

PERFORMANCE PROPERTIES OF POLYMER MODIFIED ASPHALT (PMA)
BINDERS CONTAINING WAX ADDITIVES

by

Mithil Mazumder

A thesis submitted to the Graduate Council of
Texas State University in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Masters of Science
with a Major in Technology Management
December 2016

Committee Members:

Soon-Jae Lee, Chair

Vedaraman Sriraman

Anthony Torres

COPYRIGHT

by

Mithil Mazumder

2016

FAIR USE AND AUTHOR'S PERMISSION STATEMENT

Fair Use

This work is protected by the Copyright Laws of the United States (Public Law 94-553, section 107). Consistent with fair use as defined in the Copyright Laws, brief quotations from this material are allowed with proper acknowledgment. Use of this material for financial gain without the author's express written permission is not allowed.

Duplication Permission

As the copyright holder of this work I, Mithil Mazumder, authorize duplication of this work, in whole or in part, for educational or scholarly purposes only.

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this to my mother, Shilpi Mazumder.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to take this opportunity to express my appreciation and gratitude towards my parents, Bhudeb Mazumder and Shilpi Mazumder, for their continuous support, sacrifice, pray in order to shape my life. In addition, I would like to thank my sister, Tusti Mazumder, for her encouragement to pursue higher studies.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to my academic advisor and mentor, Dr. Soon-Jae Lee, for the academic and professional guidance and support he has provided me throughout my graduate studies. He always encourage me to do my research and his motivation help me to continue my research effectively. Not but the least I always appreciate his patience to understand my situation.

I would also like to thank the other members of my thesis committee, Dr. Vedaraman Sriraman, and Dr. Anthony Torres for their helpful assistance and advice.

I want to acknowledge Mr. Hyunhwan Kim, for his assistance and support during my Master's at Texas State University. He has always been supportive throughout my study.

Finally, I wish to acknowledge and thank the faculty and staffs in the Department of Engineering Technology for their constant support throughout my study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
ABSTRACT	xi
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
Background	1
Scope of the Study	3
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	5
Polymer Modified Asphalt Binder	5
Styrene-Butadiene-Styrene	6
Warm Mix Asphalt	6
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	8
Materials	9
Asphalt binder	9
LEADCAP	10
Sasobit	10
Addition of wax additives	11
Experimental Procedure	12
Rotational Viscometer (RV) Test	12
Dynamic Shear Rheometer (DSR) Test	14
Bending Beam Rheometer (BBR) Test	15
4. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	16
5. RESULTS	17

Rotational viscosity	17
DSR Test	23
Rutting property	23
Fatigue cracking property	27
BBR Test	30
6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	33
REFERENCES	35

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
3-1. Properties of base asphalt binder (PG 64-22)	10
3-2. Designation of binder and description.....	11
5-1. Statistical analysis results of the viscosity value as a function of binder and wax additives; (a) 135°C and (b) 120°C.....	22
5-2. Statistical analysis results of the $G^*/\sin \delta$ value as a function of binder and wax additives at 64°C (a) No aging and (b) RTFO aging	26
5-3. Statistical analysis results of the $G^*\sin \delta$ value as a function of binder and wax additives at 25°C after RTFO + PAV	29
5-4. Statistical analysis results of the stiffness value as a function of binder and wax additives at -12°C after RTFO + PAV	32
6-1. Comprehensive data	33

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3-1. Flow chart of experimental design	9
3-2. LEADCAP.....	12
3-3. Sasobit	12
3-4. Rotational viscometer	13
3-5. Dynamic Shear Rheometer (DSR)	14
3-6. Bending Beam Rheometer (BBR)	15
5-1. Viscosity of the binders with wax additives (a) 135°C and (b) 120°C.....	19
5-2. Viscosity change of control binder during 240 minutes at 135°C	20
5-3. Viscosity change of PMA binder during 240 minutes at 135°C	20
5-4. Viscosity change of control binder during 240 minutes at 120°C	21
5-5. Viscosity change of PMA binder during 240 minutes at 120°C	21
5-6. $G^*/\sin \delta$ of the binders with wax additives (No aging); (a) 64°C and (b) 76°C.....	24
5-7. $G^*/\sin \delta$ of the binders with wax additives (RTFO); (a) 64°C and (b) 76°C.....	25
5-8. $G^*\sin \delta$ at 25°C of the binders with wax additives (after RTFO+PAV).....	28

5-9. Stiffness at - 12°C of the binders with wax additives (after RTFO+PAV).....	31
5-10. m-value at - 12°C of the binders with wax additives (after RTFO+PAV).....	31

ABSTRACT

The study presents an experimental evaluation of the rheological properties of control and polymer modified asphalt (PMA) binders containing wax additives and a comprehensive comparison between these two binder types. The control and PMA binders with the additives were produced using two of the available warm asphalt processes (i.e., LEADCAP and Sasobit) and then artificially short-term and long-term aged using the rolling thin film oven (RTFO) and pressure aging vessel (PAV) procedures. Superpave binder tests were carried out on the binders through the rotational viscometer (RV), the dynamic shear rheometer (DSR), and the bending beam rheometer (BBR). In general the results of this study indicated that (1) the addition of wax additives into the control and PMA binders decreases the viscosity, as expected; (2) the reduction in viscosity was quite similar for both the binders with wax additives; (3) the percentage increase of rutting resistance due to the additives was much higher for the control binder, compared to the PMA binder; (4) both the control and PMA binders showed the similar trends in terms of fatigue cracking and low temperature cracking behavior after the addition of wax additives.

