

EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR $p(x)$ -LAPLACIAN PROBLEMS

GHASEM A. AFROUZI, HORIEH GHORBANI

ABSTRACT. We consider the system of differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)}u &= \lambda[g(x)a(u) + f(v)] & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\Delta_{q(x)}v &= \lambda[g(x)b(v) + h(u)] & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = v &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

where $p(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a radial symmetric function such that $\sup |\nabla p(x)| < \infty$, $1 < \inf p(x) \leq \sup p(x) < \infty$, and where $-\Delta_{p(x)}u = -\operatorname{div} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u$ which is called the $p(x)$ -Laplacian. We discuss the existence of positive solution via sub-super-solutions without assuming sign conditions on $f(0), h(0)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of differential equations and variational problems with nonstandard $p(x)$ -growth conditions has been a new and interesting topic. Many results have been obtained on this kind of problems; see for example [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 13]. In [5, 6] Fan and Zhao give the regularity of weak solutions for differential equations with nonstandard $p(x)$ -growth conditions. Zhang [11] investigated the existence of positive solutions of the system

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)}u &= f(v) & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\Delta_{p(x)}v &= g(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = v &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $p(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a function, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain. The operator $-\Delta_{p(x)}u = -\operatorname{div} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u$ is called $p(x)$ -Laplacian. Especially, if $p(x)$ is a constant p , System (1.1) is the well-known p -Laplacian system. There are many papers on the existence of solutions for p -Laplacian elliptic systems, for example [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J60, 35B30, 35B40.

Key words and phrases. Positive radial solutions; $p(x)$ -Laplacian problems; boundary value problems.

©2007 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted July 18, 2007. Published December 17, 2007.

In [9] the authors consider the existence of positive weak solutions for the p -Laplacian problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= f(v) & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\Delta_p v &= g(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = v &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

There the first eigenfunctions is used for constructing the subsolution of p -Laplacian problems. Under the condition $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} f(M(g(u))^{1/(p-1)}/u^{p-1}) = 0$, for all $M > 0$, the authors show the existence of positive solutions for problem (1.2).

In this paper, at first, we consider the existence of positive solutions of the system

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)} u &= F(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\Delta_{p(x)} v &= G(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = v &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where $p(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a function, $F(x, u, v) = [g(x)a(u) + f(v)]$, $G(x, u, v) = [g(x)b(v) + h(u)]$, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain. Then we consider the system

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)} u &= \lambda F(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\Delta_{p(x)} v &= \lambda G(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = v &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where $p(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a function, $F(x, u, v) = [g(x)a(u) + f(v)]$, $G(x, u, v) = [g(x)b(v) + h(u)]$, λ is a positive parameter and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain.

To study $p(x)$ -Laplacian problems, we need some theory on the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and properties of $p(x)$ -Laplacian which we will use later (see [4]). If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open domain, write

$$C_+(\Omega) = \{h : h \in C(\Omega), h(x) > 1 \text{ for } x \in \Omega\}$$

$h^+ = \sup_{x \in \Omega} h(x)$, $h^- = \inf_{x \in \Omega} h(x)$, for any $h \in C(\Omega)$, $L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u | u \text{ is a measurable real-valued function, } \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx < \infty\}$.

Throughout the paper, we will assume that $p \in C_+(\Omega)$ and $1 < \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} p(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} p(x) < N$. We introduce the norm on $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ by

$$|u|_{p(x)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

and $(L^{p(x)}(\Omega), |\cdot|_{p(x)})$ becomes a Banach space, we call it generalized Lebesgue space. The space $(L^{p(x)}(\Omega), |\cdot|_{p(x)})$ is a separable, reflexive and uniform convex Banach space (see [4, Theorem 1.10, 1.14]).

