

## EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS TO SUPERLINEAR P-LAPLACE EQUATIONS WITHOUT AMBROSETTI-RABINOWITZ CONDITION

DUONG MINH DUC

ABSTRACT. We study the existence of non-trivial weak solutions in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  of the super-linear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) &= f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f$  satisfies the condition

$$|f(x, t)| \leq |\omega(x)t|^{r-1} + b(x) \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

where  $r \in (p, \frac{Np}{N-p})$ ,  $b \in L^{\frac{r}{r-1}}(\Omega)$  and  $|\omega|^{r-1}$  may be non-integrable on  $\Omega$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $N$  be an integer  $\geq 3$ ,  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $p$  be in  $[1, N)$  and  $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ . Let  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  be the usual Sobolev space with the following norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p} = \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

We consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) &= f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $f$  is a real Carathéodory function on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$  and satisfies the following conditions

(A1) there exist  $r \in (p, p^*)$ ,  $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_{p,r}$  (see Definition 2.1) and  $b \in L^{\frac{r}{r-1}}(\Omega)$  such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq |\omega(x)t|^{r-1} + b(x) \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

(A2) there exist  $C \in [0, \infty)$  and  $d \in L^1(\Omega)$  such that  $|f(x, t)| \leq d(x)$  for every  $x$  in  $\Omega$  and  $|t| \leq C$ ,

(A3) there is  $d_1$  in  $L^{\frac{N}{p}}(\Omega)$  such that  $d_1(x) \leq \frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p-2}t}$  for every  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ ,

(A4)  $f(x, 0) = 0$  for every  $x$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p-2}t} = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , and

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E35, 35J20.

*Key words and phrases.* Nemytskii operators; p-Laplacian; multiplicity of solutions; mountain-pass theorem.

©2017 Texas State University.

Submitted May 8, 2017. Published October 10, 2017.

$$(A5) \quad \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p-2t}} = \infty \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

The integrability of  $|\omega|^{r-1}$  is essential in [1, 5, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17], because these papers have used the differentiability of Nemytskii from  $L^{q_1}(\Omega)$  into  $L^{q_2}(\Omega)$  (see [5, 4]) and the Sobolev embedding from  $W_0^p(\Omega)$  into  $L^{q_1}(\Omega)$ . In the present paper, using weighted Sobolev embeddings in [6, 10, 9, 15, 18] instead of classical one in [3], we can study the problem (1.1) with non-integrable functions  $|\omega|^{r-1}$  in (A1).

In many applications,  $\frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p-2t}}$  is non-negative for  $t \neq 0$  and  $|f(x,t)|$  is well-controlled when  $|t|$  is sufficiently small. This observation is the motivation of (A2) and (A3). Here we consider the case, in which the positivity of  $\frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p-2t}}$  can be disturbed by a function  $d_1 \in L^{\frac{N}{p}}(\Omega)$ .

In (A4) and (A5), we do not need the uniform convergence as in [1, 5, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17]. We study the problem (1.1) without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition. Our main result is the following theorems under the assumption

$$(A6) \quad \frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p-2t}} \text{ is increasing in } t \geq C \text{ and decreasing in } t \leq -C \text{ for every } x \text{ in } \Omega.$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume  $f$  satisfies (A1)-(A6). Then there is a non-trivial weak solution in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  of the problem (1.1).*

**Remark 1.2.** If  $f$  is continuous on  $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$  and satisfies the following conditions

(A1') There exist  $r \in (p, p^* - 1)$  and a positive real number  $\alpha$  such that

$$|f(x,t)| \leq \alpha(1 + |t|^{r-1}) \quad \forall (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}.$$

(A4')  $f(x,0) = 0$  for every  $x$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p-2t}} = 0$  uniformly in  $\Omega$ .

(A5')  $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p-2t}} = \infty$  uniformly in  $\Omega$ .

Then  $f$  satisfies (A1)-(A5). Therefore our theorem improves the corresponding results in [14, 16].