1. INTRODUCTION

Background

According to Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in 2013, direct industrial greenhouse gas emissions accounted for approximately 21% of the total emissions in the United States, making it the third largest contributor, after the Electricity and Transportation sectors due to a rise in population, economic growth, the fluctuating price of energy, technological changes and many other factors. The paving industry has its own share of emission concerns with its use of hot mix asphalt (HMA), with the major source coming from the production facility. HMA plants, regardless of its manufacturing technique (drum or batch) emit between 56,000 lbs/yr and 83,000 lbs/yr, depending on their fuel type (natural gas, oil, etc) (USEPA 2000). As a result, warm mix asphalt (WMA) technologies have been introduced to reduce the mixing and compaction temperatures for asphalt mixtures as a means of reducing production cost, energy, and most importantly pollutant emissions.

In order to improve the quality of asphalt pavements, the asphalt industry incorporated polymers into asphalt as a way to mitigate the major causes for asphalt pavement failures, including permanent deformation at high temperatures and cracking at low temperatures (Chen et al. 2002; Li et al. 1998). When a polymer and virgin asphalt are blended, the polymer strands absorb part of the low molecular weight oil fraction of the virgin asphalt and become swollen. Of the polymer modifiers, styrene butadiene styrene (SBS) originally developed by Shell Chemical Co. is widely used in the majority of the asphalt binder industry and probably the most appropriate polymer for asphalt modification

(Lavin 2003; Becker et al. 2001). SBS creates a three dimensional network within virgin asphalt phase resulting in excellent bonding strength to aggregates, which leads to a durable and long lasting pavement (Kim 2003; Adedeji et al. 1996). According to Becker et al., (2001), it is the most appropriate and used polymer for asphalt modification, followed by reclaimed tire rubber. It is the formation of critical network between the binder and SBS that increases the complex modulus, resulting the increase in rutting resistance. In 2004, Florida Department of Transportation and FHWA report that SBS benefited the cracking resistance by reducing the rate of micro-damage accumulation (Roque et al., 2004).

Due to high viscosity and improved binder coating, polymer modified asphalt (PMA) pavements have better pavement performance under high traffic applications. Polymer modifications are becoming important factors in paving industry due to their proven effects such as better resistance to rutting, fatigue damage, stripping, and thermal cracking in asphalt pavements (Wekumbura et al. 2007; Punith 2005; Chen et al. 2002). However, there have been difficulties in workability of PMA because of high viscosity of the modified binders and concern about the health issues due to high level of toxic fumes and continuous exposure of workers to high temperatures during paving operations. Also, high temperature can thermally degrade the polymer as well as cause high economic cost due to the increased fuel consumption (Roque et al. 2005; Budija et al. 2004; Zubeck et al. 2003; Newcomb, 2006).

WMA technologies allow significant reduction of mixing and compaction temperatures of asphalt mixes using proprietary chemicals. In addition, the WMA provides better working condition based on reduction in emissions in asphalt plants and fields, and there

are many other promising benefits including less fuel consumption, longer paving seasons, longer hauling distances, earlier traffic opening, reduced binder aging, and reduced cracking (Akisetty et al. 2009; Button et al. 2007; D'Angelo et al. 2008; Gandhi 2007; Hurley and Prowell 2005; Hurley and Prowell 2006; Kim et al. 2013). WMA technology can be used as a method of reducing the heat requirement for pavement operations while at the same time maintaining the integrity of the PMA binder.

Scope of the Study

The objective of this study is to investigate the rheological properties of PMA binders containing wax additives through Superpave binder tests. Control binders with wax additives were used to compare with the PMA binders. The warm PMA binders were produced with two commercial wax additives, LEADCAP and Sasobit, and artificially aged using rolling thin film oven (RTFO) and pressure aging vessel (PAV) procedures. The viscosity properties for the binders were evaluated in the original state through rotational viscometer (RV) test using different testing temperatures (135°C and 120°C) and periods (30, 120, and 240 minutes). Rutting resistance properties in the original state and after RTFO aging as well as the fatigue cracking properties at intermediate temperature after RTFO+PAV aging methods were evaluated by dynamic shear rheometer (DSR) test. Low temperature cracking properties after RTFO+PAV procedures were evaluated by bending beam rheometer (BBR) test. Figure 3-1 illustrates a flow chart of the experimental design used in this study. Most of the studies investigated the rheological properties of PMA binder by incorporating sasobit into the binder. Based on this review, it appears that the addition of LEADCAP into the PMA binder and a

comprehensive comparison between the two binder types (control and PMA) with two available wax additives (LEADCAP and sasobit) in terms of compatibility has not been reported in the previous study. This study can provide a substantial body of knowledge on such observations which may be of interest to the asphalt industry.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Polymer Modified Asphalt Binder

Asphalt modification using natural and synthetic polymers was patented in early 1843 (Thompson et al., 1979). Europe was more interested in the use of modified asphalt due to its long term impact rather than its higher initial costs. Due to the high initial cost of polymer modified asphalt (PMA), the use of PMA was limited in the United States (US). But later in the mid-1980s European technologies were adopted in the US due to the awareness for the long term economic impact. Polymers that have been used to modify the asphalt binder include styrene-butadiene-styrene (SBS), styrene-butadiene-rubber (SBR), ethylene vinyl acetate (EVA), polyethylene, and others (Yildirim, 2007). A survey conducted by state departments of transportation in 1997 concluded that 47 states out of 50 would like to start using modified binders in the future and 35 states of them would use greater amounts (Bahia et al., 1997). In 2003, a US Army Corps of Engineering study reports that for long term benefit in terms of economic and durability it is beneficial to have such pavement built with modified asphalt that can resist multiple distresses (Partl et al., 2003). In 2003, Newcomb claims that increasing content of polymer modified binders at the bottom of the asphalt layer can increase the fatigue limit of the pavement (Newcomb et al., 2003). Polymer modified binders should have the following desirable characteristics: greater elastic recovery, a higher softening point, greater viscosity, cohesive strength and ductility (Yildirim, 2007).

