

## AN EXISTENCE RESULT FOR HEMIVARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

ZSUZSÁNNA DÁLYAY & CSABA VARGA

ABSTRACT. We present a general method for obtaining solutions for an abstract class of hemivariational inequalities. This result extends many results to the nonsmooth case. Our proof is based on a nonsmooth version of the Mountain Pass Theorem with Palais-Smale or with Cerami compactness condition. We also use the Principle of Symmetric Criticality for locally Lipschitz functions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real, separable, reflexive Banach space, and let  $(X^*, \|\cdot\|_*)$  be its dual. Also assume that the inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow L^l(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuous with the embedding constants  $C(l)$ , where  $l \in [p, p^*]$  ( $p \geq 2, p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ ). Let us denote by  $\|\cdot\|_l$  the norm of  $L^l(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Let  $A : X \rightarrow X^*$  be a potential operator with the potential  $a : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $a$  is Gâteaux differentiable and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{a(u + tv) - a(u)}{t} = \langle A(u), v \rangle,$$

for every  $u, v \in X$ . Here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality pairing between  $X^*$  and  $X$ . For a potential we always assume that  $a(0) = 0$ . We suppose that  $A : X \rightarrow X^*$  satisfies the following properties:

- $A$  is hemicontinuous, i.e.  $A$  is continuous on line segments in  $X$  and  $X^*$  equipped with the weak topology.
- $A$  is homogeneous of degree  $p - 1$ , i.e. for every  $u \in X$  and  $t > 0$  we have  $A(tu) = t^{p-1}A(u)$ . Consequently, for a homogeneous hemicontinuous operator of degree  $p - 1$ , we have  $a(u) = \frac{1}{p}\langle A(u), u \rangle$ .
- $A : X \rightarrow X^*$  is a strongly monotone operator, i.e. there exists a function  $\kappa : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which is positive on  $(0, \infty)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \kappa(t) = \infty$  and such that for all  $u, v \in X$ ,

$$\langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle \geq \kappa(\|u - v\|)\|u - v\|.$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35J60, 35H30.

*Key words and phrases.* Variational methods, discontinuous nonlinearities, principle of symmetric criticality.

©2004 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted November 17, 2003. Published March 16, 2004.

Z. Dályai was partially supported by contract HPRN-CT-1999-00118 from the EU.

C. Varga was partially supported by contract HPRN-CT-1999-00118 from the EU, and by the Research Center of Sapientia Foundation.

In this paper we suppose that the operator  $A : X \rightarrow X^*$  is a potential, hemicontinuous, strongly monotone operator, homogeneous of degree  $p - 1$ .

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function which satisfies the following growth condition:

- (F1)  $|f(x, s)| \leq c(|s|^{p-1} + |s|^{r-1})$ , for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$   
 (F1') The embeddings  $X \hookrightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are compact ( $p < r < p^*$ ).

Let  $F : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined by

$$F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s) ds, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.1)$$

For a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and for every  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have:

$$|F(x, u) - F(x, v)| \leq c_1 |u - v| (|u|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1} + |u|^{r-1} + |v|^{r-1}), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $c_1$  is a constant which depends only of  $u$  and  $v$ . Therefore, the function  $F(x, \cdot)$  is locally Lipschitz and we can define the partial Clarke derivative, i.e.

$$F_2^0(x, u; w) = \limsup_{y \rightarrow u, t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(x, y + tw) - F(x, y)}{t}, \quad (1.3)$$

for every  $u, w \in \mathbb{R}$  and for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now, we formulate the hemivariational inequality problem that will be studied in this paper:

*Find  $u \in X$  such that*

$$\langle Au, v \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_2^0(x, u(x); -v(x)) dx \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in X. \quad (1.4)$$

When the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, the problem (1.4) is reduced to the problem:

*Find  $u \in X$  such that*

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u(x))v(x) dx, \quad \forall v \in X. \quad (1.5)$$

Such problems have been studied by many authors, see [1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 19, 20].

To study the existence of solutions of the problem (1.4) we introduce the functional  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\Psi(u) = a(u) - \Phi(u)$ , where  $a(u) = \frac{1}{p} \langle Au, u \rangle$  and  $\Phi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u(x)) dx$ . From Proposition 5.1 we will see that the critical points of the functional  $\Psi$  are the solutions of the problem (1.4). Therefore it is enough to study the existence of critical points of the functional  $\Psi$ . Considering such a problem is motivated by the works of Clarke [8], D. Motreanu and P.D. Panagiotopoulos [22] and by the recent book of D. Motreanu and V. Rădulescu [23], where several applications are given.

To study the existence of the critical point of the function  $\Psi$  is necessary to impose some condition on function  $f$ :

- (F2) There exists  $\alpha > p$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, \frac{\kappa(1)(\alpha-p)}{C^p(p)}]$  and a continuous function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , such that for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\alpha F(x, u) + F_2^0(x, u; -u) \leq g(u), \quad (1.6)$$

where  $\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} g(u)/|u|^p = \lambda$ .

(F2') There exists  $\alpha \in (\max\{p, p^* \frac{r-p}{p^*-p}\}, p^*)$  and a constant  $C > 0$  such that for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$-C|u|^\alpha \geq F(x, u) + \frac{1}{p}F_2^0(x, u; -u). \quad (1.7)$$

Next, we impose further assumptions on  $f$ . First we define two functions by

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{f}(x, s) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \operatorname{ess\,inf}\{f(x, t) : |t - s| < \delta\}, \\ \overline{f}(x, s) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \operatorname{ess\,sup}\{f(x, t) : |t - s| < \delta\}, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . It is clear that the function  $\underline{f}(x, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous and  $\overline{f}(x, \cdot)$  is upper semicontinuous. The following hypothesis on  $f$  was introduced by Chang [7].

(F3) The functions  $\underline{f}, \overline{f}$  are  $N$ -measurable, i.e. for every measurable function  $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the functions  $x \mapsto \underline{f}(x, u(x)), x \mapsto \overline{f}(x, u(x))$  are measurable.

(F4) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $c(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$|f(x, s)| \leq \varepsilon|s|^{p-1} + c(\varepsilon)|s|^{r-1}.$$

(F5) For the  $\alpha \in (p, p^*)$  from condition (F2), there exists a  $c^* > 0$  such that for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$F(x, u) \geq c^*(|u|^\alpha - |u|^p).$$

**Remark 1.1.** We observe that if we impose the following condition on  $f$ ,

$$(F4') \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \operatorname{ess\,sup}\left\{\frac{|f(x, s)|}{|s|^p} : (x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\right\} = 0,$$

then this condition with (F1) imply (F4).

