on Earth — with few conditions. We allowed foreign goods from all over the world to flow freely across our borders.

Yet, other countries did not grant us fair and reciprocal access to their markets in return. Even worse, some countries abused their openness to dump their products, subsidize their goods, target our industries, and manipulate their currencies to gain unfair advantage over our country. As a result, our trade deficit ballooned to nearly \$800 billion a year.

For this reason, we are systematically renegotiating broken and bad trade deals.

Last month, we announced a groundbreaking U.S.-Mexico trade agreement. And just yesterday, I stood with President Moon to announce the successful completion of the brand new U.S.-Korea trade deal. And this is just the beginning.

Many nations in this hall will agree that the world trading system is in dire need of change. For example, countries were admitted to the World Trade Organization that violate every single principle on which the organization is based. While the United States and many other nations play by the rules, these countries use government-run industrial planning and state-owned enterprises to rig the system in their favor. They engage in relentless product dumping, forced technology transfer, and the theft of intellectual property.

The United States lost over 3 million manufacturing jobs, nearly a quarter of all steel jobs, and 60,000 factories after China joined the WTO. And we have racked up \$13 trillion in trade deficits over the last two decades.

But those days are over. We will no longer tolerate such abuse. We will not allow our workers to be victimized, our companies to be cheated, and our wealth to be plundered and transferred. America will never apologize for protecting its citizens.

The United States has just announced tariffs on another \$200 billion in Chinese-made goods for a total, so far, of \$250 billion. I have great respect and affection for my friend, President Xi, but I have made clear our trade imbalance is just not acceptable. China's market distortions and the way they deal cannot be tolerated.

As my administration has demonstrated, America will always act in our national interest. I spoke before this body last year and warned that the U.N. Human Rights Council had become a grave embarrassment to this institution, shielding egregious human rights abusers while bashing America and its many friends.

Our Ambassador to the United Nations, Nikki Haley, laid out a clear agenda for reform, but despite reported and repeated warnings, no action at all was taken.

So the United States took the only responsible course: We withdrew from the Human Rights Council, and we will not return until real reform is enacted.

For similar reasons, the United States will provide no support in recognition to the International Criminal Court. As far as America is concerned, the ICC has no jurisdiction, no legitimacy, and no authority. The ICC claims near-universal jurisdiction over the citizens of every country, violating all principles of justice, fairness, and due process. We will never surrender America's sovereignty to an unelected, unaccountable, global bureaucracy.

America is governed by Americans. We reject the ideology of globalism, and we embrace the doctrine of patriotism.

Around the world, responsible nations must defend against threats to sovereignty not just from global governance, but also from other, new forms of coercion and domination. In America, we believe strongly in energy security for ourselves and for our allies. We have become the largest energy producer anywhere on the face of the Earth.

The United States stands ready to export our abundant, affordable supply of oil, clean coal, and natural gas.

OPEC and OPEC nations, are, as usual, ripping off the rest of the world, and I don't like it. Nobody should like it. We defend many of these nations for nothing, and then they take advantage of us by giving us high oil prices. Not good.

We want them to stop raising prices, we want them to start lowering prices, and they must contribute substantially to military protection from now on. We are not going to put up with it — these horrible prices — much longer.

Reliance on a single foreign supplier can leave a nation vulnerable to extortion and intimidation. That is why we congratulate European states, such as Poland, for leading the construction of a Baltic pipeline so that nations are not dependent on Russia to meet their energy needs. Germany will become totally dependent on Russian energy if it does not immediately change course.

Here in the Western Hemisphere, we are committed to maintaining our independence from the encroachment of expansionist foreign powers.

It has been the formal policy of our country since President Monroe that we reject the interference of foreign nations in this hemisphere and in our own affairs. The United States has recently strengthened our laws to better screen foreign investments in our country for national security threats, and we welcome cooperation with countries in this region and around the world that wish to do the same. You need to do it for your own protection.

The United States is also working with partners in Latin America to confront threats to sovereignty from uncontrolled migration. Tolerance for human struggling and human smuggling and trafficking is not humane. It's a horrible thing that's going on, at levels that nobody has ever seen before. It's very, very cruel.

Illegal immigration funds criminal networks, ruthless gangs, and the flow of deadly drugs. Illegal immigration exploits vulnerable populations, hurts hardworking citizens, and has produced a vicious cycle of crime, violence, and poverty. Only by upholding national borders, destroying criminal gangs, can we break this cycle and establish a real foundation for prosperity.

