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ABSTRACT<br>METRIZATION THEOREMS<br>Monika Bender, B.S.<br>Southwest Texas State University<br>November 1999<br>SUPERVISING PROFESSOR: Sukhjit Singh

The goal of this study is to prove The Urysohn Metrization Theorem. This paper represents an introduction to topological spaces with the focus on metric spaces. We provide a background in set theory and function theory first, then proceed introducing the distance function and looking at some examples of metric spaces, especially the Euclidean n-space. The overview of topological spaces in general leads us to product spaces. Our study of connectedness and separability of topological spaces paves the way to the separation by continuous functions. In conclusion, the proofs of Urysohn's Lemma and The Tietze Extension Theorem enable us to prove The Urysohn Metrization Theorem.

## Chapter 1

## BACKGROUND

This chapter begins with a table containing the explanations of symbols used throughout this paper. It furthermore provides a collection of definitions and propositions selected from topics of set theory and some basics of function theory.

### 1.1 Table of Symbols

| SYMBOL: | DEFINITION: |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | set of integers |
| $\mathbb{R}$ | set of real numbers |
| $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ | Cartesian plane |
| $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ | Euclidean space of $n$-dimensions |
| $\mathbb{H}$ | Hilbert space |
| $\theta$ | origin of $n$-dimensional Euclidean space |
| $(x, y),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ | ordered pair, ordered $n$-tuple |


| SYMBOL: | DEFINITION: |
| :---: | :---: |
| $A^{C}$ | complement of set $A$ |
| $A^{\prime}$ | set of all limit points of a set $A$ |
| $\bar{A}$ | closure of $A$ |
| $\epsilon$ | an element of, belongs to |
| $\notin$ | not an element of |
| $\Sigma$ | summation |
| $\Pi$ | product of sets |
| $(a, b)$ | open interval, segment, subset of the real number line |
| $[a, b]$ | closed interval, subset of the real number line |
| $\{a, b, c, \ldots n\}$ | set or collection of elements |
| $\{a\}$ | singleton set |
| $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ | sequence |
| $\emptyset$ | empty set |
| $\neq$ | not equal to |
| $<, \leq,>, \geq$ | inequality symbols |
| $\exists$ | there exists |
| : | given that |
| $\ni$ | such that |
| $\subset$ | subset of a set |
| $\cup, \cup$ | union of sets |
| $\cap, \cap$ | intersection of sets |

## SYMBOL: DEFINITION:

| $f: X \rightarrow Y$ | function from a set $X$ to a set $Y$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $f^{-1}$ | inverse of a function $f$ |
| $\forall$ | for every |
| $\Rightarrow$ | implies |
| $\Leftrightarrow$ | if and only if |
| $\therefore$ | conclusion, therefore |
| $f \circ g$ | function composition |
| $I$ where $I \subset \mathbb{Z}^{+}$ | indexing set |

### 1.2 Set Theory

Definition 1 A set is a collection of elements. $A$ is a subset of $B, A \subset B$, if every element of $A$ is in $B . A=B$ if and only if $A \subset B$ and $B \subset A$.

Definition 2 Then the union of sets $A$ and $B$ is a set consisting of all elements $x$ which belong to at least one of the sets $A$ and $B: A \cup B=\{x: x \in A$ or $x \in B\}$.

Definition 3 Suppose $A, B$ are sets. The intersection of $A$ and $B$ is a set consisting of all elements $x$ which belong to both $A$ and $B: \quad A \cap B=\{x: x \in A$ and $x \in B\}$.

Definition 4 Suppose $A, B$ are sets. $A$ and $B$ are disjoint if $A \cap B=\emptyset$.

Definition 5 Suppose $A$ and $B$ are sets. The set difference $B \backslash A$ is the set of all points of $B$ which do not belong to $A: \quad B \backslash A=\{x: x \in B$ and $x \notin A\}$.

Definition 6 The complement of $A \subset X$ is the set $A^{C}=\{x: x \in X$ and $x \notin A\}$.

Definition 7 A number $u$ is an upper bound for a set $A$ of real numbers provided that $a \leq u$ for all $a \in A$. If there is a smallest upper bound $u_{0}$ for $A$, an upper bound $u_{0}$ less than all other upper bounds for $A$, then $u_{0}$ is called the least upper bound of $A$, denoted by lubA. A number $l$ is a lower bound for a set $A$ of real numbers provided that $l \leq a$ for all $a \in A$. If there is a largest lower bound $l_{0}$ for $A$, that is, $a$ lower bound greater than all other lower bounds for $A$, then $l_{0}$ is called the greatest lower bound of $A$, denoted by glb $A$.

Proposition 8 De Morgan's Laws: Let $X$ be a set and let $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{\imath \in I}$ be a family of subsets of $X$. Then, $\quad\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_{i}\right)^{C}=\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{2}^{C} \quad$ and $\quad\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}\right)^{C}=\bigcap_{i \in I} A_{i}^{C}$.

### 1.3 Functions

Definition 9 Let $X$ and $Y$ be two sets. A function from $X$ to $Y$ is a rule which assigns to each member $x \in X$ a unique member $y=f(x) \in Y$, and $f$ is denoted by $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

Definition 10 The Cartesian plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}=\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 11 The Cartesian product $A \times B=\{(a, b): a \in A$ and $b \in B\}$. Infinite products are defined in Chapter 4.

Definition 12 Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are sets, $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Then,

1. $f(A)=\{y \in Y: y=f(x)$ for some $x \in A\}$ is the image of $A$ under $f$.
2. $f^{-1}(B)=\{x \in X: f(x) \in B\}$ is the inverse image of $B$ under $f$.
3. $f$ is one-to-one, if for $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$ and $x_{1} \neq x_{2}, f\left(x_{1}\right) \neq f\left(x_{2}\right)$.
4. $f$ is onto if $f(X)=Y$.

Definition 13 Suppose $A_{2}$ is a set, $i \in I$ where $I$ is an indexing set. Then,

1. $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{\imath}=\left\{x: x \in A_{\imath}, \quad\right.$ for at least one $\left.i \in I\right\}$.
2. $\bigcap_{2 \in I} A_{\imath}=\left\{x: x \in A_{\imath}, \forall i \in I\right\}$.

Proposition 14 Suppose $f: X \rightarrow Y, A_{1}, A_{2} \subset X$, and $B_{1}, B_{2} \subset Y$. Then,

1. $f\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)=f\left(A_{1}\right) \cup f\left(A_{2}\right)$.
2. $\quad f\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right) \subset f\left(A_{1}\right) \cap f\left(A_{2}\right)$.
3. $f^{-1}\left(B_{1} \cup B_{2}\right)=f^{-1}\left(B_{1}\right) \cup f^{-1}\left(B_{2}\right)$.
4. $f^{-1}\left(B_{1} \cap B_{2}\right)=f^{-1}\left(B_{1}\right) \cap f^{-1}\left(B_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 15 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, and let $\left\{A_{\imath}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of subsets of $X$, and $\left\{B_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of subsets of $Y$. Then,

1. $f\left(\bigcup_{\imath \in I} A_{\imath}\right)=\bigcup_{\imath \in I} f\left(A_{\imath}\right)$.
2. $f\left(\bigcap_{\imath \in I} A_{\imath}\right) \subset \bigcap_{\imath \in I} f\left(A_{2}\right)$.
3. $f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{\jmath \in J} B_{j}\right)=\bigcap_{\jmath \in J} f^{-1}\left(B_{j}\right)$
4. $f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\jmath \in J} B_{\jmath}\right)=\bigcup_{\jmath \in J} f^{-1}\left(B_{\jmath}\right)$.

Proposition 16 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Let $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$. Then, 1. $A \subset f^{-1}(f(A))$.
2. $f\left(f^{-1}(B)\right) \subset B$.
3. if $f$ is one-to-one, then $f^{-1}(f(A))=A$.
4. if $f$ is onto, $\quad$ then $f\left(f^{-1}(B)\right)=B$.

Proposition 17 If $f$ is one-to-one and onto, then $f^{-1}$ is one-to-one and onto.

Definition 18 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$. The composite function $g \circ f:$ $X \rightarrow Z$ is defined by $(g \circ f)(x)=g(f(x))$ for $x \in X$.

Definition 19 Let $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and let $r$ be a positive number. The open ball $B(a, r)$ with center a and radius $r$ is the set $B(a, r)=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: d(a, x)<r\right\}$ where the distance between $a$ and $x$ is defined by $d(a, x)=\sqrt{\left(a_{1}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{2}-x_{2}\right)^{2}}$.

Definition 20 A set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is open if it is the union of some family of open balls.

Definition $21 A$ set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is closed if its complement $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash A$ is open.

## Chapter 2

## METRIC SPACES

In this section we define the distance function and the metric space, then focus on the Euclidean space showing that the Euclidean Metric is indeed a metric. We also include the definition for the discrete metric, among other definitions, and a proof that shows that the conditions of the definition of the metric are safisfied for the discrete metric.

Definition 22 Let $X$ be a set and $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that for all $x, y, z \in X, d(x, y) \geq 0$, and the conditions

1. $\quad d(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$.
2. $\quad d(x, y)=d(y, x)$.
3. $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z)$
hold, then $d$ is a metric or distance function on $X \times X$ and $d(x, y)$ is the distance from $x$ to $y$.

Definition 23 The set $(X, d)$ denotes a metric space.

Definition 24 Euclidean $n$-space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, d\right)$ or the usual topology for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the set $\mathbb{R}^{n}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right.$ for $\left.i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ with the metric $d$ defined for each $n$ by
$d(x, y)=\sqrt{\left(\sum_{\imath=1}^{n}\left(x_{\imath}-y_{\imath}\right)^{2}\right)}, \quad x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Proposition 25 The Euclidean Metric on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is indeed a metric.

Proof:
$a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), \quad b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right), \quad d(a, b)=\sqrt{\left(\sum_{\imath=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}-b_{\imath}\right)^{2}\right)}$.