The main result of this paper can be formulated in the following manner.

**Theorem 1.2.** (1) *If conditions (F1), (F1'), and (F2)–(F5) hold, then problem (1.4) has a nontrivial solution.*

(2) *If conditions (F1), (F1'), (F2'), (F3), and (F4) hold, then problem (1.4) has a nontrivial solution.*

Let  $G$  be the compact topological group  $O(N)$  or a subgroup of  $O(N)$ . We suppose that  $G$  acts continuously and linear isometric on the Banach space  $X$ . We denote by

$$X^G = \{u \in H : gx = x \text{ for all } g \in G\}$$

the fixed point set of the action  $G$  on  $X$ . It is well known that  $X^G$  is a closed subspace of  $X$ . We suppose that the potential  $a : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the operator  $A : X \rightarrow X^*$  is  $G$ -invariant and the next condition for the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  holds:

(F6) For a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and for every  $g \in G, s \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $f(gx, s) = f(x, s)$ .

In several applications the condition (F1') is replaced by the condition

(F1'') The embeddings  $X^G \hookrightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are compact ( $p < r < p^*$ ).

Now, using the Principle of Symmetric Criticality for locally Lipschitz functions, proved by Krawciewicz and Marzantowicz [14], from the above theorem we obtain the following corollary, which is very useful in the applications.

**Corollary 1.3.** *We suppose that the potential  $a : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $G$ -invariant and (F6) is satisfied. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (a) If (F1), (F1''), and (F2)–(F5) are fulfilled, then problem (1.4) has a nontrivial solution.
- (b) If (F1), (F1'), (F2'), (F3), and (F4) are fulfilled, then problem (1.4) has a nontrivial solution.

Next, we give an example of a discontinuous function  $f$  for which the problem (1.4) has a nontrivial solution.

**Example.** Let  $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a sequence with  $a_0 = 0, a_n > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is convergent and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n > 1$ . We introduce the following notation

$$A_n := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k, A := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k.$$

With these notations we have  $A > 1$  and  $A_n = A_{n-1} + a_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(s) = s|s|^{p-2}(|s|^{r-p} + A_n)$ , for all  $s \in (-n-1, -n] \cup [n, n+1), n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $r > p > 2$ . The function  $f$  defined above satisfies the properties (F1), (F2'), (F3), and (F4). The discontinuity set of  $f$  is  $\mathcal{D}_f = \mathbb{Z}^* = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . It is easy to see that the function  $f$  satisfies the conditions (F1) and (F4'), therefore (F4). Let  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined by  $F(u) = \int_0^u f(s)ds$  with  $u \in [n, n+1)$ , when  $n \geq 1$ . Because  $F(u) = F(-u)$ , it is sufficient to consider the case  $u > 0$ . We have  $F(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_k^{k+1} f(s)ds + \int_n^u f(s)ds$ . Therefore, for  $F(u) = \frac{1}{r}u^r + \frac{1}{p}A_n u^p - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k k^p$ , for every  $u \in [n, n+1]$ . It is easy to see that  $F^0(u; -u) = -u f(u)$  for every  $u \in (n, n+1]$ . i.e.  $F^0(u, -u) = -u^r - A_n u^p$ . Thus,

$$F(u) + \frac{1}{p}F^0(u, -u) = -\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right)u^r - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k k^p \leq -\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right)u^r.$$

If we choose  $C = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}, \alpha = r > 2$ , the condition (F2') is fulfilled.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, some facts about locally Lipschitz functions are given; In Section 3 a key inequality is proved; in Section 4 the Palais-Smale and Cerami condition is verified for the function  $\Psi$ ; in Section 5 we prove Theorem 2 and in the last section we give some concrete applications.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND PREPARATORY RESULTS

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real Banach space and  $(X^*, \|\cdot\|_*)$  its dual. Let  $U \subset X$  be an open set. A function  $\Psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called locally Lipschitz function if each point  $u \in U$  possesses a neighborhood  $N_u$  of  $u$  and a constant  $K > 0$  which depends on  $N_u$  such that

$$|f(u_1) - f(u_2)| \leq K\|u_1 - u_2\|, \quad \forall u_1, u_2 \in N_u.$$

The generalized directional derivative of a locally Lipschitz function  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in  $u \in U$  in the direction  $v \in X$  is defined by

$$\Psi^0(u; v) = \limsup_{w \rightarrow u, t \searrow 0} \frac{1}{t}(\Psi(w + tv) - \Psi(w)).$$

It is easy to verify that  $\Psi^0(u; -v) = (-\Psi)^0(u; v)$  for every  $u \in U$  and  $v \in X$ .

The generalized gradient of  $\Psi$  in  $u \in X$  is defined as being the subset of  $X^*$  such that

$$\partial\Psi(u) = \{z \in X^* : \langle z, v \rangle \leq \Psi^0(u; v), \forall v \in X\},$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the duality pairing between  $X^*$  and  $X$ . The subset  $\partial\Psi(u) \subset X^*$  is nonempty, convex and  $w^*$ -compact and we have

$$\Psi^0(u; v) = \max\{\langle z, v \rangle : z \in \partial\Psi(u)\}, \quad \forall v \in X.$$

If  $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are two locally Lipschitz functions, then

$$(\Psi_1 + \Psi_2)^0(u; v) \leq \Psi_1^0(u; v) + \Psi_2^0(u; v)$$

for every  $u \in U$  and  $v \in X$ . We define the function  $\lambda_\Psi(u) = \inf\{\|x^*\|_* : x^* \in \Psi(u)\}$ . This function is lower semicontinuous and this infimum is attained, because  $\partial\Psi(u)$  is  $w^*$ -compact. A point  $u \in X$  is a critical point of  $\Psi$ , if  $\lambda_\Psi(u) = 0$ , which is equivalent with  $\Psi^0(u; v) \geq 0$  for every  $v \in X$ . For a real number  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  we denote by

$$K_c = \{u \in X : \lambda_\Psi(u) = 0, \Psi(u) = c\}.$$

**Remark 2.1.** If  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is locally Lipschitz and we take  $u \in X$  and  $\mu > 0$ , the next two assertions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\Psi^0(u, v) + \mu\|v\| \geq 0$ , for all  $v \in X$ ;
- (b)  $\lambda_\Psi(u) \leq \mu$ .

Now, we define the following terms.