The space $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined by $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) : |\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\}$, and it is equipped with the norm

$$\|u\| = |u|_{p(x)} + |\nabla u|_{p(x)}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega).$$

We denote by $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ are separable, reflexive and uniform convex Banach space (see [4, Theorem 2.1]). We define

$$(L(u), v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega),$$

then $L : W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow (W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))^*$ is a continuous, bounded and is a strictly monotone operator, and it is a homeomorphism [7, Theorem 3.11].

Functions u, v in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, is called a weak solution of (1.4); it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \xi dx &= \int_{\Omega} \lambda F(x, u, v) \xi dx, \quad \forall \xi \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{q(x)-2} \nabla v \nabla \xi dx &= \int_{\Omega} \lambda G(x, u, v) \xi dx, \quad \forall \xi \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

We make the following assumptions

- (H1) $p(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a radial symmetric and $\sup |\nabla p(x)| < \infty$
 (H2) $\Omega = B(0, R) = \{x | |x| < R\}$ is a ball, where $R > 0$ is a sufficiently large constant.
 (H3) $a, b \in C^1([0, \infty))$ are nonnegative, nondecreasing functions such that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{a(u)}{u^{p-1}} = 0, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{b(u)}{u^{p-1}} = 0.$$

- (H4) $f, h \in C^1([0, \infty))$ are nondecreasing functions, $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} f(u) = +\infty$, $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} h(u) = +\infty$, and

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(M(h(u))^{\frac{1}{p-1}})}{u^{p-1}} = 0, \quad \forall M > 0.$$

- (H5) $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $L_1 = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} g(x)$, and $L_2 = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} g(x)$.

We shall establish the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *If (H1)–(H5) hold, then (1.3) has a positive solution.*

Proof. We establish this theorem by constructing a positive subsolution (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) and supersolution (z_1, z_2) of (1.3), such that $\phi_1 \leq z_1$ and $\phi_2 \leq z_2$. That is (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) and (z_1, z_2) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^{p(x)-2} \nabla \phi_1 \cdot \nabla \xi dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} g(x) a(\phi_1) \xi dx + \int_{\Omega} f(\phi_2) \xi dx, \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_2|^{p(x)-2} \nabla \phi_2 \cdot \nabla \xi dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} g(x) b(\phi_2) \xi dx + \int_{\Omega} h(\phi_1) \xi dx, \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_1|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z_1 \cdot \nabla \xi dx &\geq \int_{\Omega} g(x) a(z_1) \xi dx + \int_{\Omega} f(z_2) \xi dx, \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_2|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z_2 \cdot \nabla \xi dx &\geq \int_{\Omega} g(x) b(z_2) \xi dx + \int_{\Omega} h(z_1) \xi dx, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ with $\xi \geq 0$. Then (1.3) has a positive solution.

Step 1. We construct a subsolution of (1.3). Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{\inf p(x) - 1}{4(\sup |\nabla p(x)| + 1)}, \quad R_0 = \frac{R - \alpha}{2}, \\ b &= \min\{a(0)L_1 + f(0), b(0)L_1 + h(0), -1\}, \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$\phi(r) = \begin{cases} e^{-k(r-R)} - 1, & 2R_0 < r \leq R, \\ e^{\alpha k} - 1 + \int_r^{2R_0} (ke^{\alpha k})^{\frac{p(2R_0)-1}{p(r)-1}} \\ \times \left[\frac{(2R_0)^{N-1}}{r^{N-1}} \sin(\varepsilon(r - 2R_0) + \frac{\pi}{2})(L_1 + 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{p(r)-1}} dr, & 2R_0 - \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon} < r \leq 2R_0, \\ e^{\alpha k} - 1 + \int_{2R_0 - \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon}}^{2R_0} (ke^{\alpha k})^{\frac{p(2R_0)-1}{p(r)-1}} \\ \times \left[\frac{(2R_0)^{N-1}}{r^{N-1}} \sin(\varepsilon_0(r - 2R_0) + \frac{\pi}{2})(L_1 + 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{p(r)-1}} dr, & r \leq 2R_0 - \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon}, \end{cases}$$

where R_0 is sufficiently large, ε is a small positive constant which satisfies $R_0 \leq 2R_0 - \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon}$,