1. $d(a, b)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left(a_{i}-b_{\imath}\right)^{2}=0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \quad \Rightarrow a_{i}=b_{\imath} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$ $a_{\imath}=b_{\imath} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(a, b)=0$

$$
\therefore \quad d(a, b)=0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad a_{i}=b_{\imath} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} .
$$

2. $\left(a_{\imath}-b_{\imath}\right)^{2}=\left(b_{\imath}-a_{i}\right)^{2} \Rightarrow \sqrt{\left(\sum_{\imath=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)^{2}\right)}=\sqrt{\left(\sum_{\imath=1}^{n}\left(b_{\imath}-a_{2}\right)^{2}\right)}$

$$
\therefore \quad d(a, b)=d(b, a)
$$

3. To show that $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z)$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, it suffices to study the three sides of the triangle in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Consider a triangle in the plane. Place the triangle with one of its vertices at the origin and, if needed, rotate the triangle such that one of its sides coincides with the positive side of the $x$-axis.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A-b & \leq B \\
b & \leq C
\end{aligned} \quad \Rightarrow A-b+b \leq B+C \quad \Rightarrow A \leq B+C
$$




Triangle Inequality
Choosing a set of arbitrary three points $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we can construct a triangle with each of $x, y, z$ as one of the vertices. Three points determine a plane, thus the triangle resides in a plane $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ whose properties correspond to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then, our triangle with the vertices $x, y, z$ existing in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ is equivalent to the triangle explored in the above example for $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. By induction then, the triangle inequality holds for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
$\therefore$ Since $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z)$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ holds, the Euclidean Metric is indeed a metric.

The triangle inequality can also be proved using the Minkowski Inequality which can be derived from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. One version of the proof is found on page 57 [1] and a different version is utilizing La Grange multipliers [11].

Definition 26 Let $X$ be a set and define $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $d(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0 & \text { if } x=y \\ 1 & \text { if } x \neq y\end{array}\right.$. Then d is called the "discrete metric."

Proposition 27 The discrete metric $d$ on $X \times X$ as defined above is indeed a metric.

Proof: Suppose $x, y, z \in X$.

Need to show: 1) $d(x, y)=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow x=y$
2) $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$
3) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z)$.

1) Suppose $d(x, y)=0$ and assume $x \neq y$.
$x \neq y \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(x, y)=1$. But, by hypothesis, $d(x, y)=0$.
$d(x, y)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x=y \quad$ By way of contraposition.
$\therefore \quad d(x, y)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=y$.
2) (i) Suppose $x=y$.

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
x=y & \Rightarrow d(x, y)=0 & \text { and since }(x=y) \equiv(y=x), \\
y=x & \Rightarrow d(y, x)=0 & \\
& \Rightarrow d(x, y)=d(y, x)=0 &
\end{array}
$$

(ii) Suppose $x \neq y$.

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
x \neq y & \Rightarrow d(x, y)=1 \quad \text { and since }(x \neq y) \equiv(y \neq x) \\
y \neq x & \Rightarrow d(y, x)=1 \\
& \Rightarrow d(x, y)=d(y, x)=1
\end{array}
$$

$\therefore \quad d(x, y)=d(y, x)$ holds.
3) Cases to examine:
(i) $x=y=z$
(ii) $\quad x \neq y, y \neq z$, and $x \neq z$.
(iii) $x=y$, but $x \neq z$.

The case where $x=z$, but $y \neq z$ is similar and we omit the proof.
(i) $\quad x=y=z \quad \Rightarrow d(x, y)=d(y, z)=d(x, z)=0$

$$
\Rightarrow d(x, z)=d(x, y)+d(y, z)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (ii) } \left.\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Suppose } & x \neq y, y \neq z, \text { and } x \neq z . \\
& \Rightarrow d(x, z)=1 \\
x \neq z & \Rightarrow d(x, y)=1 \text { and } d(y, z)=1 \\
& \Rightarrow d(x, z)<d(x, y)+d(y, z)=2 \\
\text { (iii) } & \text { Suppose } \\
& x=y \text { and } x \neq z . \\
& \Rightarrow d(x, z)=1 \\
& x=y \text { and } y \neq z
\end{array}\right) \Rightarrow d(x, y)=0 \text { and } d(y, z)=1 \\
& \\
\therefore & d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z)
\end{array}
$$

$\therefore$ The function $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the definition for a metric. Therefore, the discrete metric is indeed a metric.

Definition 28 Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $A \subset X$. A point $x \in X$ is a limit point of $A$ if every open set containing $x$ contains a point of $A$ distinct from $x$. The derived set $A^{\prime}$ is the set of limit points of $A$.

Definition 29 Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, $A \subset X$ and $A \neq \emptyset$.. If $\{d(x, y): x, y \in A\}$ has an upper bound, then $A$ is called a bounded set and lub $\{d(x, y): x, y \in A\}=$ $D(A)$ is called the diameter of $A$. The diameter of the empty set is zero. If the set $X$ is bounded, then $(X, d)$ is a bounded metric space.

Definition 30 Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, $A \subset X$ be non-empty, and $x \in X$. The distance $d(x, A)$ from $x$ to $A$ is defined by $d(x, A)=g l b\{d(x, y): y \in A\}$.

Definition 31 Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, $a \in X$, and $r \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. The open ball $B_{d}(a, r)$ is the set $B_{d}(a, r)=\{x \in X: d(a, x)<r\}$, with center $a$ and radius $r$.

The closed ball is the set $B_{d}[a, r]=\{x \in X: d(a, x) \leq r\}$, with center a and radius $r$. When dealing with a single metric, we use the notation $B(a, r)$ and $B[a, r]$.

Definition 32 Let $(X, d)$ and $\left(Y, d^{\prime}\right)$ be metric spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a function. Then $f$ is continuous at a point $a \in X$ if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there is a $\delta>0$ such that if $x \in X$ and $d(x, a)<\delta$, then $d^{\prime}(f(x), f(a))<\varepsilon$. A function is continuous if it is continuous at each point of its domain.

## Chapter 3

## TOPOLOGICAL SPACES,

## GENERAL

In this chapter we consider topological spaces from a general perspective. Here, examples of topological spaces with different kinds of topologies are given, definitions are included, and several proofs are worked out.

Definition 33 Let $X$ be a set and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a family of subsets of $X$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. The set $X$ and the empty set $\emptyset$ belong to $\mathcal{T}$.
2. The union of any family of members of $\mathcal{T}$ is a member of $\mathcal{T}$.
3. The intersection of any finite family of members of $\mathcal{T}$ is a member of $\mathcal{T}$.

Then $\mathcal{T}$ is a topology for $X$ and the members of $\mathcal{T}$ are open sets. The ordered pair $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is called a topological space. Often we refer to the topological space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ simply as "the space $X$."

Example 34 A topology induced on a set $X$ by a metric is a metric topology.
A set with the metric topology is a metric space.

Example 35 For a set $X$, the topology generated by the discrete metric (Def. 26) is the discrete topology. In the discrete topology, every subset of $X$ is open. Thus, the discrete topology consists of all open subsets of $X$, and it is the largest possible collection of open subsets of $X$. A set with the discrete topology is a discrete space.

Example 36 The topology $\mathcal{T}_{T}=\{X, \emptyset\}$ is called the trivial or indiscrete topology.

Example 37 The topology $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ consisting of $\emptyset$ and all $O \subset X$ for which $X \backslash O$ is finite is called the finite complement topology.

Definition 38 Let $(X, \mathcal{T})$ be a topological space. A basis $\mathcal{B}$ for $\mathcal{T}$ is a subcollection of elements of $\mathcal{T}$ such that each element of $\mathcal{T}$ is a union of elements of $\mathcal{B}$. The elements of $\mathcal{B}$ are called basic open sets, and $\mathcal{T}$ is the topology generated by $\mathcal{B}$. Usually we refer to $\mathcal{B}$ as the basis for $X$.

Proposition 39 Let $X$ be a set on which the discrete metric is defined. The topology $\mathcal{T}_{X}$ induced by the discrete metric on the set $X$ is the discrete topology.

PROOF:

Let $x \in X$. Then $\forall y \in X \ni y \neq x, d(x, y)=1 \quad$ Def. 26
$\Rightarrow \quad B\left(x, \frac{1}{2}\right)=\{x\} \quad$ and $\quad B\left(y, \frac{1}{2}\right)=\{y\}$ are open balls.
Let $U \subset X$, and $u_{\imath} \in U$ for $i \in I$. Then, $U=\bigcup_{i \in I}\left\{u_{\imath}\right\}=\bigcup_{\imath \in I} B\left(u_{i}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.
$\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ is an open ball $\forall i \in I \Rightarrow\left\{u_{\imath}\right\}$ is an open set $\forall i \in I$
$\Rightarrow U \subset X$ is open (arbitrary union of open sets is open)
$\Rightarrow X$ is a union of open sets
$\Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X}$ is the discrete topology
$\therefore$ The topology induced on a set $X$ by the discrete metric is the discrete topology.

Definition 40 A subset $C$ of a topological space $X$ is closed provided its complement $X \backslash C$ is open.

Definition 41 Let $(X, \mathcal{T})$ be a topological space and $A \subset X$. A point $x \in X$ is a limit point of $A$ if every open set containing $x$ contains a point of $A$ distinct from $x$. The set of limit points $A^{\prime}$ is called the derived set of $A$.

Definition 42 Let $A$ be a subset of a topological space $X$. A point $x \in A$ is an interior point of $A$ if there is an open set $O$ containing $x$ and contained in $A$. The interior of $A$ is the set of all interior points of $A$. The closure of $A$ is the set $\bar{A}=A \cup A^{\prime} . A$ point $x \in X$ is a boundary point of $A$ if $x$ belongs to both $\bar{A}$ and $\overline{X \backslash A}$. The set of boundary points of $A$ is called the boundary of $A$.

Definition 43 Let $A$ be a subset of a topological space $X$. A collection $\mathcal{O}=\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}$ of subsets of $X$ is an open cover of $A$ if $\bigcup\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ contains $A$. A subcover derived from an open cover $\mathcal{O}$ is a subcollection $\mathcal{O}$ ' of $\mathcal{O}$ whose union contains $A$. An open cover of a space $X$ is a family of open subsets of $X$ whose union is $X$.

Definition 44 A topological space $X$ is compact if every open cover of $X$ has a finite subcover. $X$ is countably compact if every countable open cover of $X$ has
a finite subcover. $X$ is a Lindelöf space if every open cover of $X$ has a countable subcover.