Styrene-Butadiene-Styrene (SBS)

Styrene-Butadiene-Styrene (SBS) is a block copolymer which can increase the elasticity of asphalt. According to Becker et al., (2001), it is the most appropriate and used polymer for asphalt modification, followed by reclaimed tire rubber. It is the formation of critical network between the binder and SBS that increases the complex modulus, resulting the increase in rutting resistance. In 2004, Florida Department of Transportation and FHWA report that SBS benefited the cracking resistance by reducing the rate of micro-damage accumulation (Roque et al., 2004). However, the addition of SBS has some drawbacks in terms of economic and technical limits. It is capable to increase the low temperature flexibility but some authors report that a decrease in strength and resistance to penetration is observed at higher temperature (Yildirim et al., 2007).

Warm Mix Asphalt

Warm mix asphalt (WMA) is produced and spread at lower temperatures than HMA. HMA is manufactured at 150-190°C whereas warm mix asphalt and half warm mix asphalt produced at 100-140°C and 60-100°C, respectively (AAPA, 2001). This is the result of adding organic additives, chemical additives and water based or water containing foaming process. These additives are categorized into three parts (i) foaming processes (divided into water-containing and water based process); (ii) addition of organic additives (Fischer-Tropsch synthesis wax, fatty acid amides, and Montan wax); (iii) addition of chemical additives (usually emulsification agents or polymers). Several studies have been performed on the incorporation of wax additives into the PMA binder (Edwards et al. 2010; Butt et al. 2010; Jamshidi et al. 2012, 2013; Kantipong et al. 2007,

2008; Kim et al. 2007, 2010, 2011, 2012; Susana et al. 2008; Hurley and Prowell, 2005).

It is evident from these studies that there are significant environmental benefits of using WMA. It reduces the asphalt plant emission and fumes and energy consumption which is beneficial for the environment as well as for the workers. Also, it is possible to add recycle scrap tires into WMA. This way the industry can produce rubberized asphalt mixtures which can reduce the mixing and compaction temperature as well as extending the performance of the pavement.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chapter 3 provides descriptions of the materials included in this study as well as the procedures used to accomplish the research objectives. Figure 3-1 illustrates the flow chart of experimental design followed during this research. This chart provides a holistic research process containing artificial aging process and specific test equipment to evaluate each property of asphalt binder. This experimental plan was selected to examine the effects of several variables on control binders and PMA binders with wax additives. The summary below shows full names and the abbreviation used in this study.

PG: Performance Grade

64-22: The binder meets the high temperature properties upto 64°C and low temperature properties down to -22°C

76-22: The binder meets the high temperature properties upto 76°C and low temperature properties down to -22°C

G*: Complex shear modulus and δ : Sine of the phase angle

PMA: Polymer Modified Asphalt

RTFO: Rolling Thin Film Oven

PAV: Pressure Aging Vessel

RV: Rotation Viscometer

DSR: Dynamic Shear Rheometer

BBR: Bending Beam Rheometer




Figure 3-1. Flow chart of experimental design

Materials

Asphalt binder

Performance grade (PG) 64-22 asphalt base binder and PMA binders containing SBS (approximately 3% by the weight of binder) were used in this study. Characteristics of the asphalt binder are presented in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1. Properties of base asphalt binder (PG 64-22)

Aging states	Test properties	PG 64-22 (unmodified)	PG 76-22 (SBS modified)
Unaged binder	Viscosity @ 135°C (cP)	531	3244
	G*/sin δ @ 64°C (kPa)	1.4	5.9
	G*/sin δ @ 76°C (kPa)	-	1.9
RTFO aged residual	G*/sin δ @ 64°C (kPa)	2.5	13.7
	G*/sin δ @ 76°C (kPa)	-	3.3
RTFO+PAV Aged residual	G* sin δ @ 25°C (kPa)	2558	3650
	Stiffness @ -12°C (MPa)	287	285
	m-value @ -12°C	0.307	0.302

LEADCAP

The LEADCAP is an organic additive of a wax-based composition that includes crystal controller and artificial materials. The wax used in LEADCAP additive has about 110°C melting point, so the LEADCAP in asphalt binder at 130°C (Yang et al., 2012). It is to adjust crystalline degree of wax material at the low temperature (Kim et al. 2013). Figure 3-2 shows LEADCAP used in this study.

Sasobit

Sasobit is a product of Sasol Wax and a Fischer-Tropsch (FT) wax. It is a long chain aliphatic hydrocarbon obtained from coal gasification using the Fischer-Tropsch. It is completely melted into the asphalt binder at a temperature in excess of 115°C which can

reduce the binder viscosity. After crystallization, it forms a lattice structure in the binder that is the basis of the structural stability of the binder containing Sasobit (Sasol wax).

