

# A non-local problem with integral conditions for hyperbolic equations \*

L. S. Pulkina

## Abstract

A linear second-order hyperbolic equation with forcing and integral constraints on the solution is converted to a non-local hyperbolic problem. Using the Riesz representation theorem and the Schauder fixed point theorem, we prove the existence and uniqueness of a generalized solution.

## 1 Introduction

Certain problems arising in: plasma physics [1], heat conduction [2, 3], dynamics of ground waters [4, 5], thermo-elasticity [6], can be reduced to the non-local problems with integral conditions. The above-mentioned papers consider problems with parabolic equations. However, some problems concerning the dynamics of ground waters are described in terms of hyperbolic equations [4]. Motivated by this, we study the equation

$$Lu \equiv u_{xy} + A(x, y)u_x + B(x, y)u_y + C(x, y)u = f(x, y) \quad (1)$$

with smooth coefficients in the rectangular domain

$$D = \{(x, y) : 0 < x < a, 0 < y < b\},$$

bounded by the characteristics of equation (1), with the conditions

$$\int_0^\alpha u(x, y) dx = \psi(y), \quad \int_0^\beta u(x, y) dy = \phi(x). \quad (2)$$

where  $\phi(x)$ ,  $\psi(y)$  are given functions and  $0 < \alpha < a, 0 < \beta < b$ . The special case  $\alpha = a, \beta = b$  is considered by author in [7]. The consistency condition assumes the form

$$\int_0^\alpha \phi(x) dx = \int_0^\beta \psi(y) dy.$$

---

\* 1991 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35L99, 35D05.

Key words and phrases: Non-local problem, generalized solution.

©1999 Southwest Texas State University and University of North Texas.

Submitted July 29, 1999. Published November 15, 1999.

## 2 A problem for a loaded equation

Since the integral conditions (2) are not homogeneous, we construct a function  $K(x, y) = \frac{1}{\alpha}\psi(y) + \frac{1}{\beta}\phi(x) - \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_0^\alpha \phi(x) dx$ , satisfying the conditions (2), and introduce a new unknown function  $\bar{u}(x, y) = u(x, y) - K(x, y)$ . Then (1) is converted into a similar equation  $L\bar{u} = \bar{f}$ , where  $\bar{f} = f - LK$ , while the corresponding integral data are now homogeneous. Now we construct another function

$$M(x, y) = \frac{1}{a} \int_\alpha^a \bar{u}(x, y) dx + \frac{1}{b} \int_\beta^b \bar{u}(x, y) dy - \frac{1}{ab} \int_\beta^b \int_\alpha^a \bar{u}(x, y) dx dy,$$

which satisfies the conditions

$$\int_0^a M(x, y) dx = \int_\alpha^a \bar{u}(x, y) dx, \quad \int_0^b M(x, y) dy = \int_\beta^b \bar{u}(x, y) dy.$$

Let  $\bar{u}(x, y) = w(x, y) + M(x, y)$ , where  $w(x, y)$  satisfies a differential equation to be determined. To find the form of this equation, we consider the previous equality as an integral equation with respect to  $\bar{u}$

$$\bar{u}(x, y) - \frac{1}{a} \int_\alpha^a \bar{u}(x, y) dx - \frac{1}{b} \int_\beta^b \bar{u}(x, y) dy + \frac{1}{ab} \int_\beta^b \int_\alpha^a \bar{u}(x, y) dx dy = w(x, y). \quad (3)$$

It is not difficult to show that

$$\bar{u}(x, y) = w(x, y) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_\alpha^a w(x, y) dx + \frac{1}{\beta} \int_\beta^b w(x, y) dy + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_\beta^b \int_\alpha^a w(x, y) dx dy. \quad (4)$$