We recognize the right of every nation in this room to set its own immigration policy in accordance with its national interests, just as we ask other countries to respect our own right to do the same — which we are doing. That is one reason the United States will not participate in the new Global Compact on Migration. Migration should not be governed by an international body unaccountable to our own citizens.

Ultimately, the only long-term solution to the migration crisis is to help people build more hopeful futures in their home countries. Make their countries great again.

Currently, we are witnessing a human tragedy, as an example, in Venezuela. More than 2 million people have fled the anguish inflicted by the socialist Maduro regime and its Cuban sponsors.

Not long ago, Venezuela was one of the richest countries on Earth. Today, socialism has bankrupted the oil-rich nation and driven its people into abject poverty.

Virtually everywhere socialism or communism has been tried, it has produced suffering, corruption, and decay. Socialism's thirst for power leads to expansion, incursion, and oppression. All nations of the world should resist socialism and the misery that it brings to everyone.

In that spirit, we ask the nations gathered here to join us in calling for the restoration of democracy in Venezuela. Today, we are announcing additional sanctions against the repressive regime, targeting Maduro's inner circle and close advisors.

We are grateful for all the work the United Nations does around the world to help people build better lives for themselves and their families.

The United States is the world's largest giver in the world, by far, of foreign aid. But few give anything to us. That is why we are taking a hard look at U.S. foreign assistance. That will be headed up by Secretary of State Mike Pompeo. We will examine what is working, what is not working, and whether the countries who receive our dollars and our protection also have our interests at heart.

Moving forward, we are only going to give foreign aid to those who respect us and, frankly, are our friends. And we expect other countries to pay their fair share for the cost of their defense.

The United States is committed to making the United Nations more effective and accountable. I have said many times that the United Nations has unlimited potential. As part of our reform effort, I have told our negotiators that the United States will not pay more than 25 percent of the U.N. peacekeeping budget. This will encourage other countries to step up, get involved, and also share in this very large burden.

And we are working to shift more of our funding from assessed contributions to voluntary so that we can target American resources to the programs with the best record of success.

Only when each of us does our part and contributes our share can we realize the U.N.'s highest aspirations. We must pursue peace without fear, hope without despair, and security without apology.

Looking around this hall where so much history has transpired, we think of the many before us who have come here to address the challenges of their nations and of their times. And our thoughts turn to the same question that ran through all their speeches and resolutions, through every word and every hope. It is the question of what kind of world will we leave for our children and what kind of nations they will inherit.

The dreams that fill this hall today are as diverse as the people who have stood at this podium, and as varied as the countries represented right here in this body are. It really is something. It really is great, great history.

There is India, a free society over a billion people, successfully lifting countless millions out of poverty and into the middle class.

There is Saudi Arabia, where King Salman and the Crown Prince are pursuing bold new reforms.

There is Israel, proudly celebrating its 70th anniversary as a thriving democracy in the Holy Land.

In Poland, a great people are standing up for their independence, their security, and their sovereignty.

Many countries are pursuing their own unique visions, building their own hopeful futures, and chasing their own wonderful dreams of destiny, of legacy, and of a home.

The whole world is richer, humanity is better, because of this beautiful constellation of nations, each very special, each very unique, and each shining brightly in its part of the world.

In each one, we see awesome promise of a people bound together by a shared past and working toward a common future.

As for Americans, we know what kind of future we want for ourselves. We know what kind of a nation America must always be.

In America, we believe in the majesty of freedom and the dignity of the individual. We believe in self-government and the rule of law. And we prize the culture that sustains our liberty — a culture built on strong families, deep faith, and fierce independence. We celebrate our heroes, we treasure our traditions, and above all, we love our country.

Inside everyone in this great chamber today, and everyone listening all around the globe, there is the heart of a patriot that feels the same powerful love for your nation, the same intense loyalty to your homeland.

The passion that burns in the hearts of patriots and the souls of nations has inspired reform and revolution, sacrifice and selflessness, scientific breakthroughs, and magnificent works of art.

Our task is not to erase it, but to embrace it. To build with it. To draw on its ancient wisdom. And to find within it the will to make our nations greater, our regions safer, and the world better.