Lemma 45 If there exists a basis $\mathcal{B}$ for a topological space $X$ such that every open cover of $X$ by elements of $\mathcal{B}$ has a finite subcover, then $X$ is compact

PROOF:
Let $X$ be a space and $\mathcal{B}$ be a basis for $X$ such that every open cover by elements of $\mathcal{B}$ has a finite subcover.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an open cover of $X$ composed of elements of $\mathcal{B}, x \in X$.
Then there is an open set $\mathcal{O}_{x} \in \mathcal{O}$ that contains $x$.
$\Rightarrow \exists B_{x} \in \mathcal{B} \ni x \in B_{x} \subset \mathcal{O}_{x}$ (basis)
$\Rightarrow$ the collection $\left\{B_{x}: x \in X\right\}$ is an open cover of $X$ which by hypothesis has a finite subcollection $\left\{B_{x_{\imath}}\right\}_{2=1}^{n}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Then, $\left\{B_{x_{\imath}}\right\}_{v=1}^{n}$ is a finite subcover that covers $X$. The corresponding collection $\left\{\mathcal{O}_{x_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is a finite subcover of $\mathcal{O}$ that covers $X$. $\therefore \quad X$ is a compact space.

Definition $46 A$ subset $A$ of a space $X$ is dense in $X$ if for each point $p \in X, p$ is a limit point of $A$. Thus, $A$ is dense in $X$ if $\bar{A}=X$. If $X$ has a countable dense subset, then $X$ is a separable space.

Example $47 \mathbb{R}$ is a separable space since the set of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ is a countable dense subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 48 A family $\mathcal{B}$ of subsets of a set $X$ is a basis for some topology for $X$ if and only if both of the following conditions hold:

1. $\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B=X$.
2. $\forall B_{1}, B_{2} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $x \in B_{1} \cap B_{2}, \exists B_{x} \in \mathcal{B} \ni x \in B_{x} \subset\left(B_{1} \cap B_{2}\right)$.

Definition 49 Let $(X, \mathcal{T})$ be a space, $a \in X$. A local basis at $a$ is a subcollection $\mathcal{B}_{a}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ such that

1. a belongs to each member of $\mathcal{B}_{a}$
2. for each open set $Q$ containing a, there is a member $r$ of $\mathcal{B}_{a}$ such that $r \in Q$.

Definition 50 A space $X$ is first countable provided that there is a countable local basis at each point of $X$. The space $X$ is second countable provided the topology of $X$ has a countable basis.

Definition 51 Let $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ ' be bases for topologies $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ ' for a set $X$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ are equivalent bases provided that the topologies $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ ' are identical.

Definition 52 Let $(X, \mathcal{T})$ be a space. A subcollection $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ is a subbasis for $\mathcal{T}$ if the family $\mathcal{B}$ of all finite intersections of members of $\mathcal{S}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{T}$.

Definition 53 A function $f:(X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow\left(Y, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right)$ is continuous means that for each open set $V$ in $Y, f^{-1}(V)$ is an open set in $X$.

Proposition 54 Suppose $\left(X, \mathcal{T}_{X}\right)$ and $\left(Y, \mathcal{T}_{Y}\right)$ are topological spaces and $\mathcal{T}_{X}$ is the discrete topology. Then any function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous.

PROOF:
Let $f$ be a function from $X$ to $Y$ and $O \in \mathcal{T}_{Y}$ be an open set. Note that $O \subset f(X)$.
$f$ is a function $\quad \Rightarrow \quad f^{-1}(O)$ exists and $f^{-1}(O) \in \mathcal{T}_{X}$
$\mathcal{T}_{X}$ is discrete $\quad \Rightarrow \quad f^{-1}(O) \subset X$ is open
$f^{-1}(O) \in \mathcal{T}_{X} \quad \forall O \in \mathcal{T}_{Y} \quad \Rightarrow \quad f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous.
$\therefore$ If $\mathcal{T}_{X}$ is the discrete topology, then any function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous.

Definition 55 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function on the indicated spaces. Then $f$ is an open function if for each open set $O \subset X, f(O)$ is open in $Y$. The function $f$ is a closed function if for each closed set $C \subset X, f(C)$ is closed in $Y$.

Proposition 56 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous function between the spaces $\left(X, \mathcal{T}_{X}\right)$ and $\left(Y, \mathcal{T}_{Y}\right)$. Then $f^{-1}(F)$ is a closed subset of $X$ for every closed subset $F$ of $Y$.

PROOF:

Let $F \subset Y$ be closed. Then $Y \backslash F$ is open and $f^{-1}(Y \backslash F) \subset X$ is open since $f$ is continuous.

To complete the proof, it suffices to show: $f^{-1}(Y \backslash F)=X \backslash\left(f^{-1}(F)\right)$.
(a) Let $x \in f^{-1}(Y \backslash F)$.
$\Rightarrow x \notin f^{-1}(F) \quad \Rightarrow x \in\left[X \backslash f^{-1}(F)\right] \quad \Rightarrow f^{-1}(Y \backslash F) \subset X \backslash f^{-1}(F)$.
(b) Let $z \in f^{-1}(F)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow z \notin f^{-1}(Y \backslash F) \quad \Rightarrow z \in\left[X \backslash\left(f^{-1}(Y \backslash F)\right)\right] \\
& \Rightarrow f^{-1}(F) \subset X \backslash\left(f^{-1}(Y \backslash F)\right) \quad \Rightarrow\left(X \backslash f^{-1}(F)\right) \subset\left(f^{-1}(Y \backslash F)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the results of (a) and (b): $\quad f^{-1}(Y \backslash F)=X \backslash\left(f^{-1}(F)\right)$.
$\Rightarrow X \backslash\left(f^{-1}(F)\right)$ is open, and
$f^{-1}(Y \backslash F)$ is open $\quad \Rightarrow X \backslash f^{-1}(Y \backslash F)$ is closed, and

$$
X \backslash f^{-1}(Y \backslash F)=f^{-1}(F) \quad \Rightarrow f^{-1}(F) \subset X \text { is a closed set. }
$$

$\therefore \quad f^{-1}(F)$ is a closed subset of $X$ for every closed subset $F$ of $Y$.

Definition 57 Topological spaces $X$ and $Y$ are topologically equivalent or homeomorphic if there is a one-to-one and onto function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that each of $f$ and $f^{-1}$ is continuous. The function $f$ is called a homeomorphism.

Definition 58 If space $X$ is homeomorphic to a subspace $A$ of $Y$, then $X$ is said to be embedded in $Y$ and the homeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow A \subset Y$ is an embedding of $X$ in $Y$.

Definition 59 A topological space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is metrizable if and only if there exists a metric $d$ for $X$ such that the metric topology generated by $d$ is identical to the original topology $\mathcal{T}$.

Definition 60 A topological space $X$ is a Hausdorff space if for each pair $a, b$ of distinct points of $X$ there exist disjoint open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $a \in U$ and $b \in V$.

Theorem 61 Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Then, there exist two open sets $U, V \subset X$ in $\mathcal{T}$ such that $x \in U, y \in V$, and $U \cap V=\emptyset$. Thus, every metric space is Hausdorff.

PROOF:
$x \neq y \quad \Longrightarrow d(x, y)>0 . \quad$ Define $\varepsilon<\frac{1}{2} d(x, y)$.
$\exists B(x, \varepsilon) \subset X$, and $\exists B(y, \varepsilon) \subset X$, and $B(x, \varepsilon) \cap B(y, \varepsilon)=\emptyset$.
Suppose the intersection is not empty. Assume $z \in B(x, \varepsilon) \cap B(y, \varepsilon)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(x, z)<\varepsilon \text { and } d(z, y)<\varepsilon \\
& d(x, y) \leq d(x, z)+d(z, y)<\varepsilon+\varepsilon<2\left(\frac{1}{2} d(x, y)\right)=d(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

But, $d(x, y) \nless d(x, y)$; contradiction, means the assumption was false.
Call $U=B(x, \varepsilon)$ and $V=B(y, \varepsilon)$.
$U$ is an open set containing $x$,
$V$ is an open set containing $y$, and
$U \cap V=\emptyset$.
$\therefore \quad$ Any metric space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is Hausdorff.

Proposition 62 The indiscrete topology on a set with more than one element is not metrizable.

PROOF:
For simplicity, we prove the statement holds for a set of two elements. Let $X=\{a, b\}$. The indiscrete topology on $X$ is the set $\{X, \emptyset\}=\{\{a, b\}, \emptyset\}$.

For any metric on $X, d(a, b)=\varepsilon>0$, and
$B\left(b, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)=\{a\} \quad$ and $\quad B\left(b, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)=\{b\} \quad$ are open sets which are members of the metric topology on $X$.

But, $\{a\} \notin\{X, \emptyset\} \quad$ and $\quad\{b\} \notin\{X, \emptyset\}, \quad$ and thus the metric topology generated by $d$ is not identical with the original indiscrete topology.
$\therefore$ The indiscrete topology on a set with more than one element is not metrizable.

Definition 63 A topological space $X$ is disconnected or separated if it is the union of two disjoint, non-empty open sets. Such a pair $A, B$ of subsets of $X$ is called $a$ separation of $X$. A space $X$ is connected if it is not disconnected.

## Chapter 4

## PRODUCT SPACES

Chapter Four is dedicated to product spaces. Connectedness and separability of topological spaces and the properties of products of topological spaces are introduced and surveyed.

Definition $64 \operatorname{Let}\left(X_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}\right),\left(X_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, \mathcal{T}_{n}\right)$ be a non-empty collection of topological spaces, and let $X$ denote the Cartesian product
$X=\Pi X_{\imath}=X_{1} \times X_{2} \times \cdots \times X_{n}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}: x_{\imath} \in X_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots n\right\}$.
Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a family of all subsets of $X$ of the form $O=\prod_{\imath=1}^{n} O_{\imath}=O_{1} \times O_{2} \times \cdots \times O_{n}$ where each set $O_{2}$ is an open set in the topology $\mathcal{T}_{i}$ for $X_{i}$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis for a topology $\mathrm{T}_{X}$ for $X$. This topology is called the product topology, and the set $\left(X, \mathrm{~T}_{X}\right)$ is a product space. The spaces $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are the coordinate spaces or factor spaces of $X$. Since each point $x \in X$ is of the form $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, $x_{\imath} \in X_{\imath}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists a function $p_{i}: X \rightarrow X_{i}$ where $1 \leq i \leq n$, defined by $p_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{2}$. This function $p_{i}$ is called the $i$ th projection map.

Theorem 65 The continuous image of a connected set is connected.

Lemma 66 The projection map $p_{\imath}: X \rightarrow X_{\imath}$ from a product space to the factor spaces is continuous.