We study a method for constructing weight functions in weighted Sobolev embeddings and the Nemytskii operator from Sobolev spaces into Lebesgue spaces (see Theorems 2.8 and 2.9). We apply these results to prove the existence of non-trivial solutions of a class of super-linear p-Laplacian problem in the last section.

## 2. NEMYTSKII OPERATORS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\sigma$  be a measurable function on  $\Omega$ . We put

$$T_\sigma u = \sigma u \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

We say that

- (i)  $\sigma$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}_{p,s}$ , if  $T_\sigma$  is a continuous mapping from  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  into  $L^s(\Omega)$ ,
- (ii)  $\sigma$  is of class  $\mathcal{K}_{p,s}$ , if  $T_\sigma$  is a compact mapping from  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  into  $L^s(\Omega)$ .

We have following results.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  be in  $[1, \infty)$  such that  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ . Let  $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{p,\alpha_1}$ ,  $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{p,\alpha_2}$  such that  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are non-negative. Let  $\beta \in (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  and  $\omega = \omega_1^{\frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_2-\beta)}{\beta(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}} \omega_2^{\frac{\alpha_2(\beta-\alpha_1)}{\beta(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}}$ . Then  $w \in \mathcal{C}_{p,\beta}$ .*

*Proof.* There is a positive real number  $C_1$  such that

$$\left\{ \int_{\Omega} \omega_i^{\alpha_i} |u|^{\alpha_i} dx \right\}^{1/\alpha_i} \leq C_1 \|u\|_{1,p} \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.1)$$

Since  $\beta = \frac{\alpha_2 - \beta}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \alpha_1 + \frac{\beta - \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \alpha_2$ , by Hölder's inequality and (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \omega^\beta |u|^\beta dx \right\}^{1/\beta} \\ &= \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^{\frac{\alpha_2 - \beta}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \alpha_1} |u|^{\frac{\alpha_2 - \beta}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \alpha_1} \omega_2^{\frac{\beta - \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \alpha_2} |u|^{\frac{\beta - \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \alpha_2} dx \right\}^{1/\beta} \\ &\leq \left\{ \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^{\alpha_1} |u|^{\alpha_1} dx \right\}^{\frac{\alpha_2 - \beta}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \omega_2^{\alpha_2} |u|^{\alpha_2} dx \right\}^{\frac{\beta - \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}} \right\}^{1/\beta} \\ &\leq \left\{ \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^{\alpha_1} |u|^{\alpha_1} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1} \frac{\alpha_2 - \beta}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \omega_2^{\alpha_2} |u|^{\alpha_2} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2} \frac{\beta - \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}} \right\}^{1/\beta} \\ &\leq C_1 \|u\|_{1,p} \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $s$  be in  $[1, \frac{Np}{N-p})$ ,  $\alpha$  be in  $(0, 1)$ ,  $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{p,s}$  and  $\theta$  be measurable functions on  $\Omega$  such that  $\omega \geq 0$  and  $|\theta| \leq \omega^\alpha$ . Then  $\theta$  is of class  $\mathcal{K}_{p,s}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T_\omega$  is in  $\mathcal{C}_{p,s}$ ,  $T_\omega$  is continuous from  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  into  $L^s(\Omega)$  and there is a positive real number  $C_2$  such that

$$\left\{ \int_{\Omega} |u|^s \omega^s dx \right\}^{1/s} \leq C_2 \|u\|_{1,p} \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega). \quad (2.2)$$