To unleash this incredible potential in our people, we must defend the foundations that make it all possible. Sovereign and independent nations are the only vehicle where freedom has ever survived, democracy has ever endured, or peace has ever prospered.

And so we must protect our sovereignty and our cherished independence above all.

When we do, we will find new avenues for cooperation unfolding before us. We will find new passion for peacemaking rising within us. We will find new purpose, new resolve, and new spirit flourishing all around us, and making this a more beautiful world in which to live.

So together, let us choose a future of patriotism, prosperity, and pride. Let us choose peace and freedom over domination and defeat. And let us come here to this place to stand for our people and their nations, forever strong, forever sovereign, forever just, and forever thankful for the grace and the goodness and the glory of God.

Thank you. God bless you. And God bless the nations of the world.

Thank you very much. Thank you. (Applause.)

END

11:13 A.M. EDT

Twenty-eight

DONALD TRUMP UN broad joining allocution SEP 25 2018
converged Nations beginningsunders
New York, New York
10:38 A.M. EDT

THE PRESIDENT: Madam President, Mr. deputy-broad, materiality directers, jumbleengers, and differentiated agents:
One date ago, I stood before you for the first tempo in this topping edifice. I imppast the intentions akin our materiality, and I produceed a aspiration to cause a colorlessr uncognized for all of manamiable.
present time, I stand before the converged Nations broad joining to part the infrequent elapse we've composed.
In less than two dates, my arrangement has sdestroyful more than npast any arrangement in the preterition of our province.
America's — so patriotic. (merriment.) Didn't form abeginning that revigorousness, but that's okay. (merriment and assent.)
America's demand is banging appetite never before. hence my endingity, we've mixed \$10 over one hundred in abundance. The merchandise junction order is at an all-tempo high in preterition, and jobenefit requirements are at a 50-date low. African American, Hispanic American, and Asian American inactivity have all capossessed their most vulgar inexcilaminas ever phonographed. We've mixed more than 4 million new functions, including bisection a million causation functions.
We have elapsed the noblest tax cuts and reuniformities in American preterition. We've opened the comstation of a major edge fortification, and we have nobly empowered edge dueness.
We have promised phonograph wealproduct for our courageous — \$700 billion this date, and \$716 billion sequent date. Our courageous will betempos be more eloquent than it has ever been before.
In other hints, the converged territories is healthier, cautiousr, and a funnier province than it was when I accounted for chamber less than two dates ago.
We are fixed up for America and for the American people. And we are in addition fixed up for the materiality.
This is noble topic for our natives and for euphoria-loving people widely. We believe that when nations honor the freedom of their friends, and patronize the curiosities of their people, they can topmostior function with to promise the benefitings of caution, flourishing, and euphoria.
Each of us here present time is the jumbleenger of a different breed merchandise, a funny preterition, and a people bate with by ties of famousness, narrative, and the equivalences that make our focpossessions appetite absent else on Earth.
That is why America will broadly choose non-imitation and combination over inclusive management, demand, and topmostiority.
I importance the accurate of every nation in this scope to desire its own narratives, assumptions, and narratives. The converged territories will not tell you how to feel or function or deification.

We inferiorly requirement that you importance our sovegovernancety in circuition. From slaughtersaw to Brussels, to Tokyo to Singapore, it has been my topmost importance to reproduce the converged territories afar. I have copied cbe defeated bond and auxiliariacarriers and great concomitantcarriers with the directers of frequent nations in this scope, and our impend has at produce conformed prodigious ccome unstucke. With sustain from frequent countries here present time, we have entered with North Korea to reorder the visual fallacy of conflict with a salient and new haste for euphoria. In June, I motioned to Singapore to synchronize prepose to prepose with North Korea's director, topmostior Kim Jong Un.

We had highly prolific allocutions and junctions, and we concurred that it was in both countries' curiosity to desire the denuunmixedization of the Korean projection. hence that junction, we have at produce known a subdiaspiration of inciting finite quantities that few could have opined inferiorly a incomplete tempo ago.

The propulsives and missile are no more lasting transient in every vespertinerality. Nuunmixed inquiring has ended. Some courageous contrivance are at produce existence unproaspirationed. Our prisoners have been relbated. And as compacted, the ceases of our unchaste doers are existence circuited focus to lay at rest in American blemish. I would appetite to gratulate topmostior Kim for his melody and for the deeds he has contained, in rechange many function ceases to be done. The compulsions will cease in order while denuunmixedization happens.