If we substitute (4) into the left-hand side of the equation  $L\bar{u} = \bar{f}$ , then we obtain the so called loaded equation with respect to  $w(x, y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}w \equiv w_{xy} + A(w + \frac{1}{\beta} \int_\beta^b w(x, y) dy)_x + B(w + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_\alpha^a w(x, y) dx)_y \\ + C(w + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_\alpha^a w(x, y) dx + \frac{1}{\beta} \int_\beta^b w(x, y) dy \\ + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_\beta^b \int_\alpha^a w(x, y) dx dy) = \bar{f}(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and integral conditions

$$\int_0^a w(x, y) dx = 0, \quad \int_0^b w(x, y) dy = 0. \quad (6)$$

## 3 Generalized solution

Define the function  $S$  by

$$Sw = A(w + \frac{1}{\beta} \int_\beta^b w dy)_x + B(w + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_\alpha^a w dx)_y$$

$$+C(w + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\alpha}^a w dx + \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\beta}^b w dy + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_{\beta}^b \int_{\alpha}^a w dx dy)$$

and  $F(x, y, Sw) = \bar{f}(x, y) - Sw$ . Then (5) can be assumed to have the form

$$w_{xy} = F(x, y, Sw).$$

We introduce the function space

$$V = \{w : w \in C^1(\bar{D}), \exists w_{xy} \in C(\bar{D}), \int_0^a w dx = \int_0^b w dy = 0\}.$$

The completion of this space, with respect to the norm

$$\|w\|_1^2 = \int_0^b \int_0^a (w^2 + w_x^2 + w_y^2) dx dy$$

is denoted by  $\tilde{H}^1(D)$ . Notice that  $\tilde{H}^1(D)$  is Hilbert space with

$$(w, v)_1 = \int_0^b \int_0^a (wv + w_x v_x + w_y v_y) dx dy.$$

For  $v \in \tilde{H}^1$  define the operator  $l$  by

$$lv \equiv \int_0^y v_x(x, \tau) d\tau + \int_0^x v_y(t, y) dt - \int_0^y \int_0^x v(t, \tau) dt d\tau.$$

Consider the scalar product  $(w_{xy}, lv)_{L_2}$ . Employing integration by parts and taking account of  $w \in V, v \in \tilde{H}^1$ , we can see that  $(w_{xy}, v)_{L_2} = (w, v)_1$ .

**Definition.** A function  $w \in \tilde{H}^1(D)$  is called a generalized solution of the problem (5)-(6), if  $(w, v)_1 = (F(x, y, Sw), lv)_{L_2}$  for every  $v \in \tilde{H}^1(D)$ .

## 4 Subsidiary problem

Consider the problem with integral conditions (6) for the equation

$$w_{xy} = F(x, y).$$

**Theorem 1** Let  $F(x, y) \in L_2(D)$ . Then there exists one and only one generalized solution  $w_0$  of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} w_{xy} &= F(x, y) \\ \int_0^a w dx &= 0, \quad \int_0^b w dy = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where for some positive constant  $c_1$ ,

$$c_1 \|w_0\|_1 \leq \|F\|_{L_2}. \quad (7)$$

**Proof.** For  $F(x, y) \in L_2(D)$ ,  $\Psi(v) = (F, lv)_{L_2}$  is a bounded linear functional on  $\tilde{H}^1(D)$ . Indeed,

$$|(F, lv)| \leq \|F\|_{L_2} \|lv\|_{L_2} \leq 3 \max\{a^2, b^2, a^2b^2\} \|F\|_{L_2} \|v\|_1.$$

Thus by the Riesz-representation theorem there exists a unique  $w_0 \in \tilde{H}^1(D)$  such that  $\Psi(v) = (F, lv)_{L_2} = (w_0, v)_1$ . Hence  $(w, v)_1 = (w_0, v)_1$  for every  $v \in \tilde{H}^1(D)$ , i.e.,  $w_0$  is generalized solution. Letting  $\frac{1}{c_1} = 3 \max\{a^2, b^2, a^2b^2\}$ , we obtain inequality (7).  $\diamond$