Since  $\omega^\alpha(x) \leq 1 + \omega(x)$  for every  $x$  in  $\Omega$  and  $1$  and  $\omega$  are in  $\mathcal{C}_{p,s}$ ,  $\omega^\alpha$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_{p,s}$ . Thus  $T_\theta$  is in  $\mathcal{C}_{p,s}$ . Let  $M$  be a positive real number and  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , such that  $\|u_n\|_{1,p} \leq M$  for any  $n$ . By Rellich-Kondrachov's theorem [3, Theorem 9.16],  $\{u_n\}$  has a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  converging to  $u$  in  $L^s(\Omega)$  and  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  converging weakly to  $u$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , therefore  $\|u\|_{1,p} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n_k}\|_{1,p} \leq M$ . We shall prove  $\{T_\theta(u_{n_k})\}$  converges to  $T_\theta(u)$  in  $L^s(\Omega)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive real number. Choose a positive real number  $\delta$  such that

$$(2C_2M)^s \delta^{(\alpha-1)s} < \frac{\varepsilon^s}{2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Put  $\Omega' = \{x \in \Omega : \omega(x) > \delta\}$ . By (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\theta(u_{n_k} - u)|^s dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |u_{n_k} - u|^s |\theta|^s dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega'} |u_{n_k} - u|^s \omega^{\alpha s} dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega'} |u_{n_k} - u|^s \omega^{\alpha s} dx \\ &\leq \delta^{(\alpha-1)s} \int_{\Omega'} |u_{n_k} - u|^s \omega^s dx + \delta^{\alpha s} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega'} |u_{n_k} - u|^s dx \\ &\leq \delta^{(\alpha-1)s} \int_{\Omega} |u_{n_k} - u|^s \omega^s dx + \delta^{\alpha s} \int_{\Omega} |u_{n_k} - u|^s dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \delta^{(\alpha-1)s} (C_2 \|u_{n_k} - u\|_{1,p})^s + \delta^{\alpha s} \int_{\Omega} |u_{n_k} - u|^s dx \\
&\leq \delta^{(\alpha-1)s} (2C_2 M)^s + \delta^{\alpha s} \int_{\Omega} |u_{n_k} - u|^s dx \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon^s}{2} + \delta^{\alpha s} \int_{\Omega} |u_{n_k} - u|^s dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Since  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  converges in  $L^s(\Omega)$ , there is an integer  $k_0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_{n_k} - u|^s dx \leq \delta^{-\alpha s} \frac{\varepsilon^s}{2} \quad \forall k \geq k_0. \tag{2.5}$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.5), we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $p \in [1, N)$ ,  $s \in (1, \frac{Np}{N-p})$ ,  $\eta \in (\frac{sNp}{Np-s(N-p)}, \infty)$  and  $\theta \in L^\eta(\Omega)$ . Then  $\theta$  is in  $\mathcal{K}_{p,s}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\beta\eta = \frac{sNp}{Np-s(N-p)}$  and  $\omega = |\theta|^{1/\beta}$ . Then  $\omega$  is in  $L^{\frac{sNp}{Np-s(N-p)}}(\Omega)$ . Since  $\frac{Np-s(N-p)}{Np} + \frac{s(N-p)}{Np} = 1$ , by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\omega u|^s dx \leq \int_{\Omega} (|\omega|^{\frac{sNp}{Np-s(N-p)}})^{\frac{Np-s(N-p)}{Np}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\frac{Np}{N-p}} \right)^{\frac{s(N-p)}{Np}} \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

which implies that  $T_\omega$  is continuous at 0 in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Thus  $T_\omega$  is a linear continuous map from  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  into  $L^s(\Omega)$ . By Theorem 2.3, is of class  $\mathcal{K}_{p,r}$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.5.** Let  $N = 5$ ,  $p = 3$ ,  $s = 4$  and  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^5 : |x| < 1\}$ . Then  $\frac{sNp}{Np-s(N-p)} = \frac{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 3}{5 \cdot 3 - 4(5-3)} = \frac{60}{7} < 10$ . Put  $\omega_0 = |x|^{-\frac{1}{30}} \cos(16|x|)$ , then  $\omega_0$  is in  $L^{10}(\Omega)$ . Thus by Corollary 2.4,  $\omega_0$  is of class  $\mathcal{K}_{p,s}$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $p \in [1, N)$ ,  $s \in (1, \frac{Np}{N-p})$ ,  $\alpha$  be in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_{p,p}$ . Then  $\theta = \eta^{\alpha \frac{p(p^*-s)}{s(p^*-p)}}$  is of class  $\mathcal{K}_{p,s}$ .