I in addition desire to gratulate the frequent genitalia territories who concurred us ability this instant — a instant that is rejoin far nobler than people would imply; far nobler — but for in addition their sustain and the circumstantial sustain that we will all deficit going early.

A unimitative gratulates to President Moon of South Korea, Prime instrument Abe of Japan, and President Xi of China.

In the interim East, our new impend is in addition conforming noble gaits and very olden ccome unstucke.

doing appetizewise my mistake to Saudi wandereria complete date, the entrance countries broaded a new essence to objective revolter lending. They are motivating new compulsions, functioning with us to identify and direction revolter textures, and comprising more liability for athletic sedition and modifyism in their own province. The UAE, Saudi wandereria, and Qatar have oathd billions of coinages to aid the people of Syria and Yemen. And they are desiring plural outlets to overdeedping Yemen's unamoperating, horrific civil war.

endingly, it is up to the nations of the province to dedisencumber what amiable of uncognized they desire for themselves and their descendants.

For that sanity, the converged territories is functioning with the entrance combination Council, Jordan, and Egypt to auspicate a provincial planned consanguinity so that interim Eastern nations can promote flourishing, stagency, and dueness obliquely their focus province.

gratulates to the converged territories courageous and our concomitanship with frequent of your nations, I am plbated to news that the affairsdry destroyers cognized as ISIS have been puntuality out from the polity they once held in Iraq and Syria. We will continue to function with auxiliaries and bond to exclude modifier Islamic revolters any wealproduct, polity or sustain, or any contrivance of pervading our vantages.

The ongoing stage play in Syria is essentially demolishing. Our parted completenesses must be the de-escalation of courageous conflict, longwise with a governmental remedy that importances the will of the Syrian people. In this filament, we animate the converged Nations-led euphoria way be reinvigorated. But, rest composed quantitative, the converged territories will answer if experimental contrivances are ordered by the Assad circumform.

I advise the people of Jordan and other being near countries for hosting escapers from this very violent civil war.

As we see in Jordan, the most lenient sagacity is to order escapers as cbe defeated to their focuss as unreal to bate their unrecognized circuitation to be part of the recomstation way.

This impend in addition elasticities circumscribed contrivances to help far more people, increasing the agency of every coinage outgoing.

Every remedy to the philathropic juncture in Syria must in addition make mad a policy to communicate to the violent circumform that has propelled and contrivanced it: the deteriorate despotism in Iran.

Iran's directers sow non-uniformity, extinction, and nullification. They do not honor their friends or vantages, or the sovegovernance freedom of nations. Instead, Iran's directers rob the nation's contrivances to decorate themselves and to weaveterpret mayhem obliquely the interim East and far beyond.

The Iranian people are duly improbityd that their directers have defrauded billions of coinages from Iran's accumulation, halt equivalenceloquent proaspirations of the demand, and impoverished the people's observant heredities, all to genealogy their own treasuries and emit their substitutes to employ war. Not good.

Iran's friends have gainful a substantial levy for the province's [circumform's] topic of irrupt and improvement. That is why so frequent countries in the interim East greatly sustained my vigour to withcompose the converged territories from the unamoperating 2015 Iran Nuunmixed Deal and re-compel nuunmixed compulsions.

The Iran deal was a flowdecrease for Iran's directers. In the dates hence the deal was enabled, Iran's courageous accounts grew npast 40 ratio. The despotism possessed the abundances to compose nuunmixed-capeloquent propulsives, incrbate intrinsic recrowdion, contrivance sedition, and abundance disdemand and smerriment in Syria and Yemen.

The converged territories has initiated a undercomprising of cheap crisis to exclude the circumform the abundances it deficits to promote its affairsy topic. complete month, we began re-imposing great-hitting nuunmixed compulsions that had been drew under the Iran deal. extrinsic compulsions will abstract November 5th, and more will do appetizewise. And we're functioning with countries that admit Iranian incomplete oil to cut their pivots substantijoin.

We cannot permit the materiality's directing witness of sedition to make mad the fate's most dexcitationous contrivances. We cannot permit a circumform that repetition "extinction to America," and that intends Israel with nullification, to make mad the contrivance to move a nuunmixed warbeginning to any city on Earth. Just can't do it.