**Lemma 1** Operator  $S : \tilde{H}^1 \rightarrow L_2$  is bounded, that is, there exists a positive constant  $c_2$  such that  $\|Sw\|_{L_2} \leq c_2 \|w\|_1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $|A(x, y)| \leq A_0$ ,  $|B(x, y)| \leq B_0$ , and  $|C(x, y)| \leq C_0$ . Then  $Sw = A\bar{u}_x + B\bar{u}_y + C\bar{u}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Sw\|_{L_2}^2 &= \int_0^b \int_0^a (A\bar{u}_x + B\bar{u}_y + C\bar{u})^2 dx dy \\ &\leq 3(A_0^2 \|\bar{u}_x\|_{L_2}^2 + B_0^2 \|\bar{u}_y\|_{L_2}^2 + C_0^2 \|\bar{u}\|_{L_2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now by straightforward calculation, using the inequality  $2ab \leq a^2 + b^2$ , and Hölder's inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{u}\|_{L_2}^2 &\leq c_3 \|w\|_{L_2}^2, \\ \text{with } c_3 &= 4 \left( 1 + \frac{(a-\alpha)a}{\alpha^2} + \frac{(b-\beta)b}{\beta^2} + \frac{(b-\beta)(a-\alpha)ab}{\alpha^2\beta^2} \right); \\ \|\bar{u}_x\|_{L_2}^2 &\leq c_4 \|w_x\|_{L_2}^2, \text{ with } c_4 = 2 \left( 1 + \frac{(b-\beta)b}{\beta^2} \right); \\ \|\bar{u}_y\|_{L_2}^2 &\leq c_5 \|w_y\|_{L_2}^2, \text{ with } c_5 = 2 \left( 1 + \frac{(a-\alpha)a}{\alpha^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|Sw\|_{L_2}^2 \leq c_2 \|w\|_1^2$ , where  $c_2 = 3 \max\{A_0^2 c_4, B_0^2 c_5, C_0^2 c_3\}$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Sw\|_{L_2}^2 &\leq 3(A_0^2 c_4 \|w_x\|_{L_2}^2 + B_0^2 c_5 \|w_y\|_{L_2}^2 + C_0^2 c_3 \|w\|_{L_2}^2) \\ &\leq c_2 (\|w_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|w_y\|_{L_2}^2 + \|w\|_{L_2}^2) \\ &= c_2 \|w\|_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

$\diamond$

As  $S$  is linear  $S(\sqrt{2}\lambda w) = \sqrt{2}\lambda S(w)$  for arbitrary  $\lambda$ . Let  $\lambda > \frac{1}{c_1}$ , and let

$$S_\lambda(w) = S(\sqrt{2}\lambda w).$$

**Theorem 2** If  $\bar{f}(x, y) \in L_2(D)$  and  $|\bar{f}(x, y)| \leq \frac{P}{\sqrt{2}}$ , then there exists at least one generalized solution  $w_0 \in \tilde{H}^1(D)$  to problem (5)-(6), where  $\|w_0\|_1^2 \leq \frac{P^2}{\eta^2}$ , with  $\eta^2 = c_1^2 - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$ . Furthermore, the solution is uniquely determined, if  $c_2 < c_1$ .

**Proof.** Consider the closed ball

$$W = \{S_\lambda \omega : S_\lambda \omega \in L_2(D), \|S_\lambda \omega\|_{L_2}^2 \leq \frac{P^2 ab}{\eta^2}\}.$$

Then

$$|F(x, y, S\omega)| \leq |\bar{f}(x, y)| + \sqrt{\frac{c_1^2 - \eta^2}{2}} |S_\lambda \omega|,$$

and for all  $S_\lambda \omega \in W$  we have

$$\|F(x, y, S\omega)\|^2 \leq \frac{c_1^2 P^2 ab}{\eta^2}.$$

From Theorem 1 there exists a unique generalized solution of the problem

$$w_{xy} = F(x, y, S\omega), \int_0^a w(x, y) dx = 0, \int_0^b w(x, y) dy = 0$$

so that  $(w, v)_1 = (F, lv)_{L_2}$  and  $\|w\|_1^2 \leq \frac{1}{c_1^2} \|F\|^2 \leq \frac{P^2 ab}{\eta^2}$ . Define an operator  $T : S\omega \in W \rightarrow w = TS\omega \in \tilde{H}^1(D)$ ,  $T(W) \subset W$ . Notice that  $T$  is a continuous operator. To see this, let  $(S\omega)_n, (S\omega)_0 \in W$  and  $\|(S\omega)_n - (S\omega)_0\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for  $w_n = T(S\omega)_n, w_0 = T(S\omega)_0$  we have