PROOF: The following is a summary of the proof given in [1].
Define $p_{\imath}: X \rightarrow X_{\imath}$ where $X=X_{1} \times X_{2} \times \cdots \times X_{n}$. Let $O_{\imath} \subset X_{\imath}$ be open.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{i}^{-1}\left(O_{i}\right)=\left(X_{1} \times X_{2} \times \cdots X_{i-1} \times O_{i} \times \cdots \times X_{n}\right) \subset X \\
& p_{\imath}^{-1}\left(O_{\imath}\right) \text { is a finite product of open sets } \Rightarrow p_{i}^{-1}\left(O_{\imath}\right) \text { is an open set } \\
& \Rightarrow p_{\imath} \text { is continuous. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore$ The projection map from a product space to the factor spaces is continuous.

Theorem 67 The product of two Hausdorff spaces is a Hausdorff space.

PROOF: Suppose each of $X$ and $Y$ is a Hausdorff space.

Let $(a, b),(c, d) \in X \times Y \ni(a, b) \neq(c, d)$.
$(a, b) \neq(c, d) \Rightarrow a \neq c$, or $b \neq d$. Assume $a \neq c$ (argument is similar for $b \neq d)$.
$X$ Hausdorff $\quad \Rightarrow \exists U, V \subset X \ni a \in U, c \in V, U \cap V=\emptyset$.
$(a, b) \in U \times Y, \quad(c, d) \in V \times Y, \quad(U \times Y) \cap(V \times Y)=\emptyset$.
$\therefore$ The product of two Hausdorff spaces is a Hausdorff space.


Product of Hausdorff Spaces

Theorem 68 The product $X \times Y$ is connected if and only if each of $X$ and $Y$ is a connected space.

PROOF:
$\rightarrow$ Let each of $X$ and $Y$ be connected, $a \in X$ and $b \in Y$.
Define $\quad Z: X \times Y \rightarrow$ subspace of $X \times Y$ as follows.
$Z_{(a, b)}=X \times\{b\} \cup\{a\} \times Y=\{(p, q): p \in X$ and $q=b$ or $p=a$ and $q \in Y\}$
Each of $X$ and $Y$ connected $\Rightarrow$ each of $X \times\{b\}$ and
$\{a\} \times Y \quad$ is connected byThm. 65 .


## Product of Connected Spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cup Z_{(a, b)}=\bigcup(X \times\{b\}) \cup(\{a\} \times Y) \\
& \Rightarrow \quad\{a\},\{b\} \in Z_{(a, b)} \forall a \in X \text { and } \forall b \in Y . \\
\Rightarrow & X \times Y \text { is connected. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\leftharpoonup \quad$ Suppose $X \times Y$ is connected.
Define $p_{X}: X \times Y \rightarrow X, p_{Y}: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$, by $(x, y) \xrightarrow{p_{X}} x$ for $x \in X$ and $(x, y) \xrightarrow{p_{Y}} y$ for $y \in Y$.

The projection maps from a product space to the coordinate spaces are continuous by Lemma 66.
$\Rightarrow$ each of $X$ and $Y$ is connected by Thm. 65
$\therefore \quad X \times Y$ is connected $\Leftrightarrow$ each of $X$ and $Y$ is connected.
Inductively, the product of a finite number of connected spaces is connected if and only if each of the factor spaces is itself connected.

Theorem 69 Let $X$ and $Y$ be separable spaces. Then the product $X \times Y$ is separable.

PROOF: Let $A \subset X$ be countable and dense, $B \subset Y$ be countable and dense.
Let $Q$ be and open subset of $X \times Y$ where $Q=U \times V$ for $U \subset X$ is open and $V \subset Y$ is open.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X \text { separable } \Rightarrow \exists a \in U \ni a \in A \subset X \\
& Y \text { separable } \Rightarrow \exists b \in V \ni b \in B \subset Y
\end{aligned}
$$

Pick $a \in U \ni a \in A \subset X$ and $b \in V \ni b \in B \subset Y$.
$\Rightarrow \exists(a, b) \in U \times V \ni(a, b) \in A \times B \subset X \times Y$
$\Rightarrow A \times B$ is dense in $X \times Y$
each of $A$ and $B$ is countable $\Rightarrow A \times B \subset X \times Y$ is countable.
$\therefore X \times Y$ is a separable space by Def.46.

Theorem 70 (1) The product of a finite number of first countable spaces is first countable.
(2) The product of a finite number of second countable spaces is second countable.

PROOF: It suffices to prove that the theorem holds for $n=2$.
(1) Let $A, B$ be first countable spaces, and $(a, b) \in A \times B \quad \Rightarrow a \in A, b \in B$.

Let $W \subset A \times B$ be open $\in(a, b) \in W$.
$\Rightarrow \exists$ open sets $U \subset A$ and $V \subset B \ni(U \times V) \subset W$ and $a \in U, b \in V$.
$A$ first countable $\Rightarrow \exists$ a countable basis $\mathcal{A}$ at $a$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is the collection of open sets $\left\{O_{i}\right\}_{\imath=1}^{\infty}$ where $a \in O_{\imath} \subset U$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$,
$B$ first countable $\Rightarrow \exists$ a countable basis $\mathcal{B}$ at $b$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the collection of open sets
$\left\{P_{\jmath}\right\}_{\jmath=1}^{\infty}$ where $b \in P_{\jmath} \subset V$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$,
$\Rightarrow(a, b) \in\left(O_{2} \times P_{3}\right) \subset(U \times V) \subset W$
$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ countable $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ countable $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ is a countable local basis at $(a, b)$.
$\therefore \quad A \times B$ is a first countable space.
(2) Let each of $X$ and $Y$ be a second countable space.
$X$ second countable $\quad \Rightarrow \exists$ countable basis $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ for $X$
$Y$ second countable $\quad \Rightarrow \exists$ countable basis $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ for $Y$.
Define $\mathcal{B}=\left\{U \times V: U \in\left\{U_{\imath}\right\}_{l=1}^{\infty}, V \in\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}\right\}$.
Since each of $\left\{U_{\imath}\right\}_{\imath=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is countable, $\mathcal{B}$ is countable.
To show that $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis for $X \times Y$, use Thm. 48:
(a) $\bigcup_{\imath=1}^{\infty} U_{\imath} \times \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_{j}=X \times Y$
(b) Let $\left(U_{2} \times V_{j}\right),\left(U_{r} \times V_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{B}$, and $(a, b) \in\left(U_{\imath} \times V_{j}\right) \cap\left(U_{r} \times V_{s}\right)$
$\left(U_{i} \times V_{\jmath}\right) \cap\left(U_{r} \times V_{s}\right)$ is an open set, and
$\left(U_{\imath} \times V_{j}\right) \cap\left(U_{r} \times V_{s}\right)=\left(U_{\imath} \cap U_{r}\right) \times\left(V_{\jmath} \cap V_{s}\right)$.
$\left(U_{\imath} \cap U_{r}\right)$ is an open set containing $a \Rightarrow \exists U_{a} \subset\left(U_{\imath} \cap U_{r}\right) \ni U_{a} \in\left\{U_{2}\right\}_{2=1}^{\infty}$ and $a \in U_{a}$
$\left(V_{\jmath} \cap V_{s}\right)$ is an open set containing $b \Rightarrow \exists V_{b} \subset\left(V_{j} \cap V_{s}\right) \ni V_{b} \in\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $b \in V_{b}$
$\Rightarrow(a, b) \in\left(U_{a} \times V_{b}\right), \quad\left(U_{a} \times V_{b}\right) \subset\left(U_{\imath} \times V_{j}\right) \cap\left(U_{r} \times V_{s}\right), \quad$ and $\quad\left(U_{a} \times V_{b}\right) \in \mathcal{B}$
$\Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a basis for a topology of $X \times Y$
$\Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a countable basis for $X \times Y$
$\therefore \quad X \times Y$ is a second countable space.

Theorem 71 The product of a finite number of compact spaces is compact.

PROOF: Suppose each of $X, Y$ is a compact space.
Let $\mathcal{B}=\{U \times V: U \subset X, V \subset Y$, and each of $U, V$ is open $\}$ be a basis for $X \times Y$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be an open cover for $X \times Y$ where
$\left\{U_{2}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an open cover for $X$, and $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is an open cover for $Y$
$X$ compact $\Rightarrow \exists$ a finite subcover $\left\{U_{2}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ that covers $X$
$Y$ compact $\Rightarrow \exists$ a finite subcover $\left\{V_{\jmath}\right\}_{\jmath=1}^{m}$ that covers $Y$
$\Rightarrow\left\{U_{i} \times V_{j}: U_{\imath} \in\left\{U_{\imath}\right\}_{\imath=1}^{n}\right.$ and $V_{j} \in\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ for $\left.i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}\right\}$is a finite subcover of $\mathcal{B}$ that covers $X \times Y$. By Lemma $45, X \times Y$ is compact.
$\therefore$ If each of $X$ and $Y$ is a compact space, then $X \times Y$ is a compact space. Inductively, the product of a finite number of compact spaces is compact.

## Chapter 5

## SPACES OF PARTICULAR

## TYPES

In this chapter, topological spaces will be studied and categorized according to the properties that arbitrary pairs of subsets of a topological space have in relation to each other. In particular, these are the properties determining whether a pair of subsets can be enclosed in a pair of disjoint open subsets of a space. These properties are called separation properties.

Definition $72 \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{0}}$-space $A$ space $X$ is a $T_{\mathbf{0}}$-space if for each pair $a, b \in X$, there exists an open set $U \subset X$ such that $a \in U$, but $b \notin U$.
$\mathbf{T}_{1}$-space $A$ space $X$ is a $T_{1}$-space if for each pair $a, b \in X$, there exist open sets $U, V \subset X$ such that $a \in U$ but $b \notin U$, and $b \in V$ but $a \notin V$.
$\mathbf{T}_{2}$-space, Hausdorff space $A$ space $X$ is a $T_{2}$-space if for each pair $a, b \in X$, there exist open sets $U, V \subset X$ such that $a \in U, b \in V$, and $U \cap V=\emptyset$.
$\mathbf{T}_{3}$-space, regular space is a $T_{1}$-space $X$ such that for each closed set $C \subset X$ and each point $a \notin C$, there exist open sets $U, V \subset X$ such that $a \in U, C \subset V$, and $U \cap V=\emptyset$.
$\mathbf{T}_{4}$-space, normal space is a $T_{1}$-space $X$ with the property that for each pair of closed sets $A, B \subset X, A \cap B=\emptyset$ there exist open sets $U, V \subset X$ such that $A \subset U$, $B \subset V$, and $U \cap V=\emptyset$.

Theorem $73 A T_{1}$ space $X$ is regular if and only if for each $a \in X$ and for each open set $U$ containing $a$, there exists an open set $W$ containing a such that $\bar{W} \subset U$.