Figure 3-3 shows Sasobit used in this study.

Addition of wax additives

LEADCAP and Sasobit were used in this study for producing the warm binders. The process involved the addition of two wax additives at specified concentration (1.5% by weight of the binder) followed by a hand mixing for 1 minute at 170°C in order to achieve consistent mixing.

Binder aging processes were then conducted by rolling thin film oven (RTFO) for 85 minutes at 163°C (ASTM D 2872) and pressure aging vessel (PAV) for 20 hours at 100°C (ASTM D 6251). Table 3-2 explains the arrangement of binders with wax additives used in this study.

Table 3-2 Designation of binder and description

Designation	Description	Method
Control	Base binder	-
Control + L	Binder with 1.5% LEADCAP	Hand mix
Control + S	Binder with 1.5% Sasobit	Hand mix
PMA	PMA binder	-
PMA + L	PMA with 1.5% LEADCAP	Hand mix
PMA + S	PMA with 1.5% Sasobit	Hand mix



Figure 3-2. LEADCAP



Figure 3-3. Sasobit

Experimental Procedure

Rotational Viscometer (RV) Test

A Brookfield rotational viscometer was utilized to determine the viscosity of each binder at 135°C per AASHTO T 316 and at 120°C (the mixing temperature generally used for warm mix asphalt). The viscosity is determined by measuring the torque required to

maintain a constant rotational speed of a cylindrical spindle while submerged in an asphalt binder sample at a constant temperature. A 10.5g binder sample was tested with a number 27 cylindrical spindle (10.5 mL) rotated with constant speed (20 rpm) for PMA binder. The control binders without modifiers were tested in accordance with the same procedure except an 8.5g sample was tested with a number 21 spindle (8mL). Three testing periods (i.e., 30, 120, and 240 minutes) were used to evaluate the viscosity change in different testing periods.

According to the Superpave binder test, the maximum viscosity of unaged asphalt binder is 3.0 Pa-s (3000 cP). Figure 3-4 shows a picture of a rotational viscometer.



Figure 3-4. Rotational viscometer

Dynamic Shear Rheometer (DSR) Test

The high temperature rheological properties of each binder were measured using a dynamic shear rheometer (DSR) per AASHTO T 315. In the DSR test, the original binders and RTFO residual binders, which were tested with a 25 mm spindle at 64°C and 76°C and parallel plate and the binders (RTFO+PAV residual) were tested using an 8 mm parallel plate at 25°C. Figure 3-5 shows a DSR testing apparatus used in this study. In the DSR test, the binders (Original, RTFO residual, and RTFO + PAV residual) were tested at a frequency of 10 radians per second which is equal to approximately 1.59 Hz. Each asphalt binder both in the original state (unaged) and short-time aged state used to determine the $G^*/\sin \delta$. The $G^*\sin \delta$ at intermediate temperature was measured to evaluate the fatigue cracking property for RTFO+PAV residual binders.



Figure 3-5. Dynamic Shear Rheometer (DSR)

BBR test was used to evaluate crack property at low temperature according to AASHTO T 313. The stiffness was measured from -12°C. Figure 3-6 shows a BBR testing apparatus used in this study.

The BBR test was conducted on asphalt beams ($125 \times 6.35 \times 12.7$ mm) at -12°C , and the creep stiffness (S) of the binder was measured at a loading time of 60s. A constant load of 100g was then applied to the beam of the binder, which was supported at both ends, and the deflection of center point was measured continuously. Testing was performed on RTFO+PAV residual samples.



Figure 3-6. Bending Beam Rheometer (BBR)

4. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

A statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) program to conduct an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) comparison with an $\alpha = 0.05$. The primary variables included the wax types (Control, LEADCAP, and Sasobit) and the binder types (Control and PMA).


The ANOVA was performed first to determine whether significant differences among sample means existed. In the analyses of this study, the significance level was .95 ($\alpha = 0.05$), indicating that each finding had a 95% chance of being true. Upon determining that there were differences among sample means using the ANOVA, the LSD was then calculated. The LSD is defined as the observed differences between two sample means necessary to declare the corresponding population means difference. Once the LSD was calculated, all pairs of sample means were compared. If the difference between two sample means was greater than or equal to the LSD, the population means were declared to be statistically different [Ott, 2001].

5. RESULTS


Rotational viscosity

The viscosity of asphalt binder at high temperature is considered to be an important property to decide working temperature because it reflects the binder's ability to be pumped through an asphalt plant, thoroughly coat aggregate in a HMA mixture, and be placed and compacted to form a new pavement surface (Asphalt Institute, 2003). Figure 5-1 shows the standard RV test results for all binders used in this study. It is clear that the addition of SBS into the asphalt binder greatly increases the binder viscosity, as expected. The results indicate that the addition of wax additives results in decreasing the mixing and compaction temperatures for all binders containing the additives. LEADCAP and Sasobit were observed to be effective to reduce the viscosity of control binder by 9.7% and 13.6%, respectively and this reduction in viscosity was remained similar in both testing temperatures. Sasobit has significant effect in reducing the viscosity of PMA binder (Edwards et al. 2010; Hurley and Prowell 2005; Kim 2007; Kim et al. 2010, 2011, 2012; Jamshidi et al. 2012, 2013; Kantipong et al. 2007; Susana et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2014). The reduction in viscosity of PMA binder at 135°C with Sasobit was approximately twice compared to the PMA binder with LEADCAP whereas at 120°C decreasing rate was 13.3% and 10.5% by the addition of Sasobit and LEADCAP, respectively. The viscosity values of PMA binders at 120°C do not meet the current maximum requirements set forth by Superpave (i.e., 3,000 cP). Although at 135°C the PMA binder shows the higher values than the requirement, whereas the PMA binder with