- (i)  $\Psi$  satisfies the  $(PS)$ -condition at level  $c$  (in short,  $(PS)_c$ ) if every sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  such that  $\Psi(x_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $\lambda_\Psi(x_n) \rightarrow 0$  has a convergent subsequence.
- (ii)  $\Psi$  satisfies the  $(CPS)$ -condition at level  $c$  (in short,  $(CPS)_c$ ) if every sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  such that  $\Psi(x_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $(1 + \|x_n\|)\lambda_\Psi(x_n) \rightarrow 0$  has a convergent subsequence.

It is clear that  $(PS)_c$  implies  $(CPS)_c$ .

Now, we consider a globally Lipschitz function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\varphi(x) \geq 1$ , for all  $x \in X$  (or, generally,  $\varphi(x) \geq \alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ). We say that

- (iii)  $\Psi$  satisfies the  $(\varphi - PS)$ -condition at level  $c$  (in short,  $(\varphi - PS)_c$ ) if every sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  such that  $\Psi(x_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $\varphi(x_n)\lambda_\Psi(x_n) \rightarrow 0$  has a convergent subsequence.

The compactness  $(\varphi - PS)_c$ -condition in (iii) contains the assertions (i) and (ii) in the sense that if  $\varphi \equiv 1$  we get the  $(PS)_c$ -condition and if  $\varphi(x) = 1 + \|x\|$  we have the  $(C)_c$ -condition.

In the next we use the following version of the Mountain Pass Theorem, see Kristály-Motreanu-Varga [17], which contains the classical result of Chang [7] and Kourogenis-Papageorgiu [16].

**Proposition 2.2** (Mountain Pass Theorem). *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a locally Lipschitz function with  $\Psi(0) \leq 0$  and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a globally Lipschitz function such that  $\varphi(x) \geq 1$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $x_1 \in X$  and constants  $\rho, \alpha > 0$  such that*

- (i)  $\Psi(x) \geq \alpha$ ,  $\forall x \in X$  with  $\|x\| = \rho$
- (ii)  $\|x_1\| > \rho$  and  $\Psi(x_1) < \alpha$
- (iii) The function  $\Psi$  satisfies the  $(\varphi - PS)_c$ -condition, where

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \Psi(\gamma(t)),$$

with  $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = x_1\}$ .

Then the minimax value  $c$  in (iii) is a critical value of  $\Psi$ , i.e.  $K_c$  is nonempty, and, in addition,  $c \geq \alpha$ .

Let  $G$  be a compact topological group which acts linear isometrically on the real Banach space  $X$ , i.e. the action  $G \times X \rightarrow X$  is continuous and for every  $g \in G$ ,  $g : X \rightarrow X$  is a linear isometry. The action on  $X$  induces an action of the same type on the dual space  $X^*$  defined by  $(gx^*)(x) = x^*(gx)$ , for all  $g \in G$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ . Since

$$\|gx^*\|_* = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |(gx^*)(x)| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |x^*(gx)|,$$

the isometry assumption for the action of  $G$  implies

$$\|gx^*\|_* = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |x^*(x)| = \|x^*\|_*, \quad \forall x^* \in X^*, \quad g \in G.$$

We suppose that  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a locally Lipschitz and  $G$ -invariant function, i.e.,  $\Psi(gx) = \Psi(x)$  for every  $g \in G$  and  $x \in X$ . From Krawcewicz-Marzantowicz [10] we have the relation

$$g\partial\Psi(x) = \partial\Psi(gx) = \partial\Psi(x), \quad \text{for every } g \in G \text{ and } x \in X.$$

Therefore, the subset  $\partial\Psi(x) \subset X^*$  is  $G$ -invariant, so the function  $\lambda_\Psi(x) = \inf_{w \in \partial\Psi(x)} \|w\|_*$ ,  $x \in X$ , is  $G$ -invariant. The fixed points set of the action  $G$ , i.e.  $X^G = \{x \in X \mid gx = x \forall g \in G\}$  is a closed linear subspace of  $X$ .

We conclude this section with the Principle of Symmetric Criticality, first proved by Palais [24] for differentiable functions and for locally Lipschitz proved by Krawcewicz and Marzantowicz [14].

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $G$ -invariant locally Lipschitz function and  $u \in X^G$  a fixed point. Then  $u \in X^G$  is a critical point of  $\Psi$  if and only if  $u$  is a critical point of  $\Psi^G = \psi|_{X^G} : X^G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .*

### 3. SOME BASIC LEMMAS

Define the function  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\Phi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u(x)) dx, \quad \forall u \in X, \quad (3.1)$$

where the function  $F$  is defined in (1.1).

**Remark 3.1.** The following two results are true for the general growth condition  $(f_1)$ , but it is sufficient to prove them in the case when the function  $f$  satisfies the growth condition  $|f(x, s)| \leq c|u|^{p-1}$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$ . For simplicity we denote  $h(u) = c|u|^{p-1}$  and in the next two results we use only that the function  $h$  is monotone increasing, convex and  $h(0) = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *The function  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by  $\Phi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u(x)) dx$  is locally Lipschitz on bounded sets of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $u, v \in X$ , with  $\|u\|, \|v\| < r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi(u) - \Phi(v)\| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(x, u(x)) - F(x, v(x))| dx \\ & \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - v(x)| [h(|u(x)|) + h(|v(x)|)] \\ & \leq c_2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - v(x)|^p \right)^{1/p} \left[ \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (h(|u(x)|)^{p'} dx \right)^{1/p'} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (h(|v(x)|)^{p'} dx \right)^{1/p'} \right] \\ & \leq c_2 \|u - v\|_p [\|h(|u|)\|_{p'} + \|h(|v|)\|_{p'}] \\ & \leq C(u, v) \|u - v\|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$  and we used the Hölder inequality, the subadditivity of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{p'}$  and the fact that the inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuous. We observe that  $C(u, v)$  is a constant which depends only of  $u$  and  $v$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *If condition (F1) holds, then for every  $u, v \in X$ , then*

$$\Phi^0(u; v) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_2^0(x, u(x); v(x)) dx. \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the proposition for the function  $f$ , which satisfies only the growth condition  $|f(x, s)| \leq c|u|^{p-1}$  from Remark 3.1. Let us fix the elements  $u, v \in X$ . The function  $F(x, \cdot)$  is locally Lipschitz and therefore continuous. Thus  $F_2^0(x, u(x); v(x))$  can be expressed as the upper limit of  $(F(x, y + tv(x)) - F(x, y))/t$ , where  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  takes rational values and  $y \rightarrow u(x)$  takes values in a countable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, the map  $x \rightarrow F_2^0(x, u(x); v(x))$  is measurable as the “countable limsup” of measurable functions in  $x$ . From condition (F1) we get that the function  $x \rightarrow F_2^0(x, u(x); v(x))$  is from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Using the fact that the Banach space  $X$  is separable, there exists a sequence  $w_n \in X$  with  $\|w_n - u\| \rightarrow 0$  and a real number sequence  $t_n \rightarrow 0^+$ , such that

$$\Phi^0(u, v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(w_n + t_n v) - \Phi(w_n)}{t_n}. \quad (3.3)$$