PROOF:
$\rightarrow$ Suppose $X$ is regular. Let $a \in X$ and $U \subset X$ such that $a \in U$.
$\Rightarrow X \backslash U$ is closed and $a \notin X \backslash U$
$X$ regular $\Rightarrow \exists W, V \subset X$ are open and disjoint $\ni a \in W$ and $(X \backslash U) \subset V$
$V$ open $\Rightarrow X \backslash V$ is closed
$W \subset(X \backslash V)$ and $\quad X \backslash V$ closed $\quad \Rightarrow \bar{W} \subset(X \backslash V)$
$W \subset \bar{W} \subset(X \backslash V) \subset X \backslash(X \backslash U)=U$
$\Rightarrow \bar{W} \subset U$
$\leftharpoonup$ Supppose $\forall a \in X$ and $\forall$ open set $U$ containing $a, \exists W$ open $\ni a \in W$ and $\bar{W} \subset U$.
Let $a \in X$ and $C \subset X$ be a closed set $\ni a \notin C$.
$C$ closed $\Rightarrow X \backslash C$ open
Define $U=X \backslash C$
$\Rightarrow a \in U$ and, by hypothesis, $\exists W$ open $\ni a \in W$ and $\bar{W} \subset U$.
$\Rightarrow X \backslash \bar{W}$ is open and $C \subset(X \backslash \bar{W})$
$W \subset \bar{W} \quad$ and $\quad \bar{W} \cap(X \backslash \bar{W})=\emptyset \quad \Rightarrow W \cap(X \backslash \bar{W})=\emptyset$
$a \in W, C \subset(X \backslash \bar{W})$, and $W \cap(X \backslash \bar{W})=\emptyset \quad \Rightarrow X$ is regular.
$\therefore X$ regular $\Leftrightarrow \forall a \in X$ and $\forall$ open set $U$ containing $a, \exists W$ open set containing $a$ and $\ni \bar{W} \subset U$.

Theorem $74 A T_{1}$ space $X$ is regular if and only if for each $a \in X$ and each $C \subset X$ closed, with a $\notin C$, there exist open sets $U, V \subset X$ such that $a \in U, C \subset V$, and $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V}=\emptyset$.

PROOF:

- Suppose $X$ is regular.

Let $a \in X, C \subset X$ be closed, and $a \notin C$.
$C$ closed $\Rightarrow X \backslash C$ open
$a \notin C \quad \Rightarrow a \in X \backslash C$
$\Rightarrow \exists W$ open $\ni a \in W, \bar{W} \subset(X \backslash C)$, and $\exists U$ open $\ni a \in U, \bar{U} \subset W$ by Thm. 73
$\bar{U} \subset W \subset \bar{W} \subset(X \backslash C) \quad \Rightarrow C \subset X \backslash \bar{W}$ where $X \backslash \bar{W}$ is open
Define $V=X \backslash \bar{W} . \quad \Rightarrow \bar{V}=\overline{X \backslash \bar{W}}$
$W \cap(X \backslash \bar{W})=\emptyset \quad$ and $\quad \bar{U} \subset W \quad \Rightarrow \bar{U} \cap \overline{X \backslash \bar{W}} \subset W \cap(\overline{X \backslash \bar{W}})=\emptyset$
$\Rightarrow \bar{U} \cap \bar{V}=\emptyset$
$\leftharpoonup$ Suppose for each $a \in X$ and closed set $C \subset X, a \notin C, \exists$ open sets $U, V \subset X \ni$ $a \in U, C \subset V$, and $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V}=\emptyset$.

By definition, $X$ is regular, and $X$ is normal.
$\therefore \quad X$ is regular $\Leftrightarrow \forall a \in X$ and $\forall C \subset X$ closed, $\ni a \notin C$, there exist open sets $U, V \subset X \ni a \in U, C \subset V$, and $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V}=\emptyset$.

Theorem $75 A T_{1}$ space $X$ is normal if and only if $\forall c l o s e d ~ A \subset X$ and open $U$ containing $A$, there exists an open set $W$ containing $A$ such that $\bar{W} \subset U$.

PROOF: - Suppose $X$ is normal. Let $A \subset X$ be closed and $U$ be an open set containing $A$.
$U$ open $\Rightarrow X \backslash U$ closed
$X$ normal $\Rightarrow \exists W, V$ open $\ni A \subset W,(X \backslash U) \subset V$, and $W \cap V=\emptyset$
$(X \backslash U) \subset V \quad \Rightarrow(X \backslash V) \subset U$
$A \subset W \subset \bar{W} \subset(X \backslash V) \subset U \Rightarrow \exists W$ open, $A \subset W, \ni \bar{W} \subset U$.
$\leftharpoondown$ Let each of $A, B \subset X$ be closed and $A \cap B=\emptyset$.
Let $U \subset X$ be open $\ni A \subset U$ and $W_{1}$ be an open set $\ni A \subset W_{1} \subset \overline{W_{1}} \subset U$.
$U$ open $\Rightarrow X \backslash U$ closed
$\overline{W_{1}}$ closed $\Rightarrow X \backslash \overline{W_{1}}$ open
$\overline{W_{1}} \subset U \quad \Rightarrow X \backslash U \subset X \backslash \overline{W_{1}}$
$\overline{W_{1}} \cap X \backslash \overline{W_{1}}=\emptyset$ and $W_{1} \subset \overline{W_{1}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad W_{1} \cap X \backslash \overline{W_{1}}=\emptyset$
Let $V=X \backslash \overline{W_{1}}$. So, $V$ is open and $B \subset V$.
$\exists W_{2}$ open $\ni B \subset W_{2} \subset \overline{W_{2}} \subset V$
$\overline{W_{2}} \cap X \backslash \overline{W_{2}}=\emptyset$ and $W_{2} \subset \overline{W_{2}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad W_{2} \cap X \backslash \overline{W_{2}}=\emptyset$
$W_{1} \cap V=\emptyset$ and $W_{2} \subset V \quad \Rightarrow \quad W_{1} \cap W_{2}=\emptyset$
$W_{1} \cap W_{2}=\emptyset$ and $A \subset W_{1}$ and $B \subset W_{2} \quad \Rightarrow X$ is normal by definition.
$\therefore X$ normal $\Leftrightarrow \forall A \subset X$ closed and $\forall U$ open $\ni A \subset U, \exists W$ open and $A \subset W$
$\ni \bar{W} \subset U$.

Theorem $76 A T_{1}$ space $X$ is normal if and only if $\forall$ pair $A, B$ of disjoint closed sets in $X$, there exist open sets $U, V$ such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V, \bar{U} \cap \bar{V}=\emptyset$.

PROOF:

- Suppose $X$ is normal.

Let $A, B \subset X$ be closed and disjoint.
$X$ normal $\Rightarrow \exists$ open $S, W \subset X \ni A \subset S, B \subset W, S \cap W=\emptyset$
$\Rightarrow \exists$ open $U \ni A \subset U$ and $\bar{U} \subset S$ by Thm. 75
$\Rightarrow \exists$ open $V \ni B \subset V$ and $\bar{V} \subset W$ by Thm. 75
$S \cap W=\emptyset, \bar{U} \subset S$, and $\bar{V} \subset W$
$\Rightarrow \bar{U} \cap \bar{V}=\emptyset$.
$\leftharpoonup$ Suppose $A, B \subset X$ are closed, the sets $U, V \subset X$ are open
$\ni A \subset U, B \subset V$, and $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V}=\emptyset$.
Thus, $A \subset U \subset \bar{U}$, and $B \subset V \subset \bar{V}$, and $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V}=\emptyset \quad \Rightarrow U \cap V=\emptyset$ $\Rightarrow X$ is normal.
$\therefore \quad X$ is normal $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall A, B$ closed in $X \ni A \cap B=\emptyset, \exists U, V$ open $\ni A \subset U, B \subset V, \bar{U} \cap \bar{V}=\emptyset$.

Theorem 77 Every regular Lindelöf space is normal. The proof of this theorem is given on page 238 in [1].

## Chapter 6

## SEPARATION BY

## CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

In this chapter, one method of constructing the set of dyadic numbers is given, along with the proof of its denseness in the real number system. Furthermore, the instrumental tools for the proof of The Urysohn's Metrization Theorem-Urysohn's Lemma and The Tietze Extension Theorem-are proved here.

Definition 78 Suppose $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function on a space $X$ and $A, B \subset X$. Then $f$ separates $A$ and $B$ if there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \neq b$, such that $f(A)=a$ and $f(B)=b$.

Definition 79 A function that separates a singleton set $\{x\}$ from a set $B$ separates the point $x$ from the set $B$.

Definition 80 A function that separates the singletons $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ separates the points $x$ and $y$.

Definition 81 A dyadic number is a rational number $\frac{m}{2^{k}}, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, in its reduced form.

Example 82 The following is a method of constructing the set of dyadic numbers $D_{0}$ contained in the unit interval $[0,1]$.

First, divide $[0,1]$ into two subintervals of equal length. The midpoint $\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}$ is the first member of $D_{0}$. Next, divide each of the two resulting intervals into two subintervals of equal length. The set of midpoints obtained in this step $\left\{\frac{1}{2^{2}}, \frac{3}{2^{2}}\right\}$ is a subset of $D_{\mathbf{0}}$. Continue this process of halving the intervals obtained in each step; union each set of midpoints of the intervals from the preceeding step with the set of dyadic numbers obtained in the preceeding steps as illustrated below.

Step Sets formed
$1 \quad\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}$
$2 \quad\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2^{2}}, \frac{3}{2^{2}}\right\}$
$3 \quad\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2^{2}}, \frac{3}{2^{2}}\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2^{3}}, \frac{3}{2^{3}}, \frac{5}{2^{3}}, \frac{7}{2^{3}}\right\}$
$4 \quad\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2^{2}}, \frac{3}{2^{2}}\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2^{3}}, \frac{3}{2^{3}}, \frac{5}{2^{3}}, \frac{7}{2^{3}}\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2^{4}}, \frac{3}{2^{4}}, \frac{5}{2^{4}}, \frac{7}{2^{4}}, \frac{9}{2^{4}}, \frac{11}{2^{4}}, \frac{13}{2^{4}}, \frac{15}{2^{4}}\right\}$
$\vdots \quad \vdots$
$k \quad\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2^{2}}, \frac{3}{2^{2}}\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2^{3}}, \frac{3}{2^{3}}, \frac{5}{2^{3}}, \frac{7}{2^{3}}\right\} \cup \cdots \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}, \ldots, \frac{2^{k-1}-1}{2^{k-1}}\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2^{k}}, \ldots, \frac{2^{k}-1}{2^{k}}\right\}$
After $k$ iterations, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, the interval $[0,1]$ will be divided into $2^{k}$ subintervals of length $\frac{1}{2^{k}}$ whose endpoints are dyadic numbers and are members of $D_{0} \subset[0,1]$.