wax additives satisfies the requirement. Similar decreasing trend and rate of viscosity were observed at both temperatures for the control and PMA binders with wax additives. One of the benefits of WMA binder is a longer hauling distance and period. The hauling management of asphalt mixture usually depends on the binder viscosity. The viscosity test was performed for 240 minutes to evaluate the longer hauling management of control and PMA binders. This is demonstrated in Figures 5-2 and 5-3, which depict the time versus viscosity curve for 240 minutes at 135°C. As expected, PMA binders exhibited much higher viscosity values than control binders. According to Figure 5-2, the viscosity values of control binders were stabilized between 20 and 40 minutes and the viscosity values were found to have little change for the whole testing period. On the other hand, the viscosity of PMA binders steadily increased approximately after 30 to 40 minutes. The viscosity test was performed at the lower temperature of 120°C that is generally used for WMA. The viscosity changes for 240 minutes were illustrated in Figures 5-4 and 5-5 at 120°C. Generally, there was no considerable viscosity change at 120°C, similar to 135°C. However, the PMA binders showed much higher viscosity than the current requirement at 120°C. The viscosity curves of PMA binders containing LEADCAP or Sasobit were found to have increasing trends over the increasing periods of time. Also, the differences between PMA binder and PMA binders with the additives were higher compared to those at 135°C.



(a)



(b)

Figure 5-1. Viscosity of the binders with wax additives; (a) 135°C and (b) 120°C




Figure 5-2. Viscosity change of control binder during 240 minutes at 135°C




Figure 5-3. Viscosity change of PMA binder during 240 minutes at 135°C




Figure 5-4. Viscosity change of control binder during 240 minutes at 120°C




Figure 5-5. Viscosity change of PMA binder during 240 minutes at 120°C

Table 5-1. Statistical analysis results of the viscosity value as a function of binder and wax additives; (a) 135°C and (b) 120°C

(a)

Viscosity	PG 64-22			PG 76-22		
	Control	Control + L	Control + S	PMA	PMA + L	PMA + S
Control	-	S	S	S	S	S
Control + L		-	N	S	S	S
Control + S			-	S	S	S
PMA				-	S	S
PMA + L					-	S
PMA + S						-

(b)

Viscosity	PG 64-22			PG 76-22		
	Control	Control + L	Control + S	PMA	PMA + L	PMA + S
Control	-	S	S	S	S	S
Control + L		-	N	S	S	S
Control + S			-	S	S	S
PMA				-	S	S
PMA + L					-	S
PMA + S						-

N: non-significant

S: significant

The statistical significance of the change in the viscosity as a function of WMA additive and binder types was examined and results are shown in Table 5-1. The data indicated

that the binder types have a significant effect on the viscosity value at both testing temperatures (135°C and 120°C). In most cases (except for control binder: Control+L vs. Control+S), the results showed that, within each binder type, the binders have a significant difference in the viscosity depending on the WMA additive.

DSR Test

Rutting property ($G^*/\sin \delta$)

The higher $G^*/\sin \delta$ values from the DSR test indicate that the binders are less susceptible to rutting or permanent deformation at high pavement temperature (Asphalt Institute, 2003). The $G^*/\sin \delta$ values of binders in original state and after short time aging were measured at 64°C and 76°C. The results are shown in Figures 5-6 and 5-7. In general, the PMA binders resulted in the higher $G^*/\sin \delta$ than the control binders regardless of aging state. However, the percentage improvement of rutting resistance due to the addition of wax additives were observed much higher for control binders compared to PMA binders. The reason might be the PMA binder was already modified with SBS for high temperature performance so the addition of wax additives has less effect compared to the unmodified control binder. The use of wax additives into binders was observed to increase the $G^*/\sin \delta$ values in both aging states except for PMA binder with LEADCAP at 64°C during RTFO aging process.

The binders with Sasobit showed the highest values within each binder type (Control binder or PMA binder) regardless of aging and temperature which is due to the presence of wax crystals (Edwards and Redelins 2003; Edwards et al. 2006). This finding is

consistent with the previous observations (Jamshidi et al. 2013; Kim et al. 2010, 2011, 2012; Kantipong et al. 2008; Kim 2007).







Figure 5-6. $G^*/\sin \delta$ of the binders with wax additives (No aging);
(a) 64°C and (b) 76°C



(a)



(b)

Figure 5-7. $G^*/\sin \delta$ of the binders with wax additives (RTFO);
(a) 64°C and (b) 76°C

Table 5-2. Statistical analysis results of the $G^*/\sin \delta$ value as a function of binder and wax additives at 64°C (a) No aging and (b) RTFO aging

(a)

$G^*/\sin \delta$	PG 64-22			PG 76-22		
	Control	Control + L	Control + S	PMA	PMA + L	PMA + S
Control	-	S	S	S	S	S
Control + L		-	N	S	S	S
Control + S			-	S	S	S
PMA				-	N	N
PMA + L					-	N
PMA + S						-

(b)