*Proof.* Put  $\omega_1 = \eta$ ,  $\omega_2 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1 = p$ ,  $\alpha_2 = p^*$ ,  $\beta = s$ . By the Embedding theorem of Sobolev,  $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{p,p^*}$ . By Theorem 2.2, we see that  $\eta^{\frac{p(p^*-s)}{s(p^*-p)}}$   $\in \mathcal{C}_{p,s}$ . Thus by Theorem 2.3,  $\eta^{\alpha \frac{p(p^*-s)}{s(p^*-p)}}$  is of class  $\mathcal{K}_{p,s}$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.7.** Let  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^5 : \|x\| < 1\}$ ,  $p = 3$ ,  $s = 4$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$  and  $\eta(x) = (1 - \|x\|^2)^{-1}$  for every  $x$  in  $\Omega$ . By [9, Theorem 8.4],  $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_{p,p}$ . Note that  $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p} = \frac{15}{2}$  and

$$\alpha \frac{p(p^*-s)}{s(p^*-p)} = \frac{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7}{4 \cdot 4 \cdot 9} = \frac{7}{16}.$$

Put  $\theta(x) = (1 - \|x\|^2)^{-\frac{7}{16}}$  for every  $x$  in  $\Omega$ . Then  $\theta \in \mathcal{K}_{3,4}$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $s$  be in  $(1, p^*)$ ,  $\omega$  be in  $\mathcal{K}_{p,s}$ ,  $b$  be in  $L^{\frac{s}{s-1}}(\Omega)$  and  $g$  be a Caratheodory function from  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Assume

$$|g(x, z)| \leq |\omega(x)|^{s-1} |z|^{s-1} + b(x) \quad \forall (x, z) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.6}$$

Put  $N_g(v)(x) = g(x, v(x))$  for  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ . We have

- (i)  $N_g$  is a continuous mapping from  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  into  $L^{\frac{s}{s-1}}(\Omega)$ .
- (ii) If  $A$  is a bounded subset in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , then  $\overline{N_g(A)}$  is compact in  $L^{\frac{s}{s-1}}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Put  $\mu = s$ ,  $q = s/(s-1)$  and

$$g_1(x, \zeta) = g(x, \omega(x)^{-1}\zeta) \quad \forall (x, \zeta) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

By (2.6), we have

$$|g_1(x, \zeta)| \leq |\zeta|^{s-1} + b(x) \quad h\forall (x, \zeta) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}.$$

On the other hand

$$N_g(v) = N_{g_1} \circ T_{|\omega|}(v) \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Since  $w \in \mathcal{K}_{p,s}$ , applying [4, Theorem 2.3], we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $s \in (1, p^*)$ ,  $\omega$  be in  $\mathcal{K}_{p,s}$ , a function  $b \in L^{\frac{s}{s-1}}(\Omega)$  and  $g$  be a Caratheodory function from  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Assume*

$$|g(x, z)| \leq |\omega(x)|^{s-1}|z|^{s-1} + b(x) \quad \forall (x, z) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Put

$$G(x, t) = \int_0^t g(x, \xi) d\xi \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega,$$

$$\Psi_g(u) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, t) dx \quad h\forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

We have

- (i)  $\{N_G(w_n)\}$  converges to  $N_G(w)$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  when  $\{w_n\}$  weakly converges to  $w$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .
- (ii)  $\Psi_g$  is continuously Fréchet differentiable mapping from  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  and

$$D\Psi_g(u)(\phi) = \int_{\Omega} g(x, \xi)\phi dx \quad h\forall u, \phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