In the following, we will prove that (ϕ, ϕ) is a subsolution of (1.3). Since

$$\phi'(r) = \begin{cases} e^{-k(r-R)} - 1, & 2R_0 < r \leq R, \\ -(ke^{\alpha k})^{\frac{p(2R_0)-1}{p(r)-1}} \\ \times \left[\frac{(2R_0)^{N-1}}{r^{N-1}} \sin(\varepsilon(r - 2R_0) + \frac{\pi}{2})(L_1 + 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{p(r)-1}} dr, & 2R_0 - \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon} < r \leq 2R_0, \\ 0, & 0 \leq r \leq 2R_0 - \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon}, \end{cases}$$

it is easy to see that $\phi \geq 0$ is decreasing and $\phi \in C^1([0, R])$, $\phi(x) = \phi(|x|) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$. Let $r = |x|$. By computation,

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi = -\operatorname{div} |\nabla\phi(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla\phi(x) = -(r^{N-1}|\phi'(r)|^{p(r)-2}\phi'(r))'/r^{N-1}.$$

Then

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi = \begin{cases} (ke^{-k(r-R)})^{p(r)-1} [-k(p(r)-1) + p'(r) \ln k \\ -kp'(r)(r-R) + \frac{N-1}{r}], & 2R_0 < r \leq R, \\ \varepsilon \left(\frac{2R_0}{r}\right)^{N-1} (ke^{\alpha k})^{p(2R_0)-1} \\ \times \cos(\varepsilon(r - 2R_0) + \frac{\pi}{2})(L_1 + 1), & 2R_0 - \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon} < r \leq 2R_0, \\ 0, & 0 \leq r \leq 2R_0 - \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon}, \end{cases}$$

If k is sufficiently large, when $2R_0 < r \leq R$, then

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi \leq -k[\inf p(x) - 1 - \sup |\nabla p(x)| \left(\frac{\ln k}{k} + R - r \right) + \frac{N-1}{kr}] \leq -k\alpha.$$

Since α is a constant dependent only on $p(x)$, if k is a big enough, such that $-ka < b$, and since $\phi(x) \geq 0$ and a, f are monotone, this implies

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi \leq a(0)L_1 + f(0) \leq g(x)a(\phi) + f(\phi), \quad 2R_0 < |x| \leq R. \quad (1.5)$$

If k is sufficiently large, then

$$a(e^{\alpha k} - 1) \geq 1, \quad f(e^{\alpha k} - 1) \geq 1, \quad b(e^{\alpha k} - 1) \geq 1, \quad h(e^{\alpha k} - 1) \geq 1$$

where k is dependent on a, f, b, h, p , and independent on R . Since

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)}\phi &\leq \varepsilon \left(\frac{2R_0}{r}\right)^{N-1} (ke^{\alpha k})^{p(2R_0)-1} \cos(\varepsilon(r - 2R_0) + \frac{\pi}{2})(L_1 + 1) \\ &\leq \varepsilon(L_1 + 1)2^N k^{p^+} e^{\alpha k p^+}, \quad 2R_0 - \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon} < |x| < 2R_0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon = 2^{-N} k^{-p^+} e^{-\alpha k p^+}$. Then

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi \leq L_1 + 1 \leq g(x)a(\phi) + f(\phi), \quad 2R_0 - \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon} < |x| < 2R_0. \quad (1.6)$$

Obviously,

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi = 0 \leq L_1 + 1 \leq g(x)a(\phi) + f(\phi), |x| < 2R_0 - \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon}. \tag{1.7}$$

Since $\phi(x) \in C^1(\Omega)$, combining (1.5), (1.6), (1.7), we have

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi \leq g(x)a(\phi) + f(\phi)$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Similarly we have

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi \leq g(x)b(\phi) + h(\phi),$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Let $(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (\phi, \phi)$, since $\phi(x) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, it is easy to see that (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) is a subsolution of (1.3).