$G^*/\sin \delta$	PG 64-22			PG 76-22		
	Control	Control + L	Control + S	PMA	PMA + L	PMA + S
Control	-	S	S	S	S	S
Control + L		-	N	S	S	S
Control + S			-	S	S	S
PMA				-	N	S
PMA + L					-	S
PMA + S						-

N: non-significant

S: significant

It means that the wax additive of Sasobit has a positive effect on the rutting resistance at high temperature due to the presence of wax crystals in the binders which causes an increase in the complex modulus of the binders. In short, both the wax additives and the SBS polymer play a significant role in improving rutting resistance. The statistical results of the change in the $G^*/\sin \delta$ values for no aging and RTFO aging at 64°C are shown in Table 5-2. Regardless of aging, the data indicated that the binder types have a significant effect on the $G^*/\sin \delta$ values. For no aging, the differences between control binder and the control binder containing LEADCAP or Sasobit are statistically significant. For RTFO aging, the PMA binder with Sasobit was found to be significantly different in the $G^*/\sin \delta$ value when compared to other PMA binders.

Fatigue cracking property (intermediate failure)

The product of the complex shear modulus G^* and the sine of the phase angle, δ , is used in Superpave binder specification to help control the fatigue of asphalt pavements. The lower values of $G^*\sin \delta$ are considered desirable attributes from the standpoint of resistance of fatigue cracking (Asphalt Institute, 2003). The $G^*\sin \delta$ values of the binders (RTFO+PAV residual) were measured using the DSR at 25°C and the results are illustrated in Figure 5-8. The PMA binder exhibited the higher $G^*\sin \delta$ values than the control binder, indicating that the SBS polymer modification does not improve the resistance for fatigue cracking. The binders with Sasobit showed the highest values within each binder type (Control binder or PMA binder) which is consistent with the previous finding (Kim et al. 2010). However, the addition of LEADCAP into control and PMA binders reduced the $G^*\sin \delta$ values by 11% and 27.4%, respectively. It means that

the wax additive LEADCAP has a positive effect on the cracking resistance at intermediate temperature. Also, all the values satisfied the maximum requirements of 5,000 kPa by Superpave.

The statistical significance of the change in the $G^* \sin \delta$ value as a function of WMA additive and binder types was examined and results are shown in Table 5-3. The results showed that binder types have a significant effect in the $G^* \sin \delta$ value, except for PMA binder containing LEADCAP. The differences between control binder and the control binder with LEADCAP or Sasobit were statistically insignificant within whereas the differences between PMA binder and the PMA binder with the wax additives were statistically significant.




Figure 5-8. $G^* \sin \delta$ at 25°C of the binders with wax additives (after RTFO+PAV)

Table 5-3. Statistical analysis results of the $G^* \sin \delta$ value as a function of binder and wax additives at 25°C after RTFO + PAV

$G^* \sin \delta$	PG 64-22			PG 76-22		
	Control	Control + L	Control + S	PMA	PMA + L	PMA + S
Control	-	N	N	S	N	S
Control + L		-	S	S	N	S
Control + S			-	S	N	S
PMA				-	S	S
PMA + L					-	S
PMA + S						-

N: non-significant

S: significant

BBR Test

Superpave asphalt binder specification includes a maximum value of 300 MPa for creep stiffness and the decrease in stiffness is expected to lead to smaller tensile stress in the asphalt binder and less chance for low temperature (Asphalt Institute, 2003). From the BBR tests at -12°C , the stiffness and m -value of control and PMA binders with wax additives (RTFO+PAV residual) were calculated and the results are shown in Figures 5-9 and 5-10. After the RTFO+PAV aging processes, the control and PMA binder stiffness values at -12°C are measured to be 287 MPa and 285 MPa, respectively. The PMA binder with LEADCAP is found to have the lowest stiffness values of 265 MPa, which is approximately 7% lower than the PMA binder stiffness. The binders with sasobit was found to have highest stiffness value within each binder type (Edwards et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2016). All the control and PMA binders, except for the binders with 1.5% Sasobit, satisfied the requirement set forth by Superpave (maximum 300 MPa). In general, the use of SBS polymer to modify the asphalt binder in terms of thermal cracking properties is observed to have little influence. However, the PMA binder with LEADCAP is expected to have the best performance with regard to low temperature cracking resistance, among six binder types used in the study. Also, $G^*\sin \delta$ values at 25°C and the stiffness values of control and PMA binders with wax additives were found to have similar trend.




Figure 5-9. Stiffness at - 12°C of the binders with wax additives (after RTFO+PAV)




Figure 5-10. m-value at - 12°C of the binders with wax additives (after RTFO+PAV)

Table 5-4. Statistical analysis results of the stiffness value as a function of binder and wax additives at -12°C after RTFO + PAV

Stiffness	PG 64-22			PG 76-22		
	Control	Control + L	Control + S	PMA	PMA + L	PMA + S
Control	-	N	S	N	S	N
Control + L		-	S	N	N	S
Control + S			-	S	S	S
PMA				-	N	S
PMA + L					-	S
PMA + S						-

N: non-significant

S: significant

The statistical results of the change in the stiffness value are shown in Table 5-4. In general, the data indicated that within each binder type, the differences between the binder and the binder containing LEADCAP are statistically insignificant. For both binders, the wax types were found to have significant difference on the stiffness values.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

To investigate the performance properties of control and PMA binders with wax additives, warm PMA binders were produced using two wax additives, LEADCAP and Sasobit, and artificially short-term and long-term aged in the laboratory. A series of Superpave binder tests were carried out using the rotational viscometer, the DSR, and the BBR to evaluate various performance properties (viscosity, rutting, fatigue cracking, and low temperature cracking) of the binders. Table 6-1 shows the comprehensive data for this study. From the test results, the following findings were drawn for the materials used in this study.