We requirement all nations to set apart Iran's circumform as long as its irrupt continues. And we requirement all nations to sustain Iran's people as they undertake to redemand their observant and virtuous endingity.

This date, we in addition took irreversibly omenific deed early in the interim East. In assent of every sovereign state to determine its own capital, I passed the U.S. abode in Israel to Jerusalem.

The converged territories is committed to a unrecognized of euphoria and stageness in the province, including euphoria between the Israelis and the Palestinians. That aim is topmost, not impaired, by acknowledging the greatly-known realities.

America's sagacity of command accuracy contrivance we will not be held prisoner to old certainties, discredited ideologies, and so-commanded cognizings who have been demonstrated amiss over the dates, tempo and tempo increment. This is patriotic not inferiorly in interpretations of euphoria, but in interpretations of flourishing.

We believe that interchange must be inconsiderable and retaliatory. The converged territories will not be contained utility of any more lasting.

For decades, the converged territories broadened its demand — the substantialest, by far, on Earth — with few territories. We permitted incomparative savors from all over the materiality to elapse disfoldedly obliquely our advantages.

Yet, other countries did not moderate us inconsiderable and retaliatory ingress to their junction orders in circuitry. Even deteriorated, some countries ill-contracted their broadness to rubbish their numerical answers, aid their savors, objective our assiduousness, and manipulate their monies to increment unconsiderable utility over our province. As an answer, our interchange incompletecoming greatly to past \$800 billion a date.

For this sanity, we are arrangement to join reoverseeing non-uniform and bad interchange deals.

complete month, we demanded a policy demolishing U.S.-Mexico interchange uniformity. And just formerly, I stood with President Moon to demand the complete sequel of the blemish new U.S.-Korea interchange deal. And this is just the becoming. frequent nations in this edifice will concur that the materiality interchanging arrangement is in dire deficit of come unstuck. For relevance, countries were confess to the materiality interchange Organization that violates every one command on which the organization is based. While the converged territories and frequent other nations flash by the creed, these countries use master-run business to prototype and state-make made vigorousness to rig the arrangement in their advantage. They join in resolute numerical answer storing, deranged mechanics move, and the acquisition of instructed characteristic.

The converged territories lost over 3 million causation functions, past a sunder of all resolution functions, and 60,000 function shops pursuant to China entered the WTO. And we have distorted up \$13 over one hundred in interchange incompletecomings over the complete two decades.

But those days are over. We will no more lasting acquiesce anonymous misuse. We will not permit our functioners to be seized, our assemblages to be duped, and our abundance to be impoverished and moved. America will never recant for accompanying its natives.

The converged territories has just demanded restrictions on irreversibly \$200 billion in Chinese-composed savors for a all, so far, of \$250 billion. I have noble honor and moral sensibility for my auxiliary, President Xi, but I have composed unmixed our

interchange inecharacter is just not admiteloquent. China's junction order visual decreaseacies and the way they deal cannot be acquiesced.

As my arrangement has accounted for, America will broadly act in our ethnic curiosity. I divergence before this subform complete date and prepared that the U.N. animal freedom Council had come to be a wise embarrassment to this practice, armoring unconformable animal freedom ill-contracters while striking America and its frequent auxiliaries.

Our jumbleenger to the converged Nations, Nikki Haley, born out a unmixed topic for modify, but alin rechange reported and repeated omens, no vigorousness at all was contained.

So the converged territories took the inferiorly adult course: We withdrew from the animal freedom Council, and we will not circuition while real modify is produceed. For uniform sanitys, the converged territories will foresee no sustain in assent to the Interethnic offender council. As far as America is nervous, the ICC has no authority, no legality, and no nobleness. The ICC requirements akin-universal authority over the natives of every province, violanguageg all creed of indifference, beauty, and due way. We will never relinquish America's sovegovernancety to an unelected, unaccounteloquent, inclusive management.

America is demanded by Americans. We leavings the ideology of inclusiveness, and we converge with the creed of benefactorism.

npast the materiality, adult nations must patronize opposing intentions to sovegovernancety not just from inclusive management, but in addition from other, new uniformities of brute derange and topmostiority.

In America, we believe greatly in greatness dueness for mankind and for our bond. We have come to be the substantialest greatness causer anywhere on the prepose of the Earth. The converged territories places impending to moveence our plentiful, foreseeeloquent provide of oil, unmixed propellant, and substantial gas.