Step 2. We construct a supersolution of (1.3) Let z_1 be a radial solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)}z_1(x) &= (L_2 + 1)\mu, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ z_1 &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We denote $z_1 = z_1(r) = z_1(|x|)$, then z_1 satisfies

$$-(r^{N-1}|z_1'|^{p(r)-2}z_1')' = r^{N-1}(L_2 + 1)\mu, z_1(R) = 0, z_1'(0) = 0.$$

Then

$$z_1' = -\left|\frac{r(L_2 + 1)\mu}{N}\right|^{\frac{1}{p(r)-1}}, \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$z_1 = \int_r^R \left|\frac{r(L_2 + 1)\mu}{N}\right|^{\frac{1}{p(r)-1}} dr.$$

We denote $\beta = \beta((L_2 + 1)\mu) = \max_{0 \leq r \leq R} z_1(r)$, then

$$\beta((L_2 + 1)\mu) = \int_0^R \left|\frac{r(L_2 + 1)\mu}{N}\right|^{\frac{1}{p(r)-1}} dr = ((L_2 + 1)\mu)^{\frac{1}{p(q)-1}} \int_0^R \left|\frac{r}{N}\right|^{\frac{1}{p(r)-1}} dr,$$

where $q \in [0, 1]$. Since $\int_0^R \left|\frac{r}{N}\right|^{\frac{1}{p(r)-1}} dr$ is a constant, then there exists a positive constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C}((L_2 + 1)\mu)^{\frac{1}{p^+-1}} \leq \beta((L_2 + 1)\mu) = \max_{0 \leq r \leq R} z_1(r) \leq C((L_2 + 1)\mu)^{\frac{1}{p^--1}}. \tag{1.9}$$

We consider

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)}z_1 &= (L_2 + 1)\mu \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ -\Delta_{p(x)}z_2 &= (L_2 + 1)h(\beta((L_2 + 1)\mu)) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ z_1 &= z_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Then we shall prove that (z_1, z_2) is a supersolution for (1.3). For $\xi \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ with $\xi \geq 0$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_2|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z_2 \cdot \nabla \xi dx &= \int_{\Omega} (L_2 + 1)h(\beta((L_2 + 1)\mu))\xi dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} L_2 h(\beta((L_2 + 1)\mu))\xi dx + \int_{\Omega} h(z_1)\xi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to (1.9), we have

$$\max_{0 \leq r \leq R} z_2(r) \leq C[(L_2 + 1)h(\beta((L_2 + 1)\mu))]^{\frac{1}{(p^--1)}}.$$

By (H3), for μ large enough we have

$$h(\beta((L_2 + 1)\mu)) \geq b(C[(L_2 + 1)h(\beta((L_2 + 1)\mu))]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}) \geq b(z_2).$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_2|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z_2 \cdot \nabla \xi dx \geq \int_{\Omega} g(x)b(z_2)\xi dx + \int_{\Omega} h(z_1)\xi dx, \quad (1.10)$$

Also

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_1|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z_1 \cdot \nabla \xi dx = \int_{\Omega} (L_2 + 1)\mu \xi dx.$$

By (H3), (H4), when μ is sufficiently large, according to (1.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (L_2 + 1)\mu &\geq \left[\frac{1}{C}\beta((L_2 + 1)\mu)\right]^{p-1} \\ &\geq L_2 a(\beta((L_2 + 1)\mu)) + f\left[C[(L_2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)}}(h(\beta((L_2 + 1)\mu)))^{\frac{1}{(p-1)}}]\right] \\ &\geq g(x)a(z_1) + f(z_2), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_1|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z_1 \cdot \nabla \xi dx \geq \int_{\Omega} g(x)a(z_1)\xi dx + \int_{\Omega} f(z_2)\xi dx. \quad (1.11)$$

According to (1.10) and (1.11), we can conclude that (z_1, z_2) is a supersolution of (1.3).