Table 6-1. Comprehensive data

Binders	Viscosity (cP)		G*/sin δ				G*sin δ	Stiffness
	135°C	120°C	Original		RTFO			
Control	531.2	1207.7	1.3	-	2.5	-	2550.0	287.0
Control+L	479.7	1088.8	1.8	-	3.6	-	2270.0	269.0
Control+S	459.0	1045.0	1.9	-	3.6	-	2987.0	333.0
PMA	3244.0	7658.0	5.9	1.9	13.7	3.3	3650.0	285.0
PMA+L	2994.0	6853.0	6.0	2.2	12.1	3.8	2650.0	265.0
PMA+S	2765.0	6639.0	6.5	2.7	16.9	4.1	4653.0	319.0

- 1) The addition of two wax additives into a control binder and PMA binders can significantly decrease the viscosity at 135°C and 120°C and the reduction in viscosity was quite similar for both binders.

- 2) The viscosity of control binders were stabilized between 20 and 40 minutes and remained constant whereas the viscosity of PMA binders steadily increased after 30 to 40 minutes over the whole testing period at 135°C. The same trend was observed at 120°C.
- 3) Generally, both the additives were observed to be effective on increasing the rutting resistance. Irrespective of the aging state (no aging and RTFO), it was found that the control binders containing wax additives have the higher percentage improvement in rutting resistance compared to the PMA binders with the additives.
- 4) From the DSR test at intermediate temperature, the binders with Sasobit were observed to have higher $G^* \sin \delta$ values, meaning that they are less resistant to fatigue cracking. Whereas, the addition of LEADCAP significantly reduced the $G^* \sin \delta$ values, suggesting that the use of LEADCAP was useful to improve the fatigue cracking resistance. These trends were similar for both the control and PMA binders.
- 5) Between these two wax additives, LEADCAP is better than Sasobit in terms of resistance to fatigue and low temperature cracking. On the other hand, for rutting resistance, Sasobit performs better than LEADCAP.
- 6) The binders with Sasobit were found to have significantly higher stiffness values which relate to possible lower resistance on low temperature cracking. However, the addition of LEADCAP significantly reduced the stiffness values. Both the binders were found to have the similar trend in stiffness values. Also, the use of SBS polymer seemed to have little effect on the thermal cracking behavior. It is recommended to conduct a field study to generalize these findings with the field behavior.

REFERENCES

1. Akisetty, C. K. K. (2008). Evaluation of Warm Mix Asphalt Additives on Performance Properties of CRM Binders and Mixtures. Dissertation, Department of Civil Engineering, Clemson University.
2. Adedeji, A., Grunfelder, T., Bates, F. S., Macosko, C. W., Stroup-Gardiner, M., Newcomb, D. E. (1996). Asphalt Modified by SBS Triblock Copolymer Structure and Properties. *Polymer Engineering and Science*, Vol. 36, No. 12, pp. 707-1723.
3. Becker, Y., Mendez, M. P., and Rodriguez, Y. (2001). Polymer Modified Asphalt. *VisTechnol*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 39-50.
4. Budija, M., Cornelius, P., Johnson, S., Parry, M., and Webb, C. (2004). The Development of High Performance Polymer Modified Binders for Asphalt Use with Improved Fuming Characteristics. BP Australia Limited.
5. Butt, A.A., Jelagin, D., Tasdemir, Y. and Birgisson, B. (2010), The Effect of Wax Modification on the Performance of Mastic Asphalt, *International Journal of Pavement Research and Technology*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 86-95.
6. Button, J. W., Estakhri, C., and Wimsatt, A. (2007). A Synthesis of Warm-Mix Asphalt. Texas Transportation Institute, Report No. TX-07/0-5597-1.
7. Chen, J. S., Liao, M. C, and Tsai, H. H. (2002). Evaluation of Optimization of the Engineering Properties of Polymer-Modified Asphalt. *Practical Failure Analysis*, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 75-83.
8. D'Angelo, J., Harm, E., Bartoszek, J., Baumgardner, G., Corrigan, M., Cowser, J., Harman, T., Jamshidi, M., Jones, W., Newcomb, D., Prowell, B., Sines, R., and Yeaton, B. (2008). Warm-Mix Asphalt: European Practice, International Technology Scanning Program. Federal Highway Administration, FHWA-PL- 08-007.
9. Edwards, Y., and Redelius, P. (2003). Rheological effects of waxes in bitumen. *Energy & Fuels*, Vol. 17, No. 3, pp. 511-520.
10. Edwards, Y., Tasdemir, Y., and Isacson, U. (2006). Rheological effects of commercial waxes and polyphosphoric acid in bitumen 160/22. Low temperature performance. *Fuel*, Vol. 85, No. 7-8, pp. 989-997.
11. Edwards, Y., Tasdemir, Y. and Butt, A.A. (2010), "Energy saving and environmental friendly wax concept for polymer modified mastic asphalt", *Materials and Structures*, Vol. 43, No. 1, pp. 123-131.