Note: Moving along the real number line and repeating the above procedure for any interval of the form $[n, n+1], n \in \mathbb{Z}$ will produce the set of dyadic numbers $D_{n} \subset[n, n+1]$. Thus, the set of all dyadic numbers is $D=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{n}$.

Lemma 83 The set of dyadic numbers is dense in $\mathbb{R}$.

PROOF:

Define $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ to be the set of dyadic numbers.
Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon>0$.
$D$ is dense if there exists a point $a \in D \ni a \in(x-\varepsilon, x+\varepsilon)$.
The length of the resulting intervals with dyadic endpoints in the construction of the set of dyadic numbers after $k$-iterations is $\frac{1}{2^{k}}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$(Example82).
$\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}}=0 \Rightarrow \exists[a, b] \subset(x-\varepsilon, x+\varepsilon)$ with $a, b \in D$ $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ were arbitrary $\Rightarrow \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon>0, \exists a \in D \ni x \in[a, b]$.
$\therefore$ The set of dyadic numbers is a dense subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 84 Given a space $X, D \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$dense such that $\forall t \in D \exists$ open $U_{t} \subset X \ni$

1) if $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then $\bar{U}_{t_{1}} \subset U_{t_{2}}$, and
2) $\cup_{t \in D} U_{t}=X$,
then $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x)=$ glb $\left\{t \in D: x \in U_{t}\right\}, x \in X$, is continuous.

PROOF: We proceed by following the outline of proof in [1].
It suffices to show:
(1) $f$ is well defined, and (2) $f^{-1}[I]$ is open $\forall I=(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$.
(1) $x \in X \quad \Rightarrow x \in U_{t}$ for some $t \in D$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D \subset \mathbb{R}^{+} & \Rightarrow f(x)=g l b\left\{t \in D: x \in U_{t}\right\} \neq \emptyset & & \mathbb{R}^{+} \text {is bounded below } \\
& \Rightarrow f(x) \text { is unique } & & \text { GLB is unique }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore \quad f$ is well defined.
(2) Define $I_{1}=(-\infty, b)$ and $I_{2}=(a, \infty)$ such that $a<b$. Then $I_{1} \cap I_{2}=(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

To prove $f^{-1}[(a, b)] \subset X$ is open $\forall(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, we will first show that
(a) $f^{-1}\left[I_{1}\right]$ is open and (b) $f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right]$ is open by showing that $X \backslash f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right]$ is closed.
(a) $f^{-1}\left[I_{1}\right]=\{x \in X: f(x)<b\}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(x)<b & \Rightarrow x \in U_{t} \text { for some } t \in D \\
& \Rightarrow f\left[U_{t}\right] \subset I_{1} \\
& \Rightarrow f^{-1}\left[I_{1}\right]=\{x \in X: f(x)<b\}=\bigcup_{t \in D}\left\{U_{t}: t<b\right\} \\
& \Rightarrow \bigcup_{t \in D}\left\{U_{t}: t<b\right\} \text { open }
\end{array}
$$

$\therefore \quad f^{-1}\left[I_{1}\right]$ is open in $X$.
(b) $f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right]=\{x \in X: f(x)>a\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad X \backslash f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right]=\{x \in X: f(x) \leq a\}$

WTS: $X \backslash f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right]=\{x \in X: f(x) \leq a\}=\bigcap_{t \in D}\left\{\widetilde{U}_{t}: t>a\right\}$ $x \in\{x \in X: f(x) \leq a\} \quad \Rightarrow f(x)=g l b\left\{t \in D: x \in U_{t}\right\} \leq a$
$D$ dense

$$
\Rightarrow f(x) \leq a<t
$$

$$
f(x)<t \quad \Rightarrow x \in U_{t} \forall t>a
$$

$$
U_{t} \subset \bar{U}_{t} \quad \Rightarrow x \in \bar{U}_{t} \forall t>a
$$

$$
\Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{t \in D}\left\{\bar{U}_{t}: t>a\right\}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow\{x \in X: f(x) \leq a\} \subset \bigcap_{t \in D}\left\{\bar{U}_{t}: t>a\right\}
$$

$x \in \bigcap_{t \in D}\left\{\bar{U}_{t}: t>a\right\} \quad \Rightarrow x \in \bar{U}_{t} \forall t>a, t \in D$
Suppose $x \in U_{t} \quad$ since $U_{t} \subset \bar{U}_{t}$

$$
\Rightarrow f(x)=g l b\left\{t \in D: x \in U_{t}\right\} \leq t \quad \forall t>a
$$

$a<t$

$$
\Rightarrow f(x) \leq a
$$

$$
\Rightarrow x \in\{x \in X: f(x) \leq a\}=X \backslash f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right]
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \bigcap_{t \in D}\left\{\bar{U}_{t}: t>a\right\} \subset X \backslash f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right]
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
X \backslash f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right] \subset \bigcap_{t \in D} & \left\{\bar{U}_{t}: t>a\right\} \\
\bigcap_{t \in D}\left\{\bar{U}_{t}: t>a\right\} & \subset X \backslash f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right]
\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow X \backslash f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right]=\bigcap_{t \in D}\left\{\bar{U}_{t}: t>a\right\}, ~ \begin{aligned}
\bar{U}_{t} \text { closed } \forall t \in D & \Rightarrow \bigcap_{t \in D}\left\{\bar{U}_{t}: t>a\right\} \text { closed } \\
& \Rightarrow X \backslash f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right] \text { closed } \\
& \Rightarrow f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right] \subset X \text { open }
\end{aligned}
$$

Each of $f^{-1}\left[I_{1}\right] \subset X$ and $f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right] \subset X$ open $\quad \Rightarrow \quad f^{-1}\left[I_{1}\right] \cap f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right]$ open

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{-1}\left[I_{1}\right] \cap f^{-1}\left[I_{2}\right] & =f^{-1}\left[I_{1} \cap I_{2}\right] \\
& =f^{-1}[(-\infty, b) \cap(a, \infty)] \\
& =f^{-1}[(a, b)] \text { open }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore \quad f^{-1}[(a, b)] \subset X$ is open $\forall(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}, a<b$.
WTS: $\quad f^{-1}[O]$ open when $O \subset \mathbb{R}, O$ open.
$O=\bigcup_{j \in J} I_{j}$ where $I_{j}$ is an open interval
$f^{-1}[O]=f^{-1}\left[\bigcup_{j \in J} I_{j}\right]=\bigcup_{j \in J} f^{-1}\left[I_{j}\right]$ open $\quad$ Prop. 14
since $f^{-1}\left[I_{J}\right]$ was proved to be open.
$\therefore \quad f$ is a continuous function.

## Lemma 85 Urysohn's Lemma

Suppose $X$ is a $T_{1}$-space. The following statements are equivalent.
(a) $X$ is normal.
(b) $\forall$ pair $A, B \subset X, A \cap B=\emptyset, A, B$ closed, $\exists f: X \rightarrow[0,1]$ continuous
$\ni f(A)=\{0\}$ and $f(B)=\{1\}$.

PROOF: The following is based on the proof given in [1].
$(b) \Rightarrow(a)$ Suppose each of $A, B \subset X$ is closed, $A \cap B=\emptyset$, and $f: X \rightarrow[0,1]$ is continuous $\ni f(A)=\{0\}$ and $f(B)=\{1\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow & \exists U, V \text { open, disjoint in }[0,1] \ni 0 \in U \text { and } 1 \in V \\
f \text { continuous } \Rightarrow & f^{-1}(U), f^{-1}(V) \text { open in } X, f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V)=\emptyset \\
& \ni A \subset f^{-1}(U) \text { and } B \subset f^{-1}(V)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore \quad X$ is normal.
$(a) \Rightarrow(b)$ Suppose $X$ is normal.
Let $D \subset[0,1]$ contain dyadic numbers, $A, B \subset X$ be closed and $A \cap B=\emptyset$.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
B \text { closed } & \Rightarrow & X \backslash B \text { open and } A \subset X \backslash B & \\
X \text { normal, } A \subset X \backslash B & \Rightarrow W_{\frac{1}{2}} \supset A \ni \bar{W}_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset X \backslash B & \text { Thm. } 75 \\
A \subset W_{\frac{1}{2}} \text { and } \bar{W}_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset X \backslash B \Rightarrow & \exists W_{\frac{1}{4}} \supset A \ni \bar{W}_{\frac{1}{4}} \subset W_{\frac{1}{2}} \text { and } & \text { Thm. } 75 \\
& \exists W_{\frac{3}{4}} \supset \bar{W}_{\frac{1}{2}} \ni \bar{W}_{\frac{3}{4}} \subset X \backslash B & \\
\vdots & \vdots & &
\end{array}
$$

Continue the indicated process. Define $W_{t}=X$ for $t=1 \in D$.
By Lemma 83 , the set of dyadic numbers $D \subset[0,1]$ is dense.
$\forall t \in D \exists W_{t} \subset X \ni t_{1}<t_{2} \Rightarrow \bar{W}_{t_{1}} \subset W_{t_{2}} \quad$ and $\quad \bigcup_{t \in D} W_{t}=X$.
Define $f: X \rightarrow[0,1]$ by $f(x)=g l b\left\{t \in D: x \in W_{t}\right\}$

As shown in Lemma 84, $f$ is continuous.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \subset W_{t} \forall t \in D \quad \Rightarrow f(x)=0 \forall x \in A \quad \Rightarrow f(A)=\{0\} \\
& B \subset W_{t} \Leftrightarrow t=1 \quad \Rightarrow f(y)=1 \forall y \in B \Rightarrow f(B)=\{1\} \\
& \therefore \quad \exists \text { a continuous function } f: X \rightarrow[0,1] \ni f(A)=\{0\} \text { and } f(B)=\{1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore$ The conclusion of the Urysohn's Lemma is a true statement.
From this point on, we will refer to functions that satisfy the conditions stated in Urysohn's Lemma simply as Urysohn's functions.