Let μ be sufficiently large, then from (1.8) and the definition of (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) , it is easy to see that $\phi_1 \leq z_1$ and $\phi_2 \leq z_2$. This completes the proof. \square

Now we consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)} u &= \lambda F(x, u, v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ -\Delta_{p(x)} v &= \lambda G(x, u, v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u = v &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

If $p(x) \equiv p$ (a constant), because of the homogeneity of p -Laplacian, (1.3) and (1.4) can be transformed into each other; but, if $p(x)$ is a general function, since $p(x)$ -Laplacian is nonhomogeneous, they cannot be transformed into each other. So we can see that $p(x)$ -Laplacian problem is more complicated than that of p -Laplacian, and it is necessary to discuss the problem (1.4) separately.

Theorem 1.2. *If $p(x) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, $\Omega = B(0, R)$, and (H3)–(H5) hold, then there exists a λ^* which is sufficiently large, such that (1.4) possesses a positive solution for any $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$.*

Proof. We construct a subsolution of (1.4). Let $\beta \leq \frac{R}{4}$ satisfy

$$|p(r_1) - p(r_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \forall r_1, r_2 \in [R - 2\beta, R]. \quad (1.13)$$

In the following we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \min\left\{\frac{\inf p(x) - 1}{4(\sup |\nabla p(x)| + 1)}\right\}, \quad p_*^+ = \sup_{R-2\beta \leq |x| \leq R} p(x), \quad p_*^- = \inf_{R-2\beta \leq |x| \leq R} p(x), \\ b &= \min\{a(0)L_1 + f(0), b(0)L_1 + h(0), -1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

Let $\alpha \in (0, \beta]$, and set

$$\phi(r) = \begin{cases} e^{-k(r-R)} - 1, & R - \alpha < r \leq R, \\ e^{\alpha k} - 1 + \int_r^{R-\alpha} (ke^{\alpha k})^{\frac{p(R-\alpha)-1}{p(r)-1}} \left[\frac{(R-\alpha)^{N-1}}{r^{N-1}} \right. \\ \quad \times \sin(\varepsilon(r - (R - \alpha)) + \frac{\pi}{2})(L_1 + 1)]^{\frac{1}{p(r)-1}} dr, & R - 2\beta < r \leq R - \alpha, \\ e^{\alpha k} - 1 + \int_{R-\alpha-\frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon}}^{R-\alpha} (ke^{\alpha k})^{\frac{p(R-\alpha)-1}{p(r)-1}} \left[\frac{(R-\alpha)^{N-1}}{r^{N-1}} \right. \\ \quad \times \sin(\varepsilon(r - (R - \alpha)) + \frac{\pi}{2})(L_1 + 1)]^{\frac{1}{p(r)-1}} dr, & r \leq R - 2\beta, \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon = \frac{\pi}{2(2\beta-\alpha)}$ which satisfies $\varepsilon(R - 2\beta - (R - \alpha)) + \frac{\pi}{2} = 0$.

In the following, we will prove that (ϕ, ϕ) is a subsolution of (1.4). Since

$$\phi'(r) = \begin{cases} e^{-k(r-R)} - 1, & R - \alpha < r \leq R, \\ -(ke^{\alpha k})^{\frac{p(R-\alpha)-1}{p(r)-1}} \\ \quad \left[\frac{(R-\alpha)^{N-1}}{r^{N-1}} \right. \\ \quad \times \sin(\varepsilon(r - (R - \alpha)) + \frac{\pi}{2})(L_1 + 1)]^{\frac{1}{p(r)-1}} dr, & R - 2\beta < r \leq R - \alpha, \\ 0, & r \leq R - 2\beta. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $\phi \geq 0$ is decreasing and $\phi \in C^1([0, R])$, $\phi(x) = \phi(|x|) \in C^1(\Omega)$.