OPEC and OPEC nations, are, as trivial, rending off the rest of the materiality, and I don't appetite it. Nosubform should appetite it. We patronize frequent of these nations for insubstantiality, and then they take utility of us by giving us high oil goodnesses. Not good.

We desire them to end augmenting goodnesses, we desire them to open vulgarizing goodnesses, and they must concur substantijoin to courageous accompaniment from now on. We are not going to put up with it — these unamoperating goodnesses — many more lasting.

expectation on a one ircomparative provider can cease a nation vulnereloquent to compulsion and dissuasion. That is why we gratuvespertine European territories, anonymous as Poprovince, for directing the comstation of a Baltic pipegenealogy so that nations are not inferior on Russia to synchronize their greatness deficits. Gerfrequent will come to be totjoin inferior on Russian greatness if it does not instantaneously ccome unstucke course.

Here in the Western part, we are committed to sustaining our non-imitation from the elapseion of militarist ircomparative greatnesses.

It has been the conditionate sagacity of our province hence President Monroe that we leavings the radiation of ircomparative nations in this part and in our own afinconsiderables. The converged territories has secularly empowered our precepts to

topmostior canopy ircomparative dressings in our province for ethnic dueness intentions, and we courteous act combination with countries in this province and npast the materiality that curse to do the equivalent. You deficit to do it for your own accompaniment.

The converged territories is in addition functioning with concomitants in language America to compare intentions to sovegovernancety from uninmusical piece departure. patience for animal essaying and animal intromitting and conveying is not educational. It's a unamoperating product that's going on, at inexcilaminas that nosubform has ever known before. It's very, very violent.

prohibited wandering abundances offender textures, pitiless bands, and the elapse of unbornly poisons. prohibited wandering possessions vulnereloquent habitancies, weakens greatfunctioning natives, and has capossessed a furious recurrence of disobedience, havoc, and feebleness. inferiorly by upfarm ethnic vantages, nullifying offender bands, can we demolish this recurrence and auspicate a real comstation for flourishing.

We consent the accurate of every nation in this scope to set its own wandering sagacity in Concordance with its ethnic curiosities, just as we requirement other countries to honor our own accurate to do the equivalent — which we are doing. That is one sanity the converged territories will not associate in the new inclusive weak on departure. departure should not be demanded by an interethnic subform unaccounteloquent to our own natives.

endingly, the inferiorly lasting remedy to the departure juncture is to help people compose more expectant uncognizeds in their focus countries. Make their countries noble aincrement.

broadly, we are informing a animal stage play, as an relevance, in Venezuela. More than 2 million people have escaped the evil inflicted by the corporatist Maduro circumform and its Cuban witnesses.

Not long ago, Venezuela was one of the funniest countries on Earth. present time, corporatism has come to be indeciphernt the oil-funny nation and puntuality its people into servile feebleness.

Virtujoin widely corporatism or communism has been matured, it has capossessed feeling pain, feter, and decrbate. corporatism's dryness for greatness directs to improvement, ingress, and severity. All nations of the materiality should counteract corporatism and the adversity that it carries to medley.

In that spirit, we requirement the nations entered here to join us in authoritative for the equalization of commonalty in Venezuela. present time, we are profatiguing extrinsic compulsions opposing the recrowdive circumform, objectifying Maduro's interior all and cbe defeated sages.

We are amoperating for all the function the converged Nations does npast the materiality to help people compose topmostior feels for themselves and their all.

The converged territories is the materiality's substantialest oscilvespertiner in the materiality, by far, of ircomparative aid. But few oscilvespertine anyproduct to us. That is why we are comprising a great form at U.S. ircomparative instrumentality. That will be steer for up by deputy of State Mike ostentationeo. We will scan what is functioning, what is not functioning, and whether the countries who take our coinages and our accompaniment in addition have our curiosities at essence.

passageing early, we are inferiorly going to oscilvespertine ircomparative aid to those who honor us and, undisguisedly, are our auxiliaries. And we form abeginning other countries to pay their inconsiderable part for the cost of their counteraction.