Since the inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuous, we get  $\|w_n - u\|_p \rightarrow 0$ . Using [6, Theorem IV.9], there exists a subsequence of  $(w_n)$  denoted in the same way, such that  $w_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$  a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Now, let  $\varphi_n : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be the function defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n(x) = & - \frac{F(x, w_n(x) + t_n v(x)) - F(x, w_n(x))}{t_n} \\ & + c_1 |v(x)| [h(|w_n(x) + t_n v(x)|) + h(|w_n(x)|)]. \end{aligned}$$

We see that the the functions  $\varphi_n$  are measurable and non-negative. If we apply Fatou’s lemma, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(x) dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(x) dx.$$

This inequality is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [-\varphi_n(x)] dx \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [-\varphi_n(x)] dx. \quad (3.4)$$

For simplicity in the calculus we introduce the following notation:

- (i)  $\varphi_n^1(x) = \frac{F(x, w_n(x) + t_n v(x)) - F(x, w_n(x))}{t_n}$ ;  
(ii)  $\varphi_n^2(x) = c_1 |v(x)| [h(|w_n(x) + t_n v(x)|) + h(|w_n(x)|)]$ .

With these notation, we have  $\varphi_n(x) = -\varphi_n^1(x) + \varphi_n^2(x)$ .

Now we prove the existence of limit  $b = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n^2(x) dx$ . Using the facts that the inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuous and  $\|w_n - u\| \rightarrow 0$ , we get  $\|w_n - u\|_p \rightarrow 0$ . Using [6, Theorem IV.9], there exist a positive function  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , such that  $|w_n(x)| \leq g(x)$  a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Considering that the function  $h$  is monotone increasing, we get

$$|\varphi_n^2(x)| \leq c_1 |v(x)| [h(g(x) + |v(x)|) + h(g(x))], \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Moreover,  $\varphi_n^2(x) \rightarrow 2c_1 |v(x)| h(|u(x)|)$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Thus, using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$b = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n^2(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} 2c_1 |v(x)| h(|u(x)|) dx. \quad (3.5)$$

If we denote by  $I_1 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [-\varphi_n(x)] dx$ , then using (3.3) and (3.5), we have

$$I_1 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [-\varphi_n(x)] dx = \Phi^0(u; v) - b. \quad (3.6)$$

Next we estimate the expression  $I_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [-\varphi_n(x)] dx$ . We have the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\varphi_n^1(x)] dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n^2(x) dx \geq I_2. \quad (3.7)$$

Using the fact that  $w_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$  a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t_n \rightarrow 0^+$ , we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n^2(x) dx = 2c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x)| h(|u(x)|) dx.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n^1(x) dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \limsup_{y \rightarrow u(x), t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(x, y + tv(x)) - F(x, y)}{t} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_2^0(x, u(x); v(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using relations (3.4), (3.6), (3.7) and the above estimates, we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

#### 4. THE PALAIS-SMALE AND CERAMI COMPACTNESS CONDITION

In this section we study the situation when the function  $\Psi$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  and  $(CPS)_c$  conditions. We have the following result.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $(u_n) \subset X$  be a  $(PS)_c$  sequence for the function  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If the conditions (F1) and (F2) are fulfilled, then the sequence  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $(u_n) \subset X$  is a  $(PS)_c$  sequence for the function  $\Psi$ , we have  $\Psi(u_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $\lambda_\Psi(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ . From the condition  $\Psi(u_n) \rightarrow c$  we get  $c + 1 \geq \Psi(u_n)$  for sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Because  $\lambda_\Psi(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\|u_n\| \geq \|u_n\| \lambda_\Psi(u_n)$  for every sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From the definition of  $\lambda_\Psi(u_n)$  results the existence of an element  $z_{u_n}^* \in \partial\Psi(u_n)$ ,

such that  $\lambda_\Psi(u_n) = \|z_{u_n}^*\|_*$ . For every  $v \in X$ , we have  $|z_{u_n}^*(v)| \leq \|z_{u_n}^*\|_* \|v\|$ , therefore  $\|z_{u_n}^*\|_* \|v\| \geq -z_{u_n}^*(v)$ . If we take  $v = u_n$ , then  $\|z_{u_n}^*\|_* \|u_n\| \geq -z_{u_n}^*(u_n)$ .

Using the properties  $\Psi^0(u, v) = \max\{z^*(v) : z^* \in \partial\Psi(u)\}$  for every  $v \in X$ , we have  $-z^*(v) \geq -\Psi^0(u, v)$  for all  $z^* \in \partial\Psi(u)$  and  $v \in X$ . If we take  $u = v = u_n$  and  $z^* = z_{u_n}^*$ , we get  $-z_{u_n}^*(u_n) \geq -\Psi^0(u_n, u_n)$ . Therefore, for every  $\alpha > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \|u_n\| \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|z_{u_n}^*\|_* \|u_n\| \geq -\frac{1}{\alpha} \Psi^0(u_n, u_n).$$

When we add the above inequality with  $c + 1 \geq \Psi(u_n)$ , we obtain

$$c + 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|u_n\| \geq \Psi(u_n) - \frac{1}{\alpha} \Psi^0(u_n; u_n).$$

Using the above inequality,  $\Psi^0(u, v) \leq \langle A(u), v \rangle + \Phi^0(u, -v)$ , and Proposition 3.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} c + 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|u_n\| &\geq \Psi(u_n) - \frac{1}{\alpha} \Psi^0(u_n; u_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \langle A(u_n), u_n \rangle - \Phi(u_n) - \frac{1}{\alpha} (\langle A(u_n), u_n \rangle + \Phi^0(u_n; -u_n)) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \langle A(u_n), u_n \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [F(x, u_n(x)) + \frac{1}{\alpha} F_2^0(x, u_n(x); -u_n(x))] dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \langle A(u_n), u_n \rangle - \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u_n(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The relation  $\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(u)}{|u|^p} = \lambda$  assures the existence of a constant  $M$ , such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u_n(x)) dx \leq M + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^p dx$ . We use again that the inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuous, that  $a(u) = \frac{1}{p} \langle A(u), u \rangle$  and that

$$a(u) = \|u\|^p \left\langle A\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right), \frac{u}{\|u\|} \right\rangle \geq \kappa(1) \|u\|^p,$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c + 1 + \|u_n\| &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \langle A(u_n), u_n \rangle - \frac{\lambda C^p(p)}{\alpha} \|u_n\|^p - \frac{M}{\alpha} \\ &\geq \frac{\kappa(1)(\alpha - p) - \lambda C^p(p)}{\alpha} \|u_n\|^p - \frac{M}{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality, it results that the sequence  $(u_n)$  is bounded. □