12. Gandhi, T. (2008). Effects of Warm Asphalt Additives on Asphalt Binder and Mixture Properties. Dissertation, Department of Civil Engineering, Clemson University.
13. Hurley, G. C., and Prowell, B. D. (2005). Evaluation of Sasobit® for Use in Warm Mix Asphalt. National Center for Asphalt Technology, Report 05-06 (b).
14. Jamshidi, A., Hamzah, M., and You, Z.. (2013). Performance of warm mix asphalt containing Sasobit®: State-of-the-art. *Construction and Building Materials*, Vol. 38, pp. 530-55.
15. Jamshidi, A., Hamzah, M., and Aman, M. (2012). Effects of sasobit content on the rheological characteristics of unaged and aged asphalt binders at high and intermediate temperatures. *Materials Research*, Vol. 15, No. 4, pp 628-638.
16. Kantipong, K., Nam, K., Martono, W., and Bhatia H. (2008). Evaluation of a warm-mix asphalt additive. *Proceedings Institution of Civil Engineering and Construction Materials*, Vol 161, No. 1, pp. 1-8.
17. Kantipong, K., Sonthong, S., Nam, K., Martono, W., and Bhatia H. (2007). Laboratory study on warm mix asphalt additives. In: *Proceedings of 86th transportation research board annual meeting*, Washington D.C., USA, pp. 1-19.
18. Kim, B. (2003). Evaluation of the Effect of SBS Polymer Modifier on Cracking Resistance of Superpave Mixtures. Dissertation, University of Florida.
19. Kim, H. (2010). Performance evaluation of SBS modified asphalt mixtures using warm mix technologies. Dissertation, Clemson University.
20. Kim, H., Lee, S.-J, Amirkhanian, S., and Park, T. (2011) Performance Evaluation of Recycled PMA Binders Containing Warm Mix Asphalt Additives. *Journal of Testing and Evaluation*, Vol. 39, No. 4, pp. 1-7.
21. Kim, H., Lee, S.-J, and Amirkhanian, S. (2010). Effects of warm mix asphalt additives on performance properties of polymer modified asphalt binders. *Canadian Journal of civil Engineering*, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 17-24.
22. Kim, H., Lee, S.-J, and Amirkhanian, S. (2012). Influence of warm mix additives on polymer modified asphalt mixture properties. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, pp. 991-997.
23. Kim, H., Jeong, K., Lee, M. and Lee, S.-J. (2014). Performance properties of CRM binders with wax warm additives. *Construction and Building Materials*, Vol. 66, pp. 356-360.

24. Kim, H. H. and Lee, S.-J. (2016). Evaluation of rubber influence on cracking resistance of CRM binders with wax additives. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 10.1139/cjce-2014-0510
25. Kim, H. S., Lee, S.-J., Amirkhanian, S., and Jeong, K. D. (2013). Quantification of Oxidative Aging of Polymer-Modified Asphalt Mixes Made with Warm Mix Technologies. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, ASCE, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp. 1-9.
26. Lavin, P. (2003). "Asphalt Pavement: A Practical Guide to Design, Production, and Maintenance for Engineers and Architects." Taylor & Francis, 1st Edition, pp. 279-280.
27. Li, G., Zhao, Y., and Pang, S. S. (1998). Microscopic Mechanical Modeling of Polymer Modified Asphalt Composite. *Conference Proceedings at ANTEC '98*, Atlanta, Georgia, 1720-1721.
28. Newcomb, D. E. (2006). *An Introduction to Warm Mix Asphalt*. National Asphalt Pavement Association, Web access from [http://fs1.hotmix.org/mbc/Introduction _to_Warm-mix_Aspphalt.pdf](http://fs1.hotmix.org/mbc/Introduction_to_Warm-mix_Aspphalt.pdf)
29. Ott RL, Longnecker M., *An introduction to statistical methods and data analysis*, 5th ed. Pacific Grove, CA: Duxbury. 2001.
30. Punith, V. S. (2005). *Studies on the Performance of Bituminous Paving Mixtures utilising Recycling Plastics*. Dissertation, Department of Civil Engineering, Bangalore University, Bangalore, India.
31. Roque, R., Birgisson, B., Drakos, Christos., and Sholar, G. (2005). *Guidelines for Use of Modified Binders*. University of Florida, Report No. 4910-45054-964-12, pp. 7-13.
32. Sasolwax.(2014). Sasol wax company. Retrieve <http://www.sasolwax.us.com/sasobit.html>
33. Susana, H., Macleod, D., and Zanzoto, L. (2008). Our experiences with the application of Sasobit in polymer modified asphalt binders. In: *Proceedings of the fifty-third annual conference of the Canadian Technical Asphalt Association (CTAA)*. Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada.
34. Wekumbura, C., Stastna, J., and Zanzotto, L. (2007). Destruction and recovery of internal structure in polymer-modified asphalts. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 227-232.

35. Yang, S., Lee, J., Hwang, S., Kwon, S., and Baek, C. (2012). Development of warm-mix asphalt additive and evaluation of performance. *Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 2, No. 2294, pp. 89-97.
36. Yildirim Y. (2007). Polymer modified asphalt binders. *Construction and Building Materials*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp 66-72.
37. Zubeck, H. K., Raad, L., Saboundjian, S., Minassian, G., and Ryer., J. (2003). Workability and Performance of Polymer-modified Asphalt Aggregate Mixtures in Cold Regions. *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, Vol. 49, No. 1, pp. 25-36.