- (iii) If  $A$  is a bounded subset in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , then there is a positive real number  $M$  such that

$$|\Psi_g(v)| + \|D\Psi_g(v)\| \leq M \quad h\forall v \in A.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu = s$ ,  $q = \frac{s}{s-1}$  and  $g_1$  be as in the proof of Theorem 2.8. Put

$$G_1(x, t) = \int_0^t g(x, \xi) d\xi \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega,$$

$$\Psi_{g_1}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{u(x)} g_1(x, \xi) d\xi dx \quad \forall u \in L^p(\Omega).$$

By [4, Theorem 2.8],  $N_{G_1}$  is continuous from  $L^{\frac{s}{s-1}}(\Omega)$  to  $L^1(\Omega)$  and  $\Psi_{g_1}$  is continuously Fréchet differentiable mapping from  $L^{\frac{s}{s-1}}(\Omega)$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . We see that  $N_G = N_{G_1} \circ T_{\omega}$  and  $\Psi_g = \Psi_{g_1} \circ T_{\omega}$ . By Theorem 2.3, we complete the proof.  $\square$

For  $\omega = 1$ , Theorems 2.8 and 2.9 have been proved in [2, 4, 8].

**Example 2.10.** Let  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^5 : \|x\| < 1\}$ ,  $p = 3$ ,  $s = 4$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$  and  $\rho(x) = (\frac{1}{2} - \|x\|^2)^2(1 - \|x\|^2)^{-\frac{7}{16}}$  for every  $x$  in  $\Omega$ . By Example 2.7,  $\rho \in \mathcal{K}_{3,4}$ . Put  $a(x) = \rho(x)^{s-1} = (\frac{1}{2} - \|x\|^2)^6(1 - \|x\|^2)^{-\frac{21}{16}}$  for every  $x$  in  $\Omega$ . Thus  $a$  is not integrable on  $\Omega$  and Theorem 2.9 improves corresponding results in [2, 4, 8].

## 3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

Put

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{1,p}^p - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega). \quad (3.1)$$

By [5, Theorem 9], Theorem 2.9 and (A1),  $J$  is continuously Fréchet differentiable on  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and

$$DJ(u)(v) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \cdot v dx \quad \forall u, v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad (3.2)$$

To prove the theorems, we need following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Under conditions (A3) and (A4), there exists positive numbers  $\rho$  and  $\eta$  such that  $J(u) \geq \eta$  for all  $u$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with  $\|u\| = \rho$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose by contradiction that

$$\inf\{J(u) : u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \|u\|_{1,p} = \frac{1}{n}\} \leq 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then there is a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that  $\|u_n\|_{1,p} = \frac{1}{n}$  and  $J(u_n) < \frac{1}{n^{p+1}}$ . By replacing  $\{u_n\}$  by its subsequence, by [3, Theorem 4.9], we can suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = 0$  for every  $x$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\{\frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_{1,p}}\}$  strongly (resp. pointwisely) converges to  $w$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  (resp. on  $\Omega$ ) and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} &> \frac{J(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_{1,p}^p} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|_{1,p}^p} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{p} - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 f(x, su_n(x)) \frac{u_n(x)}{\|u_n\|_{1,p}^p} ds dx \\ &= \frac{1}{p} - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \frac{f(x, su_n(x))}{(su_n(x))^{p-2} su_n(x)} s^{p-1} \frac{|u_n(x)|^p}{\|u_n\|_{1,p}^p} ds dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the generalized Fatou Lemma, (A3) and (A4)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \frac{f(x, su_n(x))}{(su_n(x))^{p-2} su_n(x)} s^{p-1} \frac{|u_n(x)|^p}{\|u_n\|_{1,p}^p} ds dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{f(x, su_n(x))}{(su_n(x))^{p-2} su_n(x)} s^{p-1} \frac{|u_n(x)|^p}{\|u_n\|_{1,p}^p} \right] ds dx = \frac{1}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\rho$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Under conditions (A3) and (A5), there is  $e$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus B(0, \rho)$  such that  $J(e) < 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that  $\|u\|_{1,p} = 1$  and  $u > 0$  on  $\Omega$ . By (3.1), we have

$$J(nu) = \frac{n^p}{p} - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{nu(x)} f(x, s) ds dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{n^p}{p} - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 f(x, \xi nu(x)) nu(x) d\xi dx \\ &= \frac{n^p}{p} [1 - p \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \frac{f(x, \xi nu(x))}{(\xi nu(x))^{p-1}} \xi^{p-1} u(x)^p d\xi dx]. \end{aligned}$$