**Proposition 4.2.** *If conditions (F1), (F2') and (F4) hold, then every  $(CPS)_c (c > 0)$  sequence  $(u_n) \subset X$  for the function  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(u_n) \subset X$  be a  $(CPS)_c (c > 0)$  sequence for the function  $\Psi$ , i.e.  $\Psi(u_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $(1 + \|u_n\|)\lambda_\Psi(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ . From  $(1 + \|u_n\|)\lambda_\Psi(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ , we get  $\|u_n\|\lambda_\Psi(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\lambda_\Psi(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ . As in Proposition 4.1, there exists  $z_{u_n}^* \in \partial\Psi(u_n)$  such that

$$\frac{1}{p} \|z_{u_n}^*\|_* \|u_n\| \geq -\Psi^0(u_n; \frac{1}{p} u_n).$$

From this inequality, Proposition 3.3, condition (F2') and the property  $\Psi^0(u; v) \leq \langle Au, v \rangle + \Phi^0(u; -v)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} c + 1 &\geq \Psi(u_n) - \frac{1}{p}\Psi^0(u_n; u_n) \\ &\geq a(u_n) - \Phi(u_n) - \frac{1}{p} [\langle Au_n, u_n \rangle + \Phi^0(u_n; -u_n)] \\ &\geq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [F(x, u_n(x)) + \frac{1}{p}F_2^0(x, u_n(x); -u_n(x))] dx \\ &\geq C\|u_n\|_\alpha^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . From the condition (F4) follows that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $c(\varepsilon) > 0$ , such that for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,

$$F(x, u(x)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{p}|u(x)|^p + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{r}|u(x)|^r.$$

After integration, we obtain

$$\Phi(u) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{p}\|u\|_p^p + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{r}\|u\|_r^r.$$

Using the above inequality, the expression of  $\Psi$ , and  $\|u\|_p \leq C(p)\|u\|$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\kappa(1) - \varepsilon C^p(p)}{p}\|u\|^p \leq \Psi(u) + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{r}\|u\|_r^r \leq c + 1 + \|u\|_r^r.$$

Now, we study the behaviour of the sequence  $(\|u_n\|_r)$ . We have the following two cases:

- (i) If  $r = \alpha$ , then it is easy to see that the sequence  $(\|u_n\|_r)$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- (ii) If  $r \in (\alpha, p^*)$  and  $\alpha > p^* \frac{r-p}{p^*-p}$ , then we have

$$\|u\|_r^r \leq \|u\|_\alpha^{(1-s)\alpha} \cdot \|u\|_{p^*}^{sp^*},$$

where  $r = (1-s)\alpha + sp^*$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

Using the inequality  $\|u\|_{p^*}^{sp^*} \leq C^{sp^*}(p)\|u\|^{sp^*}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\kappa(1) - \varepsilon C^p(p)}{p}\|u\|^p \leq c + 1 + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{r}\|u\|_\alpha^{(1-s)\alpha}\|u\|^{sp^*}. \quad (4.1)$$

When in the inequality (4.1) we take  $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{\kappa(1)}{C^p(p)}\right)$  and use b), we obtain that the sequence  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $X$ .  $\square$

The main result of this section is as follows.

**Theorem 4.3.** (1) *If conditions (F1), (F1'), and (F2)–(F4) hold, then  $\Psi$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  condition for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

- (2) *If conditions (F1), (F1'), (F2'), (F3), and (F4) hold, then  $\Psi$  satisfies the  $(CPS)_c$  condition for every  $c > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(u_n) \subset X$  be a  $(PS)_c$  ( $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ) or a  $(CPS)_c$  ( $c > 0$ ) sequence for the function  $\Psi(u_n)$ . Using Propositions 4.1–4.2, it follows that  $(u_n)$  is a bounded sequence in  $X$ . As  $X$  is reflexive Banach space, the existence of an element  $u \in X$  results, such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $X$ . Because the inclusions  $X \hookrightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is compact, we have that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Next we estimate the expressions  $I_n^1 = \Psi^0(u_n; u_n - u)$  and  $I_n^2 = \Psi^0(u; u - u_n)$ . First we estimate the expression  $I_n^2 = \Psi^0(u; u - u_n)$ . We know that  $\Psi^0(u; v) = \max\{z^*(v) : z^* \in \partial\Psi(u)\}$ ,  $\forall v \in X$ . Therefore, there exists  $z_u^* \in \partial\Psi(u)$ , such that  $\Psi^0(u; v) = z_u^*(v)$  for all  $v \in X$ . From the above relation and from the fact that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $X$ , we get  $\Psi^0(u; u - u_n) = z_u^*(u - u_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

Now, we estimate the expression  $I_n^1 = \Psi^0(u_n; u_n - u)$ . From  $\lambda_{\Psi}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  follows the existence of a positive real numbers sequence  $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$ , such that  $\lambda_{\Psi}(u_n) \leq \mu_n$ . If we use the Remark 2.1, we get  $\Psi^0(u_n, u_n - u) + \mu_n \|u_n - u\| \geq 0$ .

Now, we estimate the expression  $I_n = \Phi^0(u_n; u - u_n) + \Phi(u; u - u_n)$ . For the simplicity in calculus we introduce the notations  $h_1(s) = |s|^{p-1}$  and  $h_2(s) = |s|^r$ . For this we observe that if we use the continuity of the functions  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ , the condition (F4) implies that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $c(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\max \{ |f(x, s)|, |\bar{f}(x, s)| \} \leq \varepsilon h_1(s) + c(\varepsilon) h_2(s), \tag{4.2}$$

for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Using this relation and Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &= \Phi^0(u_n; u - u_n) + \Phi(u; u - u_n) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [F_2^0(x, u_n(x); u_n(x) - u(x)) + F_2^0(x, u(x); u(x) - u_n(x))] dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(x, u_n(x))(u_n(x) - u(x)) + \bar{f}(x, u(x))(u(x) - u_n(x))] dx \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [h_1(u(x)) + h_1(u_n(x))] |u_n(x) - u(x)| dx \\ &\quad + 2c_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(h_2(u(x)) + h_2(u_n(x))) |u_n(x) - u(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder inequality and that the inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuous, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &\leq 2\varepsilon C(p) \|u_n - u\| (\|h_1(u)\|_{p'} + \|h_1(u_n)\|_{p'}) \\ &\quad + 2c(\varepsilon) \|u_n - u\|_r (\|h_2(u)\|_{r'} + \|h_2(u_n)\|_{r'}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$  and  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$ . Using the fact that the inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is compact, we get that  $\|u_n - u\|_r \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain that  $I_n \rightarrow 0$ .