Let $r = |x|$. By computation,

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi(x) = \begin{cases} (ke^{-k(r-R)})^{p(r)-1}[-k(p(r) - 1) \\ \quad + p'(r) \ln k - kp'(r)(r - R) + \frac{N-1}{r}], & R - \alpha < r \leq R, \\ \varepsilon(\frac{R-\alpha}{r})^{N-1}(ke^{\alpha k})^{(p(R-\alpha)-1)} \\ \quad \times \cos(\varepsilon(r - (R - \alpha)) + \frac{\pi}{2})(L_1 + 1), & R - 2\beta < r \leq R - \alpha, \\ 0, & r \leq R - 2\beta. \end{cases}$$

If k is sufficiently large, when $R - \alpha < r \leq R$, then we have

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi \leq -k^{p(r)}[\inf p(x) - 1 - \sup |\nabla p(x)|(\frac{\ln k}{k} + R - r) + \frac{N - 1}{kr}] \leq -k^{p(r)}\delta.$$

If k satisfies

$$k^{p^*} \delta = -\lambda b, \tag{1.15}$$

and since $\phi(x) \geq 0$ and a, f is monotone, it means that

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi \leq \lambda(a(0)L_1 + f(0)) \leq \lambda(g(x)a(\phi) + f(\phi)), R - \alpha < |x| \leq R. \tag{1.16}$$

From (H3), (H4) there exists a positive constant M such that $a(M - 1) \geq 1$, $f(M - 1) \geq 1$, $b(M - 1) \geq 1$, $h(M - 1) \geq 1$. Let

$$\alpha k = \ln M. \tag{1.17}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)}\phi(x) &= \varepsilon(\frac{R-\alpha}{r})^{N-1}(ke^{\alpha k})^{(p(R-\alpha)-1)} \cos(\varepsilon(r - (R - \alpha)) + \frac{\pi}{2})(L_1 + 1) \\ &\leq \varepsilon(L_1 + 1)2^N(ke^{\alpha k})^{p^*-1}, R - 2\beta < |x| < R - \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

if

$$\varepsilon 2^N(ke^{\alpha k})^{p^*-1} \leq \lambda, \tag{1.18}$$

then

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi(x) \leq \lambda(L_1 + 1) \leq \lambda(g(x)a(\phi) + f(\phi)), R - 2\beta < |x| < R - \alpha. \tag{1.19}$$

Obviously

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi(x) = 0 \leq \lambda L_1 + 1 \leq \lambda(g(x)a(\phi) + f(\phi)), \quad |x| < R - 2\beta. \quad (1.20)$$

Combining (1.15), (1.17) and (1.18), we only need

$$\varepsilon 2^N \left| \frac{-b}{\delta} \lambda \right|^{\frac{p_*^+ - 1}{p_*}} M^{p_*^+ - 1} \leq \lambda,$$

and according to (1.13), (1.14), we only need

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} 2^N M^{p_*^+ - 1} \left| \frac{-b}{\delta} \right|^{\frac{p_*^+ - 1}{p_*}} \right) 2p_*^- \leq \lambda.$$

Let

$$\lambda^* = \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} 2^N M^{p_*^+ - 1} \left| \frac{-b}{\delta} \right|^{\frac{p_*^+ - 1}{p_*}} \right) 2p_*^-.$$

If $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$ is sufficiently large, then (1.18) is satisfied.

Since $\phi(x) = \phi(|x|) \in C^1(\Omega)$, according to (1.16), (1.19) and (1.20), it is easy to see that if λ is sufficiently large, then (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) is a subsolution of (1.4).

Step 2. We construct a supersolution of (1.4). Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)} z_1 &= \lambda(L_2 + 1)\mu \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ -\Delta_{p(x)} z_2 &= \lambda(L_2 + 1)h(\beta(\lambda(L_2 + 1)\mu)) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ z_1 = z_2 &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = \beta(\lambda(L_2 + 1)\mu) = \max_{0 \leq r \leq R} z_1(r)$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_2|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z_2 \cdot \nabla \xi dx &= \int_{\Omega} \lambda(L_2 + 1)h(\beta(\lambda(L_2 + 1)\mu))\xi dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} \lambda L_2 h(\beta(\lambda(L_2 + 1)\mu))\xi dx + \int_{\Omega} \lambda h(z_1)\xi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to (1.9), we have

$$\max_{0 \leq r \leq R} z_2(r) \leq C[\lambda(L_2 + 1)h(\beta(\lambda(L_2 + 1)\mu))]^{\frac{1}{(p^- - 1)}}.$$