By Sobolev’s embedding theorem,  $u$  belongs to  $L^{\frac{Np}{N-p}}(\Omega)$ . By (A3),  $d|u|^p$  is integrable and  $\frac{f(x, \xi nu(x))}{|\xi nu(x)|^{p-2} \xi nu(x)} |u(x)|^p \geq d(x) |u(x)|^p$  for every integer  $n$ ,  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\xi \in (0, 1)$ . Hence, by the generalized Fatou lemma, (A3) and (A5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [1 - p \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \frac{f(x, \xi nu(x))}{|\xi nu(x)|^{p-2} \xi nu(x)} \xi^{p-1} |u(x)|^p d\xi dx] \\ &= 1 - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [p \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \frac{f(x, \xi nu(x))}{|\xi nu(x)|^{p-2} \xi nu(x)} \xi^{p-1} |u(x)|^p d\xi dx] \\ &\leq 1 - p \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\frac{f(x, \xi nu(x))}{|\xi nu(x)|^{p-2} \xi nu(x)} \xi^{p-1} |u(x)|^p] d\xi dx = -\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(nu) = -\infty$ . □

**Lemma 3.3.** *Under conditions (A2) and (A6), there is a positive real number  $C_1$  such that*

$$f(x, s)s - pF(x, s) \leq f(x, t)t - pF(x, t) + C_1 d(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, |s| \leq |t|.$$

*Proof.* By the proof of [14, Lemma 2.3], (A2) and (A6), we have

$$f(x, s)s - pF(x, s) \leq f(x, t)t - pF(x, t) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, C \leq s \leq t.$$

Let  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\xi \in [-C, C]$ . By (A2), we have

$$|f(x, \xi)\xi| \leq Cd(x), \quad |F(x, \xi)| \leq \int_0^\xi d(x) dy \leq Cd(x).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, s)s - pF(x, s) &\leq f(x, t)t - pF(x, t) + 2(1 + p)Cd(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, 0 \leq s \leq t \leq C, \\ f(x, s)s - pF(x, s) &\leq f(x, C)C - pF(x, C) + 2(1 + p)Cd(x) \\ &\leq f(x, t)t - pF(x, t) + 2(1 + p)Cd(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, 0 \leq s \leq C \leq t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the lemma when  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . Similarly we obtain it if  $t \leq s \leq 0$ . □

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume (A1)–(A3), (A5), (A6) hold. Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that  $\{J(u_n)\}$  is bounded and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \|u_n\|_{1,p}) \|DJ(u_n)\| = 0$ . Then  $\{u_n\}$  has a subsequence converging in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* We shall use the technique in [14, 16]. If  $\{u_n\}$  is unbounded, up to a subsequence we may assume that for some  $c$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lim_n \|u\|_{1,p} = \infty$ ,  $\lim_n J(u) = c$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{1,p} \|DJ(u_n)\| = 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (\frac{1}{p} f(x, u_n(x)) u_n(x) - F(x, u_n(x))) dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (J(u_n) - \frac{1}{p} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle) = c. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Put  $w_n = \|u_n\|_{1,p}^{-1}u_n$  for every  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , by replacing  $\{u_n\}$  by its subsequence, we can suppose  $\{w_n\}$  converges weakly to  $w$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  (resp. strongly in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , pointwisely in  $\Omega$ ).