Finally, we use the inequality  $\Psi^0(u; v) \leq \langle A(u), v \rangle + \Phi^0(u; -v)$ . If we replace  $v$  with  $-v$ , we get  $\Psi^0(u, -v) \leq -\langle A(u), v \rangle + \Phi^0(u; v)$ , therefore  $\langle A(u), v \rangle \leq \Phi^0(u; v) - \Psi^0(u, -v)$ .

In the above inequality we replace  $u$  and  $v$  by  $u = u_n, v = u - u_n$  then  $u = u, v = u_n - u$  and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(u_n), u - u_n \rangle &\leq \Phi^0(u_n, u - u_n) - \Psi^0(u_n; u_n - u), \\ \langle A(u), u_n - u \rangle &\leq \Phi^0(u, u_n - u) - \Psi^0(u, u - u_n). \end{aligned}$$

Adding these relations, we have the following key inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_n - u\| \kappa(u_n - u) \\ &\leq \langle A(u_n - u), u_n - u \rangle \\ &\leq [\Phi^0(u_n; u - u_n) + \Phi(u; u - u_n)] - \Psi^0(u_n; u_n - u) - \Psi^0(u; u - u_n) \\ &= I_n - I_n^1 - I_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above relation and the estimations of  $I_n, I_n^1$  and  $I_n^2$ , we obtain

$$\|u_n - u\| \kappa(u_n - u) \leq I_n + \mu_n \|u_n - u\| - z_u^*(u_n - u).$$

If  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , from the above inequality we obtain the assertion of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** It is important to observe then the above results remain true if we replace the Banach space  $X$  with every closed subspace  $Y$  of  $X$ .

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section we prove the main result of this paper, which is a result of Mountain Pass type. First we prove that the critical points of the function  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\Psi(u) = a(u) - \Phi(u)$  are solutions of problem (1.4).

**Proposition 5.1.** *If  $0 \in \partial\Psi(u)$ , then  $u$  solves the problem (1.4).*

*Proof.* Because  $0 \in \partial\Psi(u)$ , we have  $\Psi^0(u; v) \geq 0$  for every  $v \in X$ . Using the Proposition 3.3 and a property of Clarke derivative we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \Psi^0(u; v) &\leq \langle u, v \rangle + (-\Phi)^0(u; v) \\ &= \langle A(u), v \rangle + \Phi^0(u; -v) \\ &\leq \langle A(u), v \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_2^0(x, u(x), -v(x)) dx, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $v \in X$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Using (1) in Theorem 4.3, and conditions (F1)–(F4), it follows that the functional  $\Psi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \langle A(u), u \rangle - \Phi(u)$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  condition for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . From Proposition 2.2 we verify the following geometric hypotheses:

$$\exists \alpha, \rho > 0, \quad \text{such that } \Psi(u) \geq \beta \text{ on } B_\rho(0) = \{u \in X : \|u\| = \rho\}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\Psi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and there exists } v \in H \setminus B_\rho(0) \text{ such that } \Psi(v) \leq 0. \quad (5.2)$$

For the proof of relation (5.1), we use the relation (F4), i.e.  $|f(x, s)| \leq \varepsilon |s|^{p-1} + c(\varepsilon) |s|^{r-1}$ . Integrating this inequality and using that the inclusions  $X \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $X \hookrightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are continuous, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(u) &\geq \frac{\kappa(1) - \varepsilon C(p)}{p} \langle A(u), u \rangle - \frac{1}{r} c(\varepsilon) C(r) \|u\|_r^r \\ &\geq \frac{\kappa(1) - \varepsilon C(p)}{p} \|u\|^p - \frac{1}{r} c(\varepsilon) C(r) \|u\|^r. \end{aligned}$$

The right member of the inequality is a function  $\chi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the form  $\chi(t) = At^p - Bt^r$ , where  $A = \frac{\kappa(1) - \varepsilon C(p)}{p}$ ,  $B = \frac{1}{r} c(\varepsilon) C(r)$ . The function  $\chi$  attains its global maximum in the point  $t_M = (\frac{pA}{rB})^{\frac{1}{r-p}}$ . When we take  $\rho = t_M$  and  $\beta \in ]0, \chi(t_M)[$ , it is easy to see that the condition (5.1) is fulfilled.

From (F5) we have  $\Psi(u) \leq \frac{1}{p} \langle A(u), u \rangle + c^* \|u\|_p^p - c^* \|u\|_\alpha^\alpha$ . If we fix an element  $v \in H \setminus \{0\}$  and in place of  $u$  we put  $tv$ , then we have

$$\Psi(tv) \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} \langle A(v), v \rangle + c^* \|v\|_p^p\right) t^p - c^* t^\alpha \|v\|_\alpha^\alpha.$$

From this we see that if  $t$  is large enough,  $tv \notin B_\rho(0)$  and  $\Psi(tv) < 0$ . So, the condition (5.2) is satisfied and Proposition 2.2 assures the existence of a nontrivial critical point of  $\Psi$ .

Now when we use (2) in Theorem 4.3, from conditions (F1), (F2'), (F3), and (F4), we get that the function  $\Psi$  satisfies the condition  $(CPS)_c$  for every  $c > 0$ . We use again the Proposition 2.2, which assures the existence of a nontrivial critical point for the function  $\Psi$ . It is sufficient to prove only the relation (5.2), because (5.1) is proved in the same way.