The converged territories is committed to making the converged Nations more effective and accounteloquent. I have said frequent tempos that the converged Nations has unconditional intrinsic. As part of our modify greatness, I have told our conomenees that the converged territories will not pay more than 25 ratio of the U.N.

euphoriatutelanguageg accounts. This will enmelody other countries to deed up, get compascentd, and in addition part in this very substantial load.

And we are functioning to passage more of our wealproduct from appaugmented concurrances to musical piece so that we can objective American contrivances to the plans with the topmostior phonograph of success.

inferiorly when each of us does our part and concurs our part can we materialize the U.N.'s topmost pronunciations. We must desire euphoria around doubt, hope around sorrow, and dueness around penitence.

forming npast this edifice where so many preterition has emerged, we suppose of the frequent before us who have come here to communicate to the uncertaintys of their nations and of their tempos. And our thbe dues change to the equivalent uncertainty that ran thnon-uniform all their allocutions and melodies, thnon-uniform every hint and every hope. It is the uncertainty of what amiable of materiality will we cease for our descendants and what amiable of nations they will recause.

The aspirations that grow this edifice present time are as disuniformity as the people who have stood at this seat, and as mixed as the countries reproduceed accurate here in this subform are. It rejoin is subform. It rejoin is noble, noble preterition.

There is India, a disjoin society over a billion people, successwholly dragaing multitudinous millions out of feebleness and into the interim degree.

There is Saudi wandereria, where King Salman and the vertex Prince are desiring salient new reuniformities.

There is Israel, defiantly rejoicing its 70th date as a prospering commonalty in the deificationful province.

In Poprovince, a noble people are fixed up for their non-imitation, their dueness, and their sovegovernancety.

frequent countries are desiring their own special aspirations, comstation their own expectant uncognizeds, and desiring their own inexpectationful aspirations of endingity, of product moved, and of a focus.

The undamaged materiality is funnier, manamiable is topmostior, hence of this elegant combineing of nations, each very unimitative, each very special, and each having repute brilliantly in its part of the materiality.

In each one, we see faccurateening compact of a people bate with by a parted past and functioning toward a inferior uncognized.

As for Americans, we know what amiable of uncognized we desire for mankind. We know what amiable of a nation America must broadly be.

In America, we believe in the greatness of disjunction and the elegance of the unimitative. We believe in self-master and the command of law. And we badge the breed merchandise that supports our fair chance — a breed merchandise composed on great all,

noble belief, and active non-imitation. We rejoice our doers, we treacertain our narratives, and aloft all, we concord our province.

contents medley in this noble chamber present time, and medley obeying all npast the sphere, there is the essence of a benefactor that derangees the equivalent eloquent concord for your nation, the equivalent florid probity to your focus.

The vigor that unimitativeties in the essences of benefactors and the essences of nations has intuititve modify and rotation, offering and disinterestedness, educational demolishthnon-uniforms, and orauspicatentation functions of art.

Our trequirement is not to destroy it, but to converge with it. To compose with it. To compose on its preceding erudition. And to extra inside it the will to make our nations nobler, our provinces cautiousr, and the materiality topmostior.

To liberate this prodigious intrinsic in our people, we must patronize the comstations that make it all unreal. Sovegovernance and ininferior nations are the inferiorly conveyance where disjunction has ever outlasted, commonalty has ever completed, or euphoria has ever flourished. And so we must accompany our sovegovernancety and our formed after non-imitation aloft all.

When we do, we will extra new outlets for combination becoming before us. We will extra new vigor for euphoriamaaking ascending inside us. We will extra new will, new liquefy, and new spirit coiling all npast us, and making this a more elegant materiality in which to feel.

So with, let us choose a uncognized of benefactorism, flourishing, and unsociability. Let us choose euphoria and disjunction over topmostiority and ruin. And let us come here to this order to stand for our people and their nations, forever great, forever sovegovernance, forever just, and forever grateful for the style and the savoriness and the light of the Deity.

gratulate you. the Deity benefit you. And the Deity benefit the nations of the materiality. gratulate you very many. gratulate you. (assent.)

END

11:13 A.M. EDT

Works Cited

Speeches from The American Presidency Project, <https://www.presidency.ucsb.edu>.

Find and Replace, <http://findandreplace.sourceforge.net>.

Orwell, George. *Politics and the English Language*. London: Penguin, 2013.

Roget, Peter M. *Roget's Thesaurus*. Edited by Robert A. Dutch. New York, NY: St. Martin's Press, 1965.