$$(w_n - w_0, v) = (F(x, y, (S\omega)_n) - F(x, y, (S\omega)_0), lv)_{L_2} = ((S\omega)_n - (S\omega)_0, lv)_{L_2}.$$

Now from Theorem 1

$$\|w_n - w_0\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{c_1} \|(S\omega)_n - (S\omega)_0\|_{L_2} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore,  $T$  is a compact operator. In order to show this, we take a sequence  $\{(S\omega)_n\} \subset W$ , that is  $\|(S\omega)_n\|_{L_2}^2 \leq \frac{P^2 ab}{\eta^2}$ . For  $w_n = T(S\omega)_n$  we have  $\|w_n\|^2 \leq \frac{P^2 ab}{\eta^2}$ , so a sequence  $\{w_n\}$  is bounded in  $\tilde{H}^1(D)$ , therefore there exists a subsequence weakly convergent in  $\tilde{H}^1(D)$ . Since any bounded set in  $\tilde{H}^1$  is compact in  $L_2$ , then there exists a subsequence, which we again denote by  $\{w_n\}$ , strongly convergent in  $L_2(D)$  to  $w_0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now  $w_0$  satisfies the inequality  $\|w_0\|_{L_2}^2 \leq P^2 ab/\eta^2$ . As  $S$  is a bounded operator,  $T$  is completely continuous and so  $TS$  is completely continuous. Thus from Schauder's fixed-point theorem there exists at least one  $w_0 \in W$  such that  $w_0 = TS w_0$  and

$$(w_0, v)_1 = (F(x, y, S w_0), lv)_{L_2}$$

for all  $v \in \tilde{H}^1(D)$ .

Assume that  $w_1, w_2$  are distinct generalized solutions, then

$$(w_1 - w_2, v)_1 = (F(x, y, S w_1) - F(x, y, S w_2), lv)_{L_2}.$$

From (7) and Lemma 1 we have

$$\|w_1 - w_2\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{c_1} \|S w_1 - S w_2\|_{L_2} \leq \frac{c_2}{c_1} \|w_1 - w_2\|_1.$$

Thus, if  $c_2 < c_1$  then it gives a contradiction; therefore,  $w_1 = w_2$ .

## References

- [1] Samarskii A.A., Some problems in the modern theory of differential equations, *Differentsialnie Uravnenia*, 16 (1980), 1221-1228.
- [2] Cannon J.R., The solution of the heat equation subject to the specification of energy, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 21 (1963), 155-160.
- [3] Ionkin N.I., Solution of boundary-value problem in heat-conduction theory with nonclassical boundary condition, *Differentsialnie Uravnenia*, 13 (1977), 1177-1182.
- [4] Nakhushev A.M., On certain approximate method for boundary-value problems for differential equations and its applications in ground waters dynamics, *Differentsialnie Uravnenia*, 18 (1982), 72-81.
- [5] Vodakhova V.A., A boundary-value problem with Nakhushev non-local condition for certain pseudo-parabolic water-transfer equation, *Differentsialnie Uravnenia*, 18 (1982), 280-285.
- [6] Muravei L.A., Philinovskii A.V., On certain non-local boundary- value problem for hyperbolic equation, *Matem. Zametki*, 54 (1993), 98-116.
- [7] Pulkina L., A non-local problem for hyperbolic equation, *Abstracts of Short Communications and Poster Sessions, ICM-1998, Berlin*, p.217.

LUDMILA S. PULKINA  
Department of Mathematics  
Samara State University  
443011, 1, Ac.Pavlov st.  
Samara, Russia.  
e-mail: pulkina@ssu.samara.ru & louise@valhalla.hippo.ru