Lemma 86 Suppose $A \subset B \subset X, A$ is closed in $B$, and $B$ is closed in $X$.
Then, $A$ is closed in $X$.

PROOF:

WTS: The closure of $A$ in $X, \bar{A}=A$.
$A \subset \bar{A}$ by definition, we will show that $\bar{A}=A \cup A^{\prime} \subset A$.
t suffices to show that $A^{\prime} \subset A$.

Let $a \in A^{\prime}$.
$a \in A^{\prime} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a \in B^{\prime} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a \in B \quad$ since $B$ is closed and $\bar{B}=B$.
Either $a \in A$ or $a \notin A$.
Assume $a \notin A$. This will lead to a contradiction.
Define $O=B \backslash A$.
$A$ closed $\Rightarrow O$ open and $a \in O$.
$O \cap A=\emptyset$, but $a \in A^{\prime} \quad \Rightarrow \quad A$ is not closed in $B$ contradicts the hypothesis
$\Rightarrow \quad A^{\prime} \subset A \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{A}=A$
$\therefore \quad A$ is closed in $X$.

## Theorem 87 The Tietze Extension Theorem

If a space $X$ is normal, the set $A \subset X$ is closed, and if the function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then $f$ has a continuous extension $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

PROOF: The following is an elaboration on the proof in [1]:
Suppose $X$ is a normal space, $A \subset X$ is closed, and $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
First, we indicate a breakdown of the proof by steps using diagrams to illustrate the mapping of the given closed set $A \subset X$ under $f$ and the procedure leading to the definition of the extension $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose existence and continuity we are to prove.
I. Define a homeomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow(-1,1)$, and $f^{*}=\phi \circ f$. An open interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}, a<b$, is homeomorphic to the set $\mathbb{R}$ since there is a homeomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow(a, b)$.

II. Show: $\exists F^{*}: X \rightarrow[-1,1] \ni$ for $a \in A, F^{*}(a)=f^{*}(a)$ and $F^{*}$ is continuous.

III. Show: $\exists F: X \rightarrow[-1,1] \ni$ for $a \in A, F(a)=f^{*}(a)$ and $F$ is continuous.


In summary, an element $a \in A$ is mapped as follows:

I. Suppose $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow(-1,1)$ is a homeomorphism, define $f^{*}(a)=\phi[f(a)]$, and initially assume that $f^{*}[A] \subset[-1,1]$.

Let $A_{1}=\left\{x \in A: f^{*}(x) \leq-\frac{1}{3}\right\}$ and $B_{1}=\left\{x \in A: f^{*}(x) \geq \frac{1}{3}\right\}$.
$A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ are closed subsets of $A$ since $f$ is continuous, and
$A_{1}=f^{-1}\left[\left[-1,-\frac{1}{3}\right]\right]$ and $B_{1}=f^{-1}\left[\left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right]\right]$.
$A_{1}, B_{1}$ are closed in $A$, and since $A$ is closed in $X$, then $A_{1}, B_{1}$ are closed in $X$ (L.86).

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}, B_{1} \subset X \text { closed, } A_{1} \cap B_{1}=\emptyset \Rightarrow & \exists f_{1}: X \rightarrow\left[-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right] \text { continuous } \\
& \ni f_{1}\left(A_{1}\right)=\left\{-\frac{1}{3}\right\}, f_{1}\left(B_{1}\right)=\left\{\frac{1}{3}\right\} \quad \mathrm{L} .85
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $\forall x \in A,\left|f^{*}(x)-f_{1}(x)\right| \leq \frac{2}{3}$
Define $f^{1}: A \rightarrow\left[-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$ by $f^{1}(x)=f^{*}(x)-f_{1}(x), x \in A$. Then $f^{1}$ is continuous.
Construct a sequence of continuous functions $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as follows.
There exist: $\quad \forall x \in A$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
f_{1}: X \rightarrow\left[-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right] & \ni f_{1}\left[A_{1}\right]=\left\{-\frac{1}{3}\right\} & f_{1}\left[B_{1}\right]=\left\{\frac{1}{3}\right\} & \left|f_{1}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{3} \\
f_{2}: X \rightarrow\left[-\frac{2}{9}, \frac{2}{9}\right] & \ni f_{2}\left[A_{2}\right]=\left\{-\frac{2}{9}\right\} & f_{2}\left[B_{2}\right]=\left\{\frac{2}{9}\right\} & \left|f_{2}(x)\right| \leq \frac{2}{9} \\
f_{3}: X \rightarrow\left[-\frac{4}{27}, \frac{4}{27}\right] & \ni f_{3}\left[A_{3}\right]=\left\{-\frac{4}{27}\right\} & f_{3}\left[B_{3}\right]=\left\{\frac{4}{27}\right\} & \left|f_{3}(x)\right| \leq \frac{4}{27} \\
f_{4}: X \rightarrow\left[-\frac{8}{81}, \frac{8}{81}\right] & \ni f_{4}\left[A_{4}\right]=\left\{-\frac{8}{81}\right\} & f_{4}\left[B_{4}\right]=\left\{\frac{8}{81}\right\} & \left|f_{4}(x)\right| \leq \frac{8}{81} \\
f_{5}: X \rightarrow\left[-\frac{16}{243}, \frac{16}{243}\right] & \ni f_{5}\left[A_{5}\right]=\left\{-\frac{16}{243}\right\} & f_{5}\left[B_{5}\right]=\left\{\frac{16}{243}\right\} & \left|f_{5}(x)\right| \leq \frac{16}{243} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
f_{n}: X \rightarrow\left[-\frac{2^{n-1}}{3^{n}}, \frac{2^{n-1}}{3^{n}}\right] & \ni f_{n}\left[A_{n}\right]=\left\{-\frac{2^{n-1}}{3^{n}}\right\} & f_{n}\left[B_{n}\right]=\left\{\frac{2^{n-1}}{3^{n}}\right\} & \left|f_{n}(x)\right| \leq \frac{2^{n-1}}{3^{n}}
\end{array}
$$

Define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{1}: A \rightarrow\left[-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right] \quad f^{1}(x)=f^{*}(x)-f_{1}(x) \\
& f^{2}: A \rightarrow\left[-\frac{4}{9}, \frac{4}{9}\right] \quad f^{2}(x)=f^{*}(x)-\left[f_{1}(x)+f_{2}(x)\right] \\
& f^{3}: A \rightarrow\left[-\frac{8}{27}, \frac{8}{27}\right] \quad f^{3}(x)=f^{*}(x)-\left[f_{1}(x)+f_{2}(x)+f_{3}\right] \\
& f^{4}: A \rightarrow\left[-\frac{16}{81}, \frac{16}{81}\right] \quad f^{4}(x)=f^{*}(x)-\left[f_{1}(x)+f_{2}(x)+f_{3}-f_{4}(x)\right] \\
& f^{5}: A \rightarrow\left[-\frac{32}{243}, \frac{32}{243}\right] \quad f^{5}(x)=f^{*}(x)-\left[f_{1}(x)+f_{2}(x)+f_{3}+f_{4}(x)+f_{5}(x)\right] \\
& \vdots \\
& \vdots \\
& f^{n}: A \rightarrow\left[-\frac{2^{n}}{3^{n}}, \frac{2^{n}}{3^{n}}\right] \quad f^{n}(x)=f^{*}(x)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(x) \\
& \forall x \in A,\left|f^{*}(x)-\sum_{n=1}^{k} f_{n}(x)\right| \leq\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \forall x \in X,\left|f_{n}(x)\right| \leq \frac{2^{n-1}}{3^{n}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n} \\
& \\
& \text { and since } \\
& \\
& \forall \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n-1}}{3^{n}} \quad \text { exists, and } \\
& \forall n \in \mathbb{N},\left|f_{n}(x)\right| \leq \frac{2^{n-1}}{3^{n}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|f_{n}(x)\right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n-1}}{3^{n}}=1 \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|f_{n}(x)\right| \text { exists } \\
& \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(x)\left|\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\right| f_{n}(x) \mid \Rightarrow & -1 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(x) \leq 1
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { Put } F^{*}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad F^{*}(x) \in[-1,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we show that $F^{*}$ is continuous.
Let $\varepsilon>0, x \in X$.

Let $O \subset \mathbb{R}$ be open $\ni\left(F^{*}(x)-\varepsilon, F^{*}(x)+\varepsilon\right) \subset O$.
Pick $N \in \mathbb{N} \ni \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n}<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.
$\forall n \in \mathbb{N},\left|f_{n}(x)\right| \leq \frac{2^{n-1}}{3^{n}}<\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n} \Rightarrow \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\left|f_{n}(x)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$
$f_{n}$ continuous $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists U_{x} \subset X, x \in U_{x} \ni$ $y \neq x$ and $y \in U_{x} \Rightarrow\left|f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(y)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3 N}$
Choose $y \in U_{x}, y \neq x$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& F^{*}(x)-F^{*}(y) \mid
\end{aligned}\right. & \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(x)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(y)\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} f_{n}(x)-\sum_{n=1}^{N} f_{n}(y)+\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} f_{n}(x)-\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} f_{n}(y)\right| \\
\leq & \left|\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left[f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(y)\right]\right|+\left|\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} f_{n}(x)\right|+\left|\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} f_{n}(y)\right| \\
& <N \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3 N}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}=\varepsilon
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& U_{x} \subset X \text { open, } x \in U_{x}, y \in U_{x} \Rightarrow F^{*}(y) \in\left(F^{*}(x)-\varepsilon, F^{*}(x)+\varepsilon\right) \subset O \\
& \Rightarrow F^{*}\left[U_{x}\right] \subset O \text { and } U_{x} \subset\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1}[O]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore \quad F^{*}$ is continuous.
III. Assume $f^{*}[A] \subset[-1,1]$ and $\exists y \in A \ni\left|F^{*}(y)\right|=\{1\}$.

At this point $\phi^{-1} \circ F^{*}(y)$ is undefined. To provide a solution for this discontinuity, we apply Lemma 85 once more.