By (H3) for μ large enough we have

$$h(\beta(\lambda(L_2 + 1)\mu)) \geq b(C[\lambda(L_2 + 1)h(\beta(\lambda(L_2 + 1)\mu))]^{\frac{1}{p^- - 1}}) \geq b(z_2).$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_2|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z_2 \cdot \nabla \xi dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \lambda g(x)b(z_2)\xi dx + \int_{\Omega} \lambda h(z_1)\xi dx. \quad (1.21)$$

Also

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_1|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z_1 \cdot \nabla \xi dx = \int_{\Omega} \lambda(L_2 + 1)\mu \xi dx.$$

By (H3), (H4), when μ is sufficiently large, according to (1.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (L_2 + 1)\mu &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\frac{1}{C} \beta(\lambda(L_2 + 1)\mu) \right]^{p^- - 1} \\ &\geq L_2 a(\beta(\lambda(L_2 + 1)\mu)) + f(C[\lambda(L_2 + 1)h(\beta(\lambda(L_2 + 1)\mu))]^{\frac{1}{(p^- - 1)}}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_1|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z_1 \cdot \nabla \xi dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \lambda g(x) a(z_1) \xi dx + \int_{\Omega} \lambda f(z_2) \xi dx. \quad (1.22)$$

According to (1.21) and (1.22), we can conclude that (z_1, z_2) is a supersolution of (1.4).

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, if μ is sufficiently large, we have $\phi_1 \leq z_1$ and $\phi_2 \leq z_2$. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Ali, R. Shivaaji, *Positive solutions for a class of p -Laplacian systems with multiple parameters*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. Article In Press.
- [2] C. H. Chen, *On positive weak solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems*, Nonlinear Anal. 62 (2005) 751-756.
- [3] X. L. Fan, H. Q. Wu, F. Z. Wang, *Hartman-type results for $p(t)$ -Laplacian systems*, Nonlinear Anal. 52 (2003) 585-594.
- [4] X. L. Fan, D. Zhao, *On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 263 (2001) 424-446.
- [5] X. L. Fan, D. Zhao, *A class of De Giorgi type and Hölder continuity*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 36 (1999) 295-318.
- [6] X. L. Fan, D. Zhao, *The quasi-minimizer of integral functionals with $m(x)$ growth conditions*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 39 (2000) 807-816.
- [7] X. L. Fan, Q. H. Zhang, *Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem*, Nonlinear Anal. 52 (2003) 1843-1852.
- [8] X. L. Fan, Q. H. Zhang, D. Zhao *Eigenvalues of $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 302 (2005) 306-317.
- [9] D. D. Hai, R. Shivaaji, *An existence result on positive solutions for a class of p -Laplacian systems*, Nonlinear Anal. 56 (2024) 1007-1010.
- [10] M. Růžicka, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math, vol. 1784, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [11] Q. H. Zhang, *Existence of positive solutions for elliptic systems with nonstandard $p(x)$ -growth conditions via sub-supersolution method*, Nonlinear Anal. 67 (2007) 1055-1067.
- [12] Q. H. Zhang, *Existence of positive solutions for a class of $p(x)$ -Laplacian systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 302 (2005) 306-317.
- [13] V. V. Zhikov, *Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory*, Math. USSR Izv. 29 (1987) 33-36.

GHASEM A. AFROUZI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF BASIC SCIENCES, MAZANDARAN UNIVERSITY, BABOL-SAR, IRAN

E-mail address: afrouzi@umz.ac.ir

HORIEH GHORBANI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF BASIC SCIENCES, MAZANDARAN UNIVERSITY, BABOL-SAR, IRAN

E-mail address: seyed86@yahoo.com