To prove the relation (5.2) we fix an element  $u \in X$  and we define the function  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $h(t) = \frac{1}{t}F(x, t^{1/p}u) - C\frac{p}{\alpha-p}t^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1}|u|^\alpha$ . The function  $h$  is locally Lipschitz. We fix a number  $t > 1$ , and from the Lebourg's main value theorem follows the existence of an element  $\tau \in (1, t)$  such that

$$h(t) - h(1) \in \partial_t h(\tau)(t - 1),$$

where  $\partial_t$  denotes the generalized gradient of Clarke with respect to  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . From the Chain Rules we have

$$\partial_t F(x, t^{1/p}u) \subset \frac{1}{p}\partial F(x, t^{1/p}u)t^{\frac{1}{p}-1}u.$$

Also we have

$$\partial_t h(t) \subset -\frac{1}{t^2}F(x, t^{1/p}u) + \frac{1}{t}\partial F(x, t^{1/p}u)t^{\frac{1}{p}-1}u - Ct^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-2}|u|^\alpha.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) - h(1) &\subset \partial_t h(\tau)(t - 1) \\ &\subset -\frac{1}{t^2} \left[ F(x, t^{1/p}u) - t^{1/p}u\partial F(x, t^{1/p}u) + C|t^{1/p}u|^\alpha \right] (t - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Using the relation (F2'), we obtain that  $h(t) \geq h(1)$ ; therefore,

$$\frac{1}{t}F(x, t^{1/p}u) - C\frac{p}{\alpha-p}t^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1}|u|^\alpha \geq F(x, u) - C\frac{p}{\alpha-p}|u|^\alpha.$$

From this inequality, we get

$$F(x, t^{1/p}u) \geq tF(x, u) + C\frac{p}{\alpha-p}[t^{\alpha/p} - t]|u|^\alpha, \quad (5.3)$$

for every  $t > 1$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let us fix an element  $u_0 \in X \setminus \{0\}$ ; then for every  $t > 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t^{1/p}u_0) &= \frac{1}{p}\langle A(t^{1/p}u_0), t^{1/p}u_0 \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, t^{1/p}u_0(x))dx \\ &\leq \frac{t}{p}\langle Au_0, u_0 \rangle - t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_0(x))dx - C\frac{p}{\alpha-p}[t^{\alpha/p} - t]\|u_0\|_\alpha^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

If  $t$  is sufficiently large, then for  $v_0 = t^{1/p}u_0$  we have  $\Psi(v_0) \leq 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 6. APPLICATIONS

In the first two examples we suppose that  $X$  is a Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function as in the introduction of this paper.

**Application 6.1.** We consider the function  $V \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- (a)  $V(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$

(b)  $V(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Let  $X$  be the Hilbert space defined by

$$X = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int (|\nabla u(x)|^2 + V(x)|u(x)|^2)dx < \infty\},$$

with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int (\nabla u \nabla v + V(x)uv)dx.$$

It is well known that if the conditions (a) and (b) are fulfilled then the inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is compact [11], therefore the condition (F1') is satisfied.

Now we formulate the problem.

Find a positive  $u \in X$  such that for every  $v \in X$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla v + V(x)uv)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_2^0(x, u(x); -v(x))dx \geq 0. \quad (6.1)$$

We have the following result.

**Corollary 6.2.** *If conditions (F1), (F2'), (F3), (F4), and (a), (b) hold, the problem 6.1 has a nontrivial positive solution.*

*Proof.* We replace the function  $f$  by  $f_+ : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f_+(x, u) = \begin{cases} f(x, u) & \text{if } u \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } u < 0 \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

and use (2) in Theorem 1.2. □

**Remark 6.3.** The above result improves a result in Gazolla-Rădulescu [10].

**Application 6.4.** Now, we consider  $Au := -\Delta u + |x|^2u$  for  $u \in D(A)$ , where

$$D(A) := \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : Au \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Here  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Euclidian norm of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . In this case the Hilbert space  $X$  is defined by

$$X = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + |x|^2u^2)dx < \infty\},$$

with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla v + |x|^2uv)dx.$$

The inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is compact for  $s \in [2, \frac{2N}{N-2})$ , see Kavian [12, Exercise 20, pp. 278]. Therefore, the condition (F1') is satisfied.

Now, we formulate the next problem.

Find a positive  $u \in X$  such that for every  $v \in X$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla v + |x|^2uv)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_2^0(x, u(x); -v(x))dx \geq 0. \quad (6.3)$$

**Corollary 6.5.** *If (F1), (F2), (F3), and (F4) hold, then problem (6.3) has a positive solution.*

The proof of this corollary is similar to that of Corollary 6.2.

**Remark 6.6.** This result improves a result from Varga [28], where the condition (F5) was used.

**Application 6.7.** In this example we suppose that  $G$  is a subgroup of the group  $O(N)$ . Let  $\Omega$  be an unbounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , and the elements of  $G$  leave  $\Omega$  invariant, i.e.  $g(\Omega) = \Omega$  for every  $g \in G$ . We suppose that  $\Omega$  is compatible with  $G$ , see the book of Willem [29] Definition 1.22. The action of  $G$  on  $X = W_0^{1,p}$  is defined by

$$gu(x) := u(g^{-1}x).$$

The subspace of invariant function  $X^G$  is defined by

$$X^G := \{u \in X : gu = u, \forall g \in G\}.$$

The norm on  $X$  is defined by

$$\|u\| = \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p + |u|^p) dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

If  $\Omega$  is compatible with  $G$ , then the embeddings  $X \hookrightarrow L^s(\Omega)$ , with  $p < s < p^*$  are compact, see the paper of Kobayashi and Otani [13]. Therefore the condition (F2'') is satisfied.

We consider the potential  $a : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $a(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p$ . This function is  $G$ -invariant because the action of  $G$  is isometric on  $X$ . The Gateaux differential  $A : X \rightarrow X^*$  of the function  $a : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v + |u|^{p-2} uv) dx.$$

The operator  $A$  is homogeneous of degree  $p - 1$  and strongly monotone, because  $p \geq 2$ .

Now, we formulate the following problem.

Find  $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$  such that for every  $v \in X$  we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v + |u|^{p-2} uv) dx + \int_{\Omega} F_2^0(x, u(x); -v(x)) dx \geq 0. \quad (6.4)$$

We have the following result.

**Corollary 6.8.** *If we suppose that the condition (F6) is true, then the following assertions hold.*

- (a) *If conditions (F1)–(F5) are fulfilled, then problem (1.4) has a nontrivial solution.*
- (b) *If conditions (F1), (F2'), (F3), and (F4) are fulfilled, then problem (1.4) has a nontrivial symmetric solution.*

**Remark 6.9.** The result (a) from Corollary 6.8 is similar to the a result obtained by Kobayashi, Ôtani [13], but the difference is that in the paper [13] the ‘‘Principle of Symmetric Criticality’’ was used for Szulkin type functional, see [27].