Define $B=\left\{x \in X:\left|F^{*}(x)\right|=\{1\}\right\}$
$F^{*}$ continuous, $\{-1\},\{1\}$ closed $\Rightarrow B$ closed $\quad$ (inv. image)
$A, B \subset X$ closed, $A \cap B=\emptyset \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists g: X \rightarrow[0,1] \ni$

$$
g(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { for } x \in B \\
1 & \text { for } x \in A
\end{array} \quad \text { Lemma } 85\right.
$$

Each of $g$ and $F^{*}$ continuous $\quad \Rightarrow \quad g \cdot F^{*}$ continuous.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \in B & \Rightarrow g(x) \cdot F^{*}(x)=0 \cdot F^{*}(x)=0 \\
x \in A & \Rightarrow g(x) \cdot F^{*}(x)=1 \cdot F^{*}(x)=F^{*}(x) \in(-1,1) \\
& \Rightarrow g \cdot F^{*}[X] \subset(-1,1) \text { and } \phi^{-1} \circ\left[g \cdot F^{*}\right] \subset X
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $F(x)=\phi^{-1}\left[g(x) \cdot F^{*}(x)\right], x \in X$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \in A \Rightarrow F(a)=\phi^{-1}\left[g(a) \cdot F^{*}(a)\right] \quad \text { since } F^{*} \text { is continuous } \\
&=\phi^{-1}\left[1 \cdot F^{*}(a)\right] \\
&=\phi^{-1}\left[F^{*}(a)\right] \\
&=\phi^{-1}\left[f^{*}(a)\right] \\
&=f(a) \\
& F(a)=f(a) \Rightarrow F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { is a continuous extension of } f .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore$ The function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a continuous extension $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 88 The product of a countable collection of metric spaces is metrizable. The proof of this theorem can be found in[1].

## Theorem 89 The Urysohn Metrization Theorem.

Every second countable regular space is metrizable.

PROOF: The following is an elaboration of the proof in [1].
Suppose $X$ is second countable and regular.
Let $x \in X$.
$X$ regular $\quad \Rightarrow \quad X$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-space by definition
$X$ second countable $\quad \Rightarrow \quad X$ is Lindelöf
$X$ regular and Lindelöf $\Rightarrow X$ is normal.
Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable basis for $X$.
Let $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the collection of ordered pairs $(i, j) \ni \bar{B}_{i} \subset B_{j}$.
Note, $\bar{B}_{i} \cap\left(X \backslash B_{j}\right)=\emptyset$.


By Lemma 85, there exists a Urysohn function $f: X \rightarrow[0,1] \ni$
$f\left[\bar{B}_{i}\right]=\{0\}$ and $f\left[\left(X \backslash B_{j}\right]=\{1\}\right.$.
Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the collection of functions such that $\forall(i, j), \bar{B}_{i} \subset B_{j}$.
Since $B$ is countable, $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is countable.
Define $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ by $F(x)=\left(f_{1}(x), \frac{f_{2}(x)}{2}, \frac{f_{3}(x)}{3}, \cdots, \frac{f_{n}(x)}{n}, \cdots\right)$ where
$\mathbb{H}=\left\{x \in X: x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots\right)\right.$ for $\left.x_{n} \in \mathbb{R} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ni \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(x_{n}\right)^{2}<\infty\right\}$.

For each $n, 0 \leq f_{n} \leq 1$, thus $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{f_{n}(x)}{n}\right)^{2} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}$.
Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}$ exists ( $p$-series with $p>1$ ), $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{f_{n}(x)}{n}\right)^{2}$ is bounded by the convergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}$, and thus $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{f_{n}(x)}{n}\right)^{2}$ converges (term-by-term comparison).

Then, $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is well defined, and $F(x) \in \mathbb{H} \forall x \in X$.
$\therefore F(X) \subset \mathbb{H}$ and $F$ is well defined.

To complete the proof, we will show that
(1) $F$ is one-to-one,
(2) $F$ is continuous,
(3) the restricted map $F: X \rightarrow F(X)$ is open, and
(4) the function $F^{-1}: F(X) \rightarrow X$ is continuous.

Clearly, $F$ is onto its image $F(X)$.

From these properties it will follow that $X$ is homeomorphic to $F(X) \subset \mathbb{H}$.
(1) $X$ regular $\Rightarrow X$ is a $T_{1}$-space by definition
$\Rightarrow \quad X$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{0}$-space
$\Rightarrow \quad \exists B_{j} \in B \ni x \in B_{\jmath}$, but $y \notin B_{\jmath}$
$\Rightarrow \quad \exists B_{\imath} \in B \ni x \in B_{\imath}$ and $\bar{B}_{\imath} \subset B_{j}$
$\Rightarrow \quad \exists f_{n} \in\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ corresponding to $(i, j)$ such that
$f_{n}\left[\bar{B}_{i}\right]=\{0\} \quad$ and $\quad f_{n}\left[X \backslash B_{j}\right]=\{1\} \quad$ and thus
$f_{n}(x)=0 \quad$ while $\quad f_{n}(y)=1$
since $x \in \bar{B}_{i}$, and $y \notin \bar{B}_{i}$, but $y \in X \backslash B_{j}$.
Because $F(x)$ and $F(y)$ differ in their $n$-th coordinate, then $F(x) \neq F(y)$.
Thus, $x \neq y \quad \Rightarrow \quad F(x) \neq F(y)$
$\therefore$ The function $F: X \rightarrow F(X)$ is one-to-one.
(2) $F$ is continuous if and only if for every open $U \subset \mathbb{H}, F^{-1}(U) \subset X$ is open.

Let $x \in X, \varepsilon>0$, and $B(F(x), \varepsilon)$ be an open ball in $\mathbb{H}$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N} \ni$ $\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}<\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}$. Such a number $N$ exists since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}$ converges.
Since $f_{n}$ is continuous $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and continuous for $1<n \leq \mathbb{N}$, there exists a collection of open sets $O_{1}, O_{2}, O_{3}, \ldots, O_{n}, O_{N}$, such that for any $y \in O_{n}$ and $y \neq x$,
$\left|f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(y)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2 N}}$ for $1<n \leq N$.
$x \in O_{n} \forall n=1,2,3, \ldots, N \quad \Rightarrow \quad x \in \cap_{n=1}^{N} O_{n}=O$

Since $O$ is the intersection of finitely many open sets, $O$ is open in $X$.

Next, we show that $O \subset F^{-1}[B(F(x), \varepsilon)] \subset X$ by first showing that $F[O] \subset B(F(x), \varepsilon)$.

Let $y \in O$. Recall,
$F(x)=\left(f_{1}(x), \frac{f_{2}(x)}{2}, \frac{f_{3}(x)}{3}, \cdots, \frac{f_{n}(x)}{n}, \cdots\right)$ and $F(y)=\left(f_{1}(y), \frac{f_{2}(y)}{2}, \frac{f_{3}(y)}{3}, \cdots, \frac{f_{n}(y)}{n}, \cdots\right)$.
Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|F(x)-F(y)| & =\left.\left.\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\right| \frac{f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(y)}{n}\right|^{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\left.\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N}\right| \frac{f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(y)}{n}\right|^{2}+\left.\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\left|\frac{f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(y)}{n}\right|^{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left.\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N}\right| \frac{\left|f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(y)\right|^{2}}{n^{2}}\right|^{2}+\left.\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\left|\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right|^{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& <\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N}\right| f_{n}(x)-\left.f_{n}(y)\right|^{2}+\left.\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& <\left|N\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2 N}}\right)^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
|F(x)-F(y)|<\varepsilon & \Rightarrow F(y) \in B[F(x), \varepsilon] & \\
& \Rightarrow F[O] \subset B(F(x), \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{H} & & F[O] \text { is open } \\
& \Rightarrow O \subset F^{-1}[B(F(x), \varepsilon)] \subset X & & \text { Prop.16 } \\
& \Rightarrow F^{-1}[B(F(x), \varepsilon)] \subset X \text { is open } & \cup \text { of open sets }
\end{array}
$$

$\therefore \quad F: X \rightarrow F(X) \subset \mathbb{H}$ is continuous.
(3) The function $F: X \rightarrow F(X)$ is an open function if for each open set $U \subset X$, $F(U)$ is open in $F(X)$.

Let $U \subset X$ be open and $x \in U$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X \text { regular } & \Rightarrow \exists B_{i}, B_{j} \in B \ni x \in B_{i} \subset \bar{B}_{i} \subset B_{j} \subset U \\
& \Rightarrow \exists f_{n} \in\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \ni f_{n}\left[\bar{B}_{i}\right]=0, f_{n}\left[X \backslash B_{j}\right]=1 \\
x \in \bar{B}_{i},(X \backslash U) \subset\left(X \backslash B_{j}\right) & \Rightarrow f_{n}(x)=0 \text { and } f_{n}(X \backslash U)=1 .
\end{array}
$$

Let $y \in X$ such that $F(y) \in B\left(F(x), \frac{1}{n}\right) \cap F(X)$.
Then, $\quad d\left(F(x), F(y)<\frac{1}{n} \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{n}(y) \neq 1 \Rightarrow y \notin X \backslash U \quad \Rightarrow y \in U\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow \quad B\left(F(x), \frac{1}{n}\right) \cap F(X) \subset F(U) \\
& \Rightarrow \quad F(U) \text { is a union of open sets } \\
& \Rightarrow \quad F(U) \text { is an open set }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
U \subset X \text { open } \quad \Rightarrow \quad F(U) \subset F(X) \text { is open. }
$$


$\therefore F: X \rightarrow F(X)$ is an open function.
(4) To show that $F$ is a homeomorphism, we show that $F^{-1}$ is a continuous function.

Let $O \subset X$ be an open set.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F \text { open function } & \Rightarrow F(O) \subset F(X) \text { is open } \\
& \Rightarrow F\left(F^{-1}(F(O))\right)=F(O) \quad \text { by Prop. } 16 \\
& \Rightarrow F\left(F^{-1}(F(O))\right) \text { is open } \\
& \Rightarrow \text { onto } \\
F \text { one-to-one } & \Rightarrow F^{-1}(F(O))=O \quad \text { by Prop. } 16 \\
& \Rightarrow F^{-1}(F(O)) \text { is open in } X \\
& \Rightarrow F^{-1} \text { is continuous. }
\end{array}
$$

$\therefore F: X \rightarrow F(X) \subset \mathbb{H}$ is a homeomorphism by Definition 57 .
By Definition 58, $F$ is an embedding.
Observe: $F(X)$ is metrizable since it is a subspace of the metric space $\mathbb{H}$.
But, $X$ is topologically equivalent to $F(X)$ (Def.57) implies that $X$ is metrizable.
As an example, the metric on $X$ can be derived from the metric on $F(X)$ as follows. $F: X \rightarrow Y=F(X)$ where $Y$ is metrizable, defined by $d_{X}(a, b)=d_{Y}(F(a), F(b))$.
$\therefore$ The Urysohn Metrization Theorem: Every second countable regular space is metrizable is a true statement.
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