Consider the case  $w = 0$ . By the continuity of  $J$ , there is  $t_n$  in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $J(t_n u_n) = \max\{J(su_n) : s \in [0, 1]\}$  for every positive integer  $n$ . Fix a positive integer  $m$  and put  $v_n = (2pm)^{1/p}w_n$  for every positive integer  $n$ . Then  $\{v_n\}$  converges weakly to 0 in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  (resp. strongly in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , pointwisely in  $\Omega$ ). Therefore, by Theorem 2.9,  $\{N_F((v_n))\}$  converges to  $N_F(0) = 0$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F(x, v_n(x)) dx = 0.$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2pm)^{1/p} \|u_n\|_{1,p}^{-1} = 0$ , there is an integer  $N_m$  such that  $t_n \in [0, 1]$  and

$$J(t_n u_n) \geq J(v_m) = 2m - \int_{\Omega} F(x, v_m) \geq m \quad \forall n \geq N_m,$$

that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(t_n u_n) = \infty$ . Since  $J(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(u_n) = c$ , it implies  $t_n \in (0, 1)$  for any sufficiently large  $n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(t_n u_n)|^p - \int_{\Omega} f(x, t_n u_n) t_n u_n dx &= \langle J'(t_n u_n), t_n u_n \rangle \\ &= t_n \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_n} J(t, u_n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{p} f(x, u_n(x)) u_n(x) - F(x, u_n(x)) \right) dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{p} f(x, t_n u_n(x)) t_n u_n(x) - F(x, t_n u_n(x)) \right) dx - C_1 \|d\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{p} |\nabla t_n u_n(x)|^p - F(x, t_n u_n(x)) \right) dx - C_1 \|d\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\ &= J(t_n u_n) - C_1 \|d\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3.3).

If  $w \neq 0$ , the Lebesgue measure of the set  $\Theta = \{x \in \Omega : w(x) \neq 0\}$  is positive. We have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n(x)| = \infty$  for every  $x$  in  $\Theta$ . Thus, By the generalized Fatou lemma, (A3) and (A5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{p} - \frac{J(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_{1,p}} \right] = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|_{1,p}^p} dx \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \int_{\Theta} \int_0^1 \frac{f(x, \xi u_n(x))}{|\xi u_n(x)|^{p-2} \xi u_n(x)} |\xi w_n(x)|^p d\xi dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Theta} \int_0^1 d_1 |\xi w_n(x)|^p d\xi dx \right] \\ &\geq \int_{\Theta} \int_0^1 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, \xi u_n(x))}{(|\xi u_n(x)|^{p-2} \xi u_n(x))} |\xi w_n(x)|^p d\xi dx \\ &\quad - \|d_1\|_{L^{\frac{n}{p}}(\Omega)} \|w_n\|_{L^{\frac{np}{n-p}}(\Omega)} = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. In any case, we obtain a contradiction. Therefore  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded. By Theorem 2.8, there is a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{u_n\}$  such that  $\{u_{n_k}\}$

weakly converges to  $u$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\{N_f(u_{n_k})\}$  weakly converges to  $N_f(u)$  in  $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)$ . Arguing as in the proof of [4, Lemma 6.2], we see that  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  converges to  $u$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of theorem 1.1.* Using the Mountain-pas theorem with the Cerami condition, by Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4, we obtain a non-trivial weak solution for the problem (1.1).  $\square$

**Example 3.5.** Let  $N = 5$ ,  $p = 3$ ,  $r = 4$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^5 : \|x\| < 1\}$ ,

$$\omega_0(x) = |x|^{-1/30} \cos(16|x|) \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

$$\omega_1(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \|x\|^2\right)^2 (1 - \|x\|^2)^{-7/6} \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