**Application 6.10.** In this case we consider  $\Omega = \tilde{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N - m \geq 2$ ,  $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) is open bounded and  $2 \leq p \leq N$ . We consider the Banach space  $X = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with the norm  $\|u\| = (\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p)^{1/p}$ . Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $O(N)$  defined by  $G = id^m \times O(N - m)$ . The action of  $G$  on  $X$  is defined by  $gu(x_1, x_2) = u(x_1, g_1 x_2)$

for every  $(x_1, x_2) \in \tilde{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-m}$  and  $g = id^m \times g_1 \in G$ . The subspace of invariant function is defined by

$$X^G = W_{0,G}^{1,p} = \{u \in X : gu = u, \forall g \in G\}.$$

The action of  $G$  on  $X$  is isometric, that is

$$\|gu\| = \|u\|, \forall g \in G.$$

If  $2 \leq p \leq N$ , from a result of Lions [18] follows that the embeddings  $X \hookrightarrow L^s(\Omega), p < s < p^*$  are compact. Therefore the condition  $(f_2'')$  is true. In this case condition (F6) will be replaced by

$$(F6') \quad f(x, y_1, u) = f(x, y_2, u) \text{ for every } y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-m} \ (N - m \geq 2), |y_1| = |y_2|; \\ \text{i.e., the function } f(x, \cdot, u) \text{ is spherically symmetric on } \mathbb{R}^{N-m}.$$

We consider the potential  $a : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $a(u) = \frac{1}{p}\|u\|^p$ . This functional is  $G$ -invariant because the action of  $G$  is isometric on  $X$ . The Gateaux differential  $A : X \rightarrow X^*$  of the functional  $a : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx.$$

The operator  $A$  is homogeneous of degree  $p - 1$  and strongly monotone, because  $p \geq 2$ .

Now, we formulate the following problem.

Find  $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$  such that for every  $v \in X$  we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx + \int_{\Omega} F_2^0(x, u(x); -v(x)) dx \geq 0. \quad (6.5)$$

We have the following result.

**Corollary 6.11.** (a) *If conditions (F1)–(F5), and (F6) hold, then problem (6.5) has a nontrivial solution.*

(b) *If conditions (F1), (F2'), (F3), (F4), and (F6') hold, then problem (6.5) has a nontrivial solution.*

**Acknowledgments.** The authors want to express their gratitude to Dr. Alexandru Kristály for his helpful discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bartsch, *Infinitely many solutions of a symmetric Dirichlet problems*, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA **20**(1993), 1205-1216.
- [2] T. Bartsch, *Topological Methods for Variational with Symmetries*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1993.
- [3] T. Bartsch and Z.-Q. Wang, *Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Communications of Partial Differential Equations **20**(1995), 1725-1741.
- [4] T. Bartsch and M. Willem, *Infinitely many non-radial solutions of an Euclidian scalar field equation*, J. Func. Anal. **117**(1993), 447-460.
- [5] T. Bartsch and M. Willem, *Infinitely many radial solutions of a semilinear problem in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **124**(1993), 261-276.
- [6] H. Brezis, *Analyse Fonctionnelle*, Masson, Paris (1983).
- [7] K.-C. Chang, *Variational methods for non-differentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **80**(1981), 102-129.
- [8] F. Clarke, *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, John Wiley&Sons, New York, 1983.
- [9] X.L. Fan, Y.Z. Zhao, *Linking and Multiplicity results for the  $p$ -Laplacian on Unbounded Cylinder*, Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl., **260**(2001), 479-489.

- [10] F. Gazzola and V. Rădulescu, *A nonsmooth critical point approach to some nonlinear elliptic equations in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Diff. Integral Equations, **13**(2000), no.1-3, 47-60.
- [11] M.R. Grossinho, S.A. Tersian, *An Introduction to Minimax Theorems and Their Applications to Differential Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dodrecht, Boston, London, 2001.
- [12] O. Kavian, *Introduction à la Théorie des Point Critique et Applications aux Problèmes Elliptiques*, Springer Verlag, 1995.
- [13] J. Kobayashi, M. Ôtani, *The Principle of Symmetric Criticality for Non-Differentiable Mappings*, preprint.
- [14] W. Krawciewicz and W. Marzantowicz, *Some remarks on the Lusternik-Schnirelmann method for non-differentiable functionals invariant with respect to a finite group action*, Rocky Mountain J. of Math. **20**(1990), 1041-1049.
- [15] A. Kristály, *Infinitely Many Radial and Non-radial Solutions for a class of hemivariational inequalities*, Rocky Math. Journal, in press
- [16] N.-C. Kourogenis and N.-S. Papageorgiu, *Nonsmooth critical point theory and nonlinear elliptic equations at resonance*, Kodai Math. J. **23**(2000), 108-135.
- [17] A. Kristály, V.V. Motreanu and Cs. Varga, *A minimax principle with a general Palais-Smale condition*, submitted.
- [18] P.-L. Lions, *Symétrie et compacité dans les espaces de Sobolev*, J. Funct. Anal. **49**(1982), 312-334.
- [19] H. Megrez, *A nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problem in unbounded domains*, Rostock Math. Kolloq, No.56 (2002), 39-48.
- [20] E. Montefusco, V. Rădulescu, *Nonlinear eigenvalue problems for quasilinear operators on unbounded domain*, Differential Equations Appl. **8**(2001), no. 4, 481-497.
- [21] D. Motreanu and Cs. Varga, *A nonsmooth mimimax equivariant principle*, Comm. Appl. Anal. **3**(1999), 115-130.
- [22] D. Motreanu and P.D. Panagiotopoulos, *Minimax Theorems and Qualitative Properties of the solutions of Hemivariational Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dodrecht, Boston, London, 1999.
- [23] D. Motreanu, V. Rădulescu, *Variational and non-variational methods in nonlinear analysis and boundary value problems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston-Dordrecht- London 2003.
- [24] R.S. Palais, *The principle of symmetric criticality*, Comm. Math. Phys. **69**(1979), 19-30.
- [25] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, CBMS Reg. Conference Series in Mathematics, Vol. 65, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [26] P. H. Rabinowitz, *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Z. angew. Math. Phys. **43**(1992), 270-291. 62.
- [27] A. Szulkin, *Minimax methods for lower semicontinuous functions and applications to nonlinear boundary value problems*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **3**(1986), 77-109.
- [28] Cs. Varga, *Existence and infinitely many solutions for an abstract class of hemivariational inequality*, Archives of Inequalities and Applications, in press
- [29] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1996.
- [30] Y. Zhou, Y. Huang, *Existence of solutions for a class of elliptic variational inequality*, Journal Math. Anal. Appl. **250**(2000), No.1, 187-195.

ZSUZSÁNNA DÁLYAY

UNIVERSITY OF SZEGED, JUHÁSZ GYULA TEACHER TRAINING FACULTY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS 6721, SZEGED, BOLDOGASSZONY SGT. 6. HUNGARY

*E-mail address:* dalyay@jgytf.u-szeged.hu

CSABA VARGA

“BABEȘ- BOLYAI” UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STR. M KOGĂLNICEANU, NR.1, CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA

*E-mail address:* csvarga@cs.ubbcluj.ro