$$\varphi_0(t) = \begin{cases} |t|^{r-2}t(1 - |t|) & \text{if } |t| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |t| \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-1, 1], \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_1(t) = |t|^{p-2}t\varphi_1(t) \log(1 + |t|) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$f(x, t) = \omega_0(x)^{r-1}\varphi_0(t) + \omega_1(x)^{r-1}\varphi_1(t) \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $\omega = |\omega_0| + \omega_1$ ,  $C = 1$ ,  $d(x) = |x|^{-\frac{1}{30}}$ ,  $d_1(x) = -d(x)$  and  $d_2(x) = |x|^{-\frac{1}{30}}$  for every  $x$  in  $\Omega$ . We see that  $d \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $d_1 \in L^{\frac{N}{p}}(\Omega)$  and  $d_2 \in L^1(\Omega)$ . By Examples 2.5 and 2.7,  $\omega$  is in  $\mathcal{K}_{p,r}$ . Thus  $f$  satisfies conditions (A1)–(A5). Since  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \omega_0(x) = \infty$  and  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \omega_1(x) = 0$ , the convergence in (A4) and (A5) are not uniform on  $\Omega$ .

We have  $\frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p-2}t} = \omega_1(x)(|t| - 1) \log(1 + |t|)$  for every  $t \in [-2, 2] \setminus [-1, 1]$  and  $\frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p-2}t} = \omega_1(x) \log(1 + |t|)$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-2, 2]$ . Thus  $f$  satisfies (A6). Therefore we can apply Theorem 1.1 to  $f$  with  $C = 1$  respectively. Since  $\omega^{r-1}(x) \geq (1 - \|x\|^2)^{-\frac{21}{16}}$  for every  $x$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\omega^{r-1}$  is not integrable on  $\Omega$ . Therefore the results in [1, 5, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17] can not be applied to solve (1.1) in these cases.

**Acknowledgements.** This work was supported by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.02-2014.04.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, P. H. Rabinowitz; *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal., **14** (1973), 349-381.
- [2] J. Appell, P. Zabrejko; *Nonlinear superposition operators*. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [3] H. Brezis; *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Springer, 2011.
- [4] D. G. De Figueiredo; *Lectures on the Ekeland variational principle with applications and detours*, Tata Institute of Foundational research, Bombay, 1989.
- [5] G. Dinca, P. Jebelean, J. Mawhin; *Variational and topological methods for Dirichlet problems with  $p$ -Laplacian*, Portugaliae Mathematica, **58** (2001), 339 -378.
- [6] D. M. Duc.; *Nonlinear singular elliptic equations*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **40** (1989), 420-440.
- [7] D. M. Duc, N. T. Vu; *Non-uniformly elliptic equations of  $p$ -Laplacian type*. Nonlinear Analysis, **61** (2005), 1483-1495.
- [8] M. A. Kranosel'skii; *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*, Macmillan, New York, 1964.
- [9] A. Kufner; *Weighted Sobolev spaces*, Wiley, New York, 1985.
- [10] A. Kufner, O. John, S. Fucik; *Function spaces*, Noordhoff, Leyden 1977.

- [11] G. Li, C. Wang; *The existence of a non-trivial solution to a nonlinear elliptic problem of linking type without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition*, *Annales Acad. Scientiarum Fenn. Math.*, **36** (2011), 461-480.
- [12] S. Li, S. P. Wu, H. S. Zhou; *Solutions to semilinear elliptic problems with combined nonlinearities*, *Journal of Differential Equations*, **185** (2002), 200-224.
- [13] S. B. Liu; *Existence of solutions to a superlinear  $p$ -Laplacian equation*, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **66** (2001), 1-6.
- [14] S. Liu; *On superlinear problems without the Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz condition*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **73** (2010), 788-795.
- [15] V. G. Mazja; *Sobolev spaces*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [16] O. Miyagaki, M. Souto; *Super-linear problems without Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz growth condition*, *J. Differential Equations* **245** (2008), 3628-3638.
- [17] P. De Nápoli, M. C. Mariani; *Mountain pass solutions to equations of  $p$ -Laplacian type*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **54** (2003), 1205-1219.
- [18] B. Opic, A. Kufner; *Remark on compactness of imbeddings in weighted spaces*, *Math. Nachr.*, **133** (1987), 63-70.

DUONG MINH DUC  
UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES, VIETNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 227 NGUYEN VAN CU Q5, HOCHIMINH  
CITY, VIETNAM  
*E-mail address:* dmduc@hcmus